

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

FILED
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
JANUARY 25, 2024
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
RULEMAKING 24-01-017

**ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING TO
CONTINUE IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION, AND
CONSIDER FURTHER DEVELOPMENT, OF CALIFORNIA
RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING TO CONTINUE IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION, AND CONSIDER FURTHER DEVELOPMENT, OF CALIFORNIA RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM.....	1
Summary	2
1. RPS Legislation and Implementation.....	3
2. Status of the Prior RPS Rulemaking	5
3. Purpose of Proceeding.....	5
4. Preliminary Scoping Memo	6
4.1. Resolving Remaining Issues from R.18-07-003	6
4.2. Continuing, Monitoring, Reviewing, and Improving the RPS Program.....	7
4.3. Implementing New Statutory Requirements	8
5. Preliminary Schedule.....	8
6. Coordination Between this Rulemaking and Other Related Commission Proceedings.....	9
7. Collaborative Process with California Energy Commission and Other Organizations.....	10
8. Category of Proceeding; <i>Ex Parte</i> Communications; and Need for Hearing	10
9. Invitation to Comment on Preliminary Scoping Memo and Schedule	11
10. Respondents.....	12
11. Service of OIR	13
12. Filing and Service of Comments and Other Documents.....	14
13. Addition to the Official Service List	14
14. Subscription Service.....	15
15. Intervenor Compensation	16
16. Public Advisor	16
ORDER	16

Appendix A - Investor-Owned Utility Respondents

Appendix B - Community Choice Aggregator Respondents

Appendix C - Electric Service Provider Respondents

Appendix C - List of California Publicly-Owned Utilities

**ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING TO
CONTINUE IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION, AND
CONSIDER FURTHER DEVELOPMENT, OF CALIFORNIA
RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM**

Summary

This rulemaking continues implementation and administration of the California renewables portfolio standard (RPS) program.¹ As a successor docket to Rulemaking (R.) 18-07-003, this proceeding addresses ongoing oversight of the RPS program. This oversight includes reviewing RPS procurement plans submitted by retail sellers; providing tools for analysis of and reporting on progress of retail sellers and the RPS program as a whole; assessing retail sellers' compliance with their RPS obligations; and integrating new legislative mandates and administrative requirements into the RPS program. The Commission will also take enforcement action, if necessary.

This rulemaking also provides a forum for possible further development of the RPS program, (1) through exercise of the Commission's authority under Assembly Bill 327 (Perea), Stats 2013, ch. 611, to increase the percentage of RPS-eligible electricity sold to retail end-user customers; (2) through examination of the relationship of the RPS program to other state mandates that include the electricity sector (*e.g.*, reduction in emission of greenhouse gases); and (3) through coordination with other proceedings and initiatives of the Commission.

R.18-07-003 remains open only to consider filings related to the retail sellers' final 2023 RPS Procurement Plans.

¹ Established by Senate Bill 1078 (Sher), Stats. 2002, ch. 516, the RPS program has been revised in both large and small ways over the years it has been in effect. The RPS program is codified at Pub. Util. Code §§ 399.11-399.33.

1. RPS Legislation and Implementation

The California renewables portfolio standard (RPS) program began with a mandate requiring all retail sellers to provide 20 percent of the electricity they sold to retail end-user customers from RPS-eligible generation by the end of 2017.² The Legislature has modified the goal and details of procurement and enforcement policy several times since the original enactment. Senate Bill (SB) 2(1X) (Simitian), Stats. 2011, ch.1., among others, set a new target for retail sellers of 33 percent of retail sales from RPS-eligible generation by 2020. It also revised the procurement, compliance, and enforcement frameworks for the RPS program.³ The Commission implemented many of the changes made by SB 2 (1X) and other recent legislation in a series of decisions.⁴

In Assembly Bill (AB) 327 (Perea), Stats 2013, ch. 611, the Legislature, among other things, provided authority for the Commission to increase the required percentage of RPS-eligible electricity provided by retail sellers to their customers.⁵ The Commission has not exercised this authority to date.

² The original target was set in SB 1078 (Sher), Stats. 2002, ch. 516. Retail sellers, for RPS purposes, include investor-owned utilities (IOUs), electric service providers (ESPs), and community choice aggregators. Pub. Util. Code § 399.12(j). All further references to sections are to the Public Utilities Code unless otherwise specified.

³ SB 2 (1X) also extended obligations under the RPS program to California publicly-owned utilities. The California Energy Commission (CEC) is responsible for oversight and administration of the RPS program for publicly-owned utilities.

⁴ These include, among others, Decision (D.) 11-12-020, D.11-12-052, D.12-06-038, D.13-05-035, D.14-12-023, and D.14-12-081.

⁵ Codified at Section 399.15(b)(3), this authorization provides:

The commission may require the procurement of eligible renewable energy resources in excess of the quantities specified in paragraph (2) [setting procurement requirements for the compliance periods 2011-2013; 2014-2016; 2017-2020; and annual compliance periods beginning in 2021].

SB 350 (De León), Stats. 2015, ch. 547, enacted wide-ranging changes and updates to a number of areas of California's energy policy, including but not limited to the RPS program. Among others, SB 350 increased the required percentage of retail sales that California retail sellers must provide from eligible renewable energy resources to 50 percent by 2030; required 65 percent of the RPS procurement through long-term contracts beginning in 2021; and modified the rules for carrying over excess procurement from one compliance period to later compliance periods. The Commission implemented many of the changes made by SB 350 in a series of decisions.⁶

Subsequently, SB 100 (De León), Stats. 2018, ch. 312, raised the procurement quantity requirement for each compliance period through 2030, increased the procurement quantity requirement to 60 percent from 50 percent for the year 2030, and required that retail sellers achieve not less than 60 percent for all subsequent three-year compliance periods. The Commission implemented the changes to the RPS procurement quantity requirements as mandated by SB 100 in D.19-06-023.

More recently, SB 1174 (Hertzberg), Stat. 2022, ch. 229, required electrical corporations that own electrical transmission facilities to provide information on the development of transmission and interconnection facilities that enable renewable energy or energy storage resources which have executed interconnection agreements. SB 1174 also required the Commission to provide an assessment of delays to interconnection or transmission approvals. In order to implement SB 1174, the Commission directed an interim reporting requirement for the 2023 RPS Procurement Plans.

⁶ These include, among others, D.16-12-040, D.17-06-026, D.17-11-037 and D.18-05-026.

In addition to legislatively mandated requirements, the administration of the RPS program has included a number of features designed to improve the operation of the program, such as an RPS project database, a Portfolio Content Category (PCC) Classification Handbook, and a regularly-updated RPS Compliance Report spreadsheet. These elements have been developed by the Commission, with extensive participation by the parties to the RPS proceeding, as a result of experience with the RPS program. This effort to monitor the program, identify potential improvements, and implement them is an ongoing feature of each of the RPS proceedings.

2. Status of the Prior RPS Rulemaking

Various issues remaining in R.18-07-003, discussed more fully in Section 4, below, are brought into this proceeding. R.18-07-003 remains open only as necessary for the limited purposes of considering retail sellers' Final 2023 RPS Procurement Plans.

3. Purpose of Proceeding

The goal of this rulemaking is to continue implementation and administration of the California RPS program. As a successor docket to R.18-07-003, this proceeding addresses ongoing oversight of the RPS program. This oversight includes reviewing RPS procurement plans submitted by retail sellers; reviewing and approving the RPS-eligible procurement of the IOUs; providing tools for analysis of and reporting on progress of retail sellers and the RPS program as a whole; assessing retail sellers' compliance with their RPS obligations; and integrating new legislative mandates and administrative requirements into the RPS program. The Commission will also take enforcement action, if necessary.

4. Preliminary Scoping Memo

This rulemaking will be conducted in accordance with Article 6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. As required by Rule 7.1(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) includes a preliminary scoping memo as set forth below, and preliminarily determines the category of this proceeding and the need for hearing.

In this Preliminary Scoping memo, we describe the issues to be considered in this proceeding and present a timetable for the proceeding. In response to this OIR, parties will have the opportunity to provide preliminary comments on the issues raised. After a prehearing conference, the assigned Commissioner will issue a Scoping Memo and Ruling that will establish the issues and procedural path in greater detail.

Similar to prior RPS implementation and administration proceedings, this rulemaking will have three principal tasks:

- 1) Continuing and completing specific tasks identified in R.18-07-003, but not completed prior to the issuance of this new OIR;
- 2) Continuing, monitoring, reviewing, and improving elements of the RPS program that have previously been put in place, including identifying additional program elements that could be developed;
- 3) Implementing new statutory requirements that may be mandated during the course of this proceeding.

Each of these tasks is further described below.

4.1. Resolving Remaining Issues from R.18-07-003

- a. Establishing a cost containment mechanism for utility RPS procurement, including revisions mandated by SB 2 (1X) (Simitian, Stats. 2011, ch.1) and SB 350;

- b. Coordinating with the integrated resource planning proceeding, or its successor proceeding, as mandated by SB 350.

4.2. Continuing, Monitoring, Reviewing, and Improving the RPS Program

- a. Reviewing and approving RPS procurement plans;⁷
- b. Ongoing monitoring, reviewing and revising, as needed, all RPS procurement methods and tariffs, such as IOU solicitations, renewable auction mechanism, the Renewable Market Adjusting Tariff (ReMAT), and the Bioenergy Market Adjusting Tariff (BioMAT);
- c. Monitoring, reviewing, and improving RPS compliance reporting formats developed by Energy Division staff in consultation with parties;
- d. Reviewing compliance progress of retail sellers and taking enforcement action if required;
- e. Reviewing and revising, if needed, penalty rules applying to the RPS program;
- f. Reviewing and revising, as needed, analytical tools such as the RPS Database to improve the RPS program and streamline its administration;
- g. Identifying and addressing safety issues related to the RPS program, such as safety impacts related to RPS procurement and safety impacts connected with climate change;
- h. Reviewing and revising, if needed, confidentiality rules applying to the RPS program;
- i. Using the authority provided by § 399.15(b)(3) to explore increasing the RPS procurement percentage for later compliance periods;

⁷ See § 399.13(a)(1).

- j. Considering the integration of greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) reduction goals and metrics into RPS procurement methods;
- k. Coordinating with the resource adequacy proceeding, or its successor proceeding.

4.3. Implementing New Statutory Requirements

Since it is not possible to predict when any new statutory requirements will be created for the RPS program during the life of this proceeding, this task of implementing statutory requirements will take place when and as needed.

The issues for this proceeding may include changes to the RPS program, whether through statutory revisions or the Commission’s own determinations. Parties to this rulemaking should be aware that, in order to implement necessary changes to the RPS program, the Commission may modify (on a present and going forward basis) some, all, or none of its prior RPS program decisions issued in prior RPS proceedings.

5. Preliminary Schedule

The preliminary schedule for this proceeding is presented below.

EVENT	DATE
Comments on the OIR filed and served	30 days from issuance (mailing) of OIR
Reply comments on the OIR filed and served	10 days after comments are filed and served
Prehearing conference held	First quarter 2024
Scoping Memo and Ruling issued	First or second quarter 2024
Other tasks in this proceeding	As determined in scoping memo and subsequently by presiding officer

Comments on the OIR must be filed and served no later than 30 days after issuance of this order, with reply comments 10 days later. The Commission will use parties' comments and a prehearing conference, to be scheduled, as a basis to identify areas that need clarification, and may consider the addition of specific issues or questions related to the items described in Section 4 of this OIR to the scope of this proceeding, pursuant to the guidance set forth herein.

The assigned Commissioner or the assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) may change the schedule to promote efficient and fair administration of this proceeding. If there are any workshops in this proceeding, notice of such workshops will be posted on the Commission's Daily Calendar to inform the public that a decision-maker or an advisor may be present at those meetings or workshops.

Due to complexity and number of issues in this proceeding, it is the Commission's intent to complete this proceeding within 24 months of the date this OIR is adopted in accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 1701.5(b).

6. Coordination Between this Rulemaking and Other Related Commission Proceedings

The Commission has begun to implement SB 350's mandate for integrated resource planning (IRP) by, among other things, instituting R.16-02-007 and adopting D.18-02-018 to develop an IRP framework and to coordinate and refine long-term planning requirements. R.20-05-003, the successor docket to R.16-02-007, is the primary Commission proceeding related to this RPS proceeding. Although no formal order of coordination of scheduling or issues with the IRP proceeding is required, the ALJs in this proceeding may make arrangements for joint or simultaneous rulings with the presiding officer in the

IRP proceeding as are appropriate to the fair and efficient administration of both proceedings.

In addition, there is interaction between the RPS program and many other Commission proceedings, such as Rule 21 interconnection (R.17-07-007) and resource adequacy (R.23-10-011). Formal coordination is not required among these proceedings, but Energy Division staff and parties to this rulemaking are encouraged to keep themselves apprised of developments in related proceedings.

7. Collaborative Process with California Energy Commission and Other Organizations

The Commission and its staff have successfully worked in a collaborative relationship with the CEC and its staff in several proceedings, including R.01-10-024 (RPS Phase), R.04-04-026, R.06-02-012, R.06-05-027, R.08-08-009, R.11-05-005, and R.15-02-020. This has promoted good communication between agencies sharing responsibilities for the RPS program. We will continue that collaborative relationship in this proceeding, to the extent allowed by the limited resources at each agency. As has been the case in the past, the Commission's Executive Director may work with the CEC's Executive Director to review and refine the terms of the staff collaboration, as necessary. The Commission and its staff will also continue to work in collaboration with other state agencies, such as the California Air Resources Board, and in conjunction with organizations like the California Independent System Operator.

8. Category of Proceeding; *Ex Parte* Communications; and Need for Hearing

The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure require that this OIR preliminarily determine the category of the proceeding and the need for

hearing.⁸ As a preliminary matter, we determine that this proceeding is categorized as ratesetting, as defined in Rule 1.3(g). Accordingly, *ex parte* rules of Article 8 of the Rules apply.

We anticipate that the issues in this proceeding may be resolved through a combination of comments, workshops and testimony, but it is reasonably possible that evidentiary hearings will be needed as to at least some issues in the proceeding. We therefore preliminarily determine that hearing is needed. Any person who objects to the preliminary hearing determination shall state the objections in their comments on this OIR, described in Section 8, below. The assigned Commissioner will determine the need for hearing in the Scoping Ruling issued following a prehearing conference (PHC).

9. Invitation to Comment on Preliminary Scoping Memo and Schedule

Parties are invited to comment on the Preliminary Scoping Memo and schedule established in this OIR. Comments may be filed and served not later than 30 days after the issuance of this OIR. Reply comments, if any, may be filed and served not later than 40 days after the issuance of this OIR.

Parties should focus their comments on the issues set forth in the preliminary scoping memo, the preliminary schedule, and any objections to the preliminary determinations. Comments directed to the issues identified within the preliminary scope of this proceeding may include whether to revise the issues; how to prioritize the issues to be resolved; how procedurally to address these issues; and the proposed timeline for resolving the issues identified.

Party comments should also address whether the Commission should revisit and update, if necessary, the penalty amount for non-compliance with

⁸ Rule 7.1(d).

RPS procurement obligations. The current penalty amount of \$50 per renewable energy credit (REC) has been used since the inception of the RPS program. The Commission revisited the penalty amount in D.14-12-023 and in D.18-05-026, but continued the same amount of \$50/REC. In 2020, the CPUC adopted an Enforcement Policy.⁹ The RPS penalty amount is applied to a retail seller's shortfall in meeting both procurement quantity requirement and portfolio balance requirement in a compliance period. Per Pub. Util. Code §399.15(b)(8), the penalties collected for the RPS program are deposited into the Electric Program Investment Charge Fund.

Comments are limited to 25 pages. Reply comments are limited to 10 pages. The comments and reply comments on the OIR will help to inform the PHC to be held in this proceeding.

10. Respondents

All retail sellers, as defined in Section 399.12(j), are respondents in this proceeding. Respondent electrical corporations are listed in Appendix A. Respondent community choice aggregators (CCAs) are listed in Appendix B. Respondent electric service providers (ESPs) are listed in Appendix C.

Any CCA or ESP that, subsequent to the date of this Order Instituting Rulemaking, becomes registered to provide service, will automatically become a respondent to this proceeding. A CCA or an ESP that becomes a respondent must notify the Commission's Process Office that it has become a respondent, and must provide the information necessary for it to be listed as a party on the official service list. All entities considering becoming CCAs or ESPs, even if they

⁹ See Resolution M-4846

are at the early stages of their consideration, are encouraged to participate in this proceeding.

Within 15 days of issuance of this rulemaking, each respondent must inform the Commission's Process Office of the contact information for a single representative; other representatives and persons affiliated with the respondents may be placed on the Information Only service list. The request must be sent to the Commission's Process Office by e-mail (Process_Office@cpuc.ca.gov) or letter (Process Office, California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102). Please include the Docket Number of this rulemaking in the request.

11. Service of OIR

This OIR will be served on all respondents and on the service list for the previous rulemaking, R.18-07-003. In addition, in the interest of broad notice, this OIR will be served on the official service lists for the following proceedings:

- R.17-06-026 (Rulemaking to Review, Revise, and Consider Alternatives to the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment);
- R.17-07-007 (Rulemaking to Consider Streamlining Interconnection of Distributed Energy Resources and Improvements to Rule 21);
- R.20-05-003 (Rulemaking to Continue Electric Integrated Resource Planning and Related Procurement Processes);
- R.20-08-020 (Rulemaking to Revisit Net Energy Metering Tariffs Pursuant to Decision 16-01-044, and to Address Other Issues Related to Net Energy Metering);
- R.21-03-011 (Rulemaking to Implement Senate Bill 520 and Address Other Matters Related to Provider of Last Resort);
- R.21-06-017 (Rulemaking to Modernize the Electric Grid for a High Distributed Energy Resources Future);

- R.22-10-010 (Rulemaking to Implement Assembly Bill 843 – the Bioenergy Market Adjusting Tariff Program); and
- R.23-10-011 (Rulemaking to Oversee the Resource Adequacy Program, Consider Program Reforms and Refinements, and Establish Forward Resource Adequacy Procurement Obligations).

Finally, continuing our practice in R.18-07-003, because of the statewide application of the RPS program, this OIR will be served on all publicly-owned utilities, listed in Appendix D.

Service of the OIR does not confer party status or place any person who has received such service on the Official Service List for this proceeding, other than respondents. Instructions for obtaining party status or being placed on the official service list are given in Section 13 of this OIR, below.

12. Filing and Service of Comments and Other Documents

Filing and service of comments and other documents in the proceeding are governed by the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Parties are instructed to only serve documents on the assigned Commissioner, advisors to the assigned Commissioner, and the assigned ALJ by electronic copy and not by paper copy, unless specifically instructed to do otherwise.

If you have questions about the Commission’s filing and service procedures, contact the Docket Office at (415) 703-2472.

13. Addition to the Official Service List

Addition to the official service list is governed by Rule 1.9(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Respondents to this OIR automatically become parties to the proceeding (*see* Rule 1.4(d)) and will be immediately placed on the official service list.

We encourage all non-parties interested in following this proceeding to subscribe to this proceeding as described in Section 13 of this OIR rather than join the service list.

Any person will be added to the “Information Only” category of the official service list upon request, for electronic service of all documents in the proceeding, and should do so promptly in order to ensure timely service of comments and other documents and correspondence in the proceeding. (See Rule 1.9(f).) The request must be sent to the Process Office by e-mail (process_office@cpuc.ca.gov) or letter (Process Office, California Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102). Please include the Docket Number of this rulemaking in the request.

Persons who file responsive comments thereby become parties to the proceeding (see Rule 1.4(a)(2)) and will be added to the “Parties” category of the official service list upon such filing. In order to assure service of comments and other documents and correspondence in advance of obtaining party status, persons should promptly request addition to the “Information Only” category as described above; they will be removed from that category upon obtaining party status.

14. Subscription Service

Persons may monitor the proceeding by subscribing to receive electronic copies of documents in this proceeding that are published on the Commission’s website. There is no need to be on the official service list in order to use the subscription service. Instructions for enrolling in the subscription service are available on the Commission’s website at <http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/>.

15. Intervenor Compensation

Intervenor compensation rules are governed by §§ 1801 et seq. of the Pub. Util. Code.

Any party that expects to claim intervenor compensation for its participation in this Rulemaking must file its notice of intent to claim intervenor compensation within 30 days of the filing of reply comments, except that notice may be filed within 30 days of a prehearing conference in the event that one is held. (*See* Rule 17.1(a)(2).) Intervenor compensation rules are governed by §§ 1801 et seq. of the Public Utilities Code. Parties new to participating in Commission proceedings may contact the Commission's Public Advisor.

16. Public Advisor

Any person or entity interested in participating in this rulemaking who is unfamiliar with the Commission's procedures should contact the Commission's Public Advisor in San Francisco at (415) 703-2074 or 1-866-849-8390 or email public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov. The TTY number is 1-866-836- 7825.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. This Order Instituting Rulemaking is adopted pursuant to Rule 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.
2. This is a successor proceeding to Rulemaking 18-07-003, with respect to Renewables Portfolio Standard Plans, and the record developed in that proceeding is fully available for consideration in this proceeding.
3. The preliminary categorization of this rulemaking is ratesetting.
4. The preliminary determination is that evidentiary hearings are needed for this rulemaking.

5. The preliminarily scope of issues is as stated above in Section 4 of the Order Instituting Rulemaking.

6. Comments and reply comments on the Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) are invited and must be filed and served as stated above in Section 5 of the OIR. The schedule for the remainder of the proceeding will be adopted in the Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo.

7. All retail sellers, as defined in Public Utilities Code Section 399.12(j), are named as respondents and are parties to this proceeding pursuant to Rule 1.4(d) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. A list of electrical corporations is provided in Appendix A; a list of community choice aggregators is provided in Appendix B; and a list of electric service providers is provided in Appendix C.

8. Any electric service provider (ESP) that, subsequent to the date of this Order Instituting Rulemaking, becomes registered to provide service through direct access transactions, will automatically become a respondent to this proceeding. An ESP that becomes a respondent must notify the Commission's Process Office that it has become a respondent, and must provide the information necessary for it to be listed as a party on the official service list.

9. Any community choice aggregator (CCA) that, subsequent to the date of this Order Instituting Rulemaking, becomes registered to provide service, will automatically become a respondent to this proceeding. A CCA that becomes a respondent must notify the Commission's Process Office that it has become a respondent, and must provide the information necessary for it to be listed as a party on the official service list.

10. The Executive Director will cause this Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR) to be served on all respondents and on the service lists for the following

Commission proceedings: Rulemaking (R.) 17-06-026, R.17-07-007, R.20-05-003, R.20-08-020, R.21-03-011, R.21-06-017, R.22-10-010, and R.23-10-011. In addition, the Executive Director will cause this OIR to be served on all California publicly-owned utilities, listed in Appendix D.

11. *Ex parte* communications in this proceeding are governed by the requirements for a ratesetting proceeding found in Article 8 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

12. Any party that expects to claim intervenor compensation for its participation in this Rulemaking must file its notice of intent to claim intervenor compensation within 30 days of the filing of reply comments, except that notice may be filed within 30 days of a prehearing conference.

13. The Commission's Process Office will publish the official service list on the Commission's website (www.cpuc.ca.gov) as soon as practicable.

14. The assigned Commissioner or the assigned Administrative Law Judge will have ongoing oversight of the service list and may institute changes to the list or the rules governing it, as needed.

15. The assigned Commissioner or assigned Administrative Law Judge may make any revisions to the scheduling and filing determination made herein as necessary to facilitate the efficient management of the proceeding.

This order is effective today.

Dated January 25, 2024, at Sacramento, California.

ALICE REYNOLDS
President
GENEVIEVE SHIROMA
DARCIE L. HOUCK
JOHN REYNOLDS
KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioners

APPENDIX A
Investor-Owned Utility Respondents

APPENDIX A
Investor-Owned Utility Respondents

Bear Valley Electric Service, Inc.
Liberty Utilities, LLC
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
PacifiCorp
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
Southern California Edison Company

(End of Appendix A)

APPENDIX B

Community Choice Aggregator Respondents

APPENDIX B
Community Choice Aggregator Respondents

Apple Valley Choice Energy
Ava Community Energy (formerly East Bay Community Energy)
Central Coast Community Energy
City of Palmdale
City of Pomona
City of Santa Barbara
Clean Energy Alliance
Clean Power Alliance
CleanPowerSF
Desert Community Energy
King City Community Power
Lancaster Choice Energy
Marin Clean Energy
Orange County Power Authority
Peninsula Clean Energy
Pico Rivera Innovative Municipal Energy
Pioneer Community Energy
Rancho Mirage Energy Authority
Redwood Coast Energy Authority
San Diego Community Power
San Jacinto Power
San Jose Clean Energy
Silicon Valley Clean Energy Authority
Sonoma Clean Power
Valley Clean Energy Alliance

(End of Appendix B)

APPENDIX C

Electric Service Provider Respondents

APPENDIX C
Electric Service Provider Respondents

3 Phases Renewables, Inc.
BP Energy Retail Company California LLC
Brookfield Renewable Energy Marketing US LLC
Calpine Energy Solutions, LLC
Calpine PowerAmerica-CA, LLC
Commercial Energy of California
Constellation NewEnergy, Inc.
Direct Energy Business, LLC
Gexa Energy California, LLC
Just Energy Solutions Inc.
Palmco Power CA
Pilot Power Group, LLC
Praxair Plainfield, Inc.
Shell Energy North America (US), L.P.
Tenaska Power Services CO.
The Regents of the University of California
Tiger Natural Gas, Inc.
YEP Energy (EnergyCAL USA, LLC)

(End of Appendix C)

APPENDIX D
List of California Publicly-Owned Utilities

APPENDIX D
List of California Publicly-Owned Utilities

Alameda Municipal Power
Anaheim, City of
Azusa Light and Water
Banning, City of
Biggs Municipal Utilities
Burbank Water and Power
Cerritos, City of
City and County of San Francisco
Colton Public Utilities
Corona, City of
Eastside Power Authority
Glendale Water and Power
Gridley Electric Utility
Healdsburg, City of
Imperial Irrigation District
Industry, City of
Kirkwood Meadows Public Utility District
Lassen Municipal Utility District
Lodi Electric Utility
Lompoc, City of
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power
Merced Irrigation District
Modesto Irrigation District
Moreno Valley Electric Utility
Needles, City of
Palo Alto, City of
Pasadena Water and Power
Pittsburg, City of (doing business as Island Energy)
Port of Oakland
Port of Stockton
Power and Water Resources Pooling Authority
Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Utility
Redding Electric Utility
Riverside, City of

Roseville Electric
Sacramento Municipal Utility District
Shasta Lake, City of
Silicon Valley Power (City of Santa Clara)
Trinity Public Utility District
Truckee Donner Public Utilities District
Turlock Irrigation District
Ukiah, City of
Utica Water and Power Authority
Vernon, City of
Victorville Municipal Utilities Services

(End of Appendix D)