BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA


FILED
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
JANUARY 12, 2023
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
RULEMAKING 23-01-007

ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING TO CONSIDER POTENTIAL EXTENSION OF DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT OPERATIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SENATE BILL 846

Summary
This rulemaking is opened to implement provisions of Senate Bill 846 (Stats. 2022, Ch. 239) requiring the Commission to consider the potential extension of operations at the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant.

Senate Bill 846 requires the Commission to execute several tasks and consider specific criteria in order to render a decision by the end of calendar year 2023 establishing new retirement dates for Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant Units 1 and 2. This rulemaking is the forum to seek party input on those specific tasks and criteria. A subsequent phase of this proceeding is expected to consider whether additional processes and mechanisms are needed to review, recover, and approve potential extended operations costs at the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant. A list of the tasks that must be executed by the Commission appears in the draft scope of the rulemaking below.
1. **Background**

The Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant (Diablo Canyon or DCPP) is located in coastal San Luis Obispo County, and consists of two reactors that have been operating since 1985 (Unit 1) and 1986 (Unit 2) with a combined generation capacity of 2,240 megawatts (MW). The plant is owned and operated by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), and the units are currently licensed by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to operate until November 2, 2024 (Unit 1) and August 26, 2025 (Unit 2).

Senate Bill (SB) 846 requires the Commission to execute several tasks and consider specific criteria related to the potential extension of operations at Diablo Canyon. Three of these tasks were completed by Commission Decision (D.) 22-12-005: 1) ordering PG&E to take any actions that would be necessary to preserve the option of extended operations at Diablo Canyon, 2) establishing cost-tracking mechanisms for actions associated with continued and extended operations of Diablo Canyon, and 3) invalidating Ordering Paragraphs 1 and 14 of D.18-01-022.¹ That decision also closed Application (A.) 16-08-006 and indicated the Commission would open the instant rulemaking on an expedited schedule in accordance with the range of time-sensitive SB 846-related issues that will need to be monitored, considered, and addressed.

The tasks set forth in SB 846 that still require Commission action in a formal proceeding are numerous, and include the following:

- Continued recovery of reasonable costs to operate, and prepare for the retirement of, Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2

¹ See Public Utilities (Pub. Util.) Code Sections 712.8(b)(1), 712.8(c)(1)(A), and 712.8(e). All section references are to the Pub. Util. Code unless otherwise specified.
under the current federal license operation periods (i.e., November 2, 2024 (Unit 1) and August 26, 2025 (Unit 2)).

- By December 31, 2023, and notwithstanding the separate 180-day requirement in Public Resources (Pub. Res.) Code Section 25548.2(b), the Commission must direct and authorize extended operations at Diablo Canyon until October 31, 2029 (Unit 1) and October 31, 2030 (Unit 2).

However, the Commission may establish earlier retirement dates if any of the following conditions occur:

- The $1.4 billion loan provided for by Chapter 6.3 of Division 15 of the Pub. Res. Code is terminated;

- The NRC does not extend the operation dates for Diablo Canyon, or renews operations for a shorter period;

- The Commission determines the conditions of NRC’s license renewal, or any seismic safety or other safety upgrades recommended by the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee (DCISC), includes costs that are too high to justify; and

- The Commission determines that newly constructed and interconnected renewable energy and zero-carbon resources are an adequate substitute for Diablo Canyon, and meet the state’s planning standards for energy reliability.

- Requirements related to Diablo Canyon extended operation cost review, recovery, and approval:

---

2 Sections 712.8(f) and 712.8(m).

3 Pub. Res. Code Section 25548.2(b) requires a state agency, as defined, to “take final action on the application or request to extend the operations of the Diablo Canyon powerplant within 180 days of submission of a complete application or request.”

4 One of the events that would trigger a suspension or early termination of the loan is a determination by the Commission that “an extension of the Diablo Canyon powerplant is not cost effective or imprudent, or both.” (See Pub. Res. Section 25548.2(c)(5).)

5 Sections 712.8(c)(2)(A) - 712.8(c)(2)(E).
• Consideration of whether new mechanisms, agreements, and/or orders are needed to ensure cost recovery with respect to electrical corporations, community choice aggregators, and electric service providers;\(^6\)

• Establishment of a new process, similar to the Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) proceedings,\(^7\) to authorize annual recovery of all reasonable extended operation costs and expenses;\(^8\)

• Numerous conditions concerning eligible extended operation and unplanned outage costs that may be incurred, as well as how PG&E is to be compensated for extended operations at Diablo Canyon, and the recovery of those costs through rates;\(^9\) and

• Upon the conclusion of extended operations, verification that PG&E’s sole compensation during the period of extended operations was limited to the terms set forth in SB 846, which are provided in lieu of a rate-based return on investment.\(^10\)

• Additional actions, as needed, to ensure the DCISC is adequately funded during any extension of operations at Diablo Canyon;\(^11\)

• Suspension of disbursements from the Diablo Canyon Decommissioning Non-Qualified Trust, excluding refunds to ratepayers;\(^12\) and

• Disposition of the Diablo Canyon real property and its surrounding real properties owned by the applicable

---

\(^6\) Section 712.8(l)(2).

\(^7\) See, for example, PG&E’s 2023 ERRA Forecast application, A.22-05-029.

\(^8\) Section 712.8(h)(1).

\(^9\) Sections 712.8(d) – 712.8(l).

\(^10\) Section 712.8(u).

\(^11\) Section 712.1(d).

\(^12\) Section 712.8(n).
public utility or any legally related, affiliated, or associated companies, in a manner that best serves the interests of the local community, ratepayers, California Native American tribes, and the state.\textsuperscript{13}

This rulemaking is opened to consider the range of criteria and tasks needed to issue a Commission decision by the end of calendar year 2023 establishing new retirement dates for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2. A subsequent phase of this proceeding is expected to consider whether additional processes and mechanisms are needed to review, recover, and approve potential extended operations costs at Diablo Canyon.

2. \textbf{Outreach}

The Commission is required to coordinate with several other state agencies in order to execute its work under SB 846. Commission Staff (Staff) therefore coordinated with the following agencies in the development of this Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) and will continue to do so over the course of the proceeding: California Energy Commission (CEC), California Independent System Operator (CAISO), Department of Water Resources (DWR), and DCISC.

Given the public interest in the topic of this rulemaking, notice of the OIR will be served on the following service lists once it is issued: A.16-08-006, A.21-12-007, and A.22-02-016. In addition, the Executive Director will cause this OIR to be served on the following load-serving entities:

The electric investor-owned utilities, including: PG&E; Southern California Edison Company (SCE); San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E); Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas); Liberty Utilities (CalPeco Electric),

\textsuperscript{13} Section 712.8(o).
LLC (Liberty Utilities); Bear Valley Electric Service, Inc. (BVES); and PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power (PacifiCorp).

Certified Community Choice Aggregators, including: Apple Valley Choice Energy; Baldwin Park; Central Coast Community Energy; City of Commerce; City of Palmdale; City of Pomona; Clean Energy Alliance; Clean Power Alliance; CleanPowerSF; Desert Community Energy; East Bay Community Energy; King City Community Power; Lancaster Choice Energy; Marin Clean Energy; Orange County Power Authority; Peninsula Clean Energy; Pico Rivera Municipal Energy; Pioneer Community Energy; Rancho Mirage Energy Authority; Redwood Coast Energy Authority; San Diego Community Power; San Jacinto Power; San Jose Clean Energy; Santa Barbara; Silicon Valley Clean Energy; Sonoma Clean Power; and Valley Clean Energy Alliance.


The Commission intends to conduct additional public outreach after this proceeding is opened, including with the residents of the County of San Luis Obispo.

3. Preliminary Scoping Memo
   3.1. Proposed SB 846 Implementation Framework

   In pursuit of the potential extension of operations at Diablo Canyon, SB 846 requires the Commission to act on all of the issues identified in Section 1. Many of the tasks identified require direct input from and/or regular
coordination with the NRC, CEC, CAISO, DCISC, and DWR. To better understand the process and timing by which all of the SB 846-related tasks may be considered, Staff have developed the proposed SB 846 implementation framework included as Appendix A to this OIR. Parties are invited to file comments on this implementation framework as discussed further below.

With this in mind, the Commission preliminarily determines the issues, category, need for hearing, and other elements of the preliminary scoping memo pursuant to Rule 7.1(d) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules).

3.2. Issues

This OIR preliminary identifies the following issues to be determined in this proceeding:

Phase 1: Establishing Retirement Dates for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2

1. Whether operations at Diablo Canyon should be extended until October 31, 2029 (Unit 1) and October 31, 2030 (Unit 2), or whether earlier retirement dates should be established. In making this determination the Commission will consider:

a. Whether the $1.4 billion loan provided for by Chapter 6.3 of Division 15 of the Pub. Res. Code is terminated, or whether an extension of operations at Diablo Canyon is found to be not cost-effective, imprudent, or both;

b. Whether NRC extends the operation dates for Diablo Canyon, or whether NRC renews operations for a shorter period;

c. Whether the costs associated with NRC’s license renewal, or any seismic safety or other safety upgrade costs recommended by the DCISC, are too high to justify; and
d. Whether newly constructed and interconnected renewable energy and zero-carbon resources are an adequate substitute for Diablo Canyon, and will meet the state’s planning standards for energy reliability.

2. If operations at Diablo Canyon are extended, whether one or more processes should be established to continue to monitor the associated utility ratepayer cost from, and reliability need for, continued operations at Diablo Canyon.

3. Whether any additional cost recovery mechanisms, agreements, and/or orders are needed prior to the establishment of retirement dates for Diablo Canyon Units 1 and 2.

Phase 2: Process for Diablo Canyon Extended Operation Cost Review, Recovery, and Approval

Based upon the retirement dates for Diablo Canyon, Phase 2 will establish a new process to review and authorize annual recovery of all reasonable Diablo Canyon extended operation costs and expenses. The issues to be determined in this phase will be revisited at the conclusion of Phase 1, but are generally expected to include the establishment of new processes to forecast and true-up Diablo Canyon extended operation costs and market revenues; recover associated extended operation net costs and/or net revenues; and verify, at the conclusion of the extended operations, that PG&E’s compensation was limited to the terms set forth in SB 846.

3.3. Category and Ex Parte Communications

This OIR preliminarily determines that the category for this proceeding is ratesetting, since the decisions made in this proceeding could have a material impact on electricity rates, both within and outside of PG&E’s service territory.

This preliminary determination is not appealable, but shall be confirmed or changed by an assigned Commissioner’s ruling after consideration of any
comments received. The assigned Commissioner’s determination as to category is subject to appeal. (Rules 7.3 and 7.6.)

Communications with decision makers and advisors in this rulemaking are governed by Pub. Util. Code Sections 1701.1 and 1701.3, and Article 8 of the Rules. Ex parte communications are allowed subject to the reporting and other requirements for ratesetting proceedings. (Rule 8.2(c).)

3.4. Need for Evidentiary Hearing

The Commission anticipates many of the Phase 1 issues in this proceeding can be addressed by filed comments, public meetings, and/or workshops. Therefore, we preliminarily determine that no evidentiary hearings will be needed. (Rule 7.1(d).) The assigned Commissioner’s Scoping Memo and Ruling, after considering the comments and recommendations of parties, will make a final determination on the need for hearing. (Rule 7.3(a).)

3.5. Preliminary Schedule

As a result of Staff coordination with various stakeholders, including the CEC, CAISO, and DWR, Staff propose the schedule presented in Appendix A to this OIR for addressing the variety of issues that are related to the potential extension of operations at Diablo Canyon. It is the Commission’s intent to resolve Phase 1 issues by December 31, 2023, and to resolve the full proceeding within 36 months of the date the rulemaking is adopted. The assigned Commissioner or assigned Administrative Law Judge may change the schedule to promote the efficient and fair administration of this proceeding.

Opening comments on the SB 846 implementation framework outlined in Appendix A to this OIR may be filed and served within 30 days from the date this OIR is issued. Comments shall also state any objections to the preliminary scoping memo regarding category, need for hearing, issues to be considered, or
schedule. (Rule 6.2.) Reply comments may be filed and served, and shall be filed and served within 14 days of the filing deadline for opening comments. To the extent known at the time, opening and reply comments should include the party’s exact wording for proposed rules, along with specifics for the schedule and other items. Any comments recommending changes to the proposed schedule must be consistent with the proposed category, and ensure resolution of time-sensitive tasks by the end of 2023 as required by SB 846. All comments on the proposed implementation framework that contain factual assertions must be verified. Unverified factual assertions will be given only the weight of argument. (Rule 6.2; Pub. Util. Code Section 1701.5(a).)

The assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge may consider directing Staff to hold a workshop shortly after reply comments are filed. The workshop would permit parties to present and discuss offered changes to the proposed SB 846 implementation framework, and may help surface issues that should be considered early in the process. Parties are encouraged to address in their comments whether they would consider one or more workshops to be useful.

4. **Respondents**

PG&E is named as a respondent to this proceeding. The other electric investor-owned utilities, including SCE, SDG&E, Southwest Gas, Liberty Utilities, BVES, and PacifiCorp, as well as certified Community Choice Aggregators (CCAs) and Electric Service Providers (ESPs), are encouraged to participate in this proceeding, which could decide questions that have a material financial effect on load-serving entities or their customers. Decisions made on issues in scope in this rulemaking, including decisions on costs and cost
responsibility, will apply to all load-serving entities subject to SB 846 regardless of their participation in this proceeding.

5. **Service List, Filing and Service of Documents, Subscription Service**

   **5.1. Addition to the Official Service List**

   Additions to the official service list are governed by Rule 1.9(f). Persons who file responsive comments to the Rulemaking will become parties to this proceeding and will be added to the “Parties” category of the official service list upon such filing. In order to assure service of comments and other documents and correspondence in advance of obtaining party status, persons should promptly request addition to the “Information Only” category as described below. They will be removed from that category upon obtaining party status.

   Interested entities should request to be added to the “Information Only” category of the official service list promptly to ensure timely service of comments and other documents and correspondence in the proceeding. *(See Rule 1.9(f).*

   The request must be sent to the Process Office by e-mail (processoffice@cpuc.ca.gov) or letter (Process Office, California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102). Please include the Docket number of this Rulemaking in the request.

   **5.2. Filing and Service**

   Filing and service of documents in this proceeding are governed by Article 1 of the Rules *(See particularly Rules 1.5 through 1.10 and 1.13).* If you have questions about the Commission’s filing and service procedures, contact the Docket Office (Docket_Office@cpuc.ca.gov) or check the Practitioner’s Page on our website at [www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov).
5.3. Subscription Service

Persons may monitor the proceeding by subscribing to receive electronic copies of documents in this proceeding that are published on the Commission’s website. There is no need to be on the official service list in order to use the subscription service. Instructions for enrolling in the subscription service are available on the Commission’s website at http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/.

6. Public Advisor

Any person or entity interested in participating in this Rulemaking who is unfamiliar with the Commission’s procedures should contact the Commission’s Public Advisor in San Francisco at (415) 703-2074 or (866) 849-8390 or e-mail public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov. The TTY number is (866) 836-7825.

7. Intervenor Compensation

Any party that expects to claim intervenor compensation for its participation in this Rulemaking must file its notice of intent to claim intervenor compensation within 30 days of the deadline for filing of reply comments, except that notice may be filed within 30 days of a prehearing conference in the event that one is held. (See Rule 17.1(a)(2).) Intervenor compensation rules are governed by Section 1801 et seq. of the Pub. Util. Code. Parties new to participating in Commission proceedings may contact the Public Advisor’s Office for assistance. Contact information is set forth above.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:


2. The preliminary categorization is ratesetting.
3. The preliminary determination is that evidentiary hearing is not needed.

4. The preliminarily scope of issues is as stated in the Issues Section of this order.

5. Unless changed by the assigned Commissioner or Administrative Law Judge, the schedule stated in the Preliminary Schedule Section and Appendix A of this order is adopted. It is the Commission’s intent to resolve Phase 1 issues by December 31, 2023, and to resolve the full proceeding within 36 months of the date the rulemaking is adopted.

6. Pacific Gas and Electric Company is named a Respondent to this Order Instituting Rulemaking.

7. The other electric investor-owned utilities, certified Community Choice Aggregators, and certified Electric Service Providers, as identified in the Outreach Section of this rulemaking, are encouraged to participate in this proceeding, which could decide questions that have a material financial effect on load-serving entities or their customers. Decisions made on issues in scope in this rulemaking will apply to all load-serving entities subject to Senate Bill 846, regardless of their participation in this proceeding.

8. Pacific Gas and Electric Company and any other persons may file opening comments responding to this Order Instituting Rulemaking within 30 days from the issuance date of the Order Instituting Rulemaking. Reply comments may be filed within 14 days from the date opening comments are due.

9. The Executive Director will cause this Order Instituting Rulemaking to be served on all named Respondents and on the service lists for the following Commission proceedings: Application (A.) 16-08-006, A.21-12-007, and A.22-02-016. In addition, the Executive Director will cause this Order Instituting
Rulemaking to be served on all of the load-serving entities identified in the Outreach Section of this order.

10. Ex parte communications in this proceeding are permitted if they comply with the requirements for ratemaking proceedings as spelled out in Public Utilities Code Sections 1701.1, 1701.3, and Article 8 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

11. Any party that expects to claim intervenor compensation for its participation in this Rulemaking must file its notice of intent to claim intervenor compensation within 30 days of the deadline for filing of reply comments, except that notice may be filed within 30 days of a prehearing conference in the event that one is held.

This order is effective today.

Dated January 12, 2023, at San Francisco, California.

ALICE REYNOLDS  
President  
GENEVIEVE SHIROMA  
DARCIE L. HOUCK  
JOHN REYNOLDS  
Commissioners
APPENDIX A
Staff’s Proposed SB 846 Implementation Framework
Appendix A: Staff’s Proposed SB 846 Implementation Framework

This document represents Staff’s understanding of statutorily required interagency coordination and deliverables and PG&E and CAISO actions that may inform this proceeding. The CPUC is required to establish an administrative record and issue a final decision in this proceeding no later than December 31, 2023. The dates below include Staff’s estimates of the timing required for interagency coordination and deliverables and other actions necessary to meet the CPUC’s decision deadline.

Table 1: SB 846-Related Tasks/Activities to Occur During 2023

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statutory Requirement</th>
<th>Associated Task(s)</th>
<th>Agencies/Entities Responsible</th>
<th>Date(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commission shall “establish mechanisms, including authorizing balancing and memorandum accounts and, as needed, agreements with, or orders with respect to, electrical corporations, community choice aggregators, and electric service providers, to ensure that the revenues received to pay a charge or cost payable pursuant to this section are recovered in rates from those entities and promptly remitted to the entity entitled to those revenues.” (Pub. Util. Code § 712.8(l)(2).)</td>
<td>- Issue ruling in SB 846 OIR requesting party comment.</td>
<td>CPUC</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commission shall review the reports and recommendations of the Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee (DCISC) and determine whether “the costs of any upgrades necessary to address seismic safety or issues of deferred maintenance that may have arisen due to the expectation of the plant closing sooner are too high to justify incurring.” (Pub. Util. Code § 712.8(c)(2)(B).)

- Review of seismic and safety issues associated with extended operations at Diablo Canyon expected to be evaluated as part of DCISC’s regular public meetings scheduled in February, June, and September;\(^\text{14}\)
- DCISC meeting notes noticed in SB 846 OIR for comment.

| DCISC | February, June, and September of 2023 |

Commission must review the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s (NRC’s) conditions of license renewal and determine whether the conditions “require expenditures that are too high to justify incurring.” (Pub. Util. Code § 712.8(c)(2)(B).)

- Conditions of license renewal expected to be included in the California Energy Commission’s (CEC) cost-effectiveness report;\(^\text{15}\)
- CEC’s cost-effectiveness report noticed in the SB 846 OIR for comment.

| PG&E, CEC, CPUC | Ongoing; CEC’s cost-effectiveness report anticipated by August 2023 |

Commission must consider whether the $1.4 billion loan provided for by Chapter 6.3 of Division 15 of the Pub. Res. Code is terminated, or whether an extension of operations at Diablo Canyon “is found to be not cost-effective, imprudent, or both.” (Pub. Res. Code § 25233.2.)

- PG&E to provide status report in the event the SB 846 loan is terminated;
- CEC publishes cost-effectiveness report;

| PG&E, CEC, CPUC | Ongoing; cost-effectiveness report anticipated by August 2023 |

---

\(^\text{14}\) DCISC’s public meeting notes are available here: [https://www.dcisc.org/past-public-meetings/](https://www.dcisc.org/past-public-meetings/) (last accessed December 12, 2022).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Responsible Party(s)</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Util. Code § 712.8(c)(2)(C); also, Pub. Res. Section 25548.2(c)(5).</td>
<td>- CEC’s cost-effectiveness report noticed in the SB 846 OIR for comment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission must consider whether the NRC extends the operation dates for Diablo Canyon, or whether NRC</td>
<td>- PG&amp;E to file a copy of its license renewal application and report on status of NRC license renewal.</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>renews operations for a shorter period. (Pub. Util. Code § 712.8(c)(2)(B) and 712.8(c)(2)(E).)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission must consider whether newly-constructed and interconnected renewable energy and zero-carbon</td>
<td>- CEC to determine whether 2024-2030 electricity forecasts show potential reliability deficiencies if</td>
<td>CEC, CAISO, CPUC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resources are an adequate substitute for Diablo Canyon, and would meet the state’s planning standards for</td>
<td>operations at Diablo Canyon are not extended, and whether an extension of operations is prudent to ensure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>energy reliability. (Pub. Util. Code § 712.8(c)(2)(D).)</td>
<td>reliability and consistency with the state’s greenhouse-gas goals.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CEC/CPUC issue quarterly Joint Reliability Planning Assessments estimating the electrical supply and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>demand balances for the forward 5- and 10-year periods,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CEC’s 2024-2030 electric reliability determination expected by March 1, 2023; - - Joint Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning Assessments to be filed December 15, 2022, and quarterly thereafter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

16 Determination to be made in coordination with the Commission and California Independent System Operator (CAISO). (See Pub. Res. Code § 25233.2.)
under high-, medium-, and low-risk scenarios;\(^{17}\)
- 2024 – 2030 electric reliability determination and Joint Reliability Planning Assessments noticed in the SB 846 OIR for comment.

### Table 2: SB 846 Order Instituting Rulemaking – Tentative Phase I Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prehearing Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Participation Hearings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG&amp;E Files a Copy of its License Renewal Application, Status Updates on NRC’s License Renewal and any Changes to the Status of the Loan Provided for Under SB 846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 days from submission of license renewal application to the NRC; 15 days from the NRC’s final determination concerning license renewal and/or any changes in the SB 846 Loan Agreement, or September 1, 2023, at the latest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG&amp;E Files Proposal(s) for New Cost Agreements/Mechanisms Pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 712.8(l)(2) (as needed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruling Issued Noticing the CEC’s 2024-2030 Electric Reliability Determination as well as the December 2022 and March 2023 Joint Reliability Planning Assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Intervenor Comments on PG&E’s Proposal(s) for New Cost Agreements/Mechanisms (as needed) | May 2023 |
| Intervenor Comments on the CEC’s 2024-2030 Electric Reliability Determination as well as the December 2022 and March 2023 Joint Reliability Planning Assessments | May 2023 |
| Proposed Decision on New Cost Agreements/Mechanisms (as needed) | June – August 2023 |
| Ruling Issued Noticing Fact Finding Reports Approved at the DCISC’s February 15-16 and June 28-29, 2023 Public Meetings | June 2023 |
| Comments on Fact Finding Reports Approved at the DCISC’s February 15-16 and June 28-29, 2023 Public Meetings | July 2023 |
| Reply Comments on Fact Finding Reports Approved at the DCISC’s February 15-16 and June 28-29, 2023 Public Meetings | August 2023 |
| Ruling Issued Noticing the CEC’s Diablo Canyon Cost-Effectiveness Evaluation | August 2023 |
| Ruling Issued Noticing Fact Finding Reports Approved at the DCISC’s September 13-14, 2023 Public Meeting | September 2023 |
| Comments on the CEC’s Diablo Canyon Cost-Effectiveness Evaluation, NRC License Status, and Fact Finding Reports Approved at the DCISC’s September 13-14, 2023 Public Meeting | September 2023 |
| Proposed Decision | October – November 2023 |