ALJ/CFG/DUG/jnf **Date of Issuance 4/23/2024**

Decision 24‑04‑043 April 19, 2024.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| In the Matter of the Application of CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY (U60W), a California corporation, for an order (1) authorizing it to increase rates for water service by $80,484,801 or 11.1% in test year 2023, (2) authorizing it to increase rates on January 1, 2024 by $43,582,644 or 5.4%, and ((3) authorizing it to increase rates on January 1, 2025 by $43,197,258 or 5.1% in accordance with the Rate Case Plan, and (4) adopting other related rulings and relief necessary to implement the Commission’s ratemaking policies. | Application 21-07-002 |

Order Correcting ErrorS IN DEcision 24‑03‑042

The Commission has been informed of errors in Decision (D.) 24‑03‑042. By letter dated March 15, 2024, and pursuant to Rule 16.5[[1]](#footnote-2) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, California Water Service Company (Cal Water) requested the correction of obvious errors in D.24-03-042, which resolved Cal Water’s general rate case (GRC) in proceeding Application (A.) 21‑07‑002.

Cal Water asserted that while D.24-03-042 describes how various ratemaking issues were resolved in the GRC proceeding, the decision and its appendices contain certain language, numbers, and calculations that are inconsistent or do not fully correctly reflect the substantive outcomes described in the decision as adopted by the Commission.

Pursuant to the Rate Case Plan, Cal Water is submitting its proposed and final 2024 general rate case application on May 1 and July 1, 2024, respectively. Cal Water therefore requested that an order correcting D.24-03-042 addressing those identified errors be issued before May 9, 2024,so that Cal Water can consider accurate bill impacts when it submits its July filing.

Water Division staff has reviewed the identified errors, affirm that corrections requested by Cal Water are justified. This decision corrects D.24‑03‑042 to reflect the intended outcome accurately and completely as adopted in that decision by making the following corrections:

| Table 1 Average Bill Impacts - Corrected. |
| --- |
| **Table 1. Average Monthly Bills Present VS. Proposed** |
| **District** | **Median Usage** | **Present Bill** | **Proposed Bill** | **Amount Change ($)** | **Percent Change** |
| Bay Area Region | 7 |  $62.38  |  $58.25  |  $ (4.13) | -6.6% |
| Bay Area Region - Coast Springs area | 2 |  $33.01  |  $34.02  |  $1.01  | 3.1% |
| Bakersfield | 15 |  $46.02  |  $49.96  |  $3.94  | 8.6% |
| Bear Gulch | 11 |  $96.08  |  $89.53  |  $ (6.55) | -6.8% |
| Chico (North Valley Region consolidated) | 12 |  $34.22  |  $37.12  |  $2.90  | 8.5% |
| Dixon | 9 |  $73.21  |  $81.29  |  $8.08  | 11.0% |
| Dominguez (South Bay Region consolidated) | 9 |  $52.23  |  $53.65  |  $1.42  | 2.7% |
| East Los Angeles | 10 |  $66.76  |  $70.26  |  $3.50  | 5.2% |
| Hermosa Redondo (South Bay Region consolidated) | 8 |  $49.58  |  $47.36  |  $ (2.23) | -4.5% |
| Kern River Valley | 4 |  $75.81  |  $78.90  |  $ 3.09  | 4.1% |
| Livermore | 10 |  $65.30  |  $65.61  |  $0.31  | 0.5% |
| Los Altos | 13 |  $91.36  |  $92.93  |  $1.57  | 1.7% |
| Los Angeles County Region - AV | 10 |  $69.69  |  $60.05  |  $ (9.64) | -13.8% |
| Los Angeles County Region - PV | 15 |  $106.48  |  $110.67  |  $4.19  | 3.9% |
| Marysville | 8 |  $47.63  |  $46.88  |  $ (0.76) | -1.6% |
| Oroville (North Valley Region consolidated) | 8 |  47.82  |  $47.51  |  $ (0.32) | -0.7% |
| Salinas Valley Region | 9 |  $46.74  |  $49.78  |  $3.04  | 6.5% |
| Selma | 13 |  $42.83  |  $40.73  |  $ (2.10) | -4.9% |
| Stockton | 9 |  $52.11  |  $56.51  |  $4.40  | 8.4% |
| Travis | Flat | $163,932.64  |  $242,506.14  |  $78,573.50  | 47.9% |
| Visalia | 13 |  $27.11  |  $26.73  |  $ (0.37) | -1.4% |
| Willows | 10 |  $64.49  |  $66.15  |  $1.67  | 2.6% |
| Westlake | 14 |  $87.94  |  $85.68  |  $ (2.25) | -2.6% |

Appendices A through Appendices Z - Corrected.

Therefore, under the authority of Resolution A-4661 and Rule 16.5 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure,

ORDER

**IT IS ORDERED** that Decision 24-03-042 is corrected by:

1. Replacing Table 1 Average Bill Impacts with Table 1 Average Bill Impacts - Corrected.
2. Replacing Appendices A through Z with Appendices A through Appendices Z ‑ Corrected.
3. Application 21-07-002 closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated April 19, 2024, at San Francisco, California.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | /s/ RACHEL A. PETERSON |
|  |  | Rachel A. PetersonExecutive Director |

Appendices A through Appendices I (CORRECTED)

Appendices J through Appendices R (CORRECTED)

Appendices S through Appendices Z (CORRECTED)

Attachment 1:

[D2404043 Corrected Appendices A through Appendices I](http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M529/K391/529391797.pdf)

Attachment 2:

[D2404043 Corrected Appendices J through Appendices R](http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M529/K392/529392635.pdf)

Attachment 3:

[D2404043 Corrected Appendices S through Appendices Z](http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M529/K392/529392719.pdf)

1. Rule 16.5 provides that correction of obvious typographical errors or omissions in Commission decisions may be requested by letter to the Executive Director, with a copy sent at the same time to all parties to the proceeding. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)