Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Public Agenda 3494 Thursday, October 7, 2021, 10:00 a.m. Remotely

Commissioners
Marybel Batjer, President
Martha Guzman Aceves
Clifford Rechtschaffen
Genevieve Shiroma
Darcie L. Houck

Pursuant to Section 2 of Assembly Bill 361, Stats. 2021, Ch.165, signed by Governor Newsom on

September 16, 2021, Commissioners may participate remotely from teleconferencing locations. The public may observe, provide public comment during the public comment period, and otherwise participate remotely pursuant to the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

For each agenda item, a summary of proposed action is included on the agenda as well as a link to the related electronic document. The Commission's decision may, however, differ from that proposed. Public comments are taken up at the beginning of the meeting (10:00 a.m.).

To listen or make comments not to exceed 1 minute by phone dial, 1-800-857-1917 and enter passcode 9899501.

Para escuchar esta reunión en español, por favor llame: (800) 857-1917, código de acceso: 3799627.

Individuals wishing to observe the meeting can do so by accessing our website at

http://www.adminmonitor.com/ca/cpuc/

For each agenda item, a summary of the proposed action is included; the Commission's decision may, however, differ from that proposed. To listen by phone, dial 1-800-857-1917 and enter passcode 9899501 or access our website at http://www.cpuc.ca.gov

Scheduled Commission Meetings

Ratesetting Deliberative Meeting* Remote (10:00 a.m.) Closed to the Public	Commission Meeting Remote (10:00 a.m.) Open to the Public
Monday, October 4, 2021	Thursday, October 7, 2021
(Remotely)	(Remotely)
Monday, October 18, 2021	Thursday, October 21, 2021
(Remotely)	(Remotely)
Monday, November 1, 2021	Thursday, November 4, 2021
(Remotely)	(Remotely)
Monday, November 15, 2021	Thursday, November 18, 2021
(Remotely)	(Remotely)
Monday, November 29, 2021	Thursday, December 2, 2021
(Remotely)	(Remotely)

Matters of Public Interest

For the convenience of the public and media representatives, items of widespread public interest will be taken up at the beginning of the meeting.

For further information contact the Public Advisor (415) 703-2074 E-mail: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov



If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, please call the Public Advisor at (415) 703 -2074 or TTY # (415) 703-5282 or toll free # 1- 866-836-7825 three business days in advance of the meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following items are not subject to public comment:

- All items on the closed session agenda.
- 12, 24

Public Comment

Consent Agenda

Items shown on the Consent Agenda will be taken up and voted on as a group in one of the first items of business of each Commission meeting. Items may be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion on the Regular Agenda at the request of any Commissioner prior to the meeting.

Consent Agenda - Orders and Resolutions

1 Preliminary Categorizations for Recently Filed Formal Applications

[19882] Res ALJ 176-3494

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Ratification of preliminary determination of category for proceeding initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

ESTIMATED COST:

None.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=411177210

2

Enforcement Program for Frontier Communications
Corporation to Ensure Compliance with the Terms of
Requirements, and Conditions Pursuant to Decision 21-04008

[19695] Res T-17734

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Approves the adoption of an Enforcement Program to ensure compliance with the terms of requirements, and conditions pursuant to Decision 21-04-008 by Frontier Communications Corporation and its California local exchange and long-distance subsidiaries.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

It is the policy of the Commission that every violation should result in an appropriate enforcement action consistent with the priority of the violation. In recognition of its finite resources, the Commission exercises its enforcement discretion to prioritize enforcement actions. Enforcement prioritization enhances the Commission's ability to leverage its finite enforcement resources and to achieve the general deterrence needed to encourage the regulated entity to anticipate, identify and correct violations. This Resolution establishes the largest monetary penalty for instances when Frontier fails to timely restore service outages. The Enforcement Program prioritizes the importance of ensuring that the communities which Frontier serves will have reliable access to 911 services, 211 services, and the capability to receive emergency alerts and notifications.

ESTIMATED COST:

There is no cost associated with this Resolution.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=408490838

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

Agenda 3491, Item 17 8/19/2021 (Staff);

Agenda 3492, Item 3 9/9/2021 (Staff);

Agenda 3493, Item 3 9/23/2021 (Staff)

3 Electric Program Investment Charge Program Administrative Improvements

[19764] R.19-10-005

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to consider renewal of the Electric Program Investment Charge Program.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Authorizes Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) to continue in their role as administrators of the Electric Program Investment Charge (EPIC) program.
- Authorizes EPIC 4 (2021-2025) investment plan budgets of \$18.444 million annually for PG&E, \$3.24 million annually for SDG&E, and \$15.131 million annually for SCE; EPIC 5 (2026-2030) investment plan budgets may increase by rate of inflation.
- Adopts administrative requirements to increase transparency and improve focus of EPIC program investments.
- Does not approve investment plans, which must still be filed.
- This proceeding remains open.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Among other projects, the EPIC Program funds projects that improve electricity reliability and public safety, especially in the area of wildfire risk reduction or mitigation.

ESTIMATED COST:

• \$37 million annually through 2025, if investment plans are approved.

(Comr Guzman Aceves - Judge Glegola)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=410198202

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.

Agenda 3492, Item 11 9/9/2021 (Guzman Aceves);

Agenda 3493, Item 4 9/23/2021 (Guzman Aceves)

4

Bear Valley Electric Service Inc., Liberty Utilities (CalPeco Electric) LLC and PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power to Establish New EV Infrastructure Rules and Associated Memorandum Accounts Pursuant to Assembly Bill 841

[19765]

Res. E-5168, Utilities Advice Letter (AL) 413-E filed March 1, 2021, AL 166-E filed March 1, 2021 and AL 649-E filed May 21, 2021 and 649-E-A filed June 18, 2021 - Related matters.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

• Finds that Bear Valley Electric Service Inc., Liberty Utilities (CalPeco Electric) LLC and PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power proposed Electric Vehicle (EV) Infrastructure Rules and associated Memorandum Accounts are reasonable, with modifications, and are in compliance with Assembly Bill 841.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

This Resolution has no direct impact on safety. The safety considerations
associated with this Resolution are similar to the safety considerations associated
with existing utility responsibilities in building new service and electrical distribution
infrastructure. The utilities must continue to comply with existing utility and
Commission policy on safety requirements and standards, as well as the
Transportation Electrification Safety Requirements checklist adopted in 2018 via
Decision 18-05-040.

ESTIMATED COST:

 The new Rules this Resolution establishes are expected to lead to increased ratepayer cost over time, as they will cover the utility-side costs associated with new EV charging. We are unable to estimate a total cost impact, since it is difficult to estimate the rate of EV charger deployment and the number of customers that will take service under the EV Infrastructure Rules.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=412864415

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

Agenda 3492, Item 12 9/9/2021 (Staff);

Agenda 3493, Item 5 9/23/2021 (Rechtschaffen)

5

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company to Establish New Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Rules and Associated Memorandum Accounts Pursuant to Assembly Bill 841

[19766]

Res E-5167, Advice Letter (AL) 6102-E filed February 26, 2021, AL 6102-E-A filed March 17, 2021, Advice Letter 4429-E filed February 26, 2021, and Advice Letter 3705-E filed February 26, 2021 - Related matters.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Finds that the proposals from Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company to establish new Electric Vehicle (EV) Infrastructure Rules and associated Memorandum Accounts are reasonable with modifications and are in compliance with Assembly Bill 841.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

• There is no direct impact on safety. The safety considerations associated with this Resolution are similar to the safety considerations associated with existing utility responsibilities associated with building new service and electrical distribution infrastructure. The utilities must continue to comply with existing utility and CPUC policy on safety requirements and standards, as well as the Transportation Electrification Safety Requirements checklist adopted in 2018 via Decision 18-05-040.

ESTIMATED COST:

The new Rules this Resolution establishes are expected to lead to increased ratepayer cost
over time, as they will cover the utility-side costs associated with new EV charging. We are
unable to estimate a total cost impact, since it is difficult to estimate the rate of EV charger
deployment and the number of customers that will take service under the EV Infrastructure
Rules.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=413061495

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

Agenda 3492, Item 13 9/9/2021 (Staff);

Agenda 3493, Item 6 9/23/2021 (Rechtschaffen)

6 Track 2 Decision Regarding Pole Attachment Data Fields to be Included in Databases

[19791]

I.17-06-027, R.17-06-028 - Related matters.

Order Instituting Investigation into the Creation of a Shared Database or Statewide Census of Utility Poles and Conduit in California.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Track 2 decision adopts pole attachment data fields that pole owners must include in their databases.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Access to attachment data fields will promote better safety management of utility and communications poles.

ESTIMATED COST:

 Costs will be tracked and included in future general rate cases for potential recovery.

(Comr Batier - Judge Mason)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=410348105

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Quasi-Legislative.

Agenda 3493, Item 11 9/23/2021 (Batjer)

7

Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Refinance and/or Extend the Maturity Date on \$1.5 Billion in Temporary Long-Term Debt

[19823]

A.21-06-005

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Contingent Authority to Refinance and/or Extend the Maturity of \$1.5 Billion in Long-Term Debt.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Grants the application filed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) on June 2, 2021.
- Grants PG&E contingent authority to manage temporary utility debt that matures on January 1, 2022, in the amount of \$1.5 billion due to uncertainty created by the appeal process for Decision (D.) 21-08-022 and D.21-08-023, in which the Commission denied the Applications for Rehearing of D.21-04-030 and D.21-05-015.
- Application 21-06-005 is closed.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

There are no safety considerations associated with this decision.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs related to this proceeding.

(Comr Batjer - Judge DeAngelis)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=412798597

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

8

Agreements Between the Southern California Edison
Company and the City of Santa Barbara and Central Coast
Community Energy with Regard to the Resource Adequacy
and Renewables Portfolio Standard Programs

[19824]

Res E-5159, Advice Letter (AL) 4303-E filed September 29, 2020, AL 4314-E filed October 14, 2020, and AL 4314-E-A filed March 8, 2021 - Related matters.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Approves separate agreements between the Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and the City of Santa Barbara (Santa Barbara) and Central Coast Community Energy (3CE);
- Permits 3CE to make one or more purchases of Portfolio Content Category (PCC)-1 renewable energy (RPS Energy) from SCE during 2021 and 2022, in exchange for payments equivalent to the CPUC's RPS Market Price Benchmarks for 2021 and 2022; and
- Permits SCE to submit annual and monthly forecasts and year-ahead and monthahead Resource Adequacy compliance filings on behalf of 3CE in 2021 and Santa Barbara in 2022, for load migrating from SCE to these CCAs.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

There are no safety considerations associated with this resolution.

ESTIMATED COST:

 This Resolution is not expected to increase costs, and it may reduce costs by avoiding duplicate filings and redundant compliance.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=412030869

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

9

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Edison Company Behind-The-Meter Microgrid Tariff Pursuant to Decision 21-01-018

[19825]

Res E-5162, PG&E Advice Letters (AL) 6170-E, SDG&E AL 3742-E, and SCE AL 4473-E, filed April 21, 2021 - Related matters.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

Approves the Behind-the-Meter Microgrids (Schedule BTMM) tariffs for the respective service territories for Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) and Southern California Edison Company (SCE) that: (a) meet the definition of a microgrid contained in Senate Bill 1339 (Stern, 2018); (b) involve a single customer establishing a microgrid at a single account; (c) consist of resources that are interconnected under the terms of each utility's Electric Rule 21; and (d) consist of resources that are individually eligible for a Net Energy Metering successor schedule that reflects the orders in Decision 16-01-044.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 There is no direct impact on safety as these tariffs rely upon existing safety protocols in existing tariffs.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this resolution.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=412753725 Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

10 City of Santa Rosa's Petition for Modification of Decision 16-09-002

[19830]

A.15-05-014

Application of the City of Santa Rosa for Approval to Construct a Public Pedestrian and Bicycle At-Grade Crossing of the Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit (SMART) Track at Jennings Avenue Located in Santa Rosa, Sonoma County, State of California.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Grants City of Santa Rosa's Petition for Modification of Decision 16-09-002, as modified by Decision 19-10-022.
- · Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

There are no safety considerations associated with this decision.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this decision.

(Comr Shiroma - Judge Chiv)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=412710104

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

San Diego Association of Governments for Approval of Rail Crossings in the City of Del Mar

[19876]

A.20-04-020, A.20-04-021 - Related matters.

Application of the San Diego Association of Governments for an order authorizing construction of a pedestrian-rail grade separated crossing in the City of Del Mar, San Diego County, California.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Approves rail crossings proposed by the San Diego Association of Governments in the City of Del Mar.
- Finds no adverse environmental or social justice impacts.
- Closes the proceedings.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

• Finds that approved rail crossings comply with the Commission's safety requirements for such crossings.

ESTIMATED COST:

No costs are associated with this decision.

(Comr Shiroma - Judge Doherty)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=404627725

12

Modified Presiding Officer's Decision Regarding Monterey Peninsula Water Management District's Complaint Against California American Water Company, Alleging Failure to Ensure Adequate Water Supply to Customers on the Monterey Peninsula

[19901] C.21-05-005

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District vs. California American Water Company.

 Resolves Monterey Peninsula Water Management District's appeal of the Presiding Officer's Decision.

(Comr Shiroma - Judge Kline)

Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(h), allows this modified Presiding Officer's Decision to be considered in Closed Session.

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Adjudicatory.

Decision Resolving Phase I of Broadband for All Proceeding

[19903]

R.20-09-001

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Broadband Infrastructure Deployment and to Support Service Providers in the State of California.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Adopts new post-disaster community engagement and reporting requirements for Investor-Owned Utilities and telecommunications service providers in California.
- Adopts eligibility requirements for the Digital Divide Account created in California Public Utilities Code Section 280.5.
- · This proceeding remains open.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Improves public safety post-disaster areas through increased community engagement requirements.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this proceeding.

(Comr Guzman Aceves - Judge Glegola)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=407951081

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

14 Expedited Complaint of Kimberly Jolly Against Pacific Bell d/b/a AT&T California and AT&T Corporation

[19905]

(ECP) C.21-06-012

Kimberly Jolly vs. Pacific Bell d/b/a AT&T California and AT&T Corporation.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Dismisses the expedited complaint of Kimberly Jolly against Pacific Bell d/b/a AT&T California and AT&T Corporation for failure to prosecute.
- · Closes the proceeding.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

There are no safety considerations associated with this dismissal.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this dismissal.

(Comr Houck - Judge Kelly)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=408274399

15

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Oversee the Resource Adequacy Program, Consider Program Reforms and Refinements, and Establish Forward Resource Adequacy Procurement Obligations

[19913]	
R	

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Oversee the Resource Adequacy Program, Consider Program Reforms and Refinements, and Establish Forward Resource Adequacy Procurement Obligations.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

Opens Order Instituting Rulemaking for Resource Adequacy Program.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

• There are no safety considerations associated with this Order.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this Order.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=409411941

Consent Agenda - Intervenor Compensation Orders

16 Intervenor Compensation to The Utility Reform Network

[19838]

R.18-07-006

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish a Framework and Processes for Assessing the Affordability of Utility Service.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Awards The Utility Reform Network (TURN) \$164,139.13 for substantial contribution to Decision 20-07-032. That decision adopted metrics and methodologies for assessing the relative affordability of utility service. TURN originally requested \$163,369.13.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's ability to resolve safety and other issues under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to take all actions "necessary to promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

• \$164,139.13 plus interest, to be paid by the Commission's Intervenor Compensation Fund.

(Comr Houck - Judge Watts-Zagha)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=410432879

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Quasi-Legislative.

Agenda 3493, Item 25 9/23/2021 (Staff)

17 Intervenor Compensation to Environmental Defense Fund

[19879]

R.15-01-008

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Adopt Rules and Procedures Governing Commission-Regulated Natural Gas Pipelines and Facilities to Reduce Natural Gas Leakage Consistent with Senate Bill 1371.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Awards Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) \$214,957.00 for substantial contribution to Decision 19-08-020, which establishes policies for the Natural Gas Leakage Abatement Program pursuant to Senate Bills 1371 and 1383. EDF originally requested \$216,822.00.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's ability to resolve safety and other issues under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to take all actions necessary to promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public.

ESTIMATED COST:

 \$214,957.00, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of Southern California Gas Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southwest Gas Corporation, Lodi Gas Storage L.L.C. and Central Valley Storage, L. L.C.

(Comr Rechtschaffen - ALJ Division)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=406720841

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Quasi-Legislative.

18 Intervenor Compensation to California Environmental Justice Alliance

[19908]

R.18-10-007

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Electric Utility Wildfire Mitigation Plans Pursuant to Senate Bill 901 (2018).

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Awards California Environmental Justice Alliance (CEJA) \$54,045.50 for substantial contribution to Decision 20-03-004. That Decision requires all electrical corporations to conduct outreach before, during and after a wildfire in all languages "prevalent" in their respective service territories. CEJA originally requested \$58,567.60.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's ability to resolve safety and other issues under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to take all actions necessary to promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public.

ESTIMATED COST:

 \$54,045.50, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Gas Company, Liberty Utilities, Southwest Gas Corporation, Bear Valley Electric Service, and Pacific Power.

(Comr Batjer - Judge Fogel)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=407958420

19 Intervenor Compensation to Small Business Utility Advocates

[19910]

A.16-09-003

Application of Southern California Edison Company for Approval of its 2016 Rate Design Window Proposals.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 Awards Small Business Utility Advocates (SBUA) \$93,214.59 for substantial contribution to Decision 18-07-006. That Decision approved Southern California Edison Company's 2016 Rate Design Window proposals to revise standard time-ofuse periods and seasons. SBUA originally requested \$93,099.59.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's ability to resolve safety and other issues under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to take all actions necessary to promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public.

ESTIMATED COST:

 \$93,214.59, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of Southern California Edison Company.

(Comr Batjer - ALJ Division)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=408505756

20 Intervenor Compensation to Center for Accessible Technology

[19911]

A.19-11-019

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Revise its Electric Marginal Costs, Revenue Allocation and Rate Design.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

Awards Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT) \$17,534.80 for substantial contribution to Decision 20-09-021. That Decision adopted an Essential Usage Study plan to model the essential usage of electricity by residential customers of the large electrical corporations and approves ratepayer funding for the Essential Usage Study. CforAT originally requested \$18,397.00.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

• Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's ability to resolve safety and other issues under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to take all actions "necessary to promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

• \$17,534.80, plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

(Comr Shiroma - Judge Sisto)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=409188816

21 Intervenor Compensation to Small Business Utility Advocates

[19880]

A.18-07-024

Application of Southern California Gas Company and San Diego Gas & Electric Company for authority to revise their natural gas rates and implement storage proposals effective January 1, 2020 in this Triennial Cost Allocation Proceeding.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

Awards Small Business Utility Advocates (SBUA) \$19,225.75 for substantial contribution to Decision 20-08-044. That Decision adopted the transportation rate increase for core commercial and industrial customers, adopted in D.20-02-045, deferring to January 1, 2021. Furthermore, recovery of the under collection will be accrued by core commercial and industrial customers and amortized over a period of 24 months beginning January 1, 2021. SBUA originally requested \$19,225.75.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 Substantial contribution by intervenors, as found here, enhances the Commission's ability to resolve safety and other issues under Public Utilities Code Section 451 to take all actions "necessary to promote the safety, health, comfort, and convenience of its patrons, employees, and the public."

ESTIMATED COST:

• \$19,225.75 plus interest, to be paid by the ratepayers of San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company.

(Comr Guzman Aceves - Judge Hymes)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=407952977

Regular Agenda

Regular Agenda- Energy Orders

22 Percentage of Income Payment Plan Pilot Programs

[19833]

R.18-07-005

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider New Approaches to Disconnections and Reconnections to Improve Energy Access and Contain Costs.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

- Orders Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company and Southern California Gas Company to implement Percentage of Income Payment Plan pilot programs for up to 15,000 total participants.
- · This proceeding remains open.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

• There are no safety considerations associated with this order.

ESTIMATED COST:

• The estimated cost of the pilots over the four-year pilot period is around \$38 million.

(Comr Houck - Judge Wang)

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=412735667

Pub. Util. Code § 311 – This item was mailed for Public Comment.

Regular Agenda- Energy Orders (continued)

23	Safety Culture Assessments Pursuant to SB 901
[19878]	·
R	
Order Institution	a Pulamaking to Davidan Safaty Cultura Assassments for Floatric an

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Develop Safety Culture Assessments for Electric and Natural Gas Utilities.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

 New Order Instituting Rulemaking Adopting Safety Culture Assessments Pursuant to SB 901.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 The purpose of this Order Instituting Rulemaking is to develop and adopt a safety culture assessment framework and identify the structure, elements, and process necessary to drive each regulated investor-owned electric and natural gas utility and gas storage operator to establish and continuously improve their organization-wide safety culture.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this decision.

http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=406484351

Regular Agenda- Orders Extending Statutory Deadline

24 Order Extending Statutory Deadline

[19873]

C.19-04-005

Fred Sahadi, individually and as Trustee of the Fred Sahadi Revocable Living Trust vs. San Jose Water Company.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

• Extends Statutory Deadline for completion of this proceeding until February 8, 2022.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 There are no safety considerations implicated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

(Comr Houck - Judge Ferguson) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=406735908 Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Adjudicatory.

Regular Agenda- Orders Extending Statutory Deadline (continued)

25 Order Extending Statutory Deadline

[19875]

A.18-10-008, A.18-10-009 and A.18-10-010 - Related matters.

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company in Compliance with Ordering Paragraph 37, Resolution E-4906.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

• Extends Statutory Deadline for completion of these proceedings until October 19, 2022.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 There are no safety considerations implicated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

(Comr Guzman Aceves - Judge Hymes) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=407554761 Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.

Regular Agenda- Orders Extending Statutory Deadline (continued)

26 Order Extending Statutory Deadline

[19881]

A.20-04-020, A.20-04-021 - Related matters.

Application of the San Diego Association of Governments for an order authorizing construction of a pedestrian-rail grade separated crossing in the City of Del Mar, San Diego County, California.

PROPOSED OUTCOME:

• Extends Statutory Deadline for completion of these proceedings until January 15, 2022.

SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:

 There are no safety considerations implicated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

ESTIMATED COST:

There are no costs associated with this Order Extending Statutory Deadline.

(Comr Shiroma - Judge Doherty) http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?docformat=ALL&docid=408013276 Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Ratesetting.

Regular Agenda- Legal Division Matters

27 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Advanced Notice of

Proposed Rulemaking to Consider Potential Transmission Reforms,

FERC Docket No. RM21-17-000

[19914]

FERC Docket No. RM21-17-000

Staff seek authority to file comments in response to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket No. RM21-17-000 (ANOPR), which proposes potential transmission reforms to improve the electric regional transmission planning, cost allocation, and generator interconnection processes. FERC also seeks comments on how to enhance oversight of transmission spending.

Regular Agenda- Legislative and Other Matters

Regular Agenda- Management Reports and Resolutions

28 Report and Discussion on Recent Consumer Protection and Safety Activities

[19883]

Regular Agenda- Management Reports and Resolutions (continued)

29 **Management Report on Administrative Activities** [19884]

Regular Agenda- Commissioner Reports

Closed Session

This notice is furnished under Government Code Sections 11125 and 11126.3. The Commission will meet in Closed Session following the Public Session of its regularly scheduled meeting. In the Closed Session, the Commission may consider personnel matters as provided under Government Code Section 11126(a), institution of proceedings or disciplinary actions against any person or entity under the jurisdiction of the Commission as provided under Government Code Sections 11126(d)(2) and 11126(e)(2) (C)(i), and pending litigation as provided under Government Code Section 11126 (e). Additional items may be added to the closed session agenda pursuant to Gov. Code Section 11126.3(d). If in Closed Session the Commission votes to appoint, employ, or dismiss a public employee, the Commission will thereafter reconvene in Open Session to make the disclosures required by Government Code Sections 11125.2 and 11126.3(f).

Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing

30 Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing [19885]

Compilation of applications for rehearing recently filed with the commission.

Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing (continued)

31 Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing [19842]
A.15-09-010

Application for Rehearing of Decision 21-02-027 filed by Protect Our Communities Foundation (PCF) concerning intervenor compensation. The Decision awarded PCF fifty percent of its requested compensation for its contribution to the U.S. Supreme Court's denial of a petition for writ of certiorari filed by San Diego Gas & Electric Company.

Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing (continued)

32 Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing [19843] R.18-03-011

Disposition of the applications for rehearing of Decision (D.) 20-07-011, jointly filed by CTIA, AT&T Mobility, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless and T-Mobile. D.20-07-011 adopted resiliency and emergency backup requirements for wireless carriers operating in California.

Closed Session - Applications for Rehearing (continued)

33 Conference with Legal Counsel - Application for Rehearing [19909]
A.20-03-018

Application for Rehearing of Decision 21-04-009 filed by Protect Our Communities Foundation (PCF) concerning intervenor compensation. The Decision reduced PCF's requested compensation for its contribution to Resolution WSD-001.

Closed Session - Appeals from Presiding Officer's Decisions

Discussion of Appeals of Presiding Officer's Decision.

Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(h), allows a Presiding Officer's Decision to be considered in Closed Session

34

Presiding Officer's Decision Imposing Penalties Against Uber Technologies, Inc. for Violating The Assigned Administrative Law Judge's December 19, 2019 and January 27, 2020 Rulings Requiring Information Regarding Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Claims

[19886] R.12-12-011

Order Instituting Rulemaking on Regulations Relating to Passenger Carriers, Ridesharing, and New Online-Enabled Transportation Services.

Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(h), allows this Presiding Officer's Decision to be considered in Closed Session once appealed.

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This portion of the proceeding is categorized as Adjudicatory.

Closed Session - Appeals from Presiding Officer's Decisions (continued)

Discussion of Appeals of Presiding Officer's Decision.

Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(h), allows a Presiding Officer's Decision to be considered in Closed Session

35

Modified Presiding Officer's Decision Regarding Monterey Peninsula Water Management District's Complaint Against California American Water Company, Alleging Failure to Ensure Adequate Water Supply to Customers on the Monterey Peninsula

[19902] C.21-05-005

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District vs. California American Water Company.

Resolves Monterey Peninsula Water Management District's appeal of the Presiding Officer's Decision.

Pub. Util. Code § 1701.2(h), allows this modified Presiding Officer's Decision to be considered in Closed Session.

Pub. Util. Code §1701.1 -- This proceeding is categorized as Adjudicatory.

Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Non-Federal

36 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation [19887]
Case No. A161002

Alice Stebbins vs. California Public Utilities Commission, Court of Appeal of the State of California First Appellate District, Case No. A161002.

37 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19888]

Case No: CGC-20-588148

Alice Stebbins vs. California Public Utilities Commission, et al., Superior Court of California of the City and County of San Francisco, Case No: CGC-20-588148.

38 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19889]

Case No. B310811

Southern California Gas Company v. California Public Utilities Commission, Petition for Writ of Review, Second District Court of Appeals, Case No. B310811.

39 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation [19890] Case No.21-0377

Lucian Filler vs. California Public Utilities Commission, California State Personnel Board, Appeals Division, Case No.21-0377.

40 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19891]

Case Nos. 20-1228 EK, Case Nos. 21-0066 WEK - Related matters.

Bernard Azevedo vs. California Public Utilities Commission, California State Personnel Board, Appeals Division, Case Nos. 20-1228 EK and 21-0066 WEK.

41 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19892]

Case No: S270145

Brandon Rittiman and Tegna Inc. vs. California Public Utilities Commission, Supreme Court of the State of California, Case No: S270145.

Closed Session - Threatened Litigation - Non-Federal

Significant exposure to litigation

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session

Closed Session - FERC Docket No. EL00-95-000, et al.

Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation; San Diego Gas & Electric Co., FERC Docket No. EL00-95, Investigation of Practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, FERC Docket EL00-98, and related dockets, CPUC v. FERC, Ninth Circuit Nos. 01-71051, et al., and 01-71934, et al., and related dockets.

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(A), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

42 [19893] FERC Docket No. EL00-95-000, et al

Closed Session - Initiation of Litigation - Non-Federal

Consideration of possible Commission initiation of, or intervention in, litigation. Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(C)(i) and/or § 11126(d)(2), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

43 [19897]

Deliberation on institution of investigation of proceedings or disciplinary actions against persons or entities under the Commission's jurisdiction. (Disclosure of the case name would fail to protect the private economic or business reputation of the person or entity if the proceeding or disciplinary actions is not commenced.)

Closed Session - Existing Litigation - Federal

Existing Litigation - Federal

44 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19839]

FERC Docket No. RP15-1904

Gas Transmission Northwest LLC, FERC Docket No. RP15-1904

Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(A), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Agenda 3493, Item 56 9/23/2021

Existing Litigation - Federal

45 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19894]

Case No. 19-30088

PG&E Corporation Case, debtor. Case No. 19-30088; In re: Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Debtor. Case No 19-30089; United States Bankruptcy Court Northern District of California San Francisco Division.

Existing Litigation - Federal

46 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19895]

District Court Case No. 14-cr-00175-WHA

Existing Litigation - Federal

47 Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation

[19896]

Case No. 6:21-bk-12821-SY

Western Community Energy, Debtor. Case No. 6:21-bk-12821-SY; United States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California, Riverside Division.

Closed Session - Threatened Litigation - Federal

Significant exposure to litigation in federal agency or court proceedings. Gov. Code § 11126(e)(2)(B), allows this item to be considered in Closed Session

Closed Session - Initiation of Litigation - Federal

Consideration of possible Commission initiation of, or intervention in, federal agency or court proceedings.

Closed Session - Federal

Closed Session - Administrative Matters

Consideration and discussion of administrative matters.

Public Utilities Code section 1701.1(f) allows this item to be considered in Closed Session.

Closed Session - Personnel Matters

Consideration of appointment, employment, evaluation of performance, or dismissal of a public employee or to hear complaints or charges brought against that employee by another person or employee.