## Decision PROPOSED DECISION OF COMMISSIONER JOHN REYNOLDS (Mailed 9/13/2024)

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Proceeding to Consider Changes to Licensing Status and Obligations of Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol Carriers.

**Rulemaking 22-08-008** 

DECISION ESTABLISHING REGULATORY FRAMEWORK
FOR TELEPHONE CORPORATIONS PROVIDING INTERCONNECTED
VOICE OVER INTERNET PROTOCOL SERVICE

544154873 - 1 -

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Titl	le			Page		
DE	CISIC	N EST.	ABLISHING REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR			
TEI	LEPH	ONE C	ORPORATIONS PROVIDING INTERCONNECTED			
VO	ICE C	OVER II	NTERNET PROTOCOL SERVICE	1		
Sun	nmar	y		2		
1.	Back	ground	1	3		
	1.1.	Proced	dural Background	8		
2.			n Date			
3.	Issues Before the Commission					
4.	Jurisdiction					
	4.1.	Interce	onnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers			
		Are Pı	ublic Utility Telephone Corporations	12		
	4.2.	Marke	et Entry Requirements Applicable to Interconnected Voice			
		Over l	Internet Protocol Service Providers	15		
5.	Regu	ılatory	Framework for Interconnected Voice Over Internet			
	_	-	rvice Providers	22		
	5.1.	Fixed	Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service			
		Functi	ionally Similar to Traditional Wireline Phone Service	23		
	·		rm Licensing Requirements Necessary for Competitive and	[		
		Technological Neutrality				
	5.3.	Interce	rconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Generally			
	5.4.	Facilities Status				
	5.5.	Noma	Nomadic Registration			
	5.6.	Comp	liance with Licensing and Registration	33		
6.	Streamlining the Application and Registration Processes for all					
	Telephone Corporations Including Interconnected Voice Over Internet					
	Protocol Service Providers					
	6.1.	Certifi	icate of Public Convenience and Necessity Application and			
		Section	n 1013 Registration Updates	37		
		6.1.1.	Application Fee Related to Section 1013 Registration			
			Reassignments	38		
		6.1.2.	Licensing and Registration Application Information			
		6.1.3.				
			Documents, Construction Costs, and Customer Numbers	39		
		6.1.4.	Clarification of Cash Requirements for New Market			
			Entrants	45		
		6.1.5.				

	6.2.	Comn	nunications Division May Revise Application and						
		Regist	tration Forms Consistent with Commission Decisions	49					
7.	Mig	Migration Process for Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol							
	Serv	Service Providers Holding Prior Section 285 Registration or							
	Secti	ion 1001	1 or Section 1013 Operating Authority	51					
	7.1.	Migration for Providers Already Registered as Section 285							
		Servic	ce Providers	52					
		7.1.1.	Automatic Migration from Digital Voice Service to						
			Digital Voice Fixed Status	52					
		7.1.2.	Opt-Out from Automatic Migration Options	53					
			7.1.2.1. Opt-Out for Section 285 Nomadic-Only						
			Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol						
			Service Providers	53					
			7.1.2.2. Opt-Out for Section 285 Providers Planning to						
			Cease Operations	54					
		7.1.3.	Providers Changing Status After Conclusion of Migrati	on					
			Process	55					
		7.1.4.	Consolidation of Utility Identification Numbers	56					
	7.2.	Existi	ng Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service						
		Provid	ders Holding Section 1001 or Section 1013 Operating						
		Autho	ority	57					
		7.2.1.							
			to Digital Voice Fixed Status	57					
		7.2.2.	Opt-Out of Migration From Interexchange Reseller Stat	us					
			to Digital Voice Fixed Status	57					
8.	Regulatory Obligations for All Interconnected Voice Over Internet								
	Prot		rvice Providers						
	8.1.	Existi	ng Obligations Ongoing and Unchanged by This Decision						
		8.1.1.	Universal Service Surcharge Obligations						
			8.1.1.1. Failure to Comply with Surcharge Obligation.						
			8.1.1.2. Collection of Past Surcharges Owed						
		8.1.2.	Public Safety						
		8.1.3.	State Law						
		8.1.4.	Consumer Protection	67					
	8.2.	New Obligations and Exemptions Applicable to All							
			connected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers						
		8.2.1.	Initial and Annual Performance Bond						
			8.2.1.1. Performance Bond Requirements Updates						
		8.2.2.	California Public Utilities Commission User Fees	72					

	8.2.3.	Annual Affiliate Transaction Report	74
	8.2.4.	Annual Report on Operations and Financials Pursuant to	
		General Order 104-A	75
	8.2.5.	Maintenance of Books and Records with Generally	
		Accepted Accounting Principles	76
	8.2.6.	Rates of Service	76
	8.2.7.	Tariff Exemptions	76
	8.2.8.	Transfer of Control or Assets Pursuant to	
		Sections 851-854	80
		8.2.8.1. Transfers Involving Nomadic-Only	
		Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol	
		Service Providers	80
	8.2.9.	Exemption for Transfers of Assets, Transfers of Assets for	
		Purposes of Securing Debt, and Issuance of Stocks and	
		Securities Under Sections 816-830 and Section 851	81
	8.2.10.	Service Offered in Small LEC Service Territories	82
8.3	3. Enforc	ement	82
9. Su	mmary of	f Public Comment	83
10. Co	onclusion.		83
11. Re	equest to F	File Under Seal and other Procedural Matters	84
12. Co	mments o	on Proposed Decision	84
13. As	ssignment	of Proceeding	85
Findin	gs of Fact		85
Conclu	isions of I	_aw	95
ORDE	R		108
		Nomadic-Only Registration Form	
Appen	ndix B 🗕 S	Section 1013 Registration Form and Process	
		CPCN Application Form	
Appen	ndix D — 1	List of Currently Operating Interconnected VoIP Service	
	]	Providers Already Registered Through the Section 285 Proce	:SS
	Ç	Subject to Migration to Operating Authority	
Appen	ndix E 🗕 1	List of Currently Operating Interconnected VoIP Service	
	]	Providers With Operating Authority Subject to Migration to	DVF
	1	Utility Type	
Appen	ndix F 🗕 🛚	Financial Requirements for CPCN and Section 1013 Registrat	tion
- <del>-</del>		Applications	
Appen		Interconnected VoIP Service Providers' Procedure for Transf	ers
		of Control Of Assets	

Appendix H — California Environmental Quality Act 21-Day Expedited Review Process

# DECISION ESTABLISHING REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR TELEPHONE CORPORATIONS PROVIDING INTERCONNECTED VOICE OVER INTERNET PROTOCOL SERVICE

#### **Summary**

This decision establishes a regulatory framework for telephone corporations providing interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services. The California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC) adopts two new utility type designations: (1) Digital Voice Nomadic (DVN) designation for providers who only offer nomadic interconnected VoIP services, and (2) Digital Voice Fixed (DVF) designation for providers offering fixed interconnected VoIP as part of their service offerings. Nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers shall be subject to a Nomadic Registration process similar to the Commission's existing Wireless Identification Registration. Fixed interconnected VoIP service providers shall continue to be subject to operating authority requirements similar to traditional wireline service providers.

Facilities-based interconnected VoIP service providers must obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 1001 to operate in California while non-facilities-based fixed interconnected VoIP service providers can use the Section 1013 registration process to obtain operating authority.

This decision also establishes an automatic migration process for interconnected VoIP service providers already registered with the Commission under the prior informal registration pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 285.

This decision streamlines or removes certain existing requirements for other wireline telephone corporation utility types to be consistent with those applicable to interconnected VoIP telephone corporations. The improvements to the Commission's application processes for operating authority include the following: (1) standardized fees and performance bond amounts, (2) industry-wide adoption of the Energy Division's 21-day California Environmental Quality Act Expedited Review process, and (3) presumptive confidential treatment of certain financial and business information.

Finally, this decision requires all interconnected VoIP service providers (DVN and DVF providers) to post performance bonds, pay the CPUC User Fee and file annual operating and affiliate transaction reports.

This proceeding is closed.

### 1. Background

On August 30, 2022, the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC) issued its *Order Instituting Rulemaking to Consider Changes to Licensing Status and Obligations of Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol* [(VoIP)] Carriers (OIR) opening Rulemaking (R.) 22-08-008 to consider changes to address the licensing status of interconnected VoIP service providers that were previously registered informally¹ with the Commission. The OIR also aimed to consider other ongoing obligations for all interconnected VoIP service providers and ministerial licensing reforms. The OIR included a Staff Proposal containing recommendations to resolve the issues identified preliminarily as within the scope of the proceeding.

The Commission had previously initiated rulemakings addressing the obligations for interconnected VoIP service providers but, until now, had yet to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *VoIP Letter Notice for AB 841* from Paul Clanon, Commission Executive Director, directing Interconnected VoIP service providers to register with the Commission, November 9, 2011. Interconnected VoIP service providers were issued utility type Digital Voice Service (DVS) when registered.

develop specific administrative procedures by which to implement regulatory oversight. In 2004, the Commission initiated Investigation (I.) 04-02-007 to determine the appropriate regulatory framework for interconnected VoIP service, stating "VoIP represents the next generation technology for the provision of voice and other services." At that time, the Commission identified existing interconnected VoIP service providers operating in California (Vonage, 8X8, and Level 3 Communications) and noted that traditional providers of voice telephony, including incumbent telephone companies, competitive local exchange carriers, and cable telephony providers were deploying interconnected VoIP telephony on a commercial basis and migrating customers to interconnected VoIP telephony technology. In Decision (D.) 06-06-010, the Commission closed I.04-02-007, finding it premature to establish a regulatory framework for interconnected VoIP service.

Subsequently, the California Legislature enacted laws regarding universal service, E911, and market competition expressly applicable to interconnected VoIP service. Assembly Bill (AB) 2393 (Ch. 776, Stats. 2006) added Section 776, Section 2872.5, and Section 2892.1 to the Public Utilities (Pub. Util.) Code to address emergency telephone system reliability. Section 776 and Section 2892.1 address telephone backup power systems while Section 2872.5 addresses emergency notification systems. For purposes of applying Section 2892.1, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order Initiating Investigation 04-02-007 (OII) at 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 'Local exchange' is known generally as 'local service'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> OII at 1-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> D.06-06-010 at 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> All section references are to the Public Utilities Code unless otherwise indicated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 776 addresses backup power systems located on the customer's premises and Section 2892.1 addresses backup power systems not located on the customers' premises.

statute identifies interconnected VoIP among the technologies provisioning voice communication service.

Section 776, addressing telephone system backup power located on the customers' premises, applies to "facilities-based providers of telephony services." Section 2872.5, addressing telephone emergency system notification, applies to all manner of "911 emergency telephone systems" as referenced in subdivision (e) of Section 2872. In implementing AB 2393,8 the Commission determined the terms of Section 776 and Section 2872.5 applied equally to interconnected VoIP service, concluding, "to interpret AB 2393 to exclude telephone services provided by cable companies and/or VoIP providers would seriously undermine the purpose of the bill."9

AB 1315 (Ch. 358, Stats. 2010) added Section 716, declaring:

Consistent with the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, state law declares the policies for telecommunications for California to include removal of the barriers to open and competitive markets and promoting fair product and price competition in a way that encourages greater efficiency, lower prices, and more consumer choices, while continuing the state's universal service commitment.

Subsection (b)(2) of Section 716 requires:

All providers of voice communications services, including, but not limited to, local exchange carriers, interexchange carriers, mobile telephony service providers, and providers of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The Commission implemented AB 2393 in R.07-04-015 with the issuance of D.08-09-014 addressing the above matters and finding that a customer education program regarding backup power was needed. In addition, the Commission determined that the proceeding should remain open for further investigation into the need for standards for backup power located on the customer's premises. Attachment A to D.08-09-014 is the Final Analysis Report prepared by the Commission's Communications Division which constitutes the Commission's required report to the Legislature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> D.10-01-026 at 18-21, Finding of Fact (FoF) 34, FoF 40, and Conclusion of Law (CoL) 27-36.

facilities-based interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service, shall provide all data and other information relevant to the forbearance petition requested by the commission pursuant to this Section.

In January 2011, the Commission opened R.11-01-008 in order "to ensure that the California universal service programs are supported in a competitively and technologically neutral manner and that contributions to the programs are sufficient to preserve and advance universal service." During the pendency of that proceeding, the California Legislature enacted two statutes addressing questions at issue in R.11-01-008.

AB 841 (Ch. 841, Stats. 2011) added Section 285, requiring providers of interconnected VoIP service to collect and remit surcharges in support of six telecommunications universal service programs. For purposes of Section 285, the term "interconnected VoIP service" has the same meaning as Section 9.3 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Senate Bill (SB) 1161 (Ch. 733, Stats. 2012), added Section 239 to define interconnected VoIP service and added Section 710 to proscribe new regulation of interconnected VoIP or other internet protocol (IP) enabled service and to fix the scope of the Commission's jurisdiction over interconnected VoIP service to that "required or expressly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> D.13-02-022 at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The definition of interconnected VoIP service in Section 9.3 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) referenced in Section 285(a) to was revised by Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on August 2, 2019. (See Report and Order FCC 19-76 Inquiry Concerning 911 Access, Routing, and Location in Enterprise Communications Systems; Amending the Definition of Interconnected VoIP Service in Section 9.3 of the Commission's Rules implementing 2018 federal legislation Section 506 of RAY BAUM'S Act.)

delegated by federal law or expressly directed to do so by statute or as set forth in subdivision (c)."12

On November 9, 2011, the Commission established an informal registration (Section 285 registration) process for interconnected VoIP service providers to report and remit public purpose program surcharges pursuant to the requirements established in Section 285.<sup>13</sup>

- (5) The commission's authority to address or affect the resolution of disputes regarding intercarrier compensation, including for the exchange of traffic that originated, terminated, or was translated at any point into Internet Protocol format.
- (6) The commission's authority to enforce existing requirements regarding backup power systems established in Decision 10-01-026, adopted pursuant to Section 2892.1.
- (7) The commission's authority relative to access to support structures, including pole attachments, or to the construction and maintenance of facilities pursuant to commission General Order 95 and General Order 128.
- (8) The Warren-911-Emergency Assistance Act (Article 6 (commencing with Section 53100) of Chapter 1.5 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 710 expired on January 1, 2020. Subsection c of Section 710 stated, "This Section does not affect or supersede any of the following:

<sup>(1)</sup> The Emergency Telephone Users Surcharge Law (Part 20 (commencing with Section 41001) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code) and the state's universal service programs (Section 285).

<sup>(2)</sup> The Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act of 2006 (Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 5800)) or a franchise granted by a local franchising entity, as those terms are defined in Section 5830.

<sup>(3)</sup> The commission's authority to implement and enforce Sections 251 and 252 of the federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. Secs. 251 and 252).

<sup>(4)</sup> The commission's authority to require data and other information pursuant to Section 716.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> *VoIP Letter Notice for AB 841* from Paul Clanon, Executive Director, to interconnected VoIP service providers that are providing service in California, November 9, 2011.

In 2013, the Commission found Section 285 made the consideration of the scoped issue in R.11-01-008 moot, <sup>14</sup> that Section 710 made consideration of a request to examine interconnected VoIP providers' compliance with consumer protection statutes moot, <sup>15</sup> and accordingly closed the proceeding. <sup>16</sup> Also in 2013, in R.11-11-006 to revise the certification process for telephone corporations and the registration process for wireless service providers, the Commission declined to expand the scope of R.11-11-006 to include registration requirements for interconnected VoIP service providers. The Commission identified that there were over 100 interconnected VoIP providers holding a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) operating authority at that time. The Commission stated: "While we agree that the Commission may need to create some process for carriers providing service using VoIP in order to collect basic information that would enable the Commission to protect consumers and fulfill obligations under SB 1161, the Commission needs to more fully determine the extent of its regulatory duties." <sup>17</sup>

In April 2021, the Commission ceased using the Section 285 registration process and updated the instructions on its website for interconnected VoIP service providers to obtain operating authority pursuant to Section 1001 or Section 1013, as applicable.

### 1.1. Procedural Background

At issuance, the Commission invited comments on the OIR including comments on the staff proposal. Opening Comments were due October 17, 2022,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> D.13-02-022 at FoF 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> D.13-02-022 at FoF 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> D.13-02-022 at Ordering Paragraph (OP) 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> D.13-05-035 at 10-11.

and Reply Comments were due October 31, 2022. Twelve groups of parties filed opening comments: (1) Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California and AT&T Corp. (jointly, AT&T); (2) Cloud Communications Alliance (Cloud); (3) Consolidated Communications of California Company and Consolidated Communications Enterprise Services, Inc. (Consolidated); (4) Computer & Communications Industry Association (CCIA); (5) CTIA — The Wireless Association (CTIA); (6) Frontier California Inc., Citizens Telecommunications Company of California Inc. d/b/a Frontier Communications of California, and Frontier Communications of the Southwest Inc. (collectively, Frontier); (7) The Utility Reform Network (TURN) and Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT) (jointly, Joint Consumers); (8) Small Business Utility Advocates (Small Business); (9) Calaveras Telephone Company, Cal-Ore Telephone Co., Ducor Telephone Company, Foresthill Telephone Company, Happy Valley Telephone Company, Hornitos Telephone Company, Kerman Telephone Co., Pinnacles Telephone Co., The Ponderosa Telephone Company, Sierra Telephone Company, Inc., The Siskiyou Telephone Company, Volcano Telephone Company, Winterhaven Telephone Company (collectively, Small LECs); (10) the California Broadband and Video Association (CBVA); 18 (11) US Telecom – The Broadband Association (US Telecom); and (12) Voice on the Net Coalition (VON). Reply comments were filed by AT&T, Frontier, Joint Consumers, Small Business, <sup>19</sup> Small LECs, CBVA, and Sangoma U.S., Inc. and affiliated subsidiaries NetFortris Acquisition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> On March 13, 2023, the California Cable & Telecommunications Association filed a notice of name change to the California Broadband and Video Association.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> On November 1, 2022, Small Business filed a motion requesting permission to late-file reply comments on the OIR. The Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) granted the Small Business motion in a ruling issued January 13, 2023.

Company, Inc., Fonality, Inc., and Star2Star Communications, LLC (collectively, Sangoma).

On January 24, 2023, the assigned ALJ held the prehearing conference (PHC). At the PHC, the ALJ granted oral motions for party status by (1) Comcast Phone of California, LLC d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone and its affiliates: Comcast IP Phone, LLC, Blueface US, LLC, Masergy Cloud Communications, Inc. (collectively, Comcast); (2) Cox California Telecom, LLC d/b/a Cox Communications (Cox); and (3) Charter Fiberlink CA-CCO, LLC, Time Warner Cable Information Services (California), LLC, and Bright House Networks Information Services (California), LLC (collectively, Charter).

On February 16, 2023, the assigned ALJ issued a Ruling seeking further information concerning technological distinctions of interconnected VoIP services. On March 9, 2023, the following parties filed responses to the ALJ Ruling: Sangoma, CBVA, Consolidated, Frontier, VON Coalition, Comcast, Charter, Cloud, CTIA, Cox, Small LECs, AT&T, and Joint Consumers.

On April 28, 2023, the assigned Commissioner issued the Scoping Memo and Ruling (Scoping Memo). On June 2, 2023, opening comments on the Scoping Memo were filed by AT&T, CBVA, VON Coalition, CTIA, Small Business, US Telecom, and jointly Joint Consumers and Communications Workers of America, District 9 (CWA). CWA did not file comments on the OIR nor did CWA seek party status in this proceeding. On June 30, 2023, reply comments were filed by AT&T, CBVA, Cloud, Small Business, and jointly Joint Consumers and CWA.

#### 2. Submission Date

This matter was submitted on June 30, 2023, upon the parties filing Reply Comments to the Scoping Memo.

#### 3. Issues Before the Commission

The issues established in the Scoping Memo for consideration in this proceeding, and commented on by the parties are as follows:

- 1. What is the appropriate regulatory framework for telephone corporations providing VoIP service in California, consistent with applicable law and policy?
- 2. If at all, how should the regulatory framework for telephone corporations providing VoIP service in California differ from the existing regulatory frameworks for telephone corporations providing:
  - a. Local exchange service;
  - b. Interexchange service;<sup>20</sup> and
  - c. Wireless service.
- 3. Does the current market for telephone service, or technologies in use today for providing telephone service, necessitate changes to the Commission's licensing and registration processes?
- 4. The impact of responses to Scoped Issues 1-3 on:
  - a. competitive neutrality;
  - b. universal service;
  - c. public health, safety and welfare;
  - d. administrative convenience;
  - e. consumer interests, including consumers in Environmental and Social Justice (ESJ) communities; and
  - f. the public interest.
- 5. Are there impacts to ESJ communities? This includes the extent to which any regulatory framework for VoIP service impacts achievement of any of the nine goals of the Commission's ESJ Action Plan.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 20}$  'Interexchange service' is known generally as 'long-distance service'.

- 6. How should adoption of an appropriate regulatory framework for telephone corporations providing VoIP service in California impact telephone corporations already in possession of any of the following:
  - a. CPCN;
  - b. Section 1013 registration;
  - c. Informal registration with the Commission in what was termed a Section 285 registration; or
  - d. Wireless Information Registration (WIR)?
- 7. How should the Commission treat any entities providing VoIP service in California without possession of any of the following:
  - a. CPCN;
  - b. Section 1013 registration;
  - c. informal registration with the Commission in what was termed a Section 285 registration; or
  - d. WIR?
- 8. In the interim while this proceeding is ongoing, how should the Commission process requests of new entities intending to provide VoIP service in California?

#### 4. Jurisdiction

4.1. Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers Are Public Utility Telephone Corporations

The Commission has broad jurisdiction over public utilities, including public utility services and facilities of telephone corporations.<sup>21</sup> A "public utility" includes every "telephone corporation" "where the service is performed, or a commodity is delivered to the public or any portion thereof." A "telephone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See Cal. Const., Art. XII, §§ 1-6; Pub. Util. Code § 701.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 216.

corporation" includes "every corporation or person owning, controlling, operating, or managing any telephone line for compensation in this state." A "telephone line" includes "all conduits, ducts, poles, wires, cables, instruments, and appliances, and all other real estate, fixtures, and personal property owned, or controlled, operated, or managed in connection with or to facilitate communication by telephone, whether such communication is had with or without the use of transmission wires." California's Constitution specifically extends the Commission's jurisdiction to companies engaged in "the transmission of telephone and telegraph messages." This includes services delivered over any technology, including but not limited to, traditional copper lines, coaxial cable, fiber optic cable, and mobile or fixed wireless radios. We have found that "[w]ireless service and VoIP service both facilitate two-way communication by speaking as well as by listening."

Section 239 defines VoIP as a "voice communications service that does all of the following: (1) Uses Internet Protocol or a successor protocol to enable real-time, two-way, voice communication that originates from, or terminates at, the user's location in Internet Protocol or a successor protocol; (2) Requires a broadband connection from the user's location; and (3) Permits a user generally

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 234.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 233.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Cal. Const., Art. XII, § 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> VoIP OIR at 2; see also e.g., Order Modifying D.19-08-025, and Denying Rehearing of Decision, as Modified, D.20-09-012, Slip Op. at 35 citing City of Huntington Beach v. Pub. Util. Code Comm'n., 214 Cal. App. 4th 566, 585-586 (2013) ["the phrase to 'facilitate communication by telephone' encompasses services beyond traditional landline service if the service facilitates 'two way communication by speaking as well as listening," regardless of the '[e]xact form or shape of the transmitter and the receiver or the medium over which the communication can be effected."].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> *Ibid*.

to receive a call that originates on the public switched telephone network (PSTN) and to terminate a call to the public switched network."<sup>28</sup> Internet Protocol (IP) enabled service means "any service capability, functionality, or application using [IP], or any successor [IP], that enables an end user to send or receive a communication in existing Internet Protocol format, or any successor Internet Protocol format through a broadband connection, regardless of whether the communication is voice, data, or video."<sup>29</sup> The ability to both originate a call to and terminate a call from the PSTN is what makes VoIP service "interconnected."<sup>30</sup>

The Commission has repeatedly found that it has jurisdiction over interconnected VoIP service providers as public utility telephone corporations pursuant to California law.<sup>31</sup> As we have explained, "[b]y its very terms, Section 239 demonstrates that VoIP service constitutes a service that is provided over a 'telephone line' because it 'facilitates communication by telephone, whether such communication is had with or without the use of transmission wires.'"<sup>32</sup> Specifically, interconnected VoIP service facilitates communication by telephone because it "enable[s] real-time, two-way, voice communication that originates from, or terminates at, the user's location in Internet Protocol or a successor protocol." Moreover, "the means by which a telephone corporation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 239(a)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 239(b).

 $<sup>^{30}</sup>$  In 2019, the FCC amended the definition of "interconnected VoIP" for the purpose of 9-1-1 calls only to include outbound-only calls. (*In re Implementing Kari's Law and Section 506 of RAY BAUM'S Act* at ¶ 183.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> See Pub. Util. Code §§ 216, 233-234; see also D.19-08-025 at CoL 17, as affirmed in D.20-09-012 at 30-39; see also D.22-10-021 at 68-69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Order Modifying Decision (D.) 19-08-025, and Denying Rehearing of Decision, as Modified, D.20-09-012, Slip Op. at 36.

provides service — analog, wireless technology or Internet protocol (IP) technology — does not affect whether the provider is a public utility telephone corporation."<sup>33</sup> In other words, "the fact that VoIP service requires a broadband connection is immaterial to the analysis here; utilizing a broadband connection does not exclude a service from being provided over a 'telephone line' as defined in Section 233."<sup>34</sup> Thus, as "telephone corporations," interconnected VoIP service providers are subject to laws and regulations applicable to other wireline and wireless telephone corporations, unless otherwise exempt by the CPUC, state law, or federal law, as discussed further below.

# 4.2. Market Entry Requirements Applicable to Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers

Telephone corporations operating in California shall either have a CPCN pursuant to Section 1001, be registered pursuant to Section 1013, or be a telephone corporation authorized to operate in California without a CPCN, unless otherwise preempted by federal law.<sup>35</sup> This includes providers of voice service, who are required to obtain a CPCN if they build facilities or are required to obtain a Section 1013 registration if they are do not build facilities. However, telephone corporations providing commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) (wireless service) are not required to obtain a CPCN or register pursuant to Section 1013 in order to operate in California.<sup>36</sup> Instead, the Commission requires

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> D.20-09-012, *Slip Op.* at 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 1013(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See Pub. Util. Code § 1013(m); see also 47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(3)(A).

wireless service providers to register with the Commission pursuant to its WIR process.<sup>37</sup>

Whether interconnected VoIP service providers must obtain a CPCN, register pursuant to Section 1013, or follow some other process determined by the Commission in order to operate in California depends on whether the interconnected VoIP service is fixed or nomadic. "A fixed interconnected VoIP service can be used at only one location, whereas a nomadic interconnected service may be used at multiple locations." We address the Commission's jurisdiction over each type of interconnected VoIP service below.

Nomadic Interconnected VoIP Service. The Commission's authority to regulate those telephone corporations offering only nomadic interconnected VoIP service has been limited by a 2004 FCC Order, In Re the Matter of Vonage Holdings Corporation's Petition for Declaratory Ruling Concerning an Order of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (Vonage Order) (WC Docket No. 03-211) (2004) 19 FCC Rcd 22404. While these nomadic interconnected VoIP service providers are telephone corporations under state law, based on market conditions and FCC policy twenty years ago, the FCC preempted states from imposing rate regulation, tariffing, or other requirements that operate as "conditions to entry" for nomadic interconnected VoIP service providers.<sup>39</sup>

Specifically, in the *Vonage Order* the FCC preempted the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission from applying its traditional telephone company regulations to Vonage's DigitalVoice service. The FCC found that Vonage's DigitalVoice service provided by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> D.94-10-031; D.13-05-035; D.95-10-032.

 $<sup>^{38}</sup>$  In re Universal Serv. Contribution Methodology at  $\P$  3.

 $<sup>^{39}</sup>$  See Vonage Order at ¶ 22, ¶ 46; and In re Universal Serv. Contribution Methodology at ¶ 23.

circuit-switched network, but with some fundamental differences that made it impossible or impracticable to separate the service into interstate or intrastate components.<sup>40</sup> The FCC identified the basic characteristics of DigitalVoice as the following:

- Service Location Service may be used from more than one location or at multiple locations anywhere;
- Access Can be accessed from any broadband connection;
- Equipment Service is provided to nomadic (portable) IP compatible communication devices; and
- Customer/Call Location Service provider cannot track exact location of calls.<sup>41</sup>

Without classifying Vonage's DigitalVoice service as either a telecommunications or information service, the FCC found one primary difference to be that Vonage's service was "fully portable" — customers may use the service anywhere in the world where they can find a broadband connection to the Internet. <sup>42</sup> In contrast to traditional circuit-switched telephony, while Vonage's service uses North American Numbering Plan (NANP) numbers as the identification mechanism for the user's Internet address, the NANP number is not necessarily tied to the user's physical location for either assignment or use. <sup>43</sup> The FCC found that Vonage had no way of directly or indirectly identifying the geographic location of DigitalVoice subscribers and no service-driven reason to incorporate the ability to separate interstate and intrastate services. <sup>44</sup> Based on

 $<sup>^{40}</sup>$  Vonage Order at  $\P$  4,  $\P$  23.

 $<sup>^{41}</sup>$  *Id.* at  $\P\P$  5-9, VON Coalition Opening Comments on Scoping Memo at 3.

 $<sup>^{42}</sup>$  Vonage Order at  $\P$  5.

 $<sup>^{43}</sup>$  *Id.* at ¶ 9.

 $<sup>^{44}</sup>$  Vonage Order at  $\P$  23,  $\P$  25.

these specific facts, the FCC concluded that there were no practical means for separating Vonage's DigitalVoice into interstate and intrastate components for purposes of enabling dual federal and state jurisdiction.<sup>45</sup>

Minnesota's rules required that telephone companies apply for operating authority provide detailed financial information, business plans, and proposed services. <sup>46</sup> The FCC, on the other hand, had eliminated interstate market entry requirements out of concern that these could stifle innovation and new services, while unconditional entry would promote competition. <sup>47</sup> Thus, the FCC held that allowing Minnesota to regulate DigitalVoice would thwart federal law and policy. <sup>48</sup>

Three parties to this proceeding identify their members as providers of only nomadic interconnected VoIP service: VON Coalition, Sangoma, and Cloud. These parties further clarified how nomadic interconnected VoIP may be distinguished. Cloud states that nomadic interconnected VoIP service is "able to operate independently from the network operators by providing over the top, nomadic solutions that are **network provider agnostic**" [emphasis added].<sup>49</sup> VON similarly emphasizes the independence from the telecommunications network in its description. "Nomadic, or over-the-top, VoIP services do not provide last mile infrastructure but instead allow a user to make phone calls with the same NANP [North American Numbering Plan] number anywhere with an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Cloud Reply Comments on Scoping Memo at 4-5.

internet connection."<sup>50</sup> Cloud describes the distinction between fixed and nomadic interconnected VoIP as follows:

Nomadic or Non-fixed Interconnected VoIP services are the most common design and are intended to be decoupled from physical location or specific devices. They are principally software applications communicating via the Internet, similar in nearly all technology to accessing a web email service (like Gmail). The software communicating with the Service Provider can operate on mobile devices, or dedicated devices like desk phones. The data flows to and from the user like any other Internet application; and

. . . . .

Calls can be placed to either a phone system, IP based telephone instrument, or softphone application on a mobile device, PC, tablet, or Internet of Things device. Any one of those devices can be at any one physical location for any amount of time or mobile as long as they are connected to the public Internet.<sup>51</sup>

While we recognize that the *Vonage Order* preempts us from adopting regulations that act as conditions to market entry for nomadic interconnected VoIP services similar to Vonage's DigitalVoice, we find that the *Vonage Order* does not otherwise limit our ability to regulate these service providers in other areas such as public safety and consumer protection. Indeed, in the *Vonage Order* the FCC explicitly preserved state authority over "general laws governing entities conducting business within the state" including tax laws, consumer protection laws, and "general commercial dealings."<sup>52</sup>

 $<sup>^{50}</sup>$  VON Opening Comments on Scoping Memo at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Cloud Response to ALJ Ruling at 4.

 $<sup>^{52}</sup>$  Vonage Order at  $\P$  1.

Since the Vonage Order, the FCC has not similarly distinguished nomadic and fixed interconnected VoIP services for purposes of applying other types of common carrier regulation. In subsequent FCC orders, the FCC treated interconnected VoIP service as a telecommunications service despite not classifying it as one. For example, in 2005, the FCC applied 9-1-1 requirements to both fixed and nomadic interconnected VoIP service providers.<sup>53</sup> In 2006, the FCC established universal service contribution obligations for both fixed and nomadic providers of interconnected VoIP services.<sup>54</sup> In this order, the FCC also clarified that preemption under the *Vonage Order* does not apply to an interconnected VoIP service provider that is capable of tracking the jurisdictional confines of customer calls (e.g., a "fixed" interconnected VoIP provider), and that such a provider would be subject to state regulation.<sup>55</sup> The FCC has concluded that interconnected VoIP service providers "provide telecommunications"  $^{56}$  and has acknowledged that interconnected VoIP is increasingly being used to replace analog voice service.<sup>57</sup> Moreover, the FCC has used its Title I jurisdiction to apply numerous telecommunications requirements to interconnected VoIP service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> In re IP-Enabled Services Proceeding, First Report and Order and NOPR (WC Docket No. 04-36) 20 FCC Rcd 10245.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Universal Service Contribution Methodology Proceeding, Report and Order of Proposed Rulemaking (WC Docket No. 06-122) (2006) 21 FCC Rcd 7518 at ¶ 2. On appeal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia upheld the FCC's statutory authority to require interconnected VoIP providers to make USF contributions and found that the FCC acted reasonably in analogizing interconnected VoIP to wireline toll service for purposes of setting the presumptive percentage of interconnected VoIP revenues generated interstate and internationally. (*Vonage Holdings Corp. v. FCC*, 498 F.3d 1232 (D.C. Cir. 2007).)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> *Id.* at ¶ 38.

 $<sup>^{57}</sup>$  Id. at  $\P$  2, quoting CALEA First Report and Order, 20 FCC Rcd at 15009-10,  $\P$  42.

Fixed Interconnected VoIP Service. The Commission's authority to regulate fixed interconnected VoIP service providers, including imposing market entry requirements, is not preempted by the FCC *Vonage* Order. The FCC made it clear that preemption under the *Vonage Order* does not apply where an interconnected VoIP service provider is capable of tracking intrastate and interstate calls, which fixed interconnected VoIP service providers can do. In such cases, the provider would be subject to state regulation.<sup>58</sup> Accordingly, the regulatory obligations applicable to other wireline telephone corporations, including statutorily required market entry conditions, apply to fixed interconnected VoIP service providers without exception.

Fixed Interconnected VoIP Service with Nomadic Functionality. Cox,
Frontier, Consolidated, Small LECs, Comcast, Charter and AT&T all report
offering fixed interconnected VoIP service. Some of the providers of fixed
interconnected VoIP service include "nomadic functionality," or "nomadic
components," described by Cal Broadband as "the ability to receive calls through
an app on a mobile device."<sup>59</sup>

Joint Consumers argue that fixed interconnected VoIP service that has a nomadic option to access the phone line from public network access points additional to the provider's private network access point sold with the interconnected VoIP service does not constitute nomadic interconnected VoIP service addressed by the *Vonage Order*. <sup>60</sup> We agree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Universal Service Contribution Methodology Proceeding, Report and Order of Proposed Rulemaking (WC Docket No. 06-122) (2006) 21 FCC Rcd 7518 at ¶ 56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 11.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 60}$  Joint Consumers Response to ALJ Ruling at 7-12.

Even if the interconnected VoIP service provider also provides nomadic functionality that is ancillary to the fixed interconnected VoIP service, the Commission's authority to require that provider to comply with market entry requirements remains the same. The Commission's jurisdiction arises primarily from the fixed interconnected VoIP service and providing a nomadic capability does not move the fixed service outside the Commission's primary jurisdiction. Thus, those telephone corporations offering fixed interconnected VoIP service with an additional nomadic feature would not fall under the *Vonage Order*.

#### 5. Regulatory Framework for Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers

The regulatory framework for interconnected VoIP service will have two categories of service providers: Digital Voice Fixed (DVF) and Digital Voice Nomadic (DVN). DVF providers are those telephone corporations providing fixed interconnected VoIP service, including fixed voice services with some nomadic capability. DVF providers will be subject to operating authority requirements similar to those for traditional wireline providers.

DVN providers are those telephone corporations offering only nomadic interconnected VoIP service, and thus would fall within the terms of the FCC's *Vonage Order*, discussed above. Thus, DVN providers will be subject to operating authority requirements similar to wireless providers.

This interconnected VoIP regulatory framework includes the specific processes and procedures that apply to DVF and DVN providers for operating authority or registration with the Commission, as well as ongoing obligations that apply thereafter. The application or registration processes and procedures are described in Section 6 of this decision: *Streamlining the Application and Registration Processes Including Interconnected VoIP*. Section 8 of this decision, *Regulatory Obligations for All Telephone Corporations including Interconnected VoIP* 

Service Providers, recites the existing statutory provisions of the Public Utilities Code as well as the Rules of Practice and Procedure and General Orders of the Commission which apply generally to interconnected VoIP service providers, with distinctions based on facilities-status and fixed or nomadic status.

# 5.1. Fixed Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Functionally Similar to Traditional Wireline Phone Service

Some parties assert that interconnected VoIP services are functionally similar to traditional wireline services.<sup>61</sup> Fixed interconnected VoIP service marketing makes little to no reference to the underlying technology supporting the home phone service advertised by Comcast, AT&T, Verizon, Cox or Frontier.<sup>62</sup> From a consumer perspective, the underlying technology enabling their home telephone service may provide additional functionality and possibly a mobile add-on option, but the need for reliable home phone service does not change.

The Staff Proposal recommended interconnected VoIP service be classified as either local or long-distance service.<sup>63</sup> However, parties argued that such a distinction demonstrated the traditional wireline regulatory framework's inapplicability to interconnected VoIP service.

We are persuaded that the legacy classifications of local and long-distance service within the traditional wireline regulatory framework are inapplicable to interconnected VoIP service. Accordingly, the existing Section 1013 registration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> AT&T Opening Comments on the OIR at 6, Joint Consumers Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 3, 16, and CforAT and TURN's Technological Methods Response filed March 9, 20203, at 3-7; Attachment A at A2-A5, A7, A10-A11, A13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Joint Consumers Response to ALJ Ruling at 4-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> OIR at A-2.

process is updated to remove this unnecessary distinction for interconnected VoIP service providers. Likewise, the Nomadic Registration process for DVN providers will not have this distinction.

## 5.2. Uniform Licensing Requirements Necessary for Competitive and Technological Neutrality

Establishing a standard set of rules for telecommunications service providers of functionally similar services is the role of state and federal regulators in a competitive communications market. The expiration of Section 710 renders the Section 285 registration extraneous and provides an opportunity to update the licensing process to better match today's regulatory obligations and to better reflect current technological and market conditions. No party has presented a reason for existing license holders to retain or utilize the Section 285 registration instead of their license and unnecessarily complicate administration.

This proceeding revealed considerable variation in the licensing status of currently operating interconnected VoIP service providers, and also disparities between interconnected VoIP service providers operating with and without authority. Section 285 registration is inadequate to provide oversight of the regulatory obligations applicable to all interconnected VoIP service providers, including those providing only nomadic interconnected VoIP service. For example, public safety directives explicitly inclusive of interconnected VoIP service providers require knowledge of the geographies and physical facilities of interconnected VoIP service to fairly administer them, yet providers' discretionary use of licenses to fulfill these obligations obscures the Commission's ability to oversee vital safety obligations. It is contrary to the

competitive marketplace to allow providers to discretionarily access the benefits and obligations of operating authority, depending on their unique circumstances.

For example, Frontier and Consolidated are both Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILEC), though Frontier provides interconnected VoIP service though incumbent companies pursuant to wireline regulating authority<sup>64</sup> while Consolidated provides interconnected VoIP service through an affiliate regulated as a long-distance provider, with the utility type designation of Interexchange Carrier (IEC).<sup>65</sup> Consolidated regards its interconnected VoIP service as unrelated, despite the regulatory authority it holds.<sup>66</sup>

Cox is regulated as a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC)<sup>67</sup> pursuant to Section 1001. However, Cox effectively agreed that its fixed interconnected VoIP service would comply with all regulatory obligations applicable to CLECs, pursuant to the terms of its 2015 Settlement Agreement for status as a federal Eligible Telecommunications Carrier.<sup>68</sup>

Among the Small LECs, only one affiliate registered under Section 285 offers interconnected VoIP service: TDS Metrocom LLC.<sup>69</sup>

Charter's interconnected VoIP service providers are all fully regulated telephone corporations, yet it explains it has a fourth affiliate registered pursuant to Section 285 to pay and remit the required surcharges.<sup>70</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Frontier Opening Comments on the OIR at 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 65}$  Consolidated Opening Comments on the OIR at 1.

<sup>66</sup> RT 36:18-24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Reporter's Transcript of Prehearing Conference (RT) 36:18-24 and RT 15:17-24.

 $<sup>^{68}</sup>$  Cox Response to ALJ Ruling at 2 and RT 45:17-25 and RT 46:1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Small LEC Response to ALJ Ruling at 3-4, 7, and RT 40:24-25; RT 41:1-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> RT 41:18-25; RT 42:1-17.

Comcast reports an opposite approach: it operates its interconnected VoIP service through Comcast IP Phone, a corporate entity that has no license and is not registered pursuant to Section 285. Instead, Comcast meets its Section 285 regulatory obligation to collect and remit surcharges through its regulated affiliate with no retail customers, Comcast Phone, which it describes as having a separate construction authority.<sup>71</sup> Comcast explains the physical facilities Comcast IP Phone uses to provide interconnected VoIP service are owned and operated its affiliate Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC.<sup>72</sup>

## 5.3. Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Generally

All interconnected VoIP service providers except providers of only nomadic interconnected VoIP service, will be subject to authority to operate pursuant to either Section 1001 or Section 1013. This decision adds to the existing regulatory frameworks for telephone corporations a fourth framework for interconnected VoIP service. From the date of issuance of this decision, telephone corporations will fall within at least one of the following categories of voice service:

- a. Local exchange service;
- b. Interexchange service;
- c. Wireless service; and
- d. Interconnected VoIP service.

The industry parties generally objected to requiring interconnected VoIP service providers to obtain operating authority. Some argue that it would be unnecessary and contrary to the goals of supporting competition and protecting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> RT 14:10-24 and Comcast Response to ALJ Ruling at 5-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Comcast Response to ALJ Ruling at 14 (footnote 13).

consumers. For example, US Telecom states regulation arguably would have promoted fairness to customers in a monopolistic environment, but regulation today would undermine private investment and hamper competition.<sup>73</sup> Cloud cites "close to one thousand businesses actively serving the California market with VoIP services" with reference to 893 interconnected VoIP providers registered with the FCC.<sup>74</sup>

Small Business and Joint Consumers support requiring interconnected VoIP service providers to obtain operating authority.<sup>75</sup> Joint Consumers assert that, from the interconnected VoIP consumer perspective, the product they are receiving is telephone service.<sup>76</sup> Consumers are often unaware whether a telephone call is enabled by traditional telephony or interconnected VoIP service. Joint Consumers state that interconnected VoIP service providers market their interconnected VoIP service as simply phone service, or even home phone service, and some limit the use of the service to a specific location.<sup>77</sup>

Parties' recommendations to reinstate the Section 285 registration process<sup>78</sup> conflict with the fundamental policy objectives of competitive neutrality and customer transparency. As explained above, nomadic interconnected VoIP service providers are not required to obtain operating authority pursuant to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> US Telecom Opening Comments on Scoping Memo at 1-3.

 $<sup>^{74}</sup>$  Cloud Reply Comments on Scoping Memo at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> *Ibid*.

 $<sup>^{76}</sup>$  Joint Consumers Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Joint Consumers Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 3, 16, and CforAT and TURN's Technological Methods Response filed March 9, 20203, at 3-7; Attachment A at A2-A5, A7, A10-A11, A13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Cal Broadband Reply Comments on the OIR at 7, Opening Comments on the OIR: Small LECs at 7, AT&T at 16; Consolidated at 5-6; Frontier at 8.

Section 1001 or Section 1013 and, therefore, must attest that the service they offer is only nomadic interconnected VoIP (*i.e.*, without a fixed component).

Parties also recommend the Commission create a unique classification, or utility type, specific to interconnected VoIP service.<sup>79</sup>

Establishing new utility type codes for interconnected VoIP service is consistent with the Commission's historical approach of treating unique types of providers differently. For example, interconnected VoIP service providers were issued utility type Digital Voice Service (DVS) when registered. Cal Broadband provided the historical example of how, following the breakup of AT&T's telephone monopoly in California, the Commission created the classifications of CLECs and IECs, to reflect provision of local telephone service within a specified area and long-distance telephone service outside of that specified area. Cal Broadband also recites Commission history of establishing the wireless classifications of CMRS and radiotelephone utilities (RTUs), as well as CLECs.<sup>80</sup>

In this decision, the Commission recognizes that there are two types of interconnected VoIP service providers: Fixed and Nomadic. To effectively

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Cal Broadband Reply Comments on the OIR at 5, citing Opening Comments on the OIR of (1) Consolidated Communications at 5 ("The Commission should not deem interconnected VoIP service a 'competitive local exchange' or 'interexchange' service; it should identify interconnected VoIP providers according to their own designation."); (2) Frontier at 7 ("[T]he Commission should not deem interconnected VoIP service a 'competitive local exchange' or an 'interexchange' service... [but instead] identify interconnected VoIP providers according to their own designation."); (3) US Telecom at 3 ("[T]he CPUC should not impose the same CPCN and registration requirements on interconnected VoIP providers as it does for telecommunications providers such as CLECs."); and (4) Cal Broadband Opening at 12-13. *Also see* Sangoma Reply Comments on the OIR at 4-5; Cloud Reply Comments on the Scoping Memo at 2-3; VON Coalition Opening Comments on Scoping Memo at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the OIR at 11 referencing *e.g.*, D.88-09-059 (classifications of LECs and IECs), D.88-05-067 (classifications of CMRS, RTUs, paging companies), and D.95-07-054 (classifications of CLCs).

implement the regulatory framework established by this decision, interconnected VoIP service providers will be issued a utility identification (ID) number and designated the appropriate utility type: fixed interconnected VoIP service providers will be assigned a DVF utility type and nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers will be assigned a DVN utility type.

#### 5.4. Facilities Status

All regulatory frameworks have specific requirements, processes and obligations depending on the nature and extent of the telecommunications infrastructure owned and operated by the provider. The definitions of facilities-based service developed as different parts of the telephone network transitioned from monopoly to competitive.<sup>81</sup> Here, the Commission uses the

A reseller is public utility which resells telecommunications services to the public, or a segment of the public, under a certificate issued to it by this Commission for that purpose, but which, while it may have its own switches to access its customers to the network, does not have transmission capability on the network side of the switches. (D.85-06-115 at 128-132.)

Later that year, the Commission clarified, "nondominant interexchange telecommunications carriers in California include both pure resellers and carriers who have some network transmission capability." Today, we consider this type of ownership of facilities as "limited facilities-based."

In opening the market to local exchange competition, the Commission defined facilities-based providers by way of reference to the statutory definition in Section 233:

L. Non-facilities-based CLCs are those which do not directly own, control, operate, or manage conduits, ducts, poles, wires, cables, instruments, switches, appurtenances, or appliances in connection with or to facilitate communications within the local exchange portion of the public switched network.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> The Commission opened the interexchange (long-distance) market to competition in 1985. The Commission made different rules for exemptions to whether to require applications for mergers and acquisitions depending on the ownership of the facilities. In D.85-06-115, the Commission determined:

term facilities-based to mean the service provider owns all or part of the network facilities that provision the telephone service. As described in Section 6 of this decision, the Section 1013 registration is not available to facilities-based service providers.

All telephone corporations, including all interconnected VoIP service providers, that own telecommunications network facilities over which their voice service is transmitted, including switches or other equipment installed in or on existing buildings, must seek operating authority through a CPCN application. This decision makes no change to the rule that CPCN operating authority is required for all types of providers owning facilities. For interconnected VoIP service providers without facilities, they would follow the Section 1013 registration or Nomadic Registration processes.

The Commission makes further distinction herein between full facilities-based and limited facilities-based providers when making CEQA determinations for telecommunications service providers.<sup>82</sup> (*See* Section 6.1.5 of this decision for discussion of CEQA review.) Interconnected VoIP service providers who build in rights-of-ways or conduct other trenching activity and

M. Facilities-based CLCs are those which directly own, control, operate, or manage conduits, ducts, poles, wires, cables, instruments, switches, appurtenances, or appliances in connection with or to facilitate communications within the local exchange portion of the public switched network. (D.95-07-054, Appendix A at 3.)

In 1999, the Commission introduced the status of "limited facilities-based" providers, which was defined in order for the Commission to fulfill its responsibility with regard to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for public utility telephone corporations. Limited facilities-based refers to equipment installed within or on existing structures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> By CEQA (Public Resources Code § 21000, *et seq.*), public agencies approving projects (in this case, the Commission) must "inform governmental decision-makers and the public about the potential significant environmental effects of the proposed activities."

construct or install equipment in trenches will be considered full facilities-based, consistent with existing definitions. Interconnected VoIP service providers only owning and installing equipment within existing structures or facilities of other licensed service providers, public utilities, municipalities, will be considered limited facilities-based, consistent with existing definitions. Interconnected VoIP service providers that do not own telecommunications infrastructure necessary for transmitting telephone calls will be considered non-facilities-based.

#### 5.5. Nomadic Registration

This decision establishes a formal registration process for nomadic interconnected VoIP service providers (Nomadic Registration). The Nomadic Registration form is contained in Appendix A of this decision. Nomadic interconnected VoIP service providers must attest under penalty of perjury that they do not have the capability to track intrastate and interstate calls, and thus their service falls within the Vonage Order. The Nomadic Registration process is delegated to the ministerial approval of the Director of the Communications Division (or its successor). Upon approval, nomadic interconnected VoIP service providers will be issued a Utility ID Number and designated as utility type DVN.

If there are any pending CPCN and Section 1013 applications with the Commission from companies offering only nomadic interconnected VoIP service, these applications must be dismissed. Prior to dismissal, the applicant must file in the pending docket an attestation that its service meets the qualifications for the DVN utility type, such as the one provided in Appendix A. Consistent with this decision, these companies must now obtain a Nomadic Registration approval from the Director of the Communications Division by filing a Nomadic Registration form, see Appendix A.

In addition to establishing a Nomadic Registration, it is reasonable to adopt and require a Nomadic Registration fee to help offset the costs of reviewing, processing and maintaining Nomadic Registrations. This the same amount as the Section 1013 registration fee. 83 Therefore, this decision establishes a Nomadic Registration fee of \$250. The Nomadic Registration form shown at Appendix A includes this requirement and payment instructions.

Since the cost of processing the Nomadic Registration increases over time with inflation, the Commission will adjust the application fee on an annual basis to account for changes to the consumer price index (CPI) using the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) CPI-U calculator.<sup>84</sup> Updating the fee annually balances the need to increase the fee based on increasing costs while shielding applicants from the monthly and/or seasonal volatility of inflation. The fee will be updated on July 1 of each year, unless the Commission makes a finding stating otherwise. The new fee will be posted on the Commission's website by July 15 of each year and will be effective on August 1 of each year.

Consistent with the increase of the Nomadic Registration cost and because the cost of processing Section 1013 registrations and WIR also increases over time due to inflation, the Commission will also increase to cost of the Section 1013 registration fee on an annual basis to adjust for inflation using the BLS CPI-U calculator on July 1 of each year (starting with July 1, 2026, unless the Commission makes a finding stating otherwise), update the Commission's website by July 15 of each year to reflect the new cost, and make the new fee effective on August 1 of each year. This aligns the Section 1013 registration and

<sup>83</sup> D.13-05-035.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Website, CPI Inflation Calculator, (Last visited Aug. 22, 2024), https://www.bls.gov/data/inflation\_calculator.htm?ss=P.

WIR fees with the Nomadic Registration and is technology-neutral. This is also consistent with the annual increase for the CPCN application fee to adjust it for the CPI, which is authorized in Pub. Util. Code Section 1904(a) and implemented in Resolution (Res.) ALJ-464.<sup>85</sup>

#### 5.6. Compliance with Licensing and Registration

Effective upon the issuance date of this decision, all interconnected VoIP service providers are required to obtain the requisite operating authority or approval through the Commission's licensing and registration requirements prior to offering services in California. All interconnected VoIP service providers should be allowed ample time to comply with the licensing requirement before becoming subject to a penalty for unlicensed provision of service. Therefore, within 180 calendar days from issuance of this decision, all unlicensed interconnected VoIP service providers must file either a CPCN application, Section 1013 registration, or Nomadic Registration.

After the 180-day grace period to comply with licensing and registration requirements, applicants without operating authority or registration will be subject to the penalty for noncompliance. For CPCN applications, the Commission shall determine the applicable penalty for the violation associated with unlicensed provision of service. Any Section 1013 registration requests involving interconnected VoIP service providers that have operated without the requisite license or registration will not be eligible for the Section 1013 registration process and their application must be considered under the CPCN application process. For Nomadic Registrations, the Communications Division is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Draft Res. ALJ-464 was issued on August 20, 2024, and is currently under consideration by the Commission.

authorized to issue a citation pursuant to Res. T-17601 for unregistered provision of service (*i.e.*, \$1,000 penalty per month of operation without a registration).

All past-due surcharges accrued during operation without authority or approval must be reported and remitted to the Commission's Fiscal Office. Service providers must also pay 10 percent interest accrued for late remittance of surcharges. Section 8.1.1 discusses the surcharge obligation and applicable late interest on surcharges.

Additionally, interconnected VoIP service providers seeking to voluntarily surrender their authority or approval and cease to operate in California are required to comply with Res. T-17723.

# 6. Streamlining the Application and Registration Processes for all Telephone Corporations Including Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers

This proceeding considered how to incorporate interconnected VoIP service providers into existing regulatory frameworks, and whether the current market for technologies in use today for providing telephone service necessitate changes to the Commission's licensing and registration processes. Reparties were invited to identify differences between the obligations for providers of interconnected VoIP service and other service types such as local exchange service, interexchange service, and/or wireless service.

Industry party arguments against integrating interconnected VoIP service providers into the existing regulatory framework are premised on the assumption that the existing CPCN and Section 1013 registration processes will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Scoping Memo issues 1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Scoping Memo at 13 ("...existing or potential rules, regulations, requirements, or penalties related to or concerning: (i) licensing (*e.g.*, Pub. Util. Code § 1001 and § 1013), registration, or other operating authority requirements, such as performance bonds").

remain as implemented yesterday.<sup>88</sup> Consumer parties argue for integrating interconnected VoIP service into the existing regulatory framework, even with no changes. Small Business asserts that the regulatory framework for other telephone utility types ensures protection from unauthorized charges, service disruptions, and preserves baseline standards for service quality, reliability, and customer support to resolve disputes with their service provider, and customer support standards promulgated by the Commission such as those in General Order (GO) 133-D.<sup>89</sup> In contrast, Cal Broadband makes specific recommendations to reduce administrative burdens associated with the existing processes and adapt the processes as necessary to incorporate interconnected VoIP service providers.<sup>90</sup> This is the approach taken in this decision.

Our evaluation of differences leads to the determination in this decision that updates to existing licensing and registration processes pertaining to all telephone corporation types, including interconnected VoIP, are reasonable and necessary. Updating processes for all telephone corporation types has benefits. Outdated or unclear requirements are barriers to a competitive and neutral communications market. Although the review in this proceeding is focused on interconnected VoIP service and providers, many outdated or unclear requirements identified for purposes of regulating interconnected VoIP are equally outdated, unclear or extraneous for all telephone corporation types.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> AT&T Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 11, 16; US Telecom Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 3-5; Cloud Communications Reply Comments on the Scoping Memo at 2-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Small Business Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 16-22.

Making changes universally allows interconnected VoIP service providers to be included within existing application and registration processes.

Without exception, parties in concept recommended a streamlined administrative process be developed and applied for interconnected VoIP service. However, rather than reserve a new set of processes solely for interconnected VoIP service, we find it preferable to amend the existing processes by which all wireline telephone corporations apply for operating authority.

The CPCN application process is extended to all facilities-based interconnected VoIP service providers. This decision adopts a new CPCN application form and streamlines certain CPCN requirements. The Section 1013 registration form, process, and requirements is extended to all non-facilities-based interconnected VoIP service providers, except nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers. In the event a Section 1013 application either does not meet the requirements for a simplified registration or the application is protested, an interconnected VoIP service provider must seek operating authority through a CPCN application.

Cal Broadband recommends simplifying the process for providers intending to build limited facilities, or for providers that own only their own switches and otherwise do not own or operate facilities by the Section 1013 registration to limited facilities-based providers.<sup>93</sup> This decision does not expand

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> CCTA Opening Comments on the OIR at 29, Reply at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> The Section 1013 registration process was established in R.94-02-003/Investigation 94-02-004. (*See* D.97-06-107 as modified by D.97-08-050, D.97-09-035, D.10-09-017, D.11-09-026, and D.14-11-004.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the OIR at 21.

the Section 1013 registration to providers with facilities (full or limited).<sup>94</sup> However, this decision improves efficiency for all CPCN applicants in a number of ways, allowing self-attestation and default exemptions to fulfill CEQA requirements (Section 6.1.5 of this decision), and default grants of confidential status of financial resource documentation (Section 6.1.3 of this decision). Additionally, limited facilities-based interconnected VoIP service providers are granted default exemptions to certain application requirements consistent with the default exemptions for Non-Dominant Interexchange Carriers (NDIEC) (Section 8.2.9 of this decision).

As described in greater detail in the sections immediately following, the CPCN application form will be the mode of seeking authority for all facilities-based telephone corporations including interconnected VoIP service providers, as well as telephone corporations who are not qualified to use the Section 1013 registration process. For non-facilities-based telephone corporations including interconnected VoIP service providers, an updated Section 1013 registration is established. Additionally, this decision adopts a new formal registration process for providers of only nomadic interconnected VoIP service (Nomadic Registration). The updates to the existing processes, and the new Nomadic Registration process are described below.

## 6.1. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Application and Section 1013 Registration Updates

This section makes multiple minor modifications and updates to the existing CPCN application and Section 1013 registration forms and processes.

<sup>94</sup> Ibid.

The sample forms, instructions and processes found in Appendices B-C reflect the updates adopted in this decision.

## 6.1.1. Application Fee Related to Section 1013 Registration Reassignments

Currently, when a Section 1013 applicant is found ineligible for a Section 1013 registration and the applicant opts to pursue operating authority through a CPCN, the applicant is not required to pay the difference between the Section 1013 registration fee and the CPCN application fee.

The administrative burden to process a CPCN application is higher than the administrative burden to process a Section 1013 application and requires the CPCN fee to process the application. Therefore, in this decision we resolve the discrepancy in fees paid. From the date of issuance of this decision, in the event the Section 1013 applicant is found ineligible for a Section 1013 registration, and opts to pursue operating authority through a CPCN, the applicant is required to pay the difference between the Section 1013 registration fee and the CPCN application fee within 15 calendar days of the reassignment notice, as discussed in Appendix B. If payment is not made by the due date, the application will be dismissed without prejudice.

### 6.1.2. Licensing and Registration Application Information

Currently, providers may hold multiple licenses. Performance under other operating authority, whether current or past, is relevant to approving additional operating authority. Therefore, this decision establishes a requirement for the applicant to list other licenses, whether current or past, which the applicant obtained from the Commission. This new requirement is applicable to all types of licensing applications and registrations.

Currently, background checks include review of whether ownership is foreign or domestic, but this is not a standard item on the application form. Identifying foreign ownership on an application or registration form will make the administrative review more efficient. Therefore, this decision establishes a requirement for the applicant to identify whether they are or are affiliated with a foreign entity.

# 6.1.3. Presumption of Confidentiality for Certain Financial Documents, Construction Costs, and Customer Numbers

The Commission requires CPCN and Section 1013 applicants to possess a minimum amount of funds for operation. PCPCN applicants for facilities-based service must also provide a statement detailing the estimated cost of construction, and the estimated number of customers for the first and fifth years of operation. PCPCN applicants may request that the information be kept confidential by filing a motion pursuant to Rule 11.4 and GO 66-D. Section 1013 applicants, on the other hand, can seek confidential treatment using an alternative procedure established by the Commission in D.97-09-035 [t] o allow commercially valuable financial information to be held under seal, while maintaining the schedule for registrations.

Any process involving requests for confidential treatment of information submitted to the Commission must be consistent with the Commission's disclosure obligations. GO 66-D, effective January 1, 2018, sets forth the

<sup>95</sup> D.95-07-054, D.95-12-056 pertain to CLECs and D.97-006-107, D.10-09-017, D.11-09-026, D.14-11-004 pertain to IECs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Rule 3.1(f) and (j) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> See D.97-09-035 at 1.

Commission's rules and guidelines concerning the submission of confidential information to the Commission and access to its records. Subsequently, the Commission updated its GO 66-D rules to make Commission records more accessible.<sup>98</sup>

State law, discussed below, and GO 66-D require that we update the Section 1013 confidentiality process to be consistent with current requirements.<sup>99</sup> Updating the Section 1013 registration and CPCN application processes regarding confidentiality also facilitates our goal of streamlining both of these licensing processes.

First, the Commission's disclosure requirements must be consistent with Article 3, subdivision (b)(2) of the California Constitution, which states that statutes, court rules, and other authority limiting access to information must be broadly construed if they further the people's right of access, and narrowly construed if they limit the right of access. Rules that limit the right of access must be adopted with findings demonstrating the interest protected by the limitation and the need for protecting that interest.<sup>100</sup>

Second, the Commission's disclosure requirements must meet the California Public Records Act (CPRA), which furthers public access by requiring public agency records be open to public inspection unless the records are exempt

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> See D.17-09-023 at 11-12, 14; see also D.20-03-014 at 22-23 ("Because of the need to promote greater transparency by providing more public access to Commission proceedings and the related documents developed therein, on November 14, 2014, the Commission opened Rulemaking (R.) 14-11-001 [fn. omitted] "to increase public access to records furnished to the Commission by entities we regulate, while ensuring that information truly deserving of confidential status retains that protection." [fn. 56 cites R.14-11-001 at 1.].)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> See, e.g., D.20-03-014, Decision on Data Confidentiality Issues Track 3 at 10-13; see also D.17-09-023, Phase 2A Decision Adopting General Order 66-D and Administrative Processes for Submission and Release of Potentially Confidential Information at 2-3, 9-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> *Id*.

from disclosure under the provisions of the CPRA.<sup>101</sup> "Public records" are broadly defined to include all records "relating to the conduct of the people's business;" only records expressly excluded from the definition by statute, or of a purely personal nature, fall outside this definition.<sup>102</sup> Since records received by a state regulatory agency from regulated entities relate to the agency's conduct of the people's regulatory business, the CPRA definition of public records includes records received by, as well as generated by, the Commission.<sup>103</sup> The CPRA requires the Commission to adopt written guidelines for access to agency records, and requires that such regulations and guidelines be consistent with the CPRA and reflect the intention of the Legislature to make agency records accessible to the public.<sup>104</sup>

Third, GO 66-D, Section 3.4(b), addresses the Commission's discretion to make preemptive confidentiality determinations in proceedings. Specifically, "in any proceeding in which the Commission issues a decision requiring the submission of information, the Commission may make a determination of whether the information required by the decision will be treated as public or confidential."<sup>105</sup> Pursuant to GO 66-D, Section 3.4, subdivision (b), in this decision, the Commission determines that the following information warrants

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370 ("The Public Records Act... was enacted in 1968 and provides that 'every person has a right to inspect any public record, except as hereafter provided.' We have explained that the act was adopted for the explicit purpose of increasing freedom of information by giving the public access to information in possession of public agencies.") (internal citations and quotation marks omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> See, e.g., Cal. State University v. Superior Court (2001) 90 Cal.App.4th 810, 825.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> See Gov. Code § 6252, subd. (e).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Gov. Code § 6253.4, subd. (b) ("Guidelines and regulations adopted pursuant to this section shall be consistent with all other sections of this chapter and shall reflect the intention of the Legislature to make the records accessible to the public.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> GO 66-D § 3.4, subd. (b).

confidential treatment for three years if submitted in accordance with this decision: (1) financial documents listed in Appendix F, which Section 1013 and Section 1001 (CPCN) applicants are required to submit, and (2) the estimated cost of construction and the estimated number of customers for the first and fifth years of operation, which Rule 3.1(f) and (j) require CPCN applicants to submit.

This decision maintains longstanding requirements for applicants for operating authority pursuant to Section 1013 or Section 1001 to support their request with documentation of the requisite financial resources first established in D.95-07-054. This decision also maintains the longstanding requirement for facilities-based CPCN applicants provide estimates of the cost of construction, and of customer numbers in the first and fifth years of operation. <sup>107</sup>

CPCN applicants frequently seek confidential treatment of this required information. These requests are rarely opposed, and the Commission routinely grants confidential treatment of this limited required information for a period of three years in CPCN decisions.

Rather than continue to individually adjudicate the requests for confidential treatment of the same documents proving financial resources required to be furnished in Section 1013 registrations and CPCN applications, we believe it is in the public interest to streamline and expedite these confidentiality requests in this decision. Thus, this decision determines that this discrete set of financial documents and business data concerning construction costs and customer numbers should be treated as confidential.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> The list of financial instruments required for applicants was originally issued in D.95-07-054, reissued in D.95-12-056, Appendix C and upheld in D.13-05-035 and D.20-08-011. The same list for Section 1013 applicants is contained in D.14-11-004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Rule 3.2, subds. (i) and (j).

Specifically, Appendix F in the instant decision sets forth in a clarified list of financial instruments by which applicants without profitable interstate operations may prove they possess at least \$25,000 (for Section 1013 applicants) or \$100,000 (for CPCN applicants) as follows:

(1) Unaudited bank statements; (2) certificate of deposit or other liquid deposits with a reputable bank or financial institution; (3) preferred stock proceeds or other shareholder equity; (4) letter of credit issued by a reputable bank or other financial institution; (5) loan issued by a qualified subsidiary, affiliate, of applicant, or a qualified corporation holding controlling interest in the applicant; (6) guarantee, issued by a corporation, copartnership, or other person or association; (7) guarantee, issued by a qualified subsidiary, affiliate, or applicant; and (8) audited financial statements.

Any of the financial instruments listed above are likely to display sensitive financial information relating to its bank accounts, cash deposits and transactions to prove financial fitness. Moreover, estimated costs of construction and estimated customers numbers are developed by applicants for their specific business operations and could reveal their expenditures and market share, which their competitors could use to obtain a competitive advantage over them.

California Government Code section 7925.005 "does not require the disclosure of a statement of personal worth or personal financial data required by a licensing agency and filed by an applicant with the licensing agency to establish the applicant's personal qualification for the license, certificate, or permit requested." In addition, Government Code section 7927.605, subdivision (a), "does not require the disclosure of records that are any of the following: corporate financial records, corporate proprietary information including trade

secrets . . . . "108 In other words, these provisions authorize the Commission to treat this type of financial information as confidential.

Government Code section 7922.000 also allows the Commission to withhold information where "the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure." With regard to the information required to support applications for voice service, including interconnected VoIP, the public interest benefits from efficient facilitation of market entry which in turn supports the policy goals of a neutral and competitive telecommunications marketplace. On the other hand, it is not clear from the record before us what public interest, if any, is served by disclosing this financial and business information. Absent a showing to the contrary, it is thus reasonable to presume that the public interest in maintaining the confidentiality of the financial documents listed in Appendix F clearly outweighs the public interest in their disclosure.

Treating the list of financial documents in Appendix F, construction costs, and customer numbers as presumptively confidentiality harmonizes the expedited confidentiality process we envisioned for Section 1013 applicants in D.97-09-035 with the current requirements of GO 66-D. In order for applicants for Section 1013 and CPCN operating authority to be granted confidential treatment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> Our analysis here does not turn on the information at issue falling under the trade secret exemption. That exemption is driven by the specific facts pled by the entity seeking confidentiality protection. The public interest balancing test, set forth in Cal. Gov. Code § 7922.000, drives our confidentiality determinations here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Cal. Gov. Code § 7922.000; see Michaelis, Montanari & Johnson v. Superior Court (2006) 38 Cal. 4th 1065, 1073 (ruling that, under Section 6255 (recodified as Section 7922.000), proposals for lease of hangar facility at public airport were exempt from disclosure during negotiation period to ensure benefits of competition which "assure the best social, environmental, and economic result for the public").

of the financial documents listed in Appendix F, construction costs, and customer numbers, applicants must clearly designate in a separate sealed envelope "Protected from public disclosure pursuant to Decision xx-xx-xxx [insert decision number of final decision in R.22-08-008 once issued] and submit a public confidentiality declaration that specifies the documents contained in the sealed envelope and cite to this decision as the basis for confidential treatment.

GO 66-D, Sections 5-6, address the Commission's response to CPRA requests for disclosure of information submitted in compliance with Section 3.4. As long as information is submitted lawfully, *i.e.*, as directed in this decision, it will not be released per a CPRA request absent an order of the Commission. Parties seeking the disclosure of any specific information covered by this decision should be prepared to rebut the presumption of confidentiality by showing what public interest would be served by the disclosure.

#### 6.1.4. Clarification of Cash Requirements for New Market Entrants

The existing CPCN application and Section 1013 registration process requires that applicants clearly demonstrate that they are financially capable of rendering their proposed services and meeting the new firm's expenses. We make minor modifications to the existing financial requirements for new market entrants.

First, we standardize the amount of cash required for deposits as \$25,000 rather than requiring the applicant to show that it has the deposit amount equivalent to that required by each ILEC is seeks to interconnect with. This decision changes the deposit requirement for any service provider intending to interconnect with ILECs to a flat \$25,000 instead of an amount equal to the deposits required by ILECs.

Second, we clarify the means by which new market entrants without profitable interstate operations may satisfy the requirement to demonstrate a minimum unencumbered cash requirement for the first year of operation using "cash or cash equivalent." Currently, the means by which new market entrants may demonstrate possession of the requisite amount of unencumbered financing differs by whether the new market entrant is already financially profitable or not yet financially profitable. We make no modifications to the means for financially profitable entities. For entities not yet financially profitable, we remove the cash option and require an unencumbered cash equivalent, because it has been the subject of confusion.<sup>110</sup> This decision clarifies unaudited bank statements must be dated within two months of the application date. In order to meet the requirement to demonstrate funds are available for the first year of operations, applicants electing to use unaudited bank statements must also provide updated documents at six and twelve months after the issuance date of the decision granting their operating authority, with applicants submitting the documents within 12 and 14 months of the issuance date of the decision authorizing operating authority, respectively, to the Director of Communications Division via email to cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov.

Appendix F to this decision contains the complete list of acceptable means by which new market entrants, whether profitable or unprofitable, may document possession of the requisite financial resources. Applicants should refer Section 6.1.3, above, regarding presumptive designation of confidentiality for required financial documents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> The list of financial instruments required for applicants is contained in D.13-05-035 and D.95-12-056, Appendix C and was originally listed in D.95-07-054. The financial requirement for NDIECs is contained in D.14-11-004.

### 6.1.5. California Environmental Quality Act Review

Pursuant to the CEQA<sup>111</sup> and Rule 2.4, the Commission acts as the designated lead agency to consider the environmental consequences of projects that are subject to the Commission's approval to determine any potential environmental impacts, to avoid adverse effects, investigate alternatives, and ensure that any affected environmental impact is restored or otherwise mitigated to the fullest extent possible under CEQA. All telephone corporations, including interconnected VoIP service providers, that are limited facilities-based or non-facilities-based are not subject to CEQA review because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that granting these licenses will have an adverse impact on the environment.<sup>112</sup>

Full facilities-based voice, including interconnected VoIP, service providers, are subject to CEQA review. Service providers who intend to construct only those types of facilities which are highly likely to be categorically exempt from CEQA may utilize the Energy Division's 21-day expedited CEQA review process, as outlined in Appendix H. This 21-day review process has routinely been granted on an individual application basis, and the Commission extends this process on an industry-wide basis to full facilities-based voice providers, including interconnected VoIP.<sup>113</sup> In their CPCN applications, applicants should indicate that they comply with applicable sections of Rule 3.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Public Resources Code § 21000 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> D.99-10-025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> The decision to require full facilities-based CPCN applicants to utilize the Energy Division's 21-day expedited CEQA review process is also consistent with D.21-04-006.

and list the categorical exemptions relevant to their proposed projects, as requested in the CPCN form (questions 13-15) included in Appendix C.

Currently recognized exemptions for CEQA include most of the activities that full facilities-based providers of interconnected VoIP use in construction, including the following:

- 1. Class 1 Exemption: operation, repair, maintenance, leasing or minor alteration of existing public or private structures and facilities, with negligible or no expansion of an existing use. This includes existing facilities used to provide public utility services. (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15301.)
- 2. Class 2 Exemption: replacement or reconstruction of existing structures and facilities where the new structure will be located on the same site as the structure replaced and will have substantially the same purpose and capacity as the structure replaced. (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15302.)
- 3. Class 3 Exemption: construction including water main, sewage, electrical, gas and other utility extensions of reasonable length to serve such construction. This includes the construction of limited numbers of new small facilities or utility extensions. (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15303.)
- 4. Class 4 Exemption: minor public or private alterations in the condition of land, water, and/or vegetation which do not involve the removal of healthy, mature, scenic trees except for forestry and agricultural purposes. Among other things, this includes filling of earth into previously excavated land with material compatible with the natural features of the site, and minor trenching and backfilling where the surface is restored. (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15304.)
- 5. Class 32(a)-(e) Exemption: consists of projects characterized as in-fill development meeting the following conditions: (a) The project is consistent with applicable

general plan designation, general plan policies, and applicable zoning designation and regulations; (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than five acres substantially surrounded by urban uses; (c) The project site has no value, as habitat for endangered, rare or threatened species; (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15332.)

6. Section 21080.51 of the Public Resources Code, which provides an exemption from CEQA for projects that consist of linear broadband deployment that meet certain requirements.

Categorical exemptions to the CEQA change periodically. The Commission's Energy Division is authorized to post a list of categorical exemptions to CEQA which are applicable to interconnected VoIP service providers on the Commission's website and to update this list to reflect statutory changes to CEQA.

Applicants requesting to construct full facilities that do not qualify for a categorical exemption under CEQA must provide a Preliminary Environmental Assessment with their CPCN application and will be subject to CEQA review as part of their application.

#### 6.2. Communications Division May Revise Application and Registration Forms Consistent with Commission Decisions

The Staff Proposal recommended that the Commission authorize the Communications Division to make administrative changes to Section 1013 registration and WIR forms and instructions, as needed and consistent with existing Commission rules and requirements. These registration processes were

established as ministerial ones.<sup>114</sup> Ambiguous or outdated language on the forms and instructions has resulted in common application deficiencies and questions from applicants, which in turn have caused unnecessary delays in staff review and processing. Thus, authorizing Communications Division staff to update and clarify registration and application requirements on the forms and instructions in response to the most common deficiencies and applicant inquiries can further expedite these processes.

We therefore authorize Communications Division staff to modify the Section 1013 and WIR registration forms and instructions to clarify their contents, to improve the accessibility of the document in electronic form, or make other changes when necessary, consistent with this decision.

This decision also updates the Section 1013 registration form and adds the CPCN and Nomadic Registration form into the licensing and registration process. Communications Division staff may also modify these application and registration forms to clarify their content, to improve the accessibility of the document in electronic form, or make other changes when necessary, consistent with this decision.

All changes to application and registration forms will be posted on the Commission's website under the Communications Division Licensing and Registration Information Section, or its successor. Applicants are directed to review and utilize the latest forms and instructions provided on the Commission's website.<sup>115</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> See D.97-06-107 at 9 and D.95-10-032 at 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Staff Proposal 4.a., OIR at A-9.

# 7. Migration Process for Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers Holding Prior Section 285 Registration or Section 1001 or Section 1013 Operating Authority

This proceeding scoped the issue of how the currently operating interconnected VoIP service providers should be migrated to the new interconnected VoIP regulatory framework adopted in this decision. Parties provided input in response to proposals recommended in the Staff Proposal and in comments on the Scoping Memo.

Most industry parties commenting on the framework and process for currently operating interconnected VoIP service providers recommended reinstating the prior Section 285 registration process. Cal Broadband also argued that, in the event the Commission did not reinstate Section 285 registration and required a different process "it would be contrary to the public interest for the Commission to conduct a lengthy *de novo* review of companies that have been providing interconnected VoIP service in the state." We agree that conducting a lengthy *de novo* review of a provider currently operating in California would be burdensome and counterproductive. 117

We therefore adopt a streamlined migration process to implement the new licensing and registration framework adopted in this decision for interconnected VoIP service providers as described below. Once the migration process is complete, all interconnected VoIP service providers are required to comply with the regulatory obligations, some existing and some new, outlined in this decision. By default, all interconnected VoIP service providers subject to migration are granted either a non-facilities-based fixed interconnected VoIP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the OIR at 14.

operating authority pursuant to Section 1013 (*i.e.*, DVF status)<sup>118</sup> or a nomadic-only interconnected VoIP status (*i.e.*, DVN status) subject to the regulatory obligations adopted herein. The migration process adopted today will not diminish the ability of interconnected VoIP service providers to continue their operations in the state or to bring new, innovative offerings to the market. Nor does the migration impact the ability of interconnected VoIP service providers that registered through the prior Section 285 process to continue their obligation to report and remit surcharges.

There are two sets of interconnected VoIP service providers subject to migration. The first set consists of interconnected VoIP service providers already registered through the prior Section 285 registration process, as listed in Appendix D. The second set consists of interconnected VoIP service providers granted operating authority between August 2022 and the present, as listed in Appendix E. Each migration group is discussed more fully below.

#### 7.1. Migration for Providers Already Registered as Section 285 Service Providers

### 7.1.1. Automatic Migration from Digital Voice Service to Digital Voice Fixed Status

This decision migrates existing interconnected VoIP providers already registered through the prior Section 285 process and listed in Appendix D to the new regulatory framework adopted in this decision. These providers are automatically granted operating authority as non-facilities-based providers, effective 45 calendar days from the issuance date of this decision. Each migrated provider will maintain its existing Utility ID Number and its assigned utility

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> An interconnected VoIP service provider that was granted operating authority under CPCN application process must continue to comply with the requirements and obligations under its CPCN decision.

type will change from DVS to DVF. <sup>119</sup> This migration process includes a 45-calendar day opt-out period for those providers. After the expiration of the 45-calendar day opt-out period, Communications Division staff will update Commission records in accordance with the two new interconnected VoIP service utility types set forth in this decision. No additional information will be requested from these providers unless they voluntarily take the action to opt-out as described below. This will complete the migration process. Providers wishing to confirm their updated status may do so by reviewing their record on the Commission's Utility Contact System Search webpage. <sup>120</sup>

### 7.1.2. Opt-Out from Automatic Migration Options

To avoid automatic migration to DVF status, existing interconnected VoIP service providers with 285 registrations must opt out within 45 calendar days of the issuance of this decision, if they either (1) qualify as a nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service provider, or (2) no longer plan to operate in California.

# 7.1.2.1. Opt-Out for Section 285 Nomadic-Only Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers

A nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service provider must attest in writing to the Commission that it qualifies for nomadic-only status.<sup>121</sup> The attestation must include the provider's legal name as registered with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> The date of migration will become the registration date associated with the DVF utility type. The migrated provider's date of registration as a DVS provider will terminate on the date of migration.

<sup>120</sup> https://apps.cpuc.ca.gov/apex/f?p=102:1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> See example attestation in Appendix A.

Commission and its assigned Utility ID Number. The attestation must be signed under penalty of perjury by an officer of the company. This information must be submitted to the Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> within 45 calendar days of this decision's issuance date. Once staff receives and reviews this information, the service provider will be migrated to a non-facilities-based nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service provider. Each migrated provider will maintain its existing Utility ID Number and its assigned utility type will change from DVS to DVN.

After the expiration of the 45-calendar day opt-out period,
Communications Division staff will update Commission records, and the
updated information will be reflected in the Commission's Utility Contact
System Search webpage. This will complete the migration process.

Any service provider who has not opted-out of the automatic migration process during the 45-calendar day period and seeks to offer nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service at a later time must simultaneously apply for a Nomadic Registration and voluntarily surrender its existing operating authority (obtained through the automatic migration under this decision) via a Tier 2 advice letter.

## 7.1.2.2. Opt-Out for Section 285 Providers Planning to Cease Operations

Section 285 service providers who do not want to continue providing interconnected VoIP services in California and seek to voluntarily surrender their prior Section 285 registration must opt-out from the automatic migration within 45 calendar days of this decision's issuance date.

To opt-out and surrender, providers must submit a request to the Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:CDcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">CDcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a>. The request should include:

- Utility ID Number and Utility Name;
- Requested effective date of deactivation and attestation that it has no active customers, no pending complaints, and no outstanding monies (*e.g.*, surcharges, interest, and penalties) owed to the Commission; and
- A copy of the Telecommunications and User Fee Filing System reporting through the month prior to filing the request should also be included to ensure that the provider is current and up to date with its public purpose programs surcharges obligations.

Communications Division staff will review and approve all requests contingent on surcharge reporting status.<sup>122</sup> Upon approval, the service provider's Utility ID Number will be deactivated from the Commission systems.

## 7.1.3. Providers Changing Status After Conclusion of Migration Process

Once the migration period concludes, interconnected VoIP service providers ceasing operations must adhere to the process outlined in Res. T-17723 if they no longer wish to operate in California.

Any Section 285 service provider that is approved to voluntarily surrender and subsequently seeks to operate in California must apply for and comply with the new licensing or registration framework set forth in this decision. Any Section 285 service provider that continues to operate after voluntarily surrendering its Section 285 registration is subject to enforcement action by the Commission, including possible fines or other sanctions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Utility ID Numbers may not be surrendered if the provider has not remitted all surcharges and any late fees or other outstanding amounts owed.

#### 7.1.4. Consolidation of Utility Identification Numbers

Some existing interconnected VoIP service providers already registered through the prior Section 285 process already possess wireline operating authority. Unless these providers take action to deactivate one of the Utility ID Numbers, they will remain in possession of more than one Utility ID Number; the Utility ID Number associated with the prior grant of operating authority, and the Utility ID Number associated with the prior Section 285 process.

To ease administrative burdens, make the migration as seamless as possible, and accommodate providers' business models, providers subject to the migration process may elect to consolidate their Utility ID Numbers into the existing wireline authority or maintain separate Utility ID Numbers each with unique utility type designation. Providers must comply with all reporting obligations associated with each utility type designation.

After the completion of the migration process, providers with a DVF utility type may request approval to discard the Utility ID Number associated with the DVF utility type designation by filing a Tier 2 advice letter. <sup>123</sup> Upon approval, Communications Division staff will update Commission records to reflect the change, including deactivating the provider's Utility ID Number (*i.e.*, the one originally issued through the Section 285 registration process that underwent the migration) and adding the DVF utility type into the wireline Utility ID Number. After the consolidation, the provider will continue to operate under the remaining Utility ID Number and attendant obligations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> Advice letter filings must follow GO 96-B rules.

# 7.2. Existing Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers Holding Section 1001 or Section 1013 Operating Authority

During the pendency of this proceeding, some interconnected VoIP service providers obtained operating authority as interexchange resellers (IER) pursuant to Section 1001 and Section 1013. This decision adopts a streamlined migration of existing interconnected VoIP service providers that were granted operating authority solely as resold interexchange service providers to the new regulatory framework adopted in this decision.

## 7.2.1. Automatic Migration from Interexchange Reseller Status to Digital Voice Fixed Status

All Interconnected VoIP service providers granted operating authority with IER status from August 2022 to the present will be automatically migrated to DVF status. The provider must continue to comply with the obligations and requirements set forth in this decision.

Each migrated service provider will maintain its existing Utility ID

Number and its assigned utility type will change from IER to DVF. After the
expiration of the 45-calendar day opt-out period, Communications Division staff
will update Commission records. This will complete the migration process.

Appendix E contains a list of providers included in this automatic migration
process. No additional information will be requested from these providers except
if they opt out as described below.

## 7.2.2. Opt-Out of Migration From Interexchange Reseller Status to Digital Voice Fixed Status

Nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers holding an operating authority must opt out within 45 calendar days of this decision's issuance date

following the process described in Section 7.1.2.1. The utility type will change from IER to DVN. In addition, this decision effectively revokes the operating authority previously granted.

#### 8. Regulatory Obligations for All Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers

### 8.1. Existing Obligations Ongoing and Unchanged by This Decision

This decision does not change existing obligations applicable to interconnected VoIP service providers. Those continuing obligations include but are not limited to: collecting, reporting and remitting public purpose programs surcharges, preserving emergency calling access, reporting responses in emergencies and disasters, 124 property tax reporting, 125 reporting retail pricing data of essential communications services to support the Commission's production of the Annual Affordability Report, 126 and consumer protection.

#### 8.1.1. Universal Service Surcharge Obligations

This decision clarifies that all interconnected VoIP service providers must continue to collect, report and remit universal service surcharges pursuant to Section 285 and D.22-10-021. Most parties acknowledge Section 285 obligates the Commission to collect universal service surcharges from all interconnected VoIP, including nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers. A few

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> California Code of Regulations Sections 2480.2-2480.3. A "community isolation outage" is an event that (i) lasts at least 30 minutes, (ii) "limits a telecommunications service provider's end users' ability to make 9-1-1 calls or receive emergency notifications," and (iii) affects a threshold percentage of customers. (D.19-08-025 at OPs 1-2, OP 7.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Utilities pay property taxes based on the value of their property, otherwise known as facilities," or physical infrastructure, which is updated in their annual reports to the Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> D.20-07-032 at CoLs 19-22 and OP 3. (See also D.22-08-023 at OPs 10-11.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> See D.22-10-021 at 67-70, FoF 4, OPs 1-2, and OP 6.

erroneously argue that contributions of interconnected VoIP service providers pursuant to Section 285 are not required or unnecessary.<sup>128</sup>

Today, California's public purpose programs include:

- California High-Cost Fund-A Administrative Committee Fund under Pub. Util. Code Section 275.
- California High-Cost Fund-B Administrative Committee
   Fund under Pub. Util. Code Section 276.
- Universal Lifeline Telephone Service Trust Administrative Committee Fund under Pub. Util. Code Section 277.
- Deaf and Disabled Telecommunications Program
   Administrative Committee Fund under Pub. Util. Code
   Section 278.
- California Teleconnect Fund Administrative Committee Fund under Pub. Util. Code Section 280.
- California Advanced Services Fund under Pub. Util. Code Section 281.<sup>129</sup>

Since April 1, 2023, all telephone corporations, including interconnected VoIP service providers operating in California, have been required to assess, collect, report and remit California's Public Purpose Program surcharges pursuant to the access line flat rate surcharge mechanism adopted in D.22-10-021. Public Purpose Program surcharges are applied only when an end-use customer's "place of primary use" is located within California. 131

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> US Telecom Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 3-5, CCIA Opening Comments on the OIR at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 285(c).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> For definition of access line, see Section 5.2.2 of D.22-10-021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 285(d).

The Commission's longstanding requirement is to assess an interest equal to an annual interest rate of 10 percent for late reporting and remittance of public purpose program surcharges owed to the Commission.<sup>132</sup>

## 8.1.1.1. Failure to Comply with Surcharge Obligation

This proceeding considered the appropriate action and treatment for interconnected VoIP service providers that have not complied with surcharge obligations to date. <sup>133</sup> The licensing and registration procedures adopted by this decision require telephone corporations to affirm that they have been in good standing with this requirement, or to come into compliance with the requirement.

The OIR asked for party comment on whether penalties should be imposed for non-compliance, and whether a 10 percent annual interest rate assessed on late surcharge remittances and a penalty in the range of \$1,000-\$3,000 per year would be appropriate for non-compliance in most situations.

Cal Broadband believes that "[t]he Commission's focus should be on ensuring that the PPP surcharges have been appropriately paid. VoIP providers

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> Res. T-17704 at 11 ("The CPUC has imposed a 10 [percent] interest payment on untimely remitted surcharges across all of its [public purpose programs] innumerable times, and has done so for retroactive periods of varying lengths."). When all existing interconnected VoIP service providers are migrated to either a non-facilities-based fixed interconnected VoIP operating authority (DVF status) or a nomadic-only interconnected VoIP status (DVN status), a new registration date will be issued. Even with a new registration date, the obligation to report and remit surcharge payment plus 10 percent interest continues to be based on the original registration date of the VoIP provider.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> The preliminary scope of issues in the OIR include whether surcharge obligations should be enforced upon Nomadic-Only Interconnected VoIP service (OIR preliminary scope 1.g. at 7) and some parties commented on this issue in their comments on the OIR. However, this issue was not retained in Scoping Memo and therefore this issue is not addressed.

that have remitted surcharges — whether through a registered Section 285 Provider or an affiliated, certificated carrier — should not be penalized by the Commission, nor be limited from participating in the new VoIP licensing framework."<sup>134</sup>

AT&T states, "[t]he Commission already imposes "penalty interest" at an annual 10 percent rate on late and unremitted Public Purpose Program surcharges..." Consolidated and Frontier states that a 25 percent late fee on top of the 10 percent late fee is excessive and should not be adopted. In addition, AT&T also states: "Regarding potential penalties for the non-payment of the user fee, there is no authorizing statute that permits the Commission to collect the user fee from VoIP providers. Thus, any penalty related to non-payment of the user fee would not be allowed." 135

Cloud recommends "[t]he Commission should consider a limited window in which providers can fix any reporting and remitting failures to ensure compliance as the proposed new licensing and registration process develops.

Cloud disagrees with Staff that penalties and fees should be applied..."136

Small Business, US Telecom, Small LECs, Joint Consumers, VON, CTIA, CCIA, and Sangoma were neutral on this proposal.

Upon consideration, the Commission finds that any currently operating telephone corporation will be required to remit any past-due public purpose program surcharges owed for its prior operation and to pay the annual interest rate of 10 percent on past due surcharges. However, in the interest of bringing all currently operating telephone corporations into compliance with surcharge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the OIR at 31-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> AT&T Opening Comments on the OIR at 17; Pub. Util. Code §§ 2107-2108.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 136}$  Cloud Opening Comments on the OIR at 9.

obligations as expeditiously as possible, interconnected VoIP service providers who come forward within 180 calendar days of the issuance date of this decision shall only be assessed the annual interest rate of annual interest rate of 10 percent on past-due surcharges from the time they started operations up until the date they filed an application or registered.

After the 180-day grace period, the 10 percent annual interest rate on late surcharge remittances will be applied, and interconnected VoIP service providers are subject to penalties in the range of \$1,000-\$3,000 consistent with Res. T-17601.<sup>137</sup>

#### 8.1.1.2. Collection of Past Surcharges Owed

Once the application or registration of an interconnected VoIP service provider who has past surcharges owed is approved, Communications Division staff is authorized to calculate the past due surcharges and 10 percent interest for late filed surcharges owed by each telephone corporation. The telephone corporation must, within 30 days of its receipt of Communications Division's notice stating the total amount owed for public purpose program surcharges and interest, submit one cashier's check or money order payable to the California Public Utilities Commission for payment of the total amount owed either by mail or in-person delivery to: California Public Utilities Commission, Fiscal Office, Room 3000, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102. Providers coming into compliance with the surcharge obligations must include a written identification stating the decision number and the proceeding number, such as the following: "Per Decision [insert number of this decision] of R.22-08-008."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> Res. T-17601, Appendix A (\$1000 per year up to a maximum of \$3000 for not reporting and/or remitting surcharges).

payment deadline will result in additional collections or enforcement action against the service provider.

#### 8.1.2. Public Safety

Since 2005, interconnected VoIP service providers have been subject to the FCC's E911 obligations. <sup>138</sup>

On June 3, 2005, in the *IP-Enabled Services Proceeding*, the FCC adopted rules requiring providers of interconnected VoIP service to supply enhanced 9-1-1 (E911) capabilities to their customers. <sup>139,140</sup> "Interconnected" VoIP service is defined as follows: (1) the service enables real-time, two-way voice communications; (2) the service requires a broadband connection from the user's location; (3) the service requires IP-compatible customer premises equipment (CPE); and (4) the service offering permits users generally to receive calls that originate on the PSTN and to terminate calls to the PSTN. <sup>141</sup>

The FCC stated that it had not decided whether interconnected VoIP services are telecommunications or information services. Thus, the FCC analyzed the issues under its Title I ancillary jurisdiction to encompass both types of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> *IP-Enabled Services Proceeding,* First Report and Order and NOPR (WC Docket No. 04-36) 20 FCC Rcd 10245 at ¶¶ 36-51 and cited by VON in Opening Comments on the OIR at 4, *Implementation of the NET 911 Improvement Act of 2008*, 23 FCC Rcd 15884 (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Basic 9-1-1 systems transmit calls from the service provider's switch to an appropriate public safety entity. Basic 9-1-1 is not capable of processing caller's location, nor does it provide the public safety entity with the caller's location information or, in some cases, a call back number. In contrast, enhanced 9-1-1 systems route calls through the use of a selective router to a geographically appropriate public safety entity based on the caller's location. E911 provides the call taker with the caller's call back number, and in many cases, location information. (*IP-Enabled Services Proceeding*, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶¶ 12-13.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> *IP-Enabled Services Proceeding,* First Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NOPR) (WC Docket No. 04-36) 20 FCC Rcd 10245 at ¶ 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> *IP-Enabled Services Proceeding*, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 24.

services.<sup>142,143</sup> The FCC concluded it had authority to impose E911 requirements on interconnected VoIP service providers under the broad regulatory authority conferred by Title I of the 1934 Communications Act (47 U.S.C. § 152(a)), which applies to "all interstate and foreign communications by wire or radio . . . ."<sup>144,145</sup>

The 911 Order applies to all interconnected VoIP service providers, both "nomadic" and "fixed." The FCC noted that the implementation challenges faced by "nomadic" or "portable" interconnected VoIP service providers were similar to obstacles faced by wireless service providers in implementing E911. Recognizing that, currently, it is not always technologically possible to automatically determine the location of end users without end users" active cooperation, the FCC required providers of interconnected VoIP services to obtain location information from their customers. Furthermore, where services can be used from more than one physical location, interconnected VoIP providers must provide their end users with one or more methods of updating

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> IP-Enabled Services Proceeding, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Ancillary jurisdiction may be employed when Title I of the Act gives the FCC subject matter jurisdiction over the service to be regulated, and the assertion of jurisdiction is "reasonably ancillary to the effective performance of [the FCC's] various responsibilities." (*United States v. Southwestern Cable Co.* (1968) 392 U.S. 157, 178.) The FCC found that both predicates for ancillary jurisdiction were satisfied in the instant case. (*IP-Enabled Services Proceeding*, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 27.) The FCC noted that this order in no way prejudges how the FCC might ultimately classify interconnected VoIP services. "To the extent that the Commission later finds these services to be telecommunications services, the Commission would have additional authority under Title II to adopt these rules." (*IP-Enabled Services Proceeding*, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 26.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> IP-Enabled Services Proceeding, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 26.

 $<sup>^{145}</sup>$  The FCC found an additional and separate source of authority in its plenary numbering authority over U.S. NANP numbers granted to the FCC in Section 251(e) of the Act. (*IP-Enabled Services Proceeding*, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 33.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> IP-Enabled Services Proceeding, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 25.

information regarding the user's physical location. The most recent location provided by a customer is the "Registered Location." 147,148

The FCC expanded 9-1-1 rules in 2019 to update 9-1-1 dispatchable location requirements for communications providers.<sup>149</sup> Dispatchable location means the 9-1-1 operator automatically receives from the telephone service provider the location of the 9-1-1 caller from the telephone service provider, even when the underlying technology permits mobility, *e.g.*, interconnected VoIP or wireless service.

The Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA), released August 5, 2005, requires telecommunications equipment, facilities, and services to have necessary surveillance capabilities in order to preserve the ability of law enforcement agencies to conduct electronic surveillance.<sup>150</sup>

In the *CALEA Order*, the FCC explained that the term "telecommunications carrier" under CALEA is broader and more inclusive than the similar definition of "telecommunications carrier" in the 1934 Communications Act. <sup>151</sup> The FCC ruled that both facilities-based broadband Internet access service providers and providers of interconnected VoIP services are "telecommunications carriers" under CALEA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> *IP-Enabled Services Proceeding*, First Report and Order and NOPR at ¶ 46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> In November of 2007, the House of Representatives passed a bill (H.R. 3403 (Gordon)) that would mandate all interconnected VoIP providers to provide 9-1-1 services as required by the FCC. On February 26, 2008, the Senate passed a similar bill (S.428 (Nelson)). The two bills now must be reconciled.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> FCC 19-76A1, implementing RAY BAUM'S Act of 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act and Broadband Access and Services, First Report and Order and NOPR (ET Docket No. 04-295) (2005) 20 FCC Rcd 14989.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> CALEA Order at ¶ 10.

#### 8.1.3. State Law

The Commission requires that providers that own, operate, or are "otherwise responsible for" infrastructure in Tier 2 and Tier 3 high-fire threat districts that support the transport of voice services, including interconnected VoIP, comply with the Commission's 72-hour backup power requirement for network facilities and the corresponding annual reporting requirements. Providers must maintain sufficient backup power to maintain access for all customers to minimum service levels and coverage including 9-1-1 service, 2-1-1, ability to receive alerts and notifications, and basic internet browsing during a disaster or commercial power outage and the provider's long-term investment plan to comply with the 72-hour back up power requirement maintaining the Network Resiliency Strategies. The Commission requires providers to file annual compliance reporting of Communications Resiliency Plans pursuant to Section 5.6.2 of D.21-02-029 detailing the network's ability to maintain service in a disaster or an electric grid outage. The commission requires provides to maintain service in a disaster or an electric grid outage.

Facilities-based providers of telephony services, including those providing interconnected VoIP service, must follow the Commission's mandate pursuant to AB 2393 (Ch. 776, Stats. 2006) and D.10-01-026 to educate their customers regarding backup power batteries associated with equipment facilitating telephony service at the customer's premises. Interconnected VoIP service providers also report community isolation outages to the Governor's Office of Emergency Services, in compliance with Government Code (Gov. Code)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> D.21-02-029. Frontier and AT&T characterize network resiliency as an important policy objective; Frontier Opening Comments on the OIR at 1 and AT&T Reply Comments on the OIR at 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> D.21-02-029 at 91-92.

Section 2480.2 and Section 2480.3, and concurrently file FCC Network Outage Reporting System (NORS) reports with the Commission, in compliance with GO 133-D. Network outage reporting to emergency responders, impacted customers and the general public at the onset of, and throughout a disaster or Public Safety Power Shutoff.<sup>154</sup>

#### 8.1.4. Consumer Protection

All telephone corporations, regardless of the CPUC regulatory framework applicable to the services they provide, are required by federal and state laws to ensure prices, taxes, charges and fees are made clear to customers before, during and after the customer buys the service. As Cal Broadband notes, all interconnected VoIP service providers are also subject to laws of general applicability such as laws: (1) prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce; 20 prohibiting untrue, misleading, and fraudulent statements in advertising; 30 requiring disclosure of solicitation at initial point

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> D.21-02-029 at 91-92. (See also Cal. Gov. Code § 53122.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> Section 2896 and GO 168 require that providers disclose sufficient information about pricing and charges on bills. Section 2890 requires that a telephone bill only contain charges that were authorized by a subscriber. The Commission's rules exempting telephone corporations from tariff requirements require the telephone corporation to instead make price information clearly and easily accessible to customers as directed in D.98-10-031 and D.07-09-018. The FCC Truth in Billing rules prohibit unauthorized charges and require consumers' bills to contain a brief, clear, non-misleading, plain language description of the service or services rendered to accompany each charge (47 C.F.R. § 64.2401). New FCC "Broadband Nutrition Label" rules adopted in 2024 require point-of-sale and webpage disclosures of all fees and charges for broadband internet access services. These labels provide full transparency as to the charges and fees (and broadband speed and capacity among other things) that consumers face with a service. (*See* 47 C.F.R. § 8.1(a)(1).)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 45(a)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> California Business and Professions Code § 17500.

of contact;<sup>159</sup> (4) establishing legal obligations stemming from breaches in data security.<sup>160</sup>

As part of its general oversight of telecommunications in California, the Commission offers several programs to assist consumers resolve individual issues with communications service providers. <sup>161</sup> In addition, all CPUC regulatory frameworks, including those for wireless and nomadic-only interconnected VoIP services, require the provider to keep current the company's regulatory contact information.

## 8.2. New Obligations and Exemptions Applicable to All Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers

#### 8.2.1. Initial and Annual Performance Bond

The Staff Proposal 2.a. recommended all interconnected VoIP service providers be subject to performance bond requirements. The Commission has established, and this decision affirms, requiring a performance bond except for service providers who are exempt from this requirement.<sup>162</sup>

In the interest of competitive neutrality and consumer protection, all interconnected VoIP service providers are required to provide proof of the required performance bond. Therefore, all interconnected VoIP service providers granted operating authority by this decision must submit an initial performance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> *Id.* at § 17500.3(a), et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> California Civil Code § 1798.82.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> The Commission's Consumer Affairs Bureau (CAB) assists consumers to resolve complaints. The Commission's Telecommunications Education and Assistance in Multiple Languages (TEAM) works with limited and non-English speaking communities through Community Based Organizations to educate, train, and mediate consumer complaints with telecommunications providers.

 $<sup>^{162}</sup>$  D.13-05-035 at OP 5 exempts ILECs and Carriers of Last Resort from performance bond requirements.

bond via Tier 1 advice letter between March 1 and May 31, 2025. <sup>163</sup> The performance bond requirements established in D.13-05-035 are adopted for all interconnected VoIP service providers to facilitate the collection of fines penalties, taxes, surcharges, fees, and restitution to customers. The performance bond must be a continuous bond (*i.e.*, there is no termination date on the bond) issued by a corporate surety company authorized to transact surety business in California, and the Commission must be listed as the obligee on the bond.

An original hard copy of the performance bond must be submitted to the Commission's Communications Division-Telco Licensing Registration Oversight Section (or its successor) for record keeping. However, if no hard copy exists (the performance bond is only in electronic version), the interconnected VoIP service provider must submit an attestation with its initial performance bond advice letter filing that there is no original hard copy provided by the surety company and that the electronic bond is the same legal instrument as a paper bond. The hard copy document must be sent to the following address:

California Public Utilities Commission ATTN: Communications Division – Performance Bond 505 Van Ness Avenue, Third Floor San Francisco, California 94102

All interconnected VoIP service providers must also comply with the annual performance bond requirements as established in D.13-03-035. Due to the timing and filing schedule associated with initial performance bonds, interconnected VoIP service providers that file initial performance bonds pursuant to this decision will have their first annual performance bond filing due on March 31, 2026, and continue annually thereafter.

 $<sup>^{163}</sup>$  Interconnected VoIP providers already in possession of operating authority have already satisfied this obligation.

### 8.2.1.1. Performance Bond Requirements Updates

Prior to today's decision, the performance bond amount for existing applicants for operating authority had to be equal to or greater than 10 percent of intrastate revenues reported to the Commission during the preceding calendar year or \$25,000, whichever is greater. One standard amount is more administratively efficient. Today's decision establishes a minimum bond requirement of \$25,000 for existing and new registrants alike. Existing service providers who currently have a bond in the amount of 10 percent of intrastate revenue may either continue to maintain a bond in that amount or may obtain a new bond for \$25,000. If a performance bond is modified or replaced by a new bond, the service provider must file the updated or new bond as a Tier 1 advice letter. Additionally, some telephone corporations were required to maintain two performance bonds to comply with separate requirements established under its CPCN and NDIEC authorities. This is no longer necessary, and all telephone corporations are only required to maintain one performance bond per Utility ID Number with a minimum amount of \$25,000. Communications Division staff will update the performance bond template on its website to reflect the change to the performance bond amount as well as to reference both D.10-09-017/D.11-09-026 and D.13-05-035. Moving forward, the new bond template must be used by new and existing telephone corporations who obtain a new performance bond. Telephone corporations who have a bond in place using the old performance bond template are not required to update their performance bond to the new template unless they elect to obtain a new performance bond.

The Staff Proposal 4.b. recommended making the performance bond advice letter filing consistent between CPCN holders and Section 1013

registration holders by requiring the filing via a Tier 1 advice letter instead of an information-only submittal. In addition, the Staff Proposal recommended that telephone corporations submit additional supporting documentation with their annual performance bond advice letter including, but not limited to, a continuation certificate, payment invoice, or other documentation that shows the performance bond is still in full force and effect to facilitate staff review and confirm the bond is still in effect.<sup>164</sup>

Joint Consumers supported the changes to performance bond requirements in the Staff Proposal. Consolidated, Frontier and Small LECs disagreed and recommended keeping the existing practice of relying on information-only submittals to confirm performance bond requirements for registration license holders. Gal Broadband submits that, because performance bond filings are simple and straightforward, license holders should be permitted to file performance bonds via an information-only submittal, as NDIECs do today. AT&T agrees with the majority of commenters who say performance bonds should remain an information-only submittal. Cloud believes that business-only interconnected VoIP providers should not be required to file performance bonds.

For administrative ease and consistency, this decision adopts the Staff Proposal's recommendations to the initial and annual performance bond

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> Staff Proposal 4.b., OIR at A-11 to A-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> Joint Consumers Opening Comments on the OIR at 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> Consolidated Opening Comments on the OIR at 9, Frontier Opening Comments on the OIR at 12, Small LECs Opening Comments on the OIR at 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the OIR at 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> AT&T Reply Comments on the OIR at 14-15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> Cloud Opening Comments on the OIR at 11.

requirements for all telephone corporations including interconnected VoIP service providers. Particularly, we adopt the following: (1) all telephone corporations are only required to maintain one performance bond per Utility ID Number with a minimum amount of \$25,000, (2) all advice letters related to performance bonds are submitted using the Tier 1 advice letter process, and (3) annual performance bond filings must include supporting documentation that demonstrates that the performance bond is still in full force and continuous for the duration of the telephone corporation's active license or registration with the Commission.<sup>170</sup>

### 8.2.2. California Public Utilities Commission User Fees

Staff recommended all interconnected VoIP service providers be required to pay the CPUC User Fee, a fee collected from all public utilities to finance the Commission's annual operating budget.<sup>171</sup> Cal Broadband, TURN and CforAT, and Small Business support this recommendation.<sup>172</sup> We agree.

Pub. Util. Code Section 431 requires that the Commission annually determine a fee to be paid by every telephone corporation. As telephone corporations, the CPUC User Fee should apply to all interconnected VoIP service providers. The Commission has jurisdiction and regulatory oversight over them. We see no basis for exempting these telephone corporations from the same CPUC User Fee obligations as other providers of telephone service in California.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> Telephone corporations that request approval to voluntarily surrender operating authority pursuant to Res. T-17723 are required to keep their performance bond active until the request has been approved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Staff Proposal 2.a., OIR at A-7. The CPUC User fee was established in Section 401. Section 431 directs the Commission to collect user fees from public utilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> Pub. Util. Code § 431(a).

Similarly, since August 6, 2007, the FCC has concluded that interconnected VoIP service providers would be required to pay FCC regulatory fees.<sup>174</sup> The FCC noted that "interconnected VoIP providers offer a service that is almost indistinguishable, for the consumers' point of view, from the services offered by interstate telecommunications service providers.<sup>175</sup> Furthermore, the FCC stated that "the explosive growth of the VoIP industry in recent years" and the extent to which interconnected VoIP service is used as a substitute for analog voice service have necessitated a number of FCC rulemaking proceedings pertaining to interconnected VoIP services.<sup>176</sup>

The Commission annually updates the CPUC User Fee. As of January 1, 2024, the CPUC User Fee rate for all telephone corporations is 1.2 percent of intrastate revenues as adopted by Res. M-4870.<sup>177</sup> All telephone corporations with annual gross intrastate revenues in excess of \$750,000 are required to remit this fee quarterly, by the 15th of April, July, October, and January. Those with annual gross intrastate revenues of \$750,000 or less are directed to remit the fee annually on or before January 15.<sup>178</sup> All telephone corporations including interconnected VoIP service providers are subject to the late payment penalty for CPUC User Fees as set forth in Pub. Util. Code Section 405.

 $<sup>^{174}</sup>$  Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2007, Report and Order (Regulatory Fees Order) (MD Docket No. 07-81) (2007) 22 FCC Rcd 15712 at ¶ 11. The FCC again asserted its Title I ancillary jurisdiction to its order for interconnected VoIP providers to pay regulatory fees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Regulatory Fees Order at ¶ 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> Regulatory Fees Order at ¶ 18.

 $<sup>^{177}</sup>$  Regardless of revenues, a minimum annual CPUC User Fee of \$100 is required as established in D.10-09-017 and D.13-05-035.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> Ibid.

### 8.2.3. Annual Affiliate Transaction Report

As telephone corporations, all interconnected VoIP service providers shall be required to file an Annual Affiliate Transaction Report. Cal Broadband asserts such requirements are unnecessary, costly and burdensome because the interconnected VoIP market is competitive, and no interconnected VoIP service provider has substantial market power.<sup>179</sup>

Section 587 and Section 797, however, obligate the Commission to monitor and audit the transactions between telephone corporations and affiliates. Certain exemptions to regulatory obligations depend upon whether a telephone corporation is affiliated with an incumbent. For example, D.04-10-038 exempts telephone corporations except those affiliated with an incumbent from formal application filings to transfer control or assets pursuant to Sections 851-854. In another example, D.99-02-038 exempts competitive local exchange providers from the requirement to adhere to FCC accounting, unless the competitive provider is affiliated with an incumbent. With regard to a competitive market, affiliate relationships directly impact the degree of competition in the market. Maintaining a current status on affiliate relationships among corporations is fundamental to identifying how many independent services are available to consumers.

Therefore, all interconnected VoIP service providers, regardless of whether they provide nomadic-only service, will be subject to the Affiliate Transaction Reporting Requirements established by D.93-02-019. The annual affiliate transaction report, using the Communications Division's prescribed reporting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on Scoping Memo at 16.

template,<sup>180</sup> must be submitted to the Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> no later than May 1 of the year following the calendar year for which the report is submitted. Due to the timing and filing schedule associated with the annual affiliate transaction report, all migrated interconnected VoIP service providers must file their first annual affiliate transaction report on or before May 1, 2026, and continue annually thereafter.

# 8.2.4. Annual Report on Operations and Financials Pursuant to General Order 104-A

All interconnected VoIP service providers except nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers are required to comply with the same annual reporting requirements on operations and financials pursuant to GO 104-A applicable to all telephone corporations. Therefore, all interconnected VoIP service providers excluding nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers (*i.e.*, DVN status) are subject to the Annual Reports on operations and financials pursuant to GO 104-A. The annual report on Operations and Financials, using the Communication Division's prescribed reporting template, <sup>181</sup> must be submitted to the Director of the Communications Division via email to CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov no later than March 31 of the year following the calendar year for which the report is submitted. Due to the timing and filing schedule associated with the annual report, all migrated interconnected VoIP service providers, except those DVN service providers, must file their first

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/annual-report-forms">https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/annual-report-forms</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/annual-report-forms">https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/annual-report-forms</a>.

annual report on operations and financials on or before March 31, 2026, and continue annually thereafter.

# 8.2.5. Maintenance of Books and Records with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Today, CLECs are exempt from the requirement to maintain books and records in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts specified in Title 47 I.E. Part 32, with the exception of CLCs affiliated with ILECs. This decision finds it reasonable to extend this exemption to all interconnected VoIP service providers not affiliated with ILECs as well.

#### 8.2.6. Rates of Service

By 2006, the Commission had ceased regulating rates of telephone service in 2006 for all providers with the exception of small rural incumbent providers. <sup>183</sup> Today, the Commission only regulates rates of small telecommunications providers that are ILECs serving small LEC territories. We find that interconnected VoIP service providers are not and should not be subject to rate regulation, which is consistent with our treatment of most telephone corporations.

### 8.2.7. Tariff Exemptions

Tariffs are a regulatory tool associated with rate regulation, traditionally serving as a reliable source of information about telephone rates and services, and a safeguard against anticompetitive behavior. Because the Commission deregulated all but what is known as "basic service" rates in 2006, tariffs are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>182</sup> D.99-02-038 and D.99-02-038 exempts competitive local exchange providers from the requirement to adhere to FCC accounting, unless the competitive provider is affiliated with an incumbent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>183</sup> D.06-08-030 (ceasing wireline rate regulation) and D.95-10-032 (prohibiting wireless rate regulation in accordance with 47 U.S.C. Section 332(c)(3).

generally not applicable to the telephone services, including interconnected VoIP service, that customers purchase today. However, tariffs are statutorily required by Section 489, Section 491, and Section 495. In two major decisions, D.98-08-031 and D.07-09-081, the Commission found the voice market met the conditions required by Section 495.7 to grant exemptions from the tariff requirements. The Commission's licensing and registration processes allow new market entrants to request an exemption from tariffing requirements on the condition they comply with D.98-10-031. The Commission also relies upon rules of general applicability protecting consumers as described in Section 8.1.4 *Consumer Protection*.

Parties argue regulatory oversight of clear and accessible price information and fair consumer treatment is more important than ever before for the voice service market. No party disagrees with the importance of fair and transparent pricing and consumer protection. The only disagreement among parties is whether the federal oversight of interconnected VoIP providers is sufficient or if state oversight, as implemented by D.98-10-031 and D.07-09-018 is also necessary for interconnected VoIP service providers. No party disagreement among parties is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>184</sup> Sangoma Reply Comments on the OIR at 3-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> Section 495.7 gives the Commission authority to establish procedures for telephone corporations to apply for exemption from tariffing requirements of Sections 454, 489, 491 and to exempt certain classes of providers as a group. The criteria for exempting classes of providers are the Commission finding after finding competitive alternatives are available and sufficient alternative modes of customer protection are in place. The Commission established alternative consumer protections for customers regarding rates and pricing in D. 98-08-031 and D.07-09-018. The procedure for updating tariffs and the alternative consumer protections are also contained General Order 96-B, in the Telecommunication Industry Rules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>186</sup> Small Business Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 3, Joint Consumers Opening Comments on the Scoping Memo at 10-11, 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> Cloud Reply Comments on the Scoping Memo at 6-8.

In D.07-09-018, the Commission considered whether service providers should request exemptions from tariff requirements (called permissive detariffing) or be granted a default exemption from tariff requirements without making a request (called mandatory detariffing). The Commission concluded that mandatory detariffing was statutorily prohibited and since that time, permissive detariffing is allowed. 188 Therefore, permissive detariffing (i.e., detariffing by request) will continue to apply for the CPCN and Section 1013 processes. All interconnected VoIP service providers except nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers may request detariffing for their services in the CPCN application or Section 1013 registration form. Because existing Section 285 registrants have been operating already without tariffs, it is reasonable to grant detariffed status to those Section 285 registrants migrated to operating authority as described in Section 7.1.1 of this decision for all their services. Providers granted CPCNs between August 2022 and the present, who will be migrated as described in Section 7.2.1 of this decision shall retain the tariff (or detariffed) status for their services set forth in their decision granting operating authority.

In D.07-09-018, the Commission concluded once a service was detariffed, the provider of that service would not be required to file anything further with the Commission regarding the detariffed service. This is a logical approach that should be implemented for detariffed services of all types of telephone corporations, including all interconnected VoIP service providers. The current compliance requirement for service providers without tariffed services to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> D.07-09-018 at 53-55, CoL 18-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>189</sup> D.07-09-018 at CoL 27.

annually confirm to the Commission that this remains the case is a vestige of the time when detariffed status was more the exception than the rule. In determining to require interconnected VoIP service providers to possess operating authority, we have also determined to shed legacy compliance requirements. An annual certification for service providers without tariffed services should be among those legacy compliance requirements being shed. Therefore, all types of service providers without tariffed services are relieved of the obligation to annually certify that their services remain detariffed. All interconnected VoIP service providers granted detariffed status may elect to tariff their services. If an interconnected VoIP service provider granted detariffed status through the migration process subsequently decides to offer services that require a tariff or schedule, such as basic service, the interconnected VoIP service provider must submit its proposed tariffs to the Commission's Communications Division via a Tier 2 Advice Letter using the GO 96-B advice letter process at least 30 days before initiation of service. 190 Finally, this decision maintains that all tariffed telephone corporations including interconnected VoIP are required to comply with the tariff requirements pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 489(a). To effectively implement this requirement, all telephone corporations, except nomadic-only interconnected VoIP and WIR service providers, must submit to the commission its complete tariff in effect at the beginning of each year. The annual tariff filing, using the Communications Division's prescribed filing process, must be submitted to the Director of the Communications Division via email to CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov no later than February 15 of each year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>190</sup> Pursuant to D.12-12-038, Appendix A, Section II, parts a and b.

### 8.2.8. Transfer of Control or Assets Pursuant to Sections 851-854

Since 2004, the Commission has permitted all telephone corporations other than incumbents or affiliates of incumbents to seek authority for mergers or transfers of control or assets through a Tier 2 advice letter with certain exceptions as outlined in Appendix G, unless the transactions are subject to the requirements of Sections 854(b)-(c). The Commission has found consumer interests in such transactions involving ILECs utilities require a higher level of scrutiny than in transactions of non-incumbent utilities. The public interest in streamlined regulatory oversight relied upon by the Commission in D.04-10-038 is a compelling reason to grant the same advice letter approval to all interconnected VoIP service providers except those affiliated with incumbents. All interconnected VoIP service providers not affiliated with incumbents may utilize the advice letter process reprinted for convenience in this decision in Appendix G for prospective authority to transfer assets or control subject to Sections 851-854.

## 8.2.8.1. Transfers Involving Nomadic-Only Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers

Consistent with current rules for transfers involving CMRS providers,<sup>191</sup> all nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers must submit an information-only submittal setting forth changes in the provider's registration information. However, if the circumstances warrant further review, the Commission may require the transaction to be reviewed in an application or other formal proceeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>191</sup> GO 96-B Telco Industry Rule 8.6.3.

# 8.2.9. Exemption for Transfers of Assets, Transfers of Assets for Purposes of Securing Debt, and Issuance of Stocks and Securities Under Sections 816-830 and Section 851

The Commission exempted NDIECs from the requirements of the obligations established in Sections 816-830 concerning stocks and security in D.85-01-008, subsequently modified in D.85-07-081 and D.85-11-044. The exemption applies also to Section 851 transfers only when the transfer or encumbrance is for purposes of securing debt. The Commission allowed the same exemptions for non-facilities-based CLECs in D.96-02-072 and D.97-01-015.

Cal Broadband argues the same exemptions should apply to interconnected VoIP providers as "communications providers may have already organized their business to provide VoIP service via a different affiliate than the one that is certificated or registered as a CLEC or NDIEC for legitimate business, operational, and-or regulatory reasons." <sup>192</sup>

This decision exempts all interconnected VoIP service providers and all facilities-based CLECs from Sections 816-830 pertaining to the issuance of stocks and securities and from Section 851 transfers only when the transfer or encumbrance is for purposes of securing debt, with the exception of affiliates associated with incumbents. Regulatory oversight of issuance of stocks and securities and pertaining to transfers for purposes of securing debt is relevant to rate regulated entities. Today, the Commission does not regulate the rates of full facilities-based CLECs with the exception of affiliates associated with incumbents, so it is appropriate to apply this exemption to all CLECs, consistent with our treatment of interconnected VoIP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>192</sup> Cal Broadband Opening Comments on OIR at 18.

### 8.2.10. Service Offered in Small LEC Service Territories

In 2020, the Commission issued D.20-08-011 adopting specific obligations for service providers seeking and obtaining operating authority to provide voice wireline service in the territories served by the Small LECs. In this proceeding, the Small LECs requested the Commission consider whether and how the obligations established for facilities-based CLECs serving customers in Small LEC areas would apply to interconnected VoIP service providers. 193 Many of the requirements adopted by the Commission for competitive wireline voice service providers are already extended to facilities-based interconnected VoIP service providers in the instant decision, e.g. compliance with affiliate transaction rules and reporting requirements, consumer protection and public safety and reliability requirements. 194 All interconnected VoIP service providers seeking and obtaining facilities-based operating authority in the territories of the Small LECs (Calaveras Telephone Company, Cal-Ore Telephone Company, Ducor Telephone Company, Foresthill Telephone Company, Kerman Telephone Company, Pinnacles Telephone Company, The Ponderosa Telephone Company, Sierra Telephone Company, Siskiyou Telephone Company, Volcano Telephone Company, Happy Valley Telephone Company, Hornitos Telephone Company, and Winterhaven Telephone Company) shall comply with the applicable rules adopted in Appendix A and Appendix B of D.20-08-011.

#### 8.3. Enforcement

On June 21, 2018, the Commission adopted Res. T-17601 that authorized Communications Division to implement a citation program for enforcing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>193</sup> Small LEC Reply Comments on the OIR at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>194</sup> D.20-08-011 at CoL 8, CoL 14.

compliance by telephone corporations with the Commission's resolutions, decisions, orders and the Public Utilities Code. The public interest requires the rules and requirements established in this decision be equally enforced in the same manner. Thus, this decision confirms Communications Division's authority to issue citations pursuant to Res. T-17601 to all telephone corporations including interconnected VoIP service providers.

All enforcement tools that the CPUC uses against other telephone corporations and public utilities apply equally to all interconnected VoIP service providers.

### 9. Summary of Public Comment

Rule 1.18 allows any member of the public to submit written comment in any Commission proceeding using the "Public Comment" tab of the online Docket Card for that proceeding on the Commission's website. Rule 1.18(b) requires that relevant written comment submitted in a proceeding be summarized in the final decision issued in that proceeding. No comments were posted on the public comments tab in the docket of this proceeding.

#### 10. Conclusion

This decision establishes a new regulatory framework applicable to interconnected VoIP services, and determines the necessary and appropriate regulatory obligations depending on whether the interconnected VoIP service provider is fixed or nomadic, facilities-based or non-facilities-based, and whether the interconnected VoIP service provider is affiliated with an incumbent provider. Furthermore, this decision streamlines the Commission's CPCN application and Section 1013 registration granting operating authority to wireline telephone corporations to remove extraneous and outdated requirements and clarify requirements and obligations. Finally, this decision establishes a Nomadic

Registration process for the Commission to oversee the provision of voice service by nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers, consistent with FCC Orders, including the FCC Vonage Order and subsequent FCC orders limiting its preemptive effect over state market entry regulations.

### 11. Request to File Under Seal and other Procedural Matters

On March 9, 2023, Comcast and Charter filed public and confidential versions of their responses to the ALJ Ruling seeking further information concerning technological distinctions of interconnected VoIP services issued February 16, 2023. Pursuant to Section 583, GO 66-D, and Rule 11.4, Comcast and Charter filed motions for confidential treatment of their subscribership information contained in the confidential versions of their responses to Question 5 of the ALJ Ruling. In their motion, Comcast and Charter assert their subscribership information is confidential according to state and federal law and Commission precedent, and request the Commission afford confidential treatment to the sensitive information therein.

No party opposed the motions of Comcast and Charter to file under seal. Good cause having been show, the motions to file under seal are granted and the confidential versions of the Comcast and Charter responses shall be protected from public disclosure.

This decision also affirms all rulings made by the ALJ and assigned Commissioner in this proceeding. All motions not ruled on are deemed denied.

### 12. Comments on Proposed Decision

The proposed decision of Commissioner John Reynolds in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Pub. Util. Code Section 311 and comments were allowed under Rule 14.3. Comments were filed on

		, and reply comments were filed or	n
by .	hv	1 7	

### 13. Assignment of Proceeding

John Reynolds is the assigned Commissioner and Camille Watts-Zagha is the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

### **Findings of Fact**

### Regulatory Framework

- 1. Interconnected VoIP service is functionally similar to existing wireline telephone service in that both provide voice service to end users by connecting to the public switched telephone network (PSTN), regardless of the underlying technology used to provide the voice service.
- 2. A two-way voice communication that may be originated or terminated from the PSTN is what makes VoIP service interconnected.
- 3. The Commission has established regulatory frameworks for the following categories of voice service: (1) local exchange service; (2) interexchange service; and (3) commercial mobile radio service (wireless service).
- 4. Interconnected VoIP service does not involve distinguishing between local service and long-distance service as occurs within the traditional wireline regulatory framework.
- 5. The Commission's existing designations of service types of LEC, CLC, IEC, CLR, and IER are not appropriate for classifying interconnected VoIP service.
- 6. The Commission's term-of-art "switchless reseller" that applies to licensing and registration of traditional local and long-distance service providers does not apply to interconnected VoIP service.

- 7. Interconnected VoIP service requires its own regulatory framework that appropriately distinguishes between the two main types of interconnected VoIP service, which are generally characterized as fixed or nomadic.
- 8. Fixed interconnected VoIP service is not operated independently from the network operator providing the broadband connection.
- 9. Fixed interconnected VoIP service includes last mile infrastructure associating the service with one primary location.
- 10. Fixed interconnected VoIP service may include ancillary nomadic functionality that allows the voice service to be accessed from locations other than the primary location.
- 11. Fixed interconnected VoIP service may be accessed by more than one type of end-user communications device.
- 12. While nomadic interconnected VoIP service resembles the voice service provided by fixed interconnected VoIP service or traditional telephony service providers, the FCC, in the Vonage Order (19 FCC Rcd. 22404) found some fundamental differences that made it impossible or impracticable to separate the service into intrastate and interstate components.
- 13. The key characteristics of nomadic interconnected VoIP service are
  (1) service may be used from more than one location or at multiple locations
  anywhere, (2) service can be accessed from any broadband connection, (3) service
  is provided to nomadic (portable) IP compatible communication devices, and
  (4) provider cannot track exact location of calls.
- 14. Nomadic interconnected VoIP service uses North American Numbering Plan numbers as the identification mechanism for the user's Internet address, but those numbers are not necessarily tied to the user's physical location for either assignment or use.

- 15. The FCC, in the Vonage Order, preempted states from adopting regulations that act as conditions to market entry for nomadic interconnected VoIP services.
- 16. Nomadic interconnected VoIP service with the four key characteristics that the FCC relied upon to preempt state market entry regulations shall be identified in the Commission's Interconnected VoIP regulatory framework as "nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service".
- 17. Nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service may be described as network operator agnostic and end-user communications device agnostic.
- 18. The Commission identified interconnected VoIP service providers registered through the prior Section 285 registration process with the utility type designation DVS.
- 19. The utility type designation DVS does not distinguish between fixed interconnected VoIP service providers and nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 20. A new utility type designation DVF is necessary to identify a fixed interconnected VoIP service provider for California regulatory purposes.
- 21. A new utility type designation DVN is necessary to identify a nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service provider for California regulatory purposes, and should be used to classify interconnected VoIP service providers with the following characteristics: (1) service may be used from more than one location or at multiple locations anywhere, (2) service can be accessed from any broadband connection, (3) service is provided to nomadic (portable) IP compatible communication devices, (4) provider cannot track exact location of calls, (5) provider does not have facilities, and (6) does not provide any component of fixed interconnected VoIP service.

- 22. The utility type designations DVF and DVN should be established to facilitate regulation of interconnected VoIP service providers in California.
- 23. Full facilities-based providers refers to telecommunications providers, including interconnected VoIP service providers, owning, operating, or intending to build or install telecommunications infrastructure and equipment in public rights-of-ways or engage in other trenching activity.
- 24. Limited facilities-based providers refers to telecommunications providers, including interconnected VoIP service providers, owning or operating telecommunications infrastructure or equipment and installing it within existing structures or facilities of other licensed providers, public utilities, or municipalities.
- 25. Public utility telephone corporations that do not own or operate telecommunications network infrastructure are considered non-facilities-based providers.
- 26. Interconnected VoIP service providers may be facilities-based, limited facilities-based, or non-facilities-based.
  - 27. The Nomadic Registration fee should be set at \$250.
- 28. The cost of processing the Nomadic Registration will increase over time due to inflation and should be adjusted annually using the BLS CPI-U calculator unless the Commission makes a finding stating otherwise.

### Application and Registration Processes and Requirements

- 29. Outdated or unclear requirements are barriers to a competitive and neutral telecommunications market.
- 30. Making uniform changes to CPCN application processes and Section 1013 registration processes allows interconnected VoIP service providers to be licensed through the CPCN application or Section 1013 registration.

- 31. Fairness and neutrality are maintained by streamlining the CPCN application processes and Section 1013 registration processes for all telephone corporation utility types.
- 32. Currently applicants found ineligible for the Section 1013 registration and transferred to the CPCN application are not required to pay the full CPCN application fee.
- 33. The administrative burden to process a CPCN application is higher than the administrative burden to process a Section 1013 registration application.
- 34. Currently telephone corporations seeking operating authority are required to document possession of financial resources in the following amounts:
  - a. Non-facilities-based providers at least \$25,000 in unencumbered cash;
  - b. Facilities-based providers at least \$100,000 in unencumbered cash; and
  - c. Any service provider intending to interconnect with ILECs an amount equal to the deposit required by the ILECs or \$25,000.
- 35. The means by which telephone corporations may document possession of financial resources was originally specified in D.95-07-054 and D.95-12-056, Appendix C and affirmed in D.13-05-035.
- 36. The means by which applicants for operating authority document possession of "cash or cash equivalent," in the required amounts has been the subject of confusion.
- 37. An acceptable means of documentation for "cash or cash equivalent" is a sequence of three unaudited bank statements, as follows:
  - a. At the time of application, an unaudited bank statement dated within two months of the application date for a CPCN or Section 1013 registration included with the application; and

- b. At six and 12 months after of the issuance date of the authorizing decision, unaudited bank statements submitted to the Commission in an information-only submittal within eight and 14 months, respectively.
- 38. The Energy Division's 21-day expedited process is used for approval of projects proposed by telephone corporations that are highly likely to be categorically exempt from CEQA review, and was previously made available through decisions in individual applications.
- 39. A CPCN application form is required to streamline the process to obtain operating authority for telephone corporations.
- 40. The Section 1013 registration form requires updates to incorporate interconnected VoIP service providers and incorporate the changes made by this decision.
- 41. A Nomadic Registration form is required to register nomadic-only interconnected VoIP providers.
- 42. The Communications Division is responsible for maintaining application forms on the Commission website consistent with Commission decisions updating the regulatory obligations of all telecommunications provider utility types.
- 43. Establishing a Nomadic Registration process will allow the Commission to administer the regulatory obligations applicable to nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 44. A self-attestation form is the appropriate vehicle for interconnected VoIP service providers to qualify for Nomadic Registration.
- 45. In D.97-09-035, the Commission granted presumptive confidential treatment for one year to financial documentation supporting Section 1013 applications for operating authority as long as the applicant submitted

commercially valuable financial documentation in a separate envelope clearly marked as directed in D.97-09-035.

- 46. In 2018, the Commission updated its confidentiality rules and guidelines in GO 66-D.
- 47. In D.20-08-031, the Commission updated GO 66-D containing the requirements for public utilities seeking confidential treatment of commercially valuable information.
- 48. The requirements for granting confidential treatment for requisite proof of financial resources, and estimated costs of construction and customer numbers supporting registration applications for operating authority should be updated to be consistent with GO 66-D and should be extended to applications for operating authority through a CPCN.
- 49. The Commission currently makes individual determinations in CPCN decisions on the confidential treatment of documents proving applicants' financial fitness through certain financial documents and instruments showing their financial resources.
- 50. The Commission currently makes individual determinations in CPCN decisions on the confidential treatment of applicants' estimated costs of construction and number of customers in the first and fifth year of operation.
- 51. CPCN applicants' motions to file under seal the requisite proof of financial fitness through documentation of their financial resources, as well as statements detailing their estimated costs of construction and estimated number of customers are often undisputed and granted by the Commission.
- 52. The Commission requires applicants seeking operating authority pursuant to Pub. Util. Code section 1001 or 1013 to submit the same financial documents

proving financial fitness, as ordered in D.95-12-056 and D.14-11-004, respectively, and restated in this decision, Appendix F.

- 53. Confidentiality determinations of financial information submitted in Section 1013 or Section 1001 (CPCN) applications to prove financial fitness through documentation of applicants' financial resources, as well as statements detailing estimated costs of construction and customer numbers in CPCN applications, should be consistent with state laws and CPUC orders, including GO 66-D.
- 54. Cal. Gov. Code section 7925.005 allows the Commission to withhold information of an applicant's financial resources that establishes the applicant's qualification for a license or certificate requested.
- 55. Cal. Gov. Code section 7922.000 allows the Commission to withhold information submitted to the Commission by a public utility where the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure.
- 56. Treating as presumptively confidential the requisite proof of financial resources supporting Section 1013 and CPCN applications for operating authority will aid the Commission in efficiently processing these applications, which in turn will benefit consumers by providing quicker access to more communications service providers.
- 57. Treating as presumptively confidential the requisite proof of estimated costs of construction and customer numbers supporting CPCN applications for operating authority will aid the Commission in efficiently processing these applications, which in turn will benefit consumers by providing quicker access to more communications service providers.

- 58. Treating as presumptively confidential the requisite proof of financial resources supporting Section 1013 and CPCN applications and proof of estimated costs of construction and customer numbers supporting CPCN applications promotes a neutral and competitive telecommunications market by expanding consumer access to all qualified service providers.
- 59. Treating as presumptively confidential the financial documents listed in Appendix F of this decision, as well as the estimates of construction costs and customer numbers submitted in CPCN applications, harmonizes the expedited confidentiality process we envisioned for Section 1013 applicants in D.97-09-035 with state law and GO 66-D requirements.
- 60. Annually updating performance bond documentation via a Tier 1 advice letter instead of by information-only submittal will improve administration and streamline requirements for all telephone corporations.
- 61. Annual filing of a continuation certificate, payment invoice, or letter facilitates staff review in order to confirm the performance bond is still in effect.

  Migration
- 62. The Commission registered interconnected VoIP service providers through the prior Section 285 process without distinction to the fixed or nomadic functionality of the service.
- 63. The Commission has granted interconnected VoIP service providers CPCN or Section 1013 operating authority without distinction to the fixed or nomadic functionality of the service.
- 64. Prior Section 285 registrants, with the exception of nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers, require operating authority.
- 65. Prior Section 285 registrants qualifying as nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers require a Nomadic Registration.

- 66. CPCN holders and Section 1013 registrants qualifying as nomadic-only interconnected VoIP providers require a Nomadic Registration.

  Interconnected VoIP Service Providers' Regulatory Obligations
- 67. In D.13-05-035 the Commission found imposition of a performance bond requirement is not a bar to market entry.
- 68. All interconnected VoIP service providers, including nomadic-only, are required to collect, report and remit Public Purpose Program surcharges to support universal service in California.
- 69. Interconnected VoIP service providers out of compliance with Public Purpose Program surcharges requirements must come into compliance to be granted operating authority or given Nomadic Registration.
- 70. Waiving the 10 percent interest on past-due Public Purpose Program surcharges for interconnected VoIP service providers, who remedy their failure within 180 calendar days of the issuance date of this decision to pay past-due charges and late fees in accordance with the deadlines established in Section 8.1.1.1 of this decision, is reasonable to expeditiously bring all currently operating interconnected VoIP service telephone corporations into compliance with Section 285.
- 71. Exempting interconnected VoIP service providers from paying the Section 431 CPUC User Fee is inconsistent with state law obligating all utility telephone corporations to pay the fee.
- 72. The Commission requires information about affiliate relationships among telephone corporations to carry out its duties mandated in Section 587 and Section 797.
- 73. Affiliate relationships directly impact the degree of competition in the market.

- 74. Maintaining a current status on affiliate relationships among corporations is fundamental to identifying how many independent services are available to consumers.
- 75. D.99-02-038 exempts CLECs from the requirement to maintain books and records in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts specified in Title 47 I.E. Part 32, with the exception of CLECs affiliated with ILEC.
- 76. The Commission has the authority to change the procedure for transfers of control or assets subject to Sections 851-854.
- 77. All tariffed telephone corporations, including tariffed interconnected VoIP service providers, are required to comply with the tariff requirements pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 489(a).

### **Procedural Matters**

78. Pursuant to Section 583, GO 66-D, and Rule 11.4, Comcast and Charter filed motions for leave to file confidential versions of their responses to the ALJ Ruling under seal.

#### **Conclusions of Law**

### Regulatory Framework

- 1. The CPUC has broad jurisdiction over public utilities, including public utility services and facilities of telephone corporations.
- 2. Telephone corporations operating in California shall either have a CPCN pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1001, Section 1013 registration, or be a telephone corporation authorized to operate in California without a CPCN or Section 1013 registration.
- 3. Telephone corporations as defined in Section 234, providing service over telephone lines as defined in Section 233, include companies providing service enabled by interconnected VoIP service as defined in Section 239.

- 4. The Commission should establish a distinct regulatory framework for interconnected VoIP service.
- 5. The FCC Vonage Order prohibits state regulation of market entry and rates for interconnected VoIP service available over any broadband connection and for which the provider is unable to determine the geographic location of the customer at the origin and termination point of a telephone call.
- 6. Interconnected VoIP service with the distinguishing features similar to Vonage's voice service at issue in the FCC Vonage Order should be classified as "nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service" for California regulatory purposes.
- 7. Nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers cannot be granted operating authority through the Commission's existing CPCN or Section 1013 registration processes due to the FCC Vonage Order preempting state market entry regulation for such service.
- 8. The regulatory framework for interconnected VoIP service, with the exception of nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service, should generally mirror the existing traditional wireline regulatory framework.
- 9. All interconnected VoIP service providers except providers of nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service should be subject to authority to operate pursuant to either Section 1001 (CPCN)s or Section 1013.
- 10. The regulatory framework for nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service should generally mirror the existing wireless regulatory framework.
- 11. Local service and long-distance service designations of LEC, CLC, IEC, CLR, and IER should not be applied to interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 12. The term switchless reseller is not applicable to interconnected VoIP service.
  - 13. The utility type designation DVS should be discontinued.

- 14. New utility type designations DVF and DVN should be established to facilitate regulation of interconnected VoIP service providers in California.
- 15. DVF should be the utility type designation to identify a fixed interconnected VoIP service provider for California regulatory purposes.
- 16. DVN should be the utility type designation to identify a nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service provider for California regulatory purposes.
- 17. CPCN licensing and Section 1013 registration should be used to grant operating authority for all wireline telephone corporation types except nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 18. All facilities-based utility types including LEC, CLC, IEC, CLR, IER, and DVF require operating authority through a CPCN.
- 19. It is reasonable to allow a period of 180 days for unlicensed interconnected VoIP service providers required by this decision to obtain operating authority to comply with the licensing requirement before becoming subject to a penalty for unlicensed provision of service.
- 20. It is reasonable to allow interconnected VoIP service providers already in possession of operating authority to opt to fulfill regulatory obligations using existing authority and to discontinue the DVS utility type designation and the Utility ID Number associated with the DVS utility type designation.
- 21. The Commission is responsible for administering regulatory obligations applicable to nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 22. Nomadic Registration should be used to establish regulatory oversight of nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 23. All nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers should register with the Commission through a Nomadic Registration.

- 24. Interconnected VoIP service providers filing a Nomadic Registration should include an attestation to the Commission that its voice service has the key characteristics of nomadic service as set forth in the Vonage Order and attest that it cannot separate intrastate calls from interstate calls.
  - 25. The Nomadic Registration fee should be set at \$250.
- 26. Unless the Commission finds otherwise, the Communications Division staff should annually adjust the Nomadic Registration, Section 1013, and WIR registration fees by the consumer price index (CPI) using the United States Bureau of Labor CPI-U calculator on July 1, post the new fees on the Commission's website by July 15, and the new fees will become effective on August 1.

### Application and Registration Processes and Requirements

- 27. The Commission should update and streamline existing licensing and registration processes pertaining to all telephone corporation types.
- 28. It is reasonable to create a CPCN application form for use by all CPCN applicants.
- 29. The fee for operating authority through a CPCN application should be the same regardless of whether the applicant initiates their application using a CPCN application or the Section 1013 registration process.
- 30. A Section 1013 registration applicant notified to pursue operating authority through a CPCNs should be required to pay the full application fee within 15 days of notice.
- 31. The amount of financial resources unencumbered and available for one year following certification required to obtain operating authority for a provider intending to interconnect with ILECs should be changed from the amount equal to the deposits required by ILECs to a flat \$25,000.

- 32. All applicants for operating authority should demonstrate possession of financial resources in their application or registration with any of the financial instruments listed in Appendix F.
- 33. Unaudited bank statement should be added as a means by which applicants for operating authority can document possession of "cash or cash equivalent," in the required amounts, and the financial requirement should be met with a sequence of three unaudited bank statements, as follows:
- 34. At the time of application, an unaudited bank statement dated within two months of the application date for a CPCN or Section 1013 registration included with the application; and
- 35. At six and 12 months after of the issuance date of the authorizing decision, unaudited bank statements submitted to the Commission in an information only submittal, within 8 and 14 months of the issuance date of the authorizing decision, respectively.
- 36. The amount of unencumbered cash required for deposits to be documented for new market entrants seeking to interconnect with ILECs should be \$25,000.
- 37. Disclosing the financial documents, as listed in Appendix F of this decision, which reveals a Section 1013 or CPCN applicant's financial resources, does not serve a public interest that substantially outweighs potentially putting the service provider at a competitive disadvantage by disclosing its financial status.
- 38. Disclosing information of a CPCN applicant's estimated costs of construction and estimated customer numbers does not serve a public interest that substantially outweighs potentially putting the service provider at a

competitive disadvantage by disclosing this type of business expense or market share information.

- 39. The financial documents, as listed in Appendix F of this decision, which reveals a Section 1013 or CPCN applicant's financial resources, and a CPCN applicant's estimated costs of construction and estimated customer numbers qualify for and should be treated as presumptively confidential pursuant to GO 66-D, Section 3.4.
- 40. Presumptively confidential information supporting Section 1013 and CPCN applications must be clearly identified by being submitted in a sealed envelope clearly marked "CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS INFORMATION SUBMITTED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO Decision xx-xx-xxx [insert decision number of final decision in R.22-08-008 once issued]" and must be accompanied by a public confidentiality declaration that specifies the documents contained in the sealed envelope and cite to this decision as the basis for confidential treatment.
- 41. Presumptively confidential information supporting Section 1013 and CPCN applications should be exempt from disclosure pursuant to GO 66-D, Section 3.4, Section 5, and Section 6, including requests for Commission records pursuant to the California Public Records Act.
- 42. All telephone corporations should annually update performance bond documentation via a Tier 1 advice letter to confirm the performance bond is still in full force and effect including, but not limited to, a continuation certificate, payment invoice, or other documentation.
- 43. CPCN applicants, including all providers of telephone service including interconnected VoIP service, should be allowed to utilize the Commission's 21-day expedited CEQA review process if their proposed full facilities-based

project activities are highly likely to qualify for a categorical exemption under CEQA review.

- 44. The Commission should delegate authority to the Communications Division to modify the CPCN application form, the Section 1013 registration form, the Nomadic Registration form, and the WIR form to clarify its contents, improve the accessibility of the document in electronic form, or make other changes as are necessary and consistent with Commission decisions.
- 45. The Commission should create a formal registration process for nomadic-only Interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 46. The formal registration process for nomadic-only Interconnected VoIP service providers should be called Nomadic Registration.
- 47. The Commission should delegate processing of Nomadic Registration to the Director of the Communications Division (or its successor).
- 48. Upon approval by the Director of the Communications Division, nomadic-only Interconnected VoIP service providers should be issued a Utility ID Number and utility type code DVN.
- 49. The Nomadic Registration fee should be set at \$250, and increase annually using the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index-U calculator unless the Commission makes a finding stating otherwise. The Commission should update the Nomadic Registration fee annually on July 1, post the new fee on the Commission's website by July 15, and make the new fee effective as of August 1.
- 50. The fee for Section 1013 registrations and WIRs should be the same as that set for Nomadic Registrations, and on the same schedule, unless the Commission makes a finding stating otherwise.

### **Migration**

- 51. Prior Section 285 registrants, with the exception of nomadic-only Interconnected VoIP service providers, listed in Appendix D of this decision should be automatically migrated to DVF utility type and operating authority 45 calendar days after the date of issuance of today's decision unless the provider opts-out of automatic migration.
- 52. Prior Section 285 registrants opting-out of automatic migration within 45 days of the date of issuance of today's decision should be designated as DVN utility type, if appropriate.
- 53. Prior Section 285 registrants who do not want to continue providing interconnected VoIP services in California and seek to voluntarily surrender their prior Section 285 registration should opt-out from the automatic migration within 45 calendar days of the date of issuance of today's decision.
- 54. CPCN holders and Section 1013 registrants qualifying as fixed interconnected VoIP service providers, listed in Appendix E of this decision, should retain their operating authority and be automatically migrated to DVF utility type 45 days after the date of issuance of today's decision, unless the provider opts-out of automatic migration.
- 55. Existing CPCN holders and Section 1013 registrants opting-out of automatic migration within 45 calendar days of the date of issuance of today's decision should have their utility type changed from IER to DVN and have their operating authority revoked.
- 56. The Commission should not grant any pending CPCN applications and Section 1013 registrations of non-facilities-based nomadic-only interconnected VoIP providers.

- 57. The Commission should annually update the Nomadic Registration fee on July 1, post the new fee on the Commission's website by July 15, and make the new fee effective as of August 1.
- 58. The fee for Section 1013 registrations and WIRs should be the same as that set for Nomadic Registrations, unless the Commission makes a finding stating otherwise.

### Interconnected VoIP Service Providers' Regulatory Obligations

- 59. Except for nomadic-only interconnected VoIP providers, all other interconnected VoIP service providers applying for operating authority that were not registered under the prior Section 285 process should be required to demonstrate possession of the requisite financial resources at the time of application or registration.
- 60. All interconnected VoIP service providers migrated to operating authority or Nomadic Registration should be subject to the performance bond requirement.
- 61. Requiring a performance bond is consistent with the authority granted the Commission pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 701 and Section 709.
- 62. All interconnected VoIP service providers, including nomadic-only, are required to collect, report and remit Public Purpose Program surcharges.
- 63. It is reasonable to require interconnected VoIP service providers to pay the CPUC User fee to help fund Commission regulatory activities on behalf of consumers.
- 64. The establishment of a Nomadic Registration process does not function as a bar to market entry.
- 65. It is reasonable to forbear from assessing penalties on currently operating providers of interconnected VoIP service for a period of 180 days from the issuance of this decision with the exception of public purpose program

surcharges owed and interest payments for late remittance of public purpose program surcharges accrued prior to filing an interconnected VoIP registration pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 285, Section 1013, or Section 1001.

- 66. For a period of 180 days from the issuance of this decision, penalties for failure to pay public purpose program surcharges should be waived for interconnected VoIP service providers that pay all past-due public purpose program surcharges earned on its interconnected VoIP service and 10 percent interest on past-due surcharges from the time of initiating service to the time of filing an application or registration.
- 67. Interconnected VoIP service providers coming into compliance with the surcharge remittances, including a 10 percent interest, within 180 days of the date of issuance of this decision should have other penalties waived.
- 68. Interconnected VoIP providers out of compliance with public purpose program surcharge obligations should provide the Commission's Communications Division with monthly intrastate revenue or monthly active access lines for the relevant duration of the period owed.
- 69. The Commission's Communications Division should be authorized to calculate the amount owed by interconnected VoIP service providers out of compliance with public purpose program surcharge obligations for public purpose program surcharges and late fees owed using the surcharge mechanism in effect for the respective period.
- 70. Interconnected VoIP service providers out of compliance with public purpose program surcharge obligations should report and remit all past-due public purpose program surcharges and interest owed to the Commission within 30 days of receipt of Communications Division's summary of past-due surcharges and interest owed.

- 71. It is reasonable and prudent to apply the Communications Division's Citation Program approved in Res. T-17601 to all interconnected VoIP service providers.
- 72. Interconnected VoIP service providers migrated to DVF utility type should be automatically detariffed.
- 73. In the future, if an interconnected VoIP service provider decides to offer services that require a tariff or schedule, such as basic service, the interconnected VoIP service provider must submit proposed tariffs and/or user guides to the Commission's Communications Division via a Tier 2 advice letter using the GO 96-B advice letter process at least 30 days before initiation of service.
- 74. All tariffed telephone corporations, including tariffed interconnected VoIP and WIR service providers, should submit their complete tariff in effect at the beginning of each year to the Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> no later than February 15 of each year.
- 75. Telephone corporations granted detariffed status should not have an annual requirement to notify the Commission that their services remain detariffed.
- 76. All interconnected VoIP service providers should be subject to Pub. Util. Code Section 431 to pay the CPUC User Fee.
- 77. All interconnected VoIP service providers should be subject to the Affiliate Transaction Reporting Requirements.
- 78. All interconnected VoIP service providers, except interconnected VoIP service providers affiliated with an incumbent, should be exempt from the requirement to maintain books and records in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts specified in Title 47 I.E. Part 32.

- 79. All interconnected VoIP service providers, except nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers, should be subject to the annual reporting requirements for operations and finances pursuant to GO 104-A.
- 80. Nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers should be exempt from the annual reporting requirements for operations and finances pursuant to GO 104-A.
- 81. The first operations and finances report for interconnected VoIP service providers should be due by March 31, 2026, and annually by March 31 of each year thereafter.
- 82. The obligations established in this decision for nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers do not function as a bar to entry.
- 83. If a financial requirement showing relies on unaudited bank statements or certificate of deposit with a term extending less than 12 months after certification to meet financial fitness requirements, the applicant should be required to satisfy the updated financial documentation both six and 12 months from the issuance date of this decision by email to <a href="mailto:cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a>.
- 84. All nontariffed providers with operating authority should no longer annually update their nontariffed status.
- 85. In the future, if a nontariffed interconnected VoIP service provider with operating authority decides to offer services that require a tariff or schedule, such as basic service, the nontariffed interconnected VoIP provider should submit proposed tariffs and/or user guides to the Commission's Communications Division via Tier 2 advice letters using the GO 96-B advice letter process at least 30 days before initiation of service.
- 86. All facilities-based CLECs and all interconnected VoIP service providers not affiliated with incumbents should be allowed to utilize the advice letter

process to seek prospective authority to transfer assets or control subject to Sections 851-854.

- 87. All facilities-based CLECs and all interconnected VoIP service providers with the exception of affiliates associated with incumbents, whether fixed or nomadic, should equally be exempt from Sections 816-830 pertaining to the issuance of stocks and securities and from Section 851 transfers only when the transfer or encumbrance is for purposes of securing debt.
- 88. All interconnected VoIP service providers seeking and obtaining facilities-based operating authority in the territories of Calaveras Telephone Company, Cal-Ore Telephone Company, Ducor Telephone Company, Foresthill Telephone Company, Kerman Telephone Company, Pinnacles Telephone Company, The Ponderosa Telephone Company, Sierra Telephone Company, Siskiyou Telephone Company, Volcano Telephone Company, Happy Valley Telephone Company, Hornitos Telephone Company, and Winterhaven Telephone Company) should be required to comply with the applicable rules adopted in Appendix A and Appendix B of D.20-08-011.

#### <u>Procedural Matters</u>

- 89. The motions of Comcast and Charter to file under seal and afford confidential treatment to the confidential version of the Comcast and Charter responses should be granted.
- 90. The information identified in the motions of Comcast and Charter shall be received under seal, shall remain under seal, and shall not be made accessible to the public or disclosed to anyone other than Commission staff, except upon further order or ruling of the Commission for a period of three years.

- 91. All rulings of the assigned Commissioner and the assigned ALJ in this proceeding should be affirmed, and all motions not addressed in this proceeding should be deemed denied.
  - 92. This proceeding should be closed.

#### ORDER

#### **IT IS ORDERED** that:

### Regulatory Framework

- 1. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers, with the exception of nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers as defined in this decision, must obtain a grant of operating authority through a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity or a Section 1013 registration.
- 2. Facilities-based telephone corporations, including all interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers, must obtain operating authority through a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.
- 3. Non-facilities-based telephone corporations, including all interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers with the exception of nomadic-only interconnected VoIP providers, must obtain operating authority through a Section 1013 registration.
- 4. Nomadic-only interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers must register with the California Public Utilities Commission using the Nomadic Registration process contained in Appendix A.
- 5. Nomadic-only interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers with pending applications for operating authority must file in the pending docket of the application an attestation that its service qualifies for DVN utility type.

- 6. Applicants for Section 1013 operating authority must utilize the Section 1013 registration process contained in Appendix B.
- 7. Applicants for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity operating authority must utilize the process contained in Appendix C.
- 8. The California Public Utilities Commission gives authority to the Director of the Communications Division (or its successor) to process Nomadic Registrations, similar to the Commission's Section 1013 registration process.
  - 9. The Nomadic Registration fee is set at \$250.
- 10.Unless the Commission makes a finding otherwise, annually the Commission will adjust the Nomadic Registration, Section 1013 registration and WIR fees by the consumer price index (CPI) using the United States Bureau of Labor CPI-U calculator on July 1, will post the new fee on the Commission's website by July 15, and the new fee will become effective on August 1.

## Application and Registration Processes and Requirements

- 11. All telephone corporations granted operating authority must obtain an initial performance bond of at least \$25,000. The performance bond must be a continuous bond (*i.e.*, there is no termination date on the bond) issued by a corporate surety company authorized to transact surety business in California, and the California Public Utilities Commission must be listed as the obligee on the bond.
- 12. All initial performance bonds must be submitted as a Tier 1 advice letter to the California Public Utilities Commission's Communication Division with a copy of the license holder's executed bond. The hard copy document must be sent to the following:

California Public Utilities Commission ATTN: Communications Division - Performance Bond 505 Van Ness Avenue, Third Floor San Francisco, California 94102

If no hard copy exists (the performance bond is only in electronic version), the service provider must submit to the Direction of Communications via email to <a href="mailto:cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> an attestation with its Tier 1 advice letter filing stating that there is no original hard copy provided by the surety company and that the electronic bond is the same legal instrument as a paper bond.

13. Telephone corporation applicants seeking operating authority must list other licenses, whether current or past, which the applicant obtained from the California Public Utilities Commission.

14. Telephone corporation applicants seeking operating authority must identify whether they are, or are affiliated with, a foreign entity.

15. Full facilities-based service providers of telephone service, including interconnected VoIP service providers, who intend to construct only those types of facilities which are highly likely to be categorically exempt from CEQA may utilize the Energy Division's 21-day expedited CEQA review process, as outlined in Appendix H.

16.A Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity holder must apply to the California Public Utilities Commission's Energy Division staff for a determination of exemption from California Environmental Quality Act in accordance with the process in Appendix H.

17. The staff of the California Public Utilities Commission's Energy Division is authorized to review, process, and act upon requests from Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity holders for a determination that their full facilities-based construction activities are exempt from the requirements of the

California Environmental Quality Act in accordance with the process in Appendix H.

- 18. Documentation of financial resources required of Section 1013 registration and CPCN applicants, as listed in Appendix F of this decision, shall be treated as presumptively confidential, if applicants submit the requisite documents in a sealed envelope clearly marked "CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION SUBMITTED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO Decision xx-xx-xxx [insert decision number of final decision in R.22-08-008 once issued]" and must be accompanied by a public confidentiality declaration that specifies the documents contained in the sealed envelope and cite to this decision as the basis for confidential treatment.
- 19. Documentation of estimates of costs of construction and number of customers in the first and fifth year of operation required of CPCN applicants for facilities-based operating authority is presumptively confidential, if applicants submit the information in a sealed envelope clearly marked "CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS INFORMATION SUBMITTED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO Decision xx-xx-xxx [insert decision number of final decision in R.22-08-008 once issued] and must be accompanied by a public confidentiality declaration that specifies the documents contained in the sealed envelope and cite to this decision as the basis for confidential treatment.
- 20. Information submitted in accordance with Ordering Paragraph 17 or 18 of this decision shall not be publicly disclosed except on further California Public Utilities Commission order or Administrative Law Judge ruling.
- 21. The Communications Division is authorized to modify the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity application form, Section 1013 Registration form, Nomadic Registration form and Wireless Information Registration form to

clarify the contents, improve the accessibility of the document in electronic form, or make other changes as are necessary and consistent with California Public Utilities Commission decisions.

22. The fee for operating authority through a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) application is the same regardless of whether the applicant initiates their application using a CPCN application or the Section 1013 registration process.

23. The Section 1013 registration applicant notified to pursue operating authority through a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) must pay the balance of the CPCN application within 30 days of notice.

24. All telephone corporations are required to annually update performance bond documentation via a Tier 1 advice letter to confirm the performance bond is still in full force and effect including, but not limited to a continuation certificate, payment invoice, or other documentation to facilitate staff review and confirm the bond is still in effect.

25. Telephone corporations seeking operating authority and intending to interconnect with Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers are required to have \$25,000 additional to the financial requirements unencumbered and available for one year following approval.

26.All full facilities-based Competitive Local Exchange Carriers with the exception of affiliates associated with incumbents are exempt from Sections 816-830 pertaining to the issuance of stocks and securities and from Section 851 transfers only when the transfer or encumbrance is for purposes of securing debt.

## **Migration**

27.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers who are nomadic-only and listed in Appendix D and Appendix E shall opt-out within 45 days of this decision's issuance date by notifying the Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> and include the qualifying attestation of nomadic-only Interconnected VoIP service.

28.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers that opted out as directed in Ordering Paragraph 27 are designated as Digital Voice Nomadic (DVN) utility type and granted operating authority after 45 days of this decision's issuance date.

29.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers listed in Appendix D who are ceasing operations shall opt-out within 45 days of this decision's issuance date by notifying the Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> with the following information:

- (a) Utility ID Number and Utility Name.
- (b) Requested effective date of deactivation and attestation that it has no active customers, no pending complaints, and no outstanding monies (*e.g.*, surcharges, interest, and penalties) owed to the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission).
- (c) A copy of the Commission's proprietary
  Telecommunications and User Fee Filing System
  reporting through the month prior to filing the request
  shall be included.

30.Except for interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers opting out from automatic migration as directed in Ordering Paragraph 27, service providers listed in Appendix D are designated as Digital Voice Fixed utility type and granted operating authority after 45 days of this decision's issuance date.

31.Except for interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers opting out from automatic migration as directed in Ordering Paragraph 25, service providers listed in Appendix E are designated as Digital Voice Fixed utility type after 45 days of this decision's issuance date.

32.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol providers migrated to Section 1013 operating authority and migrated to Nomadic Registration are granted detariffed status.

33.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers granted operating authority with a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity shall retain the tariffed/detariffed status made in the initial grant of operating authority.

34.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers granted detariffed status that subsequently elect to offer service that requires a tariff or schedule, such as basic service, must submit proposed tariffs and/or user guides to the California Public Utilities Commission's Communications Division via a Tier 2 advice letter using the General Order 96-B advice letter process at least 30 days before initiation of service.

35.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers migrated to Section 1013 registration pursuant to this decision must submit an application for expanded authority if they own or operate or intend to build telecommunications network infrastructure.

Interconnected Voice Over Internet Protocol Service Providers' Regulatory Obligations

36.All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers, except for nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers, are required to demonstrate possession of requisite financial resources at the time of application or registration.

37. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers must obtain an initial performance bond of at least \$25,000. The performance bond must be a continuous bond (*i.e.*, there is no termination date on the bond) issued by a corporate surety company authorized to transact surety business in California, and the California Public Utilities Commission must be listed as the obligee on the bond.

38. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers must submit an initial performance bond via Tier 1 advice letter between March 1-May 31, 2025. Providers must submit the original hard copy of the performance bond or affidavit stating possession of a performance bond in electronic version only to the California Public Utilities Commission's Communications Division for record keeping. The hard copy document must be sent to the following:

California Public Utilities Commission ATTN: Communications Division - Performance Bond 505 Van Ness Avenue, Third Floor San Francisco, California 94102

If no hard copy exists (the performance bond is only in electronic version), the service provider must submit to the Direction of Communications via email to <a href="mailto:cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> an attestation with its Tier 1 advice letter filing stating that there is no original hard copy provided by the surety company and that the electronic bond is the same legal instrument as a paper bond.

- 39. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers must annually update their performance bond beginning March 31, 2026, and continue annually thereafter.
- 40. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers shall remit public purpose program surcharges owed to the Commission in

accordance with the established methodology in effect at the time the surcharge was accrued, along with accrued interest on late remittance of past-due surcharges prior to the filing date of application.

- 41.For a period of 180 days from the issuance of this decision, new interconnected VoIP service providers who file an application shall have 10 percent interest of past-due surcharge waived from the date they filed an application or registration to the date a decision is issued.
- 42. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers must pay the CPUC User Fee quarterly or annually as described in Section 8.2.2 of this decision.
- 43. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers are subject to Affiliate Transaction Reporting Requirements. Beginning May 1, 2026, interconnected VoIP providers shall file the report and continue annually thereafter.
- 44. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers with the exception of interconnected VoIP providers affiliated with an incumbent are exempt from the requirement to maintain books and records in accordance with the Uniform System of Accounts specified in Title 47 I.E. Part 32.
- 45. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers, except nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers, are subject to the annual reporting requirements for operations and finances pursuant to General Order 104-A and shall file the report beginning March 31, 2026 and continue annually thereafter.
- 46. Nomadic-only interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers are exempt from the annual reporting requirements for operations and finances pursuant to General Order 104-A.

47. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service providers, including nomadic-only interconnected VoIP service providers, shall submit an annual affiliate transaction report to the California Public Utilities Commission's Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">cdcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> no later than May 1.

48. As public utility telephone corporations, all interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers are subject to all Consumer Protection Rules contained in General Order 168; and all other applicable California Public Utilities Commission rules, decisions, General Orders, and statutes that pertain to California public utility telephone corporations on an ongoing basis.

49. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers, except affiliates of small incumbent local exchange carriers, are exempt from rate regulation.

50.In the event an interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service provider offering nontariffed services plans to offer services that require a tariff or schedule, such as basic service, the interconnected VoIP provider shall submit proposed tariffs and/or user guides to the California Public Utilities Commission's Communications Division via Tier 2 advice letters using the General Order 96-B advice letter process at least 30 days before initiation of service.

51. All tariffed telephone corporations, including interconnected VoIP service providers, must annually submit its tariffs to the Director of the Communications Division via email to <a href="mailto:CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">CDCompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a> no later than February 15 of each year.

52.Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers granted operating authority and not affiliated with incumbents may file an advice letter

for prospective authority to transfer control or assets pursuant to Public Utilities Code Sections 851-854 to the extent that the conditions set forth in Appendix G of this order are satisfied.

53. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers with the exception of affiliates associated with incumbents, whether fixed or nomadic, are exempt from Sections 816-830 pertaining to the issuance of stocks and securities and from Section 851 transfers only when the transfer or encumbrance is for purposes of securing debt.

54. All full facilities-based Competitive Local Exchange Carriers with the exception of affiliates associated with incumbents are exempt from Sections 816-830 pertaining to the issuance of stocks and securities and from Section 851 transfers only when the transfer or encumbrance is for purposes of securing debt.

55. The California Public Utilities Commission's Communications Division's Citation Program pursuant to Resolution T-17601 is applicable to all interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers.

56. All interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol service providers seeking and obtaining facilities-based operating authority in the territories of Calaveras Telephone Company, Cal-Ore Telephone Company, Ducor Telephone Company, Foresthill Telephone Company, Kerman Telephone Company, Pinnacles Telephone Company, The Ponderosa Telephone Company, Sierra Telephone Company, Siskiyou Telephone Company, Volcano Telephone Company, Happy Valley Telephone Company, Hornitos Telephone Company, and Winterhaven Telephone Company) shall comply with the applicable rules adopted in Appendix A and Appendix B of D.20-08-011.

#### **Procedural Matters**

57. The motion of Comcast Phone of California, LLC d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone and its affiliates: Comcast IP Phone, LLC, Blueface US, LLC, Masergy to file under seal a confidential version of the response to the Administrative Law Judge's Ruling issued February 16, 2023 is granted for a period of three years, and this information shall not be publicly disclosed except on further Commission order or Administrative Law Judge ruling.

58. The motion of Charter Fiberlink CA-CCO, LLC, Time Warner Cable Information Services (California), and LLC, Bright House Networks Information Services (California), LLC to file under seal a confidential version of the response to the Administrative Law Judge's Ruling issued February 16, 2023 is granted for a period of three years, and this information shall not be publicly disclosed except on further Commission order or Administrative Law Judge ruling.

- 59. All motions not addressed in this decision are denied.
- 60. Rulemaking 22-08-008 is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_\_, at Sacramento, California.

# **APPENDIX A**

#### **APPENDIX A**

#### NOMADIC REGISTRATION FORM

For use by Nomadic Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) Service Providers **only** who meets the Vonage Preemption Order, do not have facilities, and who do not offer fixed interconnected VoIP service. Utility must complete and submit the form to <a href="mailto:CDcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov">CDcompliance@cpuc.ca.gov</a>. Include a copy of the registration fee payment of \$250 with this form (see additional payment instructions at the end). All fields are required, and the utility must include in its submission all requested attachments. Any missing information and/or attachments may cause a delay in processing.

1. UTILITY INFORMA	TION	ı 2. REQU	JEST DA	TE:			
Full Legal Name:							
Fictitious Name(s)/DBA	A(s):						
Public Website Address	s:						
Customer Service Telep	hone	Number:					
FCC Registration Number (FRN):							
California Secretary of State Entity Number: Attach to this form a copy of its Good Standing Certificate.							
Principal Corporate Off (Street Address, City, State and Zip Code)	ice Ac	ddress:					
Designated Agent for So	ervice	of Process i	n the Stat	e of Cal	ifornia:		
First and Last Name:							
Mailing Address: (Street Address, City, State and Zip Code)							
3. SERVICE INFORMA	ATIO	N					
Date Utility expects to be CA: (If the utility has already be indicate the date the utility comm	egun offe enced pr	ering service in Coviding service.)	California,				
4 INFORMATION ON	JTHE	OWNER(S	DIREC	TOR(S)	AND	PRINCIPA	Δ T

**4. INFORMATION ON THE OWNER(S), DIRECTOR(S) AND PRINCIPAL OFFICERS OF THE BUSINESS.** Provide Full Name, Title, Email address, Business Address, Telephone number as separate attachment to this form and include copies of Professional Resumes for each identified personnel. Website links to online profiles (e.g., LinkedIn and Facebook) are not acceptable.

5. INFORMATION	ON O	N ALL AFFILIATED	CON	<b>IPANIES</b>	SAND	
		iliate is a regulated public u			f Californ	iia, include Utility
ID number. Provide re	ID number. Provide responses as a separate attachment to this form.					
same individuals or en such entity through th	tities ha e right	ity under common control a ave the direct or indirect po to vote shares, by contract o pany for purposes of the Se	wer to o	determine th ment, or oth	he action herwise. 1	of applicant and Note whether any
self-attestation identify pursuant to the FCC \	ying tha /onage ervice. [	CONNECTED VOIP  It the utility is only providi  Order, that it does not have  The attestation must be con	ng Nor faciliti	nadic Interc es, and it do	onnected oes not pr	VoIP services ovide fixed
7. PRIMARY <u>RE</u>	7. PRIMARY <u>REGULATORY</u> CONTACT INFORMATION					
First and Last Na	me:			Title:		
Mailing Address: (Street Address, City, State and Zi	p Code)				•	
Email Address:			Telep	hone Nu	mber:	
8. PRIMARY <u>CO</u>	MPL	AINT CONTACT IN	FOR	MATION	1	
Same as Primary	Regulat	ory Contact Information				
First and Last Na	me:			Title:		
Mailing Address: (Street Address, City, State and Zi	p Code)					
Email Address:			Telep	hone Nu	mber:	
'True', strike through such bankruptcies, find	to this the para dings, ja ns, limi	question is anything other agraph and attach documen udgments, convictions, refe tations, settlements, voluna	tation a errals, d	and describe enials,	any	TRUE  NOT TRUE

Neither Interconnected VoIP registrant, any of its affiliates, officers, directors, partners, agents, or owners (directly or indirectly) of more than 10% of applicant, or anyone acting in a management capacity for applicant: (a) held one of these positions with a company that filed for bankruptcy; (b) been personally found liable, or held one of these positions with a company that has been found liable, for fraud, dishonesty, failure to disclose, or misrepresentations to consumers or others; (c) been convicted of a felony; (d) been (to his/her knowledge) the subject of a criminal referral by judge or public agency; (e) had a telecommunications license or operating authority denied, suspended, revoked, or limited in any jurisdiction; (f) personally entered into a settlement, or held one of these positions with a company that has entered into settlement of criminal or civil claims involving violations of Sections 17000 et seq., 17200 et seq., or 17500 et seq. of the California Business & Professions Code, or of any other statute, regulation, or decisional law relating to fraud, dishonesty, failure to disclose, or misrepresentations to consumers or others; (g) been found to have violated any statute, law, or rule pertaining to public utilities or other regulated industries; and/or (h) entered into any settlement agreements or made any voluntary payments or agreed to any other type of monetary forfeitures in resolution of any action by any regulatory body, agency, or attorney general.

	d to the b	certify that I am a pest of my knowled			
First and Last Na	me:		Title:		
Email Address:			Telephoi	ne Number:	

#### NOMADIC REGISTRATION FEE PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS

- **Registration Fee:** \$250 (Cashier's Check or Business Check)
- Payee: California Public Utilities Commission
- Send Payment to:

CPUC Fiscal Office 505 Van Ness Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94102

- **Memo Line:** Telco License and Registration Fee Nomadic Registration
- Failure to pay the registration fee may result in a delay or rejection of the filing.
- A copy of the check must be included in the filing submitted via email.

## **SAMPLE ATTACHMENT TO NOMADIC REGISTRATION FORM:**

## **SWORN AFFIDAVIT**

Name of Applicant/Company		
My name is	I am	(Title) of
(Ap	plicant). My personal kno	wledge of the facts
stated herein has been derived fro		O
(A		
[Name	e of Applicant]:	
[Utility] provides service that mee nomadic interconnected VoIP, wh (1) service may be used from more anywhere; (2) service can be access is provided to nomadic (portable) service provider cannot track exact [Utility] does not have facilities; as [Utility] does not provide services. I affirm and declare under penalty California, including Rule 1.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, the statements and representations may	ich includes all of the folloe than one location or at mosed from any broadband of IP compatible communicate location of calls; and a qualifying as fixed intercord of perjury under the laws the California Public Utilities that, to the best of my know	wing characteristics: ultiple locations onnection; (3) service tion devices; and (4) onnected VoIP.  of the State of es Commission's yledge, all of the
		Signature
	1	Name and Title

(END OF APPENDIX A)

# **APPENDIX B**

## **APPENDIX B**

### **SECTION 1013 REGISTRATION FORM**

<b>1.</b> Application of (enter full leg applicant — See instruction 1)	gal name of			
		Application		
		rippileation		
for authorization to obtain an	1			
authority as a non-facilities-ba	_			
Corporation Pursuant to the P				
Public Utilities (Pub. Util.) Coo	de Section 1013.			
Address:				
Telephone Number:				
E-Mail:				
<b>1.A.</b> List all fictitious business in the last five years: (See instr		ch applicant has done business		
<b>1.B</b> Applicant is: (Check only of	one; See instruction	n 1)		
☐ A corporation (attach good standing certificate)				
☐ A limited partnership (attach good standing certificate)				
☐ A limited liability company (attach good standing certificate)				
☐ A general partnership				
☐ A sole proprietor				
□ A trust				
☐ Other (describe)				
1.C Applicant has a foreign ownership interest. (Check only one.)	1.C Applicant ha interest. (Check	s a foreign ownership only one.)		

☐ Yes. List foreign entity interest:		☐ Yes. List foreign e ————————————————————————————————————	entity interest:		
 □ No.		LINO.			
2. Legal domicile of applicant is: (Check only one; See instruction 2.)		☐ California ☐ Other (identify):			
Business in California (See inst			ion and Certificate of Qualification to Transact ruction 3)		
<b>4.</b> Attach name, street acagent for service of proc		<del>-</del>	r of applicant's registered		
5. Applicant will operate	e as: (C	Check all applicable box	xes; See instruction 5)		
☐ Competitive Local Exchange Carrier	☐ Interexchange Carrier		☐ Fixed Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) Carrier		
6. Applicant will provide service: (Check only one; See instruction 6)		☐ Throughout the State of California ☐ In specific portions of the State only (attach description and map) ☐ For Competitive Local Exchange Carriers Only: Within the Service Territories of Uniform Regulatory Framework Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers ☐ For Competitive Local Exchange Carriers Only: Within the Service Territories of Small Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers			
7. Applicant <u>expects to</u> begin offering service in California on: (See instruction 7)		(enter date)			
8. Applicant will provide voice (traditional voice		☐ True ☐ Not True (List all s	ervices it plans to offer)		
and/or Interconnected VoIP) and data telecommunications (Check only one; See instruction 8)		(enter other services)			

<b>9.</b> Neither applicant, any of	□ True
its affiliates, officers,	☐ Not True (attach documentation)
directors, partners, agents, or	, ,
owners (directly or	
indirectly) of more than 10%	

of applicant, or anyone acting in a management capacity for applicant: (a) held one of these positions with a company that filed for bankruptcy; (b) been personally found liable, or held one of these positions with a company that has been found liable, for fraud, dishonesty, failure to disclose, or misrepresentations to consumers or others; (c) been convicted of a felony; (d) been (to his/her knowledge) the subject of a criminal referral by judge or public agency; (e) had a telecommunications license or operating authority denied, suspended, revoked, or limited in any jurisdiction; (f) personally entered into a settlement, or held one of these positions with a company that has entered into settlement of criminal or civil claims involving violations of Sections 17000 et seg., 17200 et seg., or 17500 et seg. of the California Business & Professions Code, or of any other statute, regulation, or decisional law relating to fraud, dishonesty, failure to disclose, or misrepresentations to consumers or others; (g) been found to have violated any statute, law, or rule pertaining to public utilities or other regulated industries; and/or (h) entered into any settlement agreements or made any voluntary payments or agreed to any other type of monetary forfeitures in resolution of any action by any regulatory body, agency, or attorney general. (Check only one)

If your answer to this question is anything other than an unqualified "True", attach documentation and describe any such bankruptcies, findings, judgments, convictions, referrals, denials, suspensions, revocations, limitations, settlements, voluntary payments, or any other type of monetary forfeitures. (See instruction 9)

- **9.A** Attach list of all affiliated entities. Applicant must also identify whether they are or were affiliated with a foreign entity. (See instruction 9)
- **9.B**. Attach a list of other licenses, whether current or past, which the applicant obtained from this Commission.

<b>10</b> . Applicant has the	□ True		
required expertise to	□ Not True		
operate as service			
provider of the type			
indicated in item 5 of the			
application. (Check only			
one; See instruction 10)			
<b>10.A.</b> Attach list of the names, titles, and street addresses of all officers and directors, general partners, trustees, members, or other persons authorized to conduct the business of applicant at a similar level. (See instruction 10)			
<b>10.B</b> . Attach resumes listing instruction 10)	all employment for each officer and director. (See		
<b>10.C.</b> To the best of	□ True		
applicant's knowledge,	☐ Not True (attach documentation)		
neither applicant, any			
affiliate, officer, director,			
partner, nor owner of			
more than 10% of			
applicant, or any			
person acting in such capaci	ity whether or not formally appointed, is being or		
has been investigated by the	e Federal Communications Commission or any law		
enforcement or regulatory a	gency for failure to comply with any law, rule or		
order. (Check only one)			
If your answer to this questi	ion is anything other than an unqualified 'True,'		
-	n and describe all such investigations, whether		
pending, settled voluntarily or resolved in another manner. (See instruction 10)			
11. Applicant has a minimu	m   True (attach financial documentation)		
of \$25,000 to meet the	□ Not True		
financial requirements of the			
registration process. (Check			
only one; See instruction 11)			
,			

12. Applicant is eligible for an exemption from tariffing requirements and seeks such an exemption. (Check only one; See instruction 12)	□ True □ Not True (Attach draft Tariff)
<b>13.</b> List of all other licenses held with the Commission, either current or former. (See instruction 13)	<ul> <li>□ None</li> <li>□ Existing:</li> <li>□ Prior:</li> </ul>
California that the forgoing info and complete to the best of my k	nalty of perjury under the laws of the State of rmation, and all attachments, are true, correct, knowledge and belief after due inquiry, and that I lication on behalf of the applicant named above.
	Signed
	Name
	Title
	Dated
A	Address
Tel	ephone
	Fax
	Email

## SAMPLE ATTACHMENTS TO SECTION 1013 REGISTRATION FORM:

## **SWORN AFFIDAVIT**

My name is	I am	(Title) (
	(Applicant). My personal know	ledge of the facts
stated herein has been de	rived from my employment with	
	(Applicant). I affirm that	
	_ [Name of Applicant]:	
state contractual rules an application; and I affirm and declare unde California, including Rul	r Section 1013 Registration, Transfer, d regulations, if granted the request a repealty of perjury under the laws of 21.1 of the California Public Utilities	of the State of Commission's
	cedure, that, to the best of my knowle ations made in this Application are to	0
		 Signature
	Na	ame and Title

interest.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR SECTION 1013 APPLICATION FORM**

1. In Section 1 of the form, the Applicant must enter its complete legal name exactly as it appears on its articles or certificate of corporation or similar charter document. The Applicant must also include its business address, telephone number and email address. Do not leave the field blank.

Complete Section 1A and list all fictitious business names under which applicant has done business in the last five years. If the company does not have any fictitious business names or "doing business as" (DBA) names, please enter "Not Applicable." Do not leave it blank.

The applicant must select only one type of organization in Section 1B of the form and include as an attachment to the completed registration form: (1) a copy of the entity's organizing documents; (2) evidence of the applicant's qualification to transact business in California; and (3) a copy of its Certificate of Good Standing Status certified by the Secretary of State of California. If current documentation has previously been filed with the Commission, the application need only make specific reference to such filing. A Good Standing certificate is available from the office of the Secretary of State of the State of California and should be dated not more than 60 days prior to the date of filing the application.

The applicant must indicate in Section 1C of the form whether or not it has any foreign ownership interest. If so, the applicant must list the foreign entity

- 2. In Section 2 of the form, the applicant must check one of the options provided. If Legal Domicile is not California, please identify. For individuals, domicile is the place of legal residence; for entities, it is the state of incorporation or organization.
- 3. Complete Section 3 of the form by attaching to its applications copies of Article of Organization and Certificate of Qualification to Transact Business in California.
- 4. Complete Section 4 of the form by attaching a copy of the information requested about the applicant's registered agent for service of process.

- 5. In Section 5 of the form, the applicant must clearly describe its operation including but not limited to identifying the authority that it is seeking. The applicant should clearly identify the type of service it proposes to provide. It could be any of the following combination of service types: Competitive Local Exchange, Interexchange and/or Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services. Telephone corporation seeking Facilities-based status are ineligible for Section 1013 Registration and must file a CPCN Application.
- Facilities-based service providers are defined as service providers that own or control facilities used to provide communications for compensation, including the line to the end-user's location. Full facilities-based service providers install telecommunications facilities other than equipment in or on existing buildings or structures; whereas limited facilities-based service providers install telecommunications facilities in or on existing buildings or structures.195 Full facilities-based service is subject to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review while limited facilities-based service is not subject to CEQA.
  - Non-facilities-based is now equivalent to the legacy term "switchless reseller" and the general term "reseller" and is defined as a service provider which does not directly own, control, operate, or manage conduits, ducts, poles, wires, cables, instruments, switches, appurtenances, or appliances in connection with or to facilitate communications within the local exchange portion of the public switched network.<sup>196</sup>
- 6. In Section 6 of the form, Applicant must select the appropriate service territory it plans to offer services. Service territories of the Uniform Regulatory Framework

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>195</sup> Decision (D.) 08-04-018 (adopted April 10, 2008) available at: https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/WORD\_PDF/FINAL\_DECISION/81277.PDF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>196</sup> The term switchless reseller originated in D.91-10-041, FoF 23, defined as "A switchless reseller only markets the services of underlying service providers who are certificated to construct and maintain the physical plant for intrastate communications services in California." The following year, in D.92-06-069 the Commission defined reseller generally as "service providers who do not own transmission facilities but provide service under their own name using the facilities of another provider. They do not directly own, control, operate, or manage any conduits, ducts, poles, wires, cables, instruments, and appliances in connection with or to facilitate communication by telephone."Finally, in opening the local exchange market to competition, the Commission again defined non-facilities-based CLECs in D.95-07-054, Appendix A at 3.

(URF) Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (ILEC) are as follows: Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California (AT&T California), Frontier California Inc. (Frontier California), Citizens Telecommunications Company of California, Inc. d/b/a Frontier Communications of California (Frontier Communications), Frontier Communications of the Southwest, and Consolidated Communications of California Company (Consolidated Communications, formerly SureWest Telephone).

Decision (D.) 20-08-011 allowed and adopted conditions for wireline competition in Small ILEC service territories. Any telephone corporations seeking to operate in the Small ILEC must comply with all the conditions established in D.20-08-011 including Appendix A and Appendix B of the decision.

If service is to be provided to less than the entire State of California, specify the exact area for which the authority is requested and clearly describe and provide a map of the service territories it proposes to serve. It should also identity the entities currently providing service in the area.<sup>197</sup> The map should be included as an attachment to the application. For more information refer on California ILEC territories, please access the link <u>ilec-territories-2023\_230412.pdf</u> (ca.gov).<sup>198</sup>

- 7. In Section 7 of the form, enter the date that applicant expects to begin offering service in California. If applicant has already begun offering service in California, indicate the date the applicant commenced providing service and provide as an attachment to explain the reason for operation prior to obtaining authority from the Commission.
- 8. In Section 8 of the form, applicants must identify that it plans to offer regulated telecommunications voice services (traditional voice and/or Interconnected VoIP) and data telecommunications only. If the applicant identifies "Not True," it should list the other type of services it plans to offer in California and attach a narrative to explain why it is seeking an operating authority from the Commission using the registration process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>197</sup> D.95-12-056, Appendix C, Rule 4.E

<sup>198 &</sup>lt;a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/communications-division/documents/high-cost-support-and-surcharges/chcf-a-1/ilec-territories-2023\_230412.pdf">https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/communications-division/documents/high-cost-support-and-surcharges/chcf-a-1/ilec-territories-2023\_230412.pdf</a>.

9. In Section 9 of the form, the applicant must provide a sworn affidavit to the statement identified by marking "True." If the applicant's answer is anything other than an unqualified "True," attach documentation and describe any such bankruptcies, findings, judgments, convictions, referrals, denials, suspensions, revocations, limitations, settlements, voluntary payments or any other type of monetary forfeitures.

In Section 9A of the form, the applicant must attach the list of all Affiliates. If an affiliate is a regulated entity in California, indicate the Utility ID Number assigned by the Commission to the entity. If no affiliates exist, it must clearly be identified in the attachment. An affiliated entity is any entity under common control with the applicant. Common control exists if the same individuals or entities have the direct or indirect power to determine the action of applicant and such entity through the right to vote shares, by contract or agreement, or otherwise. Note whether any such entity is a reporting company for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

10. In Section 10 of the form, the applicant seeking authority to provide competitive local exchange and/or interexchange service must demonstrate that it has the technical and managerial qualifications necessary to provide the proposed services in its service territory. The applicant must select a response from the options provided.

In Section 10A and 10B of the form, the applicant must provide the following information as a separate attachment: applicant's key management and technical personnel(s), professional resumes and biographies of the key management and technical personnel(s) that reflects that the applicant possesses significant technical and managerial expertise for operating a telecommunications corporation, consistent with the Commission's requirements. Website links to online profiles (e.g., LinkedIn and Facebook) are not acceptable.

In Section 10C of the form, the applicant must attest to the statement. If the response is anything other than an unqualified "true", attach documentation by listing all applicable information including description of all such investigations, whether pending, settled voluntarily, or resolved in another manner.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>199</sup> D.95-12-056, Appendix C, D.13-05-035.

- 11. In Section 11 of the form, the applicant must comply with the financial requirements as set forth in Appendix F of the decision issued in R.22-08-008.
- 12. In Section 12 of the form, the applicant must check one of the options provided. If Applicant is seeking tariffing requirement exemption, it must comply with the Consumer Protection Rules adopted in Decision 98-08-031. If Applicant is not seeking Tariff requirement exemption, it must attach a draft Tariff. Refer to General Order 96-B for Tariff Format and/or refer to the Commission website: <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/tariff-filing-requirements">https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/tariff-filing-requirements</a>.
- 13. In Section 13 of the form, the applicant must list other licenses, whether current or past, which the applicant obtained from the Commission.

### **Section 1013 Application Process**

The sequence of steps for the updated Section 1013 registration process is:

- 1. The applicant files its completed Section 1013 registration form with the Commission's Docket Office electronically and submits a \$250 fee to the Commission's Fiscal Office. All documents for which an applicant requests confidential treatment shall be uploaded as supporting documents and, as long as marked as "CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO DECISION xx-xx-xxx [insert number of this decision], are presumptively confidential pursuant to General Order 66-D, Sections 3.4, 5, and 6.
- 2. The Commission's Docket Office evaluates the form for completeness (*i.e.*, the applicant must submit fill out the form completely and submit all required documents).
- 3. If the application is accepted by the Commission's Docket Office, the application is noticed in the Commission's Daily Calendar, and the application is forwarded to the Commission's Communications Division for review.
- 4. If the Commission's Communications Division determines that the application is incomplete or otherwise deficient, the Commission's Communications Division staff may notify the applicant of the deficiency. Applicant will have 10 days after notice of the deficiency to file an amended application to cure the deficiency.
- 5. Section 1013 applications will be approved through an executive director decision unless the application is removed from the simplified registration process.
- 6. If a protest is filed, the application is automatically removed from the registration process and reassigned to an ALJ and a Commissioner for review as an application for a CPCN requested pursuant Pub. Util. Code Section 1001. See item 8 below.
- 7. If the Commission's Communication Division determines that: (1) the application is incomplete and the applicant fails to cure the deficiency or (2) the application does not otherwise meet the requirements for the simplified

application process, the Communications division may reject the application or reassign the application to the ALJ and Commissioner for consideration as a CPCN requested pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1001. See item 8 below.

8. The applicant will receive a reassignment notice. The applicant will have 15 days from the reassignment notice to pay a fee equivalent to the difference between the cost of the registration fee and the CPCN application fee to the Commission's Fiscal Office to process the application as a CPCN pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1001. The Applicant will submit one cashier's check or money order payable to the California Public Utilities Commission for correct payment amount owed either by mail or in-person delivery to: CPUC Fiscal Office, Room 3000, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102. The applicant must include a written identification on the check indicating the application number, such as the following: "Transfer Fee to CPCN for [insert Application number]." The applicant must email the Commission's Communications Division notice of payment made at telcosurcharge@cpuc.ca.gov as well as the service list for the proceeding, including the assigned ALJ for the proceeding. If payment is not made within 15 days of the notice of the assignment, the application may be dismissed unless the assigned ALJ grants an extension of time for the applicant to comply. Once the additional fee is paid, the registration will be processed as an application for a CPCN pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1001.

(END OF APPENDIX B)

# **APPENDIX C**

### **APPENDIX C**

# CPCN Application Pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1001

1. Application Title				
Application of (Enter full legal name of applicant. See instruction 1.) for authorization to obtain operating authority as a Telephone Corporation Pursuant to the Provisions of the Public Utilities Code Section 1001.  Address:	Application			
Telephone:				
E-Mail:				
<b>1.A</b> Principal Place of Business (if different from	address above) (See			
Instruction 1)				
(enter address)				
1.B. List all fictitious business names under which	ch applicant has done business			
in the last five years: (See Instruction 1.)				
1.C Applicant is: (Check only one; See instruction	n 2.)			
☐ A corporation (attach good standing certificate)				
☐ A limited partnership (attach good standing certificate)				
☐ A limited liability company (attach good standing certificate)				
☐ A general partnership				
☐ A sole proprietor				
□ A trust				
□ Other (describe)				
<b>1.D</b> Applicant has a foreign ownership interest. (Check only one.)				
☐ Yes. List foreign entity interest:				
□ No.				

**2.** Attach name, street address, and telephone number of applicant's registered agent for service of process.

<b>3.</b> Legal domicile of applicant is: (Check only one; See instruction 3.)	☐ California ☐ Other (identify):
one, see mstraction s.,	
<b>4.</b> Proposed schedule of proceeding (See instruction 4.)	□ Ratesetting □ Prehearing conference [Date]: □ Scoping Memo [Date]: □ Testimony (Optional) [Date(s)]: □ Briefing (Optional) [Date(s)]: □ Evidentiary Hearing (Optional) [Date(s)]: □ Other [Date(s)]: □ Other [Date(s)]:
	☐ Proposed Decision [Date]:
5. What issues are there to be resolved in this application? Check all that apply. (See instruction 5)	☐ Whether this application meets all state and California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) requirements for a certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN), including but not limited to financial, technical, and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. ☐ Whether the proposed construction is eligible for the Commission's 21-day expedited process for
	CEQA review.  ☐ Consideration of a safety issue. (Attach description of safety issue.)  ☐ Consideration of an Environmental and Social Justice (ESJ) issue (If checked, provide description explaining the ESJ issue for resolution. Otherwise, Applicant attests that there is no ESJ issue for consideration in this Application.)  ☐ Other (Provide a description of the issue.)
<b>6.</b> Is there a need for evidentiary hearing? (See instruction 6)	☐ Yes (Attach description of issues which require hearing and length of hearing needed.) ☐ No

**7.** Attach Articles of Organization and Certificate of Qualification to Transact Business in California (See instruction 7)

8. Applicant will operate as: (Check all applicable boxes; see instruction 8.)				
☐ Local Exchange Carrier ☐ Interexchange ☐ Fixed Interconnected				
☐ Competitive Local Carrier VoIP Carrier				
Exchange Carrier				
☐ Switchless Reseller/Non-facilities ☐ Limited Facilities-Base	d			
Reseller/Non-facilities-ba -based				
sed				
☐ Limited Facilities-Based				
Facilities-Based				
□ Full Facilities-Based				
In the following areas: In the following areas:				
In the following ILEC ☐ Throughout ☐ Throughout California	l			
service territories (specify   California   $\square$ In specific portions of				
ILECs): <sup>200</sup> □ In specific portions   California only (attach				
1 of California only description and map,				
2 (attach description and and/or specify ILEC serv	'ice			
3 map) territories)				
4 In Small LEC service				
5 territories <sup>201</sup>				
6				
9. Applicant expects to				
begin or has begun				
offering service in (Enter date as Month Day, Year.)				
California on: (See				
instruction 9)				
<b>10.</b> Applicant will provide □ Provide voice service (traditional and/or				
the following services in interconnected VoIP) directly to customers				

 $<sup>^{200}</sup>$  If Small LEC service territories are identified, maps must meet the requirements contained in Appendix A of D.20-08-011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>201</sup> Map must meet the requirements contained in Appendix A of D.20-08-011.

that apply; See instruction Volume 10) Volume 10 Volume	Build facilities which will transmit or facilitate oice services (traditional and/or interconnected oIP) through third parties.  Other (List other services it plans to offer.)  Enter other services offered whether or not they are rithin Commission's jurisdiction.)
	, ,
of its affiliates, officers,	□ True □ Not True (Attach documentation, see instruction 11)
management capacity for apprompany that filed for bankry of these positions with a company dishonesty, failure to disclose been convicted of a felony; (dominal referral by judge or plicense or operating authority jurisdiction; (f) personally entry jurisdiction; (f) personally entry positions with a company that claims involving violations of et seq. of the California Busin regulation, or decisional law misrepresentations to consumstatute, law, or rule pertaining or h. entered into any settlem	policant: (a) held one of these positions with a suptcy; (b) been personally found liable, or held one pany that has been found liable, for fraud, e, or misrepresentations to consumers or others; (c) (d) been (to his/her knowledge) the subject of a public agency; (e) had a telecommunications of denied, suspended, revoked, or limited in any tered into a settlement, or held one of these at has entered into settlement of criminal or civil of Sections 17000 et seq., 17200 et seq., or 17500 hess & Professions Code, or of any other statute, relating to fraud, dishonesty, failure to disclose, or hers or others; (g) been found to have violated any g to public utilities or other regulated industries; hent agreements or made any voluntary payments of monetary forfeitures in resolution of any action acy, or attorney general.
applicant must declare exceptions any such bankruptcies, finding	

<b>12</b> . Applicant has the	□ True
required expertise to	□ Not True
operate as service provider	
of the type indicated in	
item 8 of the application.	
(See instruction 12.)	
,	les, titles, and street addresses of all officers,
	or owners (directly or indirectly) of more than 10%
<b>-</b>	acting in such capacity whether or not formally
appointed.	o i j
_ <u> </u>	g all employment for each officer, director, partner,
	r indirectly) of more than 10% of applicant, or any
person acting in such capac	city whether or not formally appointed.
<b>12.C.</b> To the best of	□ True
applicant's knowledge,	☐ Not True (Attach documentation.)
neither applicant, any	
affiliate, officer, director,	
partner, nor owner of	
more than 10% of	
applicant, or any	
person acting in such capac	city whether or not formally appointed, is being or
has been investigated by the	ne Federal Communications Commission or any law
enforcement or regulatory	agency for failure to comply with any law, rule or
order.	
1	tion is anything other than an unqualified "True,"
	eptions by attaching documentation and describing
S	ether pending, settled voluntarily or resolved in
another manner.	
13. Applicant's proposed	□ No Facilities (Skip 14-15, Applicant may be
facilities. (See instruction	eligible to use the simplified registration process
13)	pursuant to Pub. Util. Code Section 1013.)
	☐ Limited Facilities
	☐ Full Facilities

<b>14.</b> Construction or	□Description of proposed construction activities,			
Extension of Facilities. For	documentation attached.			
limited and full-facilities	□List of competing entities			
applicants. (See instruction	☐ Map showing proposed construction			
14.)	☐ Statement of franchises and health and safety			
	permits			
	☐ Facts showing public convenience and necessity			
	requiring the proposed construction.			
	☐ Statement showing cost of construction.			
	☐ Statement showing financial ability to render			
	service.			
	☐ Statement showing proposed rates.			
	☐ Annual Report Statement			
	☐ Estimated number of customers in the first and			
	fifth years in the future.			
	☐ Yes (List categorical exemptions and briefly			
	explain the applicability of each exemption to the			
<u> </u>	proposed construction.)			
e i	□ No (Attach Preliminary Environmental			
	Assessment.)			
requests to utilize to the				
Energy Division's 21-day				
expedited CEQA review				
process. (See instruction				
15.)				
4C A 1: 11				
	m ☐ True (attach documentation)			
of (a) \$25,000 in the case of a	a □ Not True			
switchless	1			
reseller/non-facilities-based				
OR (b) \$100,000 in the case	100			
a Full-Facilities				
Based and/or Limited-Facilities Based Applicant, in each case reasonably liquid				
	m's first-year expenses, including deposits required			
-	interexchange carriers or (c) has profitable interstate			
operations to generate the required cash flow. (See instruction 16.)				

<b>17.</b> Applicant is eligible for exemption from tariffing requirements and seeks some exemption. (See instruction)	such	□ Not True (Attach draft Tariff)
<b>18.</b> List of all other licenses held with the Commission, either current or former. (See instruction 18.)	l	one isting: or:
California that the forgoir and complete to the best o	ng info of my l	of perjury under the laws of the State of ormation, and all attachments, are true, correct, knowledge and belief after due inquiry, and that olication on behalf of the applicant named above.  Signed
		Name
		Title
		Dated
		Address
	1e.	elephone
		Fax
		Email

## ATTACHMENT A SWORN AFFIDAVIT

My name is	I am	(Title) of
	_(Applicant). My personal knowl	edge of the facts
stated herein has been derive	ed from my employment with	
	(Applicant) [Name of Applicant]:	
	all federal and state statutes, rule	_
` 11	n is for a Certificate of Public Conv	
	fer, Merger, etc.) and state contract	
regulations, if granted the	e request as stated in this applicati	on;
• Certifies [if Applicant : Application for CPCN are	is seeking a CPCN] that all answe e true and correct; and	rs to the attached
California, including Rule 1.1 Rules of Practice and Proced	penalty of perjury under the laws of the California Public Utilities ure, that, to the best of my knowled made in this Application are trans	Commission's edge, all of the
		Signature
		Name and Title

#### Instructions for Applicants using the CPCN Application Form:

1. In Section 1 of the form, the Applicant must enter its complete legal name exactly as it appears on its articles or certificate of corporation or similar charter document. The Applicant must also include its business address, telephone number and email address. Do not leave the aforementioned fields blank. The docket office will provide an Application number.

Complete Section 1B and list all fictitious business names under which applicant has done business in the last five years. If the company does not have any fictitious business names or "doing business as" (DBA) names, please enter "Not Applicable." Do not leave it blank.

- 2. In Section 1C of the form, select only one type of organization and include as an attachment to the completed registration form: (1) a copy of the entity's organizing documents; (2) evidence of the applicant's qualification to transact business in California; and (3) a copy of its Certificate of Good Standing Status certified by the Secretary of State of California. If current documentation has previously been filed with the Commission, the application need only make specific reference to such filing. A Good Standing certificate is available from the office of the Secretary of State of the State of California and should be dated not more than 60 days prior to the date of filing the application.
- 3. In Section 3 of the form, the applicant must check one of the options provided. For individuals, domicile is the place of legal residence; for entities, it is the state of incorporation or organization.
- 4. In Section 4 of the form, the applicant must provide a proposed schedule. Include all items listed in Section 4.
- 5. In Section 5 of the form, select the issues to be considered, and if applicable, attach a description.
- 6. In Section 6 of the form, indicate if there is a need for an evidentiary hearing. If selecting "yes" attach description of issues which require hearing and length of hearing needed.
- 7. Complete Section 7 of the form by attaching to its applications copies of "Article of Organization and Certificate of Qualification to Transact Business in California.
- 8. In Section 8 of the form, the applicant must clearly describe its operation including but not limited to identifying the authority that it is seeking. The applicant should clearly identify the type of service it proposes to provide. It could be any of the following combination of service types: non-facilities-based, Reseller and/or Facilities-Based

(Full/Limited) — Competitive Local Exchange, Interexchange and/or Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services.

- Facilities-based service providers are defined as service providers that own or control facilities used to provide communications for compensation, including the line to the end-user's location. Full facilities-based service providers install telecommunications facilities other than equipment in or on existing buildings or structures; whereas limited facilities-based service providers install telecommunications facilities in or on existing structures.<sup>202</sup> Full facilities-based service is subject to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review while limited facilities-based service is not subject to CEQA.
- Non-facilities-based is now equivalent to the legacy term "switchless reseller" and the general term "reseller" and is defined as a service provider which does not directly own, control, operate, or manage conduits, ducts, poles, wires, cables, instruments, switches, appurtenances, or appliances in connection with or to facilitate communications within the local exchange portion of the public switched network.<sup>203</sup>

For additional information regarding service provider type definitions refer to: <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/telecommunications-carrier-types-with-definition">https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/telecommunications-carrier-types-with-definition</a>.

9. In Section 9 of the form, enter the date that applicant expects to begin offering service in California. If applicant has already begun offering service in California, indicate the date the applicant commenced providing service and provide as an attachment to explain the reason for operation prior to obtaining authority in California.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>202</sup> Decision (D.) 08-04-018 (adopted April 10, 2008) available at: https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/WORD\_PDF/FINAL\_DECISION/81277.PDF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>203</sup> The term switchless reseller originated in D.91-10-041, FoF 23, defined as "A switchless reseller only markets the services of underlying service providers who are certificated to construct and maintain the physical plant for intrastate communications services in California." The following year, in D.92-06-069 the Commission defined reseller generally as "service providers who do not own transmission facilities but provide service under their own name using the facilities of another provider. They do not directly own, control, operate, or manage any conduits, ducts, poles, wires, cables, instruments, and appliances in connection with or to facilitate communication by telephone."Finally, in opening the local exchange market to competition, the Commission again defined non-facilities-based CLECs in D.95-07-054, Appendix A at 3.

- 10. In Section 10 of the form, the applicant must select the type of services that will be provided in California.
- 11. In Section 11 of the form, the applicant must provide a sworn affidavit to the statement identified by marking "True." If the applicant's answer is anything other than an unqualified "True," attach documentation and describe any such bankruptcies, findings, judgments, convictions, referrals, denials, suspensions, revocations, limitations, settlements, voluntary payments or any other type of monetary forfeitures.
  - In Section 11A of the form, the applicant must attach the list of all affiliates. If an affiliate is a regulated entity in California, indicate the Utility ID Number assigned by the Commission to the entity. If no affiliates exist, it must clearly be identified in the attachment. An affiliated entity is any entity under common control with the applicant. Common control exists if the same individuals or entities have the direct or indirect power to determine the action of applicant and such entity through the right to vote shares, by contract or agreement, or otherwise. Note whether any such entity is a reporting company for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 12. In Section 12 of the form, the applicant must demonstrate that it has the technical and managerial qualifications necessary to provide the proposed services in its service territory. The applicant must select a response from the options provided. In Section 12A and 12B of the form, the applicant must provide the following information as a separate attachment: applicant's key management and technical personnel(s), professional resumes and biographies of the key management and technical personnel(s) that reflects that the applicant possesses significant technical and managerial expertise for operating a telecommunications corporation, consistent with the Commission's requirements. Website links to online profiles (e.g., LinkedIn and Facebook) are not acceptable. In Section 12C of the form, the applicant must attest to the statement. If the response is anything other than an unqualified "true", attach documentation by listing all applicable information including description of all such investigations, whether pending, settled voluntarily, or resolved in another manner.
- 13. Section 13 of the form requires the applicant to indicate whether they propose to construct facilities. The applicant must check one of the options provided. See instruction 8 for a definition of limited and full facilities.
- 14. In Section 14 of the form, applicants must include responses for all listed items in an attachment.<sup>204</sup> Non-rate regulated applicants can indicate that the proposed rates are inapplicable to their application.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>204</sup> Refer to Rule 3.1 items a-j of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules) and General Order 104A Section 2.

- 15. In Section 15 of the form, applicants proposing to provide limited or full facilities-based service must indicate whether eligible for a categorical exemption from CEQA and whether the applicant requests to utilize the 21-day expedited CEQA review process.<sup>205</sup>
- 16. In Section 16 of the form, the applicant must comply with the financial requirements as set forth in Appendix F of this decision.
- 17. In Section 17 of the form, the applicant must check one of the options provided. If Applicant is seeking a tariffing requirement exemption, it must comply with the Consumer Protection Rules adopted in Decision 98-08-031. If Applicant is not seeking Tariff requirement exemption, it must attach a draft Tariff. Refer to General Order 96-B for Tariff Format and/or refer to the Commission website:

  <a href="https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/tariff-filing-requirements">https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/internet-and-phone/carrier-reporting-requirements/tariff-filing-requirements</a>.
- 18. In Section 18 of the form, applicant must list other licenses, whether current or past, which the applicant obtained from the Commission.

(END OF APPENDIX C)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>205</sup> If answering "no" attach Preliminary Environmental Assessment (Rule 2.4(b)) and all documents required pursuant to Rule 3.1.

## **APPENDIX D**

#### **APPENDIX D**

List of Currently Operating Interconnected VoIP Service Providers Already Registered Through the Section 285 Process Subject to Migration to Non-facilities-Based Fixed Interconnected VoIP Operating Authority

\*As of July 30, 2024

Corporate ID Number	Current Utility Type	New Utility Type	Utility Name
1107	DVS	DVF	Vocal Ip Networx, Ltd.
1109	DVS	DVF	Xcast Labs, Inc.
1115	DVS	DVF	Voda Networks, Inc.
1116	DVS	DVF	NetFortris Acquisition Co., Inc.
1117	DVS	DVF	2Talk, LLC
1118	DVS	DVF	NEXTIVA. INC,
1119	DVS	DVF	INTERGLOBE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
1122	DVS	DVF	Network Innovations, LLC
1123	DVS	DVF	AccessLine Communications Corporation
1124	DVS	DVF	UT&T LLC
1125	DVS	DVF	Smart Choice Communications, LLC
1127	DVS	DVF	Telmate, LLC
1130	DVS	DVF	Cebridge Telecom CA, LLC
1132	DVS	DVF	Lightyear Network Solutions, LLC
1135	DVS	DVF	Vonage America LLC
1136	DVS	DVF	Nobelbiz, Inc.
1138	DVS	DVF	Impulse Advanced Communications, LLC
1139	DVS	DVF	8x8, Inc.
1141	DVS	DVF	PNG Telecommunications, Inc.
1142	DVS	DVF	CARYCO Tech
1143	DVS	DVF	Tierzero
1144	DVS	DVF	Ringcentral, Inc.
1146	DVS	DVF	Jivetel, LLC
1148	DVS	DVF	Intrado IP Communications, Inc.
1150	DVS	DVF	Vonage Business, Inc.
1151	DVS	DVF	DigitalPath, Inc.
1152	DVS	DVF	BCT Consulting, LLC
1155	DVS	DVF	EZ Network Systems, Inc.
1157	DVS	DVF	Fuze, Inc
1158	DVS	DVF	Spectrum Advanced Services, LLC
1164	DVS	DVF	Callis Communications, Inc.

1165	DVS	DVF	G3 Telecom USA, Inc.
1168	DVS	DVF	NPG Digital Phone, LLC
1171	DVS	DVF	Avaya Cloud Inc.
1174	DVS	DVF	Personal Network for Computing, Inc.
1175	DVS	DVF	Evolve IP
1177	DVS	DVF	Vodex Communications Corporation
1178	DVS	DVF	Greenfly Networks, Inc.
1181	DVS	DVF	Fastmetrics, LLC
1182	DVS	DVF	SouthPoint Communications, LLC
1183	DVS	DVF	Phone.Com
1184	DVS	DVF	The Maynard Group, Inc.
1187	DVS	DVF	Meriplex Telecom, LLC
1191	DVS	DVF	Masergy Cloud Communications, Inc.
1197	DVS	DVF	IP Networked Services, Inc.
1201	DVS	DVF	NetstaffHR, Inc
1202	DVS	DVF	GigaKOM Inc.
1203	DVS	DVF	Orange Business Services U.S., Inc.
1207	DVS	DVF	Single Digits, Inc.
1211	DVS	DVF	Encore Technology Group, LLC
1213	DVS	DVF	Ongoing Operations, LLC
1215	DVS	DVF	GoTo Communications, Inc.
1217	DVS	DVF	VoIP Innovations, LLC
1219	DVS	DVF	CIO NOW, LLC
1220	DVS	DVF	Star2Star Comunications, LLC
1223	DVS	DVF	Cedar Wireless, Inc.
1224	DVS	DVF	Top Notch Networking, LLC
1225	DVS	DVF	Voyzze Communications Inc.
1228	DVS	DVF	Hughes Network Systems, LLC
1229	DVS	DVF	Cytracom, LLC
1230	DVS	DVF	ViaSat, Inc.
1232	DVS	DVF	Equivoice, Inc.
1234	DVS	DVF	BroadSoft Adaption, Inc.
1238	DVS	DVF	Cohere Communications, LLC
1241	DVS	DVF	DLS Computer Services, Inc.
1245	DVS	DVF	Pure IP California LLC
1246	DVS	DVF	Go Solo Technologies of Florida One, Inc.
1247	DVS	DVF	Central Park Systems Corporation
1250	DVS	DVF	Glencom Corporation, Inc.
1251	DVS	DVF	S-Net Communications, Inc.
1253	DVS	DVF	NEC Cloud Communications America, Inc.
	1	1	,

1257         DVS         DVF         Velocity The Greatest Phone Company Ever, Inc           1258         DVS         DVF         Autus Technology, LLC           1260         DVS         DVF         iNet Communications, LLC           1263         DVS         DVF         NWN Corporation           1266         DVS         DVF         nexVortex, Inc.           1268         DVS         DVF         AltaWorx, LLC           1270         DVS         DVF         inContact, Inc.           1271         DVS         DVF         Eze Castle Integration, Inc.           1275         DVS         DVF         Arena One, LLC.           1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         DVF Estech Systems, Inc.           1285         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1291         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1294         DVS	
1260	
1263         DVS         DVF         NWN Corporation           1266         DVS         DVF         nexVortex, Inc.           1268         DVS         DVF         AltaWorx, LLC           1270         DVS         DVF         inContact, Inc.           1271         DVS         DVF         Eze Castle Integration, Inc.           1275         DVS         DVF         Arena One, LLC.           1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         TDS Metrocom, LLC           1285         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1290         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         Creative Business Solutions, LLC           1296         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1298         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.	
1266         DVS         DVF         nexVortex, Inc.           1268         DVS         DVF         AltaWorx, LLC           1270         DVS         DVF         inContact, Inc.           1271         DVS         DVF         Eze Castle Integration, Inc.           1275         DVS         DVF         Arena One, LLC.           1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1285         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1290         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         Greative Business Solutions, LLC           1294         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1298         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Sultys, Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Broad Communic	
1268         DVS         DVF         AltaWorx, LLC           1270         DVS         DVF         inContact, Inc.           1271         DVS         DVF         Eze Castle Integration, Inc.           1275         DVS         DVF         Arena One, LLC.           1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1285         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         LunaTech, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1290         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         To Network, Inc.           1294         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1298         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Broad Communication Solutions, LLC	
1270         DVS         DVF         inContact, Inc.           1271         DVS         DVF         Eze Castle Integration, Inc.           1275         DVS         DVF         Arena One, LLC.           1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         TDS Metrocom, LLC           1285         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1290         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         TG Network, Inc.           1294         DVS         DVF         Creative Business Solutions, LLC           1296         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Broad Communication Solutions, LLC           1307         DVS         DVF	
1271         DVS         DVF         Eze Castle Integration, Inc.           1275         DVS         DVF         Arena One, LLC.           1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         TDS Metrocom, LLC           1285         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1290         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         To Network, Inc.           1294         DVS         DVF         Creative Business Solutions, LLC           1296         DVS         DVF         Iloka, Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Broad Communication Solutions, LLC           1307         DVS         DVF         Dialpad, Inc.           1308         DVF         Cloudcall, Inc. <td></td>	
1275         DVS         DVF         Arena One, LLC.           1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         TDS Metrocom, LLC           1285         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         LunaTech, Inc.           1290         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         To Network, Inc.           1294         DVS         DVF         Creative Business Solutions, LLC           1296         DVS         DVF         Iloka, Inc.           1298         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Broad Communication Solutions, LLC           1307         DVS         DVF         Dialpad, Inc.           1308         DVS         DVF         Cloudcall, Inc.	
1279         DVS         DVF         Estech Systems, Inc.           1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         TDS Metrocom, LLC           1285         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         LunaTech, Inc.           1290         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         TG Network, Inc.           1294         DVS         DVF         Creative Business Solutions, LLC           1296         DVS         DVF         Iloka, Inc.           1298         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Broad Communication Solutions, LLC           1307         DVS         DVF         Dialpad, Inc.           1308         DVS         DVF         Cloudcall, Inc.	
1281         DVS         DVF         Desert Telecom Inc.           1284         DVS         DVF         TDS Metrocom, LLC           1285         DVS         DVF         Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.           1286         DVS         DVF         Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.           1289         DVS         DVF         LunaTech, Inc.           1290         DVS         DVF         California Internet, LP           1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         To Network, Inc.           1294         DVS         DVF         Creative Business Solutions, LLC           1296         DVS         DVF         Iloka, Inc.           1298         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Zultys, Inc.           1305         DVS         DVF         Dialpad, Inc.           1308         DVS         DVF         Cloudcall, Inc.	
1284 DVS DVF TDS Metrocom, LLC  1285 DVS DVF Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.  1286 DVS DVF Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.  1289 DVS DVF LunaTech, Inc.  1290 DVS DVF California Internet, LP  1291 DVS DVF Ooma, Inc.  1293 DVS DVF TG Network, Inc.  1294 DVS DVF Creative Business Solutions, LLC  1296 DVS DVF Iloka, Inc.  1298 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1285 DVS DVF Ultimate Internet Access, Inc.  1286 DVS DVF Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.  1289 DVS DVF LunaTech, Inc.  1290 DVS DVF California Internet, LP  1291 DVS DVF Ooma, Inc.  1293 DVS DVF 7G Network, Inc.  1294 DVS DVF Creative Business Solutions, LLC  1296 DVS DVF Iloka, Inc.  1298 DVS DVF Transtelco, Inc.  1299 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1286 DVS DVF Atlantic Metro Communications II, Inc.  1289 DVS DVF LunaTech, Inc.  1290 DVS DVF California Internet, LP  1291 DVS DVF Ooma, Inc.  1293 DVS DVF TG Network, Inc.  1294 DVS DVF Creative Business Solutions, LLC  1296 DVS DVF Iloka, Inc.  1298 DVS DVF Transtelco, Inc.  1299 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1289 DVS DVF LunaTech, Inc.  1290 DVS DVF California Internet, LP  1291 DVS DVF Ooma, Inc.  1293 DVS DVF 7G Network, Inc.  1294 DVS DVF Creative Business Solutions, LLC  1296 DVS DVF Iloka, Inc.  1298 DVS DVF Transtelco, Inc.  1299 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1290 DVS DVF California Internet, LP  1291 DVS DVF Ooma, Inc.  1293 DVS DVF 7G Network, Inc.  1294 DVS DVF Creative Business Solutions, LLC  1296 DVS DVF Iloka, Inc.  1298 DVS DVF Transtelco, Inc.  1299 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Dialpad, Inc.  1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1291         DVS         DVF         Ooma, Inc.           1293         DVS         DVF         7G Network, Inc.           1294         DVS         DVF         Creative Business Solutions, LLC           1296         DVS         DVF         Iloka, Inc.           1298         DVS         DVF         Transtelco, Inc.           1299         DVS         DVF         Flagman Telecom Inc.           1301         DVS         DVF         Zultys, Inc.           1305         DVS         DVF         Broad Communication Solutions, LLC           1307         DVS         DVF         Dialpad, Inc.           1308         DVS         DVF         Cloudcall, Inc.	
1293 DVS DVF 7G Network, Inc.  1294 DVS DVF Creative Business Solutions, LLC  1296 DVS DVF Iloka, Inc.  1298 DVS DVF Transtelco, Inc.  1299 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Dialpad, Inc.  1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1294DVSDVFCreative Business Solutions, LLC1296DVSDVFIloka, Inc.1298DVSDVFTranstelco, Inc.1299DVSDVFFlagman Telecom Inc.1301DVSDVFZultys, Inc.1305DVSDVFBroad Communication Solutions, LLC1307DVSDVFDialpad, Inc.1308DVSDVFCloudcall, Inc.	
1296 DVS DVF Iloka, Inc.  1298 DVS DVF Transtelco, Inc.  1299 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Dialpad, Inc.  1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1298 DVS DVF Transtelco, Inc.  1299 DVS DVF Flagman Telecom Inc.  1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Dialpad, Inc.  1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1299DVSDVFFlagman Telecom Inc.1301DVSDVFZultys, Inc.1305DVSDVFBroad Communication Solutions, LLC1307DVSDVFDialpad, Inc.1308DVSDVFCloudcall, Inc.	-
1301 DVS DVF Zultys, Inc.  1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC  1307 DVS DVF Dialpad, Inc.  1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1305 DVS DVF Broad Communication Solutions, LLC 1307 DVS DVF Dialpad, Inc. 1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1307 DVS DVF Dialpad, Inc. 1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1308 DVS DVF Cloudcall, Inc.	
1312 DVS DVF South Valley Internet Inc.	
2012 South the first file	
1313 DVS DVF Block Line Systems, LLC	
1314 DVS DVF Zen Communications, LLC	
1317 DVS DVF G12 Communications, LLC	
1318 DVS DVF RingRx, LLC	
1319 DVS DVF IT Management Corporation	
1320 DVS DVF Succeed.net	
1321 DVS DVF Central Valley Networks, Inc.	
1322 DVS DVF BluIP, Inc	
1323 DVS DVF Bespoke Communications LLC	
1324 DVS DVF InfoReach, Inc.	
1326 DVS DVF Olaffe, LLC	
1327 DVS DVF NuWave Communications, Inc.	
1328 DVS DVF TeleVoIPs, LLC	

	T		
1329	DVS	DVF	CCI Systems, Inc.
1330	DVS	DVF	IP Living, LLC
1331	DVS	DVF	Bridgeconnex, LLC
1334	DVS	DVF	Cobalt IT, Inc.
1335	DVS	DVF	Zray Technologies Corporation
1339	DVS	DVF	Junction Networks, Inc.
1340	DVS	DVF	Cal.net, Inc.
1341	DVS	DVF	Sierra Nevada Communications LLC
1345	DVS	DVF	YTEL Inc.
1348	DVS	DVF	Airus Inc
1350	DVS	DVF	UniVoIP Inc.
1351	DVS	DVF	Comm-Core LLC
1352	DVS	DVF	Google North America Inc.
1353	DVS	DVF	Vine Clouds Technologies
1354	DVS	DVF	Granite Telecommunications LLC
1356	DVS	DVF	Ipitimi Inc
1357	DVS	DVF	RingSquared Telecom LLC
1358	DVS	DVF	DentalTek LLC
1359	DVS	DVF	Iprot Inc
1362	DVS	DVF	Bandwidth Inc.
1363	DVS	DVF	ThinkSecureNet, LLC
1364	DVS	DVF	DelmarvaVoIP, LLC
1365	DVS	DVF	WaveNation LLC
1367	DVS	DVF	NthoNet Inc
1370	DVS	DVF	Synpact, LLC
1372	DVS	DVF	Advent Technologies Inc.
1373	DVS	DVF	Preferred Long Distance Inc.
1375	DVS	DVF	Abbax Technologies Inc
1377	DVS	DVF	Zito West Holding, LLC
1379	DVS	DVF	Accelerated Voice LLC
1380	DVS	DVF	T4 Telecom
1381	DVS	DVF	Bluetone Communications LLC
1383	DVS	DVF	Sangoma U.S., Inc.
1384	DVS	DVF	Anza Electric Cooperative, Inc.
1385	DVS	DVF	Xobee Networks, LLC
1386	DVS	DVF	Renegade Technologies
1387	DVS	DVF	Voyant Communications, LLC
1388	DVS	DVF	Silicon Business System
1389	DVS	DVF	Morse Communications Inc
1390	DVS	DVF	EMRFaxBox LLC
L	1	1	I control of the cont

1391	DVS	DVF	Interactive Intelligence Telecom Inc
1392	DVS	DVF	USIPCommunications LLC
1394	DVS	DVF	VoIP Tech LLC
1397	DVS	DVF	Clarity Communication Advisors Inc.
1398	DVS	DVF	Centurylink Communications LLC
1399	DVS	DVF	NTT Cloud Communications US, Inc.
1400	DVS	DVF	MJ2 IP, LLC
1401	DVS	DVF	PanTerra Networks Inc.
1403	DVS	DVF	Telnet Worldwide Inc.
1404	DVS	DVF	Mix Networks Inc.
1406	DVS	DVF	American Prepaid Telecard Inc.
1409	DVS	DVF	Extended Office Solutions, Inc.
1410	DVS	DVF	GoTel Communications, LLC
1411	DVS	DVF	Northview Communications Inc.
1412	DVS	DVF	Skype Communications US Corporation
1417	DVS	DVF	Happy Hamster Computer Repair LLC
1420	DVS	DVF	Fresno Area Telephone & PBX
1422	DVS	DVF	DSCI, LLC
1423	DVS	DVF	NTT America, Inc.
1424	DVS	DVF	Ipitomy Communications LLC
1425	DVS	DVF	Bludog Telecom Inc.
1427	DVS	DVF	SIP.US LLC
1428	DVS	DVF	Votacall, Inc.
1429	DVS	DVF	MagicJack SMB, Inc.
1431	DVS	DVF	QuantumShift Communications, Inc.
1432	DVS	DVF	SimpleVoIP, LLC
1433	DVS	DVF	CallTower, Inc.
1440	DVS	DVF	Intelletrace, Inc.
1441	DVS	DVF	Comstar Technologies, LLC
1443	DVS	DVF	Interface Security Systems, LLC
1444	DVS	DVF	WhiteSky Communications, LLC
1445	DVS	DVF	California Telecom Inc.
1447	DVS	DVF	Affiliated TEchnology Solutions Inc
1448	DVS	DVF	Telelink Services
1449	DVS	DVF	VTech Support, Inc.
1450	DVS	DVF	Zayo Group, LLC
1452	DVS	DVF	VoIP International LLC
1453	DVS	DVF	Distributed Computing, Inc.
1454	DVS	DVF	Fore Street Telecom LLC
1457	DVS	DVF	Broadsmart Global, Inc
	1	1	l ·

1458	DVS	DVF	BullsEye Telecom, Inc.
1459	DVS	DVF	Tailwind Voice and Data, Inc.
1460	DVS	DVF	Cox Strategic Services, LLC
1465	DVS	DVF	ITC Global Networks, LLC
1466	DVS	DVF	Assist Wireless, LLC
1467	DVS	DVF	CNK Network Solutions
1468	DVS	DVF	Chicago Business VoIP, LLC
1472	DVS	DVF	JT Global, Limited
1473	DVS	DVF	GoDaddy.com, LLC
1475	DVS	DVF	iCommerce Services, Inc.
1476	DVS	DVF	DMR Communications, Inc
1477	DVS	DVF	WTI Communications, Inc.
1479	DVS	DVF	France Telecom Corporate Solutions L.L.C.
1480	DVS	DVF	Perrins Management Corporation
1482	DVS	DVF	Hosted Connection, Inc.
1483	DVS	DVF	IsoFusion, Inc.
1484	DVS	DVF	D4US, LLC
1485	DVS	DVF	ACN Communication Services, LLC
1487	DVS	DVF	Google Fiber North America Inc.
1490	DVS	DVF	UPNETWORX, Inc.
1491	DVS	DVF	WAVE.BAND, LLC
1492	DVS	DVF	Telecom LLC
1494	DVS	DVF	ShivaGenesis Networks, Inc a California S Corporation
1495	DVS	DVF	Engage Holdings, LLC
1496	DVS	DVF	WindyCitySDR
1497	DVS	DVF	PNG Telecommunications Inc.
1498	DVS	DVF	Covoda Communications, Inc.
1499	DVS	DVF	Veracity Networks, LLC
1501	DVS	DVF	IT Support Pros, Inc.
1502	DVS	DVF	smplsolutions
1506	DVS	DVF	DPAccess, LLC
1507	DVS	DVF	FracTel LLC
1510	DVS	DVF	Triton Networks, LLC
1511	DVS	DVF	Tekscape, Inc
1512	DVS	DVF	Northland Cable Television, Inc.
1513	DVS	DVF	Syndeo, LLC
1514	DVS	DVF	VOXtell LLC
1515	DVS	DVF	Xact Associates, LLC
1516	DVS	DVF	Telesupply, LLC
1517	DVS	DVF	Voipia Networks, Inc.
	<u> </u>	1	1 *

		DITE	
1518	DVS	DVF	Cloud Computing Concepts, LLC
1519	DVS	DVF	ConVergence Technologies, Inc.
1520	DVS	DVF	VOIPo LLC
1521	DVS	DVF	Mitel Cloud Services, Inc.
1522	DVS	DVF	Fourteen IP, Inc.
1523	DVS	DVF	Pact-One Solutions, Inc.
1524	DVS	DVF	Technology By Design, LLC
1527	DVS	DVF	Conifer Communications, Inc.
1528	DVS	DVF	DVS Technologies, LLC
1529	DVS	DVF	TieTechnology, LLC
1530	DVS	DVF	Intelligent Communications Services
1531	DVS	DVF	Encartele, Inc.
1532	DVS	DVF	Origin Networks, LLC
1533	DVS	DVF	Ringaro Telecom, Inc.
1534	DVS	DVF	Audian Inc.
1536	DVS	DVF	ICIM Corporation
1537	DVS	DVF	Tekify, LLC
1538	DVS	DVF	WWT, Inc.
1539	DVS	DVF	Consolidated Smart Broadband Systems, LLC
1542	DVS	DVF	Onvoy, LLC
1544	DVS	DVF	Integrity Networks of CA LLC
1545	DVS	DVF	BA Telecom, Inc.
1546	DVS	DVF	Telephone Diagnostic Services, Inc.
1547	DVS	DVF	Headland Communications
1548	DVS	DVF	Frontier Communications of America Inc.
1550	DVS	DVF	Vicomptel USA Inc.
1551	DVS	DVF	MitoTec, LLC
1555	DVS	DVF	Varietel Communications, LLC
1556	DVS	DVF	PayG, LLC
1557	DVS	DVF	Digital Comm Inc.
1559	DVS	DVF	Windstream Communications, LLC
1560	DVS	DVF	Teliax, Inc.
1561	DVS	DVF	ALE USA Inc.
1563	DVS	DVF	nexMatrix Telecom, Inc.
1564	DVS	DVF	Grupo NGN, Inc.
1565	DVS	DVF	Exiant Communications LLC
1567	DVS	DVF	Transcom Telecommunications
1568	DVS	DVF	Jive Technology Inc
1569	DVS	DVF	Convergence Solutions, Inc
1571	DVS	DVF	Momentum Telecom, Inc
13/1	D V 3		MOHERUM TELECOM, IIIC

	I	DITE	
1572	DVS	DVF	Corcom Communications, Inc
1574	DVS	DVF	Yardi Kube, Inc.
1575	DVS	DVF	Fusion, LLC
1576	DVS	DVF	Digital West Networks, Inc
1577	DVS	DVF	Fortessa Hosting
1579	DVS	DVF	Gabbit, LLC
1580	DVS	DVF	Alternate Network Technologies Inc.
1581	DVS	DVF	WiLine Networks, Inc
1582	DVS	DVF	Telzio, Inc
1584	DVS	DVF	Advanced Telecom Solutions, LLC
1585	DVS	DVF	Cloudli Communications Inc.
1586	DVS	DVF	Global Telecom Exchange, LLC
1587	DVS	DVF	Astound Broadband, LLC
1588	DVS	DVF	COEO Solutions, LLC
1590	DVS	DVF	Atlanta DataCom, Inc
1592	DVS	DVF	Forerunner Technologies, Inc.
1594	DVS	DVF	Asset Black, LLC
1595	DVS	DVF	Kornerstones, Inc
1596	DVS	DVF	ipSBS Managed Services, LLC
1597	DVS	DVF	IGEM Communications LLC
1598	DVS	DVF	Simwood, Inc.
1599	DVS	DVF	Smart City Networks, Limited Partnership
1602	DVS	DVF	TTM communications, Inc.
1603	DVS	DVF	Konica Minolta Business Solutions U.S.A, Inc.
1604	DVS	DVF	HD Carrier LLC
1605	DVS	DVF	Ednetics, Inc.
1606	DVS	DVF	TCE Company, Inc.
1607	DVS	DVF	VB Cloud Communications LLC
1608	DVS	DVF	Innovative Telephone and Data Solutions, LLC
1609	DVS	DVF	BlueCloud Communications LLC
1610	DVS	DVF	One Ring Networks Inc
1611	DVS	DVF	Maven IT, Inc.
1612	DVS	DVF	Virtual Technologies Group, Inc.
1613	DVS	DVF	SimpliFone, Inc.
1614	DVS	DVF	Essensys, Inc.
1615	DVS	DVF	ComDirect, Inc.
1616	DVS	DVF	Hamilton Long Distance Company
1618	DVS	DVF	2600Hz, Inc.
1619	DVS	DVF	Vodafone US Inc.
1620	DVS	DVF	QxC Communications, Inc
1020	1 2.0	1	~

1621   DVS   DVF   Penny Family Corporation     1622   DVS   DVF   Precision West Telecomunications, Inc.     1624   DVS   DVF   Junction Cloud Connections, Inc.     1625   DVS   DVF   BT Voice, LLC     1626   DVS   DVF   BT Voice, LLC     1627   DVS   DVF   Unified Office, Inc.     1628   DVS   DVF   U.S. South Communications, Inc     1629   DVS   DVF   WIRED Telcom, LLC     1630   DVS   DVF   Ware Technology Solutions, Inc.     1631   DVS   DVF   Ware Technology Solutions, Inc.     1632   DVS   DVF   Ware Technology, LLC     1633   DVS   DVF   Ware Technology, LLC     1634   DVS   DVF   Broadband Voice, LLC     1635   DVS   DVF   WolPLy, LLC     1636   DVS   DVF   Noble Systems Communications LLC     1637   DVS   DVF   No More PBX, LLC     1638   DVS   DVF   Ware PBX, LLC     1640   DVS   DVF   Mango Voice, LLC     1641   DVS   DVF   Mango Voice, LLC     1642   DVS   DVF   GTT Americas, LLC     1644   DVS   DVF   GTT Americas, LLC     1645   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1646   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1647   DVS   DVF   GTT Americas, LLC     1648   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1649   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1640   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1641   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1642   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1643   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1644   DVS   DVF   Fondity, Inc.     1650   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1651   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1652   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1653   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1654   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1656   DVS   DVF   NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.     1657   DVS   DVF   NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.     1668   DVS   DVF   NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.     1669   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1660   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1661   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1662   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1664   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1665   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the W			I	
1623   DVS   DVF   Junction Cloud Connections, Inc.     1624   DVS   DVF   Vision Voice and Data Systems, LLC     1625   DVS   DVF   BT Voice, LLC     1626   DVS   DVF   Unified Office, Inc.     1627   DVS   DVF   Unified Office, Inc.     1628   DVS   DVF   Ulified Office, Inc.     1629   DVS   DVF   Ulified Office, Inc.     1630   DVS   DVF   Ulified Office, Inc.     1631   DVS   DVF   Ulified Office, Inc.     1632   DVS   DVF   Ulified Office, Inc.     1633   DVS   DVF   Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.     1634   DVS   DVF   Wagic Apple Technology, LLC     1635   DVS   DVF   Broadband Voice, LLC     1636   DVS   DVF   ThinQ Technologies, Inc.     1637   DVS   DVF   Noble Systems Communications LLC     1638   DVS   DVF   No More PBX, LLC     1639   DVS   DVF   No More PBX, LLC     1640   DVS   DVF   Fulton Communications, Inc     1641   DVS   DVF   Mango Voice, LLC     1642   DVS   DVF   GTT Americas, LLC     1643   DVS   DVF   Tadiran Telecom, Inc.     1644   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1646   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1647   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1648   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1650   DVS   DVF   King Tech Repair LLC     1651   DVS   DVF   King Tech Repair LLC     1652   DVS   DVF   Ring Tech Repair LLC     1653   DVS   DVF   Ring Tech Repair LLC     1654   DVS   DVF   Ring Tech Repair LLC     1655   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom, Inc.     1656   DVS   DVF   Nuso, LLC     1657   DVS   DVF   Nuso, LLC     1658   DVS   DVF   Nuso, LLC     1660   DVS   DVF   Allbridge, LLC     1661   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1662   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1664   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1665   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1666   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1667   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom	1621	DVS	DVF	Penny Family Corporation
1624   DVS   DVF   Vision Voice and Data Systems, LLC     1625   DVS   DVF   BT Voice, LLC     1626   DVS   DVF   Unified Office, Inc.     1627   DVS   DVF   ClearFuze Networks, Inc.     1628   DVS   DVF   U.S. South Communications, Inc     1629   DVS   DVF   WIRED Telcom, LLC     1630   DVS   DVF   Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.     1631   DVS   DVF   Magic Apple Technology, LLC     1632   DVS   DVF   WolPX International, Inc.     1633   DVS   DVF   Broadband Voice, LLC     1634   DVS   DVF   ThinQ Technologies, Inc.     1635   DVS   DVF   Noble Systems Communications LLC     1636   DVS   DVF   Nole Systems Communications LLC     1637   DVS   DVF   No More PBX, LLC     1639   DVS   DVF   NumberBarn, LLC     1640   DVS   DVF   Fulton Communications, Inc     1641   DVS   DVF   Mango Voice, LLC     1642   DVS   DVF   Tadiran Telecom, Inc.     1644   DVS   DVF   Tadiran Telecom, Inc.     1645   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1646   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1647   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1648   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1650   DVS   DVF   FiventStream Technologies, LLC     1651   DVS   DVF   Ring Tech Repair LLC     1652   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1653   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1654   DVS   DVF   NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.     1657   DVS   DVF   Niso, LLC     1658   DVS   DVF   Niso, LLC     1660   DVS   DVF   Niso, LLC     1661   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1662   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1664   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1665   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1666   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1667   DVS	1622	DVS		Precision West Telecomunications, Inc.
1625   DVS   DVF   BT Voice, LLC     1626   DVS   DVF   Unified Office, Inc.     1627   DVS   DVF   U.S. South Communications, Inc     1628   DVS   DVF   U.S. South Communications, Inc     1629   DVS   DVF   U.S. South Communications, Inc     1620   DVS   DVF   U.S. South Communications, Inc     1630   DVS   DVF   WIRED Telcom, LLC     1631   DVS   DVF   Magic Apple Technology, LLC     1632   DVS   DVF   Broadband Voice, LLC     1633   DVS   DVF   Broadband Voice, LLC     1634   DVS   DVF   VolPLy, LLC     1635   DVS   DVF   Noble Systems Communications LLC     1636   DVS   DVF   Noble Systems Communications LLC     1637   DVS   DVF   No More PBX, LLC     1638   DVS   DVF   No More PBX, LLC     1649   DVS   DVF   Fulton Communications, Inc     1641   DVS   DVF   Mango Voice, LLC     1642   DVS   DVF   Tadian Telecom, Inc.     1644   DVS   DVF   Tadian Telecom, Inc.     1646   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1647   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1648   DVS   DVF   Fonality, Inc.     1649   DVS   DVF   FulentStream Technologies, LLC     1651   DVS   DVF   Ring Tech Repair LLC     1652   DVS   DVF   FliventStream Technologies, LLC     1653   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1654   DVS   DVF   Rockynet.com, Inc.     1657   DVS   DVF   Niuso, LLC     1658   DVS   DVF   Niuso, LLC     1660   DVS   DVF   Niuso, LLC     1661   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1662   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1660   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1661   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1662   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1664   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1665   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1660   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1661   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1663   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1664   DVS   DVF   Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC     1665	1623	DVS		Junction Cloud Connections, Inc.
1626         DVS         DVF         Unified Office, Inc.           1627         DVS         DVF         ClearFuze Networks, Inc.           1628         DVS         DVF         U.S. South Communications, Inc           1629         DVS         DVF         WIRED Telcom, LLC           1630         DVS         DVF         Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.           1631         DVS         DVF         Magic Apple Technology, LLC           1632         DVS         DVF         VolPLy, LLC           1633         DVS         DVF         Broadband Voice, LLC           1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         NolEe Systems Communications LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         NolEe Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1649         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1640         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1641         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         <	1624	DVS		Vision Voice and Data Systems, LLC
1627         DVS         DVF         ClearFuze Networks, Inc.           1628         DVS         DVF         U.S. South Communications, Inc           1629         DVS         DVF         WIRED Telcom, LLC           1630         DVS         DVF         Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.           1631         DVS         DVF         Magic Apple Technology, LLC           1632         DVS         DVF         VolPX International, Inc.           1633         DVS         DVF         Broadband Voice, LLC           1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         VolPLy, LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF	1625	DVS	DVF	BT Voice, LLC
1628   DVS   DVF   U.S. South Communications, Inc	1626	DVS	DVF	Unified Office, Inc.
1629         DVS         DVF         WIRED Telcom, LLC           1630         DVS         DVF         Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.           1631         DVS         DVF         Magic Apple Technology, LLC           1632         DVS         DVF         VolPX International, Inc.           1633         DVS         DVF         Broadband Voice, LLC           1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS	1627	DVS	DVF	ClearFuze Networks, Inc.
1630         DVS         DVF         Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.           1631         DVS         DVF         Magic Apple Technology, LLC           1632         DVS         DVF         VoIPX International, Inc.           1633         DVS         DVF         Broadband Voice, LLC           1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         VoIPLy, LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1642         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1643         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1644         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecon, Inc.           1648         DVS	1628	DVS	DVF	U.S. South Communications, Inc
1631         DVS         DVF         Magic Apple Technology, LLC           1632         DVS         DVF         VoIPX International, Inc.           1633         DVS         DVF         Broadband Voice, LLC           1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         VolPLy, LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1642         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.	1629	DVS	DVF	WIRED Telcom, LLC
1632         DVS         DVF         VoIPX International, Inc.           1633         DVS         DVF         Broadband Voice, LLC           1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         VoIPLy, LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1642         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1644         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1650         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC <td>1630</td> <td>DVS</td> <td>DVF</td> <td>Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.</td>	1630	DVS	DVF	Computer Technology Solutions, Inc.
1633         DVS         DVF         Broadband Voice, LLC           1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         VoIPLy, LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc. </td <td>1631</td> <td>DVS</td> <td>DVF</td> <td>Magic Apple Technology, LLC</td>	1631	DVS	DVF	Magic Apple Technology, LLC
1634         DVS         DVF         ThinQ Technologies, Inc.           1635         DVS         DVF         VoIPLy, LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.	1632	DVS	DVF	VoIPX International, Inc.
1635         DVS         DVF         VoIPLy, LLC           1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.	1633	DVS	DVF	Broadband Voice, LLC
1636         DVS         DVF         Noble Systems Communications LLC           1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1655         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.	1634	DVS	DVF	ThinQ Technologies, Inc.
1637         DVS         DVF         Ring-U, LLC           1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1655         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.	1635	DVS	DVF	VoIPLy, LLC
1638         DVS         DVF         No More PBX, LLC           1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1651         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1652         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1655         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc.           1657         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc. <tr< td=""><td>1636</td><td>DVS</td><td>DVF</td><td>Noble Systems Communications LLC</td></tr<>	1636	DVS	DVF	Noble Systems Communications LLC
1639         DVS         DVF         NumberBarn, LLC           1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1651         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1653         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1659         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1	1637	DVS	DVF	Ring-U, LLC
1640         DVS         DVF         Fulton Communications, Inc           1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1658         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1660         DVS         DVF         Vision CTS, LLC           1661         DVS         DVF         Allbridge, LLC           <	1638	DVS	DVF	No More PBX, LLC
1641         DVS         DVF         Mango Voice, LLC           1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1658         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1659         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1660         DVS         DVF         Allbridge, LLC           1661         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC      <	1639	DVS	DVF	NumberBarn, LLC
1642         DVS         DVF         GTT Americas, LLC           1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom, LLC           1658         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1659         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1660         DVS         DVF         Allbridge, LLC           1662         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom Group, Inc.	1640	DVS	DVF	Fulton Communications, Inc
1643         DVS         DVF         Tadiran Telecom, Inc.           1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom, LLC           1658         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1659         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1660         DVS         DVF         Vision CTS, LLC           1661         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC           1663         DVS         DVF         DCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1641	DVS	DVF	Mango Voice, LLC
1644         DVS         DVF         ServiceTitan, Inc.           1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom, LLC           1658         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1659         DVS         DVF         Vision CTS, LLC           1660         DVS         DVF         Allbridge, LLC           1661         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC           1663         DVS         DVF         DCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1642	DVS	DVF	GTT Americas, LLC
1646         DVS         DVF         Phoneware, Inc.           1648         DVS         DVF         Fonality, Inc.           1649         DVS         DVF         Aquablue Corp.           1650         DVS         DVF         FluentStream Technologies, LLC           1652         DVS         DVF         King Tech Repair LLC           1653         DVS         DVF         Velocity Communications, Inc.           1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom, LLC           1658         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1659         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1660         DVS         DVF         Vision CTS, LLC           1661         DVS         DVF         Allbridge, LLC           1662         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC           1663         DVS         DVF         DCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1643	DVS	DVF	Tadiran Telecom, Inc.
1648 DVS DVF Fonality, Inc.  1649 DVS DVF Aquablue Corp.  1650 DVS DVF FluentStream Technologies, LLC  1652 DVS DVF King Tech Repair LLC  1653 DVS DVF Velocity Communications, Inc.  1654 DVS DVF Plivo Inc.  1656 DVS DVF Rockynet.com, Inc  1657 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom, LLC  1658 DVS DVF NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.  1659 DVS DVF Vision CTS, LLC  1660 DVS DVF Allbridge, LLC  1661 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC  1663 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom Group, Inc.	1644	DVS	DVF	ServiceTitan, Inc.
1649 DVS DVF Aquablue Corp.  1650 DVS DVF FluentStream Technologies, LLC  1652 DVS DVF King Tech Repair LLC  1653 DVS DVF Velocity Communications, Inc.  1654 DVS DVF Plivo Inc.  1656 DVS DVF Rockynet.com, Inc  1657 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom, LLC  1658 DVS DVF NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.  1659 DVS DVF Vision CTS, LLC  1660 DVS DVF Allbridge, LLC  1661 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC  1663 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom Group, Inc.	1646	DVS	DVF	Phoneware, Inc.
1650 DVS DVF FluentStream Technologies, LLC  1652 DVS DVF King Tech Repair LLC  1653 DVS DVF Velocity Communications, Inc.  1654 DVS DVF Plivo Inc.  1656 DVS DVF Rockynet.com, Inc  1657 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom, LLC  1658 DVS DVF NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.  1659 DVS DVF Nuso, LLC  1660 DVS DVF Vision CTS, LLC  1661 DVS DVF Allbridge, LLC  1662 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom Group, Inc.	1648	DVS	DVF	Fonality, Inc.
1652DVSDVFKing Tech Repair LLC1653DVSDVFVelocity Communications, Inc.1654DVSDVFPlivo Inc.1656DVSDVFRockynet.com, Inc1657DVSDVFLingo Telecom, LLC1658DVSDVFNetCarrier Telecom, Inc.1659DVSDVFNuso, LLC1660DVSDVFVision CTS, LLC1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1649	DVS	DVF	Aquablue Corp.
1652DVSDVFKing Tech Repair LLC1653DVSDVFVelocity Communications, Inc.1654DVSDVFPlivo Inc.1656DVSDVFRockynet.com, Inc1657DVSDVFLingo Telecom, LLC1658DVSDVFNetCarrier Telecom, Inc.1659DVSDVFNuso, LLC1660DVSDVFVision CTS, LLC1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1650	DVS	DVF	FluentStream Technologies, LLC
1654         DVS         DVF         Plivo Inc.           1656         DVS         DVF         Rockynet.com, Inc           1657         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom, LLC           1658         DVS         DVF         NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.           1659         DVS         DVF         Nuso, LLC           1660         DVS         DVF         Vision CTS, LLC           1661         DVS         DVF         Allbridge, LLC           1662         DVS         DVF         Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC           1663         DVS         DVF         DCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1652	DVS	DVF	
1656DVSDVFRockynet.com, Inc1657DVSDVFLingo Telecom, LLC1658DVSDVFNetCarrier Telecom, Inc.1659DVSDVFNuso, LLC1660DVSDVFVision CTS, LLC1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1653	DVS	DVF	Velocity Communications, Inc.
1657DVSDVFLingo Telecom, LLC1658DVSDVFNetCarrier Telecom, Inc.1659DVSDVFNuso, LLC1660DVSDVFVision CTS, LLC1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1654	DVS	DVF	Plivo Inc.
1658DVSDVFNetCarrier Telecom, Inc.1659DVSDVFNuso, LLC1660DVSDVFVision CTS, LLC1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1656	DVS	DVF	Rockynet.com, Inc
1659DVSDVFNuso, LLC1660DVSDVFVision CTS, LLC1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1657	DVS	DVF	Lingo Telecom, LLC
1660DVSDVFVision CTS, LLC1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1658	DVS	DVF	NetCarrier Telecom, Inc.
1661DVSDVFAllbridge, LLC1662DVSDVFLingo Telecom of the West, LLC1663DVSDVFDCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1659	DVS	DVF	Nuso, LLC
1662 DVS DVF Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC 1663 DVS DVF DCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1660	DVS	DVF	Vision CTS, LLC
1663 DVS DVF DCT Telecom Group, Inc.	1661	DVS	DVF	Allbridge, LLC
The state of the s	1662	DVS	DVF	Lingo Telecom of the West, LLC
1664 DVS DVF iTalk Global Communications, Inc.	1663	DVS	DVF	DCT Telecom Group, Inc.
	1664	DVS	DVF	iTalk Global Communications, Inc.

1665	DVC	DVF	Dutala Ina
1665	DVS		Dutale, Inc.
1666	DVS	DVF	HEHE Enterprises, LLC
1668	DVS	DVF	Telecom Evolutions, LLC
1671	DVS	DVF	Verve Cloud, Inc.
1673	DVS	DVF	Blu Space Inc
1674	DVS	DVF	Pulsar360 Corp.
1677	DVS	DVF	Telexent, Inc.
1678	DVS	DVF	Southwest Telephone Company
1679	DVS	DVF	StarTechTel.com, Inc.
1680	DVS	DVF	Sun Communications, Inc
1681	DVS	DVF	Rage technologies, Inc
1683	DVS	DVF	Google Voice, Inc.
1684	DVS	DVF	Tophat Communications, LLC
1685	DVS	DVF	Teletonix Communications, LLC
1688	DVS	DVF	Lake Linx Inc.
1689	DVS	DVF	PhoenixSoft, Inc.
1690	DVS	DVF	Edge Communications Solutions LLC
1691	DVS	DVF	Xentric Solutions Inc.
1692	DVS	DVF	Sequre LLC
1693	DVS	DVF	Pioneer Technology, LLC
1695	DVS	DVF	Vive Communications, LLC
1696	DVS	DVF	Alternative Techs Cooperative, Inc.
1699	DVS	DVF	UVoice USA, LLC
1701	DVS	DVF	Versatel, LLC
1702	DVS	DVF	Allegiant Networks, LLC
1703	DVS	DVF	BREK Communications, Inc.
1704	DVS	DVF	PulseOne Communications, LLC
1705	DVS	DVF	Campus Communications Group, Inc.
1706	DVS	DVF	Interactive Services Network, Inc.
1707	DVS	DVF	BT Americas, Inc.
1708	DVS	DVF	Weave Communications, Inc.
1709	DVS	DVF	NocTel Communications, Inc.
1710	DVS	DVF	The Atteberry Group, Inc.
1711	DVS	DVF	Cynexlink LLC
1712	DVS	DVF	Medtel Communications, LLC
1713	DVS	DVF	Education Networks of America, Inc.
1714	DVS	DVF	B2B Tech Services, LLC
1716	DVS	DVF	White Label Communications, LLC
1717	DVS	DVF	Sharpen Technologies, Inc.
1718	DVS	DVF	Ton80 Communications, LLC
		1	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

1720	DVS	DVF	Kumo Cloud Solutions, Inc.
1721	DVS	DVF	Eton InfoComm Technology Inc.
1722	DVS	DVF	Phone Systems Plus
1723	DVS	DVF	Telelink Business Telephone Systems
1724	DVS	DVF	National Processing Alliance, Inc.
1725	DVS	DVF	Dedicated IT, LLC
1726	DVS	DVF	Priority Telecom Inc.
1727	DVS	DVF	Televergence Solutions, Inc.
1729	DVS	DVF	DyoPath, LLC
1730	DVS	DVF	Techmode Go, LLC
1731	DVS	DVF	DYL, LLC
1733	DVS	DVF	The Computer Guys LLC
1734	DVS	DVF	VDT, LLC
1735	DVS	DVF	Tele Express Business Systems Inc.
1736	DVS	DVF	Horizon Cable TV, Inc.
1737	DVS	DVF	Skye Telecom LLC
1739	DVS	DVF	Red River Technology LLC
1740	DVS	DVF	805VoIP LLC
1741	DVS	DVF	Coastline Technology Group
1742	DVS	DVF	SoCal Computer Guys, LLC
1743	DVS	DVF	TampaBay DSL Inc.
1744	DVS	DVF	Loud & Clear Telecommunications, LLC
1745	DVS	DVF	Twilio International Inc.
1746	DVS	DVF	Stellar Private Cable Systems, Inc.
1747	DVS	DVF	4 Voice LLC
1748	DVS	DVF	Unified Global Solutions, LLC
1749	DVS	DVF	Unitas Global, Inc.
1750	DVS	DVF	Shammam Consulting Services, Inc.
1751	DVS	DVF	OIT, LLC
1752	DVS	DVF	Single Point Global Incorporated
1753	DVS	DVF	Earthlink, LLC
1754	DVS	DVF	Marco Technologies, LLC
1755	DVS	DVF	LimeBox Networks, LLC
1757	DVS	DVF	Axia Technology Partners, LLC
1759	DVS	DVF	TCSI, Inc.
1760	DVS	DVF	Datavocity West, LLC
1761	DVS	DVF	Avatel Technologies, Inc.
1762	DVS	DVF	Razz Professional Services, Inc.
1763	DVS	DVF	Advanced Hosted Services, Inc.
1765	DVS	DVF	Teo Communications, Inc.

1766         DVS         DVF         GoCo Technology (U.S.) Inc.           1768         DVS         DVF         DTS Technology Group           1769         DVS         DVF         Voxology Integrations, Inc.           1770         DVS         DVF         Voxology Carrier Services, Inc.           1771         DVS         DVF         Carousel Industries of North America, Inc.           1772         DVS         DVF         Dove Communications Inc.           1773         DVS         DVF         Turnkey Progressive Technology, Inc.           1774         DVS         DVF         SmartTel Inc.           1775         DVS         DVF         Peace Communications LLC           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1780         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Eleavely IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions		1	T	
1769	1766	DVS	DVF	GoCo Technology (U.S.) Inc.
1770         DVS         DVF         Voxology Carrier Services, Inc.           1771         DVS         DVF         Carousel Industries of North America, Inc.           1772         DVS         DVF         Dove Communications Inc.           1773         DVS         DVF         Turnkey Progressive Technology, Inc.           1774         DVS         DVF         SmartTel Inc.           1775         DVS         DVF         Peace Communications LLC           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1778         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1780         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1781         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1781         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF <t< td=""><td>1768</td><td>DVS</td><td></td><td>DTS Technology Group</td></t<>	1768	DVS		DTS Technology Group
1771         DVS         DVF         Carousel Industries of North America, Inc.           1772         DVS         DVF         Dove Communications Inc.           1773         DVS         DVF         Turnkey Progressive Technology, Inc.           1774         DVS         DVF         SmartTel Inc.           1775         DVS         DVF         Peace Communications LLC           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1780         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1780         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1781         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadba	1769	DVS		Voxology Integrations, Inc.
1772         DVS         DVF         Dove Communications Inc.           1773         DVS         DVF         Turnkey Progressive Technology, Inc.           1774         DVS         DVF         SmartTel Inc.           1775         DVS         DVF         Peace Communications LLC           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         The Tech Consultants, LLC           1778         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1780         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1781         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1782         DVS         DVF         Recket Solutions LLC           1783         DVS         DVF         Recket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Psight Market Sol	1770	DVS	DVF	Voxology Carrier Services, Inc.
1773         DVS         DVF         Turnkey Progressive Technology, Inc.           1774         DVS         DVF         SmartTel Inc.           1775         DVS         DVF         Peace Communications LLC           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         The Tech Consultants, LLC           1778         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communications, Inc.           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791 <td< td=""><td>1771</td><td>DVS</td><td>DVF</td><td>Carousel Industries of North America, Inc.</td></td<>	1771	DVS	DVF	Carousel Industries of North America, Inc.
1774         DVS         DVF         SmartTel Inc.           1775         DVS         DVF         Peace Communications LLC           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         The Tech Consultants, LLC           1778         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         Radiant[Q LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Radiant[Q LLC           1792         DVS         DVF         AMP Networks LLC           1793         DVS         DVF<	1772	DVS	DVF	Dove Communications Inc.
1775         DVS         DVF         Peace Communications LLC           1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         The Tech Consultants, LLC           1778         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794	1773	DVS	DVF	Turnkey Progressive Technology, Inc.
1776         DVS         DVF         Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.           1777         DVS         DVF         The Tech Consultants, LLC           1778         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1795	1774	DVS	DVF	SmartTel Inc.
1777         DVS         DVF         The Tech Consultants, LLC           1778         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         AMP Networks LLC           1793         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         FlexIP Solutions Inc.           1796         DVS	1775	DVS	DVF	Peace Communications LLC
1778         DVS         DVF         Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.           1779         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantlQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         AMP Networks LLC           1793         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         Juxto, LLC           1795         DVS         DVF         SR Technologies, Inc.           1796         DVS         DVF	1776	DVS	DVF	Integrated Service Solutions, Inc.
1779         DVS         DVF         Telephone Equipment Service Corp.           1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         AMP Networks LLC           1793         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         FlexIP Solutions Inc.           1795         DVS         DVF         SR Technologies, Inc.           1797         DVS         DVF         RCG Telecommunications Services LLC           1799         DVS	1777	DVS	DVF	The Tech Consultants, LLC
1780         DVS         DVF         Clearly IP Inc           1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         Protel Computer Consulting Inc.           1793         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         FlexIP Solutions Inc.           1796         DVS         DVF         SR Technologies, Inc.           1797         DVS         DVF         RCG Telecommunications Services LLC           1799         DVS         DVF         Contivio.com Corporation           1800         DVS	1778	DVS	DVF	Inland Premier IT Solutions, Inc.
1782         DVS         DVF         Evacomm Corporation           1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         AMP Networks LLC           1793         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         Juxto, LLC           1795         DVS         DVF         FlexIP Solutions Inc.           1796         DVS         DVF         SR Technologies, Inc.           1798         DVS         DVF         BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.           1799         DVS         DVF         Contivio.com Corporation           1800         DVS         DVF	1779	DVS	DVF	Telephone Equipment Service Corp.
1783         DVS         DVF         Rocket Solutions LLC           1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantlQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         AMP Networks LLC           1793         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         Juxto, LLC           1795         DVS         DVF         FlexIP Solutions Inc.           1796         DVS         DVF         SR Technologies, Inc.           1798         DVS         DVF         BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.           1799         DVS         DVF         Contivio.com Corporation           1800         DVS         DVF         End2End Communications LLC	1780	DVS	DVF	Clearly IP Inc
1784         DVS         DVF         Leap Telecom, LLC           1785         DVS         DVF         Swell Broadband, Inc.           1787         DVS         DVF         Voxter Communications, Inc.           1788         DVS         DVF         Priority Communication Services LLC           1789         DVS         DVF         PS Lightwave, Inc.           1790         DVS         DVF         RadiantIQ LLC           1791         DVS         DVF         Protel Communications, Inc.           1792         DVS         DVF         AMP Networks LLC           1793         DVS         DVF         Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.           1794         DVS         DVF         Juxto, LLC           1795         DVS         DVF         FlexIP Solutions Inc.           1796         DVS         DVF         SR Technologies, Inc.           1797         DVS         DVF         RCG Telecommunications Services LLC           1799         DVS         DVF         BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.           1799         DVS         DVF         End2End Communications LLC	1782	DVS	DVF	Evacomm Corporation
1785 DVS DVF Swell Broadband, Inc. 1787 DVS DVF Voxter Communications, Inc. 1788 DVS DVF Priority Communication Services LLC 1789 DVS DVF PS Lightwave, Inc. 1790 DVS DVF RadiantlQ LLC 1791 DVS DVF Protel Communications, Inc. 1792 DVS DVF AMP Networks LLC 1793 DVS DVF Fisher Computer Consulting Inc. 1794 DVS DVF Juxto, LLC 1795 DVS DVF FlexIP Solutions Inc. 1796 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC 1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc. 1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation 1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1783	DVS	DVF	Rocket Solutions LLC
1787 DVS DVF Voxter Communications, Inc.  1788 DVS DVF Priority Communication Services LLC  1789 DVS DVF PS Lightwave, Inc.  1790 DVS DVF RadiantIQ LLC  1791 DVS DVF Protel Communications, Inc.  1792 DVS DVF AMP Networks LLC  1793 DVS DVF Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.  1794 DVS DVF Juxto, LLC  1795 DVS DVF FlexIP Solutions Inc.  1796 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC  1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.  1799 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1784	DVS	DVF	Leap Telecom, LLC
1788 DVS DVF Priority Communication Services LLC  1789 DVS DVF PS Lightwave, Inc.  1790 DVS DVF RadiantIQ LLC  1791 DVS DVF Protel Communications, Inc.  1792 DVS DVF AMP Networks LLC  1793 DVS DVF Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.  1794 DVS DVF Juxto, LLC  1795 DVS DVF FlexIP Solutions Inc.  1796 DVS DVF SR Technologies, Inc.  1797 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC  1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.  1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation  1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1785	DVS	DVF	Swell Broadband, Inc.
1789DVSDVFPS Lightwave, Inc.1790DVSDVFRadiantIQ LLC1791DVSDVFProtel Communications, Inc.1792DVSDVFAMP Networks LLC1793DVSDVFFisher Computer Consulting Inc.1794DVSDVFJuxto, LLC1795DVSDVFFlexIP Solutions Inc.1796DVSDVFSR Technologies, Inc.1797DVSDVFRCG Telecommunications Services LLC1798DVSDVFBlackPoint IT Services, Inc.1799DVSDVFContivio.com Corporation1800DVSDVFEnd2End Communications LLC	1787	DVS	DVF	Voxter Communications, Inc.
1790 DVS DVF RadiantIQ LLC  1791 DVS DVF Protel Communications, Inc.  1792 DVS DVF AMP Networks LLC  1793 DVS DVF Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.  1794 DVS DVF Juxto, LLC  1795 DVS DVF FlexIP Solutions Inc.  1796 DVS DVF SR Technologies, Inc.  1797 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC  1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.  1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation  1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1788	DVS	DVF	Priority Communication Services LLC
1791 DVS DVF Protel Communications, Inc.  1792 DVS DVF AMP Networks LLC  1793 DVS DVF Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.  1794 DVS DVF Juxto, LLC  1795 DVS DVF FlexIP Solutions Inc.  1796 DVS DVF SR Technologies, Inc.  1797 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC  1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.  1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation  1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1789	DVS	DVF	PS Lightwave, Inc.
1792 DVS DVF AMP Networks LLC  1793 DVS DVF Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.  1794 DVS DVF Juxto, LLC  1795 DVS DVF FlexIP Solutions Inc.  1796 DVS DVF SR Technologies, Inc.  1797 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC  1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.  1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation  1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1790	DVS	DVF	RadiantIQ LLC
1793 DVS DVF Fisher Computer Consulting Inc. 1794 DVS DVF Juxto, LLC 1795 DVS DVF FlexIP Solutions Inc. 1796 DVS DVF SR Technologies, Inc. 1797 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC 1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc. 1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation 1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1791	DVS	DVF	Protel Communications, Inc.
1794DVSDVFJuxto, LLC1795DVSDVFFlexIP Solutions Inc.1796DVSDVFSR Technologies, Inc.1797DVSDVFRCG Telecommunications Services LLC1798DVSDVFBlackPoint IT Services, Inc.1799DVSDVFContivio.com Corporation1800DVSDVFEnd2End Communications LLC	1792	DVS	DVF	AMP Networks LLC
1795DVSDVFFlexIP Solutions Inc.1796DVSDVFSR Technologies, Inc.1797DVSDVFRCG Telecommunications Services LLC1798DVSDVFBlackPoint IT Services, Inc.1799DVSDVFContivio.com Corporation1800DVSDVFEnd2End Communications LLC	1793	DVS	DVF	Fisher Computer Consulting Inc.
1796DVSDVFSR Technologies, Inc.1797DVSDVFRCG Telecommunications Services LLC1798DVSDVFBlackPoint IT Services, Inc.1799DVSDVFContivio.com Corporation1800DVSDVFEnd2End Communications LLC	1794	DVS	DVF	Juxto, LLC
1797 DVS DVF RCG Telecommunications Services LLC 1798 DVS DVF BlackPoint IT Services, Inc. 1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation 1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1795	DVS	DVF	FlexIP Solutions Inc.
1798     DVS     DVF     BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.       1799     DVS     DVF     Contivio.com Corporation       1800     DVS     DVF     End2End Communications LLC	1796	DVS	DVF	SR Technologies, Inc.
1799 DVS DVF Contivio.com Corporation 1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1797	DVS	DVF	RCG Telecommunications Services LLC
1800 DVS DVF End2End Communications LLC	1798	DVS	DVF	BlackPoint IT Services, Inc.
	1799	DVS	DVF	Contivio.com Corporation
1801 DVS DVF Computer Telephony Innovations, Inc	1800	DVS	DVF	End2End Communications LLC
	1801	DVS	DVF	Computer Telephony Innovations, Inc

### (END OF APPENDIX D)

## **APPENDIX E**

#### **APPENDIX E**

# List of Currently Operating CPCN/Section 1013 Holders Subject to Automatic Migration to Non-Facilities-Based Fixed Interconnected VoIP Provider

\*As of August 22, 2024

Corporate Utility ID Number	Curent Utility Type	New Utility Type	Utility Name
7413	IER	DVF	Atlantis Utility, Inc.
7414	IER	DVF	Cheap Geeks IT Consulting
7415	IER	DVF	Quality Voice & Data, Inc.
7416	IER	DVF	Dagobah Systems, Inc.
7417	IER	DVF	Ozonetel Communications, Inc.
7418	IER	DVF	VoIP Stir PR LLC
7419	IER	DVF	KassNet, Inc.
7420	IER	DVF	In-Telecom Consulting, LLC
7421	IER	DVF	Gracetel, Inc.
7422	IER	DVF	Russell Communications, LLC
7423	IER	DVF	Speakerbus Incorporated
7424	IER	DVF	Anucom, Inc.
7425	IER	DVF	Altigen Communications, Inc.
7426	IER	DVF	Voxology Inc.
7427	IER	DVF	ISSQUARED INC.
7428	IER	DVF	Content+Cloud Corporation
7429	IER	DVF	Digital Future UCC LLC
7430	IER	DVF	LSL Telecom, Inc.
7432	IER	DVF	Interlink Communications LLC
7433	IER	DVF	Intsigna
7434	IER	DVF	RingALFA Inc.
7435	IER	DVF	SignalWire, Inc.
7436	IER	DVF	One Stop Telecom
7437	IER	DVF	CM.com US. Inc.
7439	IER	DVF	Kloud Communications, Inc.
7441	IER	DVF	BBH Connectivity, Inc.
7442	IER	DVF	7G Network, Inc.
7444	IER	DVF	NW Technologies Group, Inc.
7445	IER	DVF	Trifecta Solutions Inc.
7451	IER	DVF	UniVoxx, LLC

Corporate Utility ID Number	Curent Utility Type	New Utility Type	Utility Name
7454	IER	DVF	VNILA Services, Inc.
7455	IER	DVF	Podium Voice, LLC
7456	IER	DVF	Computer Help L.A., Inc.
7458	IER	DVF	Re-Invent Telecom, LLC
7459	IER	DVF	Computer Ware, Inc.

### (END OF APPENDIX E)

## **APPENDIX F**

#### **APPENDIX F**

# FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR CPCN AND SECTION 1013 REGISTRATION APPLICATIONS

The financial requirements to obtain operating authority are as follows:

- 1. All new facilities-based applicants seeking CPCNs shall demonstrate in their applications that they possess a minimum of \$100,000 unencumbered as defined below, reasonably liquid and readily available to meet the firm's start-up expenses. Such applicants shall also document any deposits required by local exchange companies or interexchange carriers (IECs) and demonstrate that they have a minimum of \$25,000 to be used solely for deposits required by local exchange companies or interexchange carriers.
- 2. All new non-facilities-based applicants seeking Section 1013 registrations shall demonstrate in their applications that they possess a minimum of \$25,000 unencumbered as defined below, reasonably liquid and readily available to meet the new firm's expenses. Such applicants shall also document any deposits required by LECs or IECs and demonstrate that they have a minimum of \$25,000 to be used solely for deposits required by local exchange companies or interexchange carriers.
- 3. Applicants for operating authority who have profitable interstate operations may meet the minimum financial requirement by submitting all of the three items: (1) an audited balance sheet; (2) an audited balance sheet for the previous quarter; and (3) a bank statement as of the month prior to the date of filing the application or a third-party undertaking to provide the required amounts on behalf of applicant. If the balance sheet shows current liabilities in excess of current assets or negative equity, explain how applicant will be able to maintain sufficient liquidity for its

- first year of operations, as authorized in Decision (D.) 91-10-041 and modified by D.14-11-004 for NDIECs.
- 4. Applicants for operating authority without profitable interstate operations are permitted to use any of the following financial instruments to satisfy the applicable unencumbered cash equivalent requirements:
  - a. Unaudited bank statements;
  - b. Certificate of deposit or other liquid deposit with a reputable bank or other financial institution;
  - c. Preferred stock proceeds or other corporate shareholder equity, provided that use is restricted to maintenance of working capital for a period of at least twelve (12) months beyond certification of the applicant by the Commission;
  - d. Letter of credit, issued by a reputable bank or other financial institution, irrevocable for a period of at least twelve (12) months beyond certification of the applicant by the Commission;
  - e. Line of credit or other loan, issued by a reputable bank or other financial institution, irrevocable for a period of at least twelve (12) months beyond certification of the applicant by the Commission, and payable on an interest-only basis for the same period;
  - f. Loan, issued by a qualified subsidiary, affiliate of applicant, or a qualified corporation holding controlling interest in the applicant, irrevocable for a period of at least twelve (12) months beyond certification of the applicant by the Commission, and payable on an interest-only basis for the same period;
  - g. Guarantee, issued by a corporation, copartnership, or other person or association, irrevocable for a period of at least twelve (12) months

- beyond certification of the applicant by the Commission; including cashier's check, sight draft, performance bond proceeds, or traveler's checks.
- h. Guarantee, issued by a qualified subsidiary, affiliate of applicant, or a qualified corporation holding controlling interest in the applicant, irrevocable for a period of at least twelve (12) months beyond the certification of the applicant by the Commission.
- 5. The definitions of certain of the financial instruments listed in 4. and our intent on nondiscriminatory application of these definitions are clarified as follows:
  - a. Applicants using unaudited bank statements are required to submit to the Director of Communications Division a copy of the bank statement (1) dated six months after the issuance date of the authorizing decision and submitted within eight months of the issuance date of the authorizing decision, and (2) dated 12 months after the issuance date of the authorizing decision submitted within 14 months of the issuance date of the authorizing decision.
  - b. All unencumbered instruments listed in 4.b. through 4.h. above will be subject to verification and review by the Commission prior to and for a period of twelve (12) months beyond certification of the applicant by the Commission. Failure to comply with this requirement will void applicant's certification or result in such other action as the Commission deems in the public interest, including assessment of reasonable penalties. (See Pub. Util. Code §§ 581 and 2112.)

- c. Applicants for CPCNs as non-facilities-based voice service providers, including interconnected VoIP, shall assure that every issuer of a letter of credit, line of credit, or guarantee to applicant will remain prepared to furnish such reports to applicant for tendering to the Commission at such time and in such form as the Commission may reasonably require to verify or confirm the financial responsibility of applicant for a period of at least twelve (12) months after certification of the applicant by the Commission.
- d. All information furnished to the Commission for purposes of compliance with this requirement will be available for public inspection or made public, except in cases where a showing is made of a compelling need to protect it as private or proprietary information.

(END OF APPENDIX F)

## **APPENDIX G**

#### **APPENDIX G**

## INTERCONNECTED VOIP SERVICE PROVIDERS PROCEDURE FOR TRANSFERS OF CONTROL OF ASSETS

- 1. An interconnected VoIP provider granted operating authority by the Commission may file an advice letter, instead of an application, for authority to transfer control or assets, including a merger with another provider with operating, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code §§ 851 through 854 if all of the conditions set forth in this appendix are satisfied. The Tier 2 advice letter shall become effective 40 days after filing absent Commission action to suspend the advice letter.
  - a. The advice letter shall: (1) advise the Commission that the filing interconnected VoIP provider with utility type Digital Voice Fixed (DVF) is a party to a pending transaction for which Commission authority is required, (2) provide the general terms of the transaction, and (3) identify any decided or pending legal complaints against the involved entities, in California or other states.
  - b. The advice letter shall be served on the Director of the Consumer Protection and Safety Division and those persons to whom the entity is already required to serve tariff changes under General Order 96-B.
  - c. Requests for authority to transfer customers shall comply with the customer notification requirements set forth in Decision 97-06-096.
  - d. Financial statements shall accompany the advice letter for any applicant that will continue operations after the transaction has been completed. Financial statements may be filed under seal, but doing so is subject to protest.
  - e. The advice letter text shall describe the terms of the transaction and indicate how any surviving Commission certified entities would modify their tariffs, if at all.
  - f. The advice letter text shall attest that the transaction does not have a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guideline 15378.
- 2. Unless suspended by the Commission at the request of the Commission staff, either because of a protest within a 20-day protest period from the date the

matter appears on the daily calendar or sua sponte, the advice letter shall take effect and the transaction shall be deemed approved. If the Commission believes that the matter warrants more comprehensive review, the Commission may suspend the advice letter and direct the parties to file an application.

- 3. The advice letter procedure shall not be used under the following conditions:
  - a. Where an entity acquiring assets or control is not either an already certificated entity or the parent or subsidiary of a presently certified entity. In other words, the advice letter procedure described above may not be used for purposes of market interconnected VoIP service provider ry.
  - b. Where the transaction involves a owned or affiliated with a California incumbent local exchange carrier.
  - c. Where transactions are subject to the requirements of Pub. Util. Code §§ 854(b) and (c).
  - d. Where the transaction has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment. (CEQA Guideline 15378.)

(END OF APPENDIX G)

## **APPENDIX H**

#### **APPENDIX H**

## 21-DAY EXPEDITED CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT REVIEW PROCESS

Once a telecommunications provider obtains CPCN operating authority and a Utility ID Number, the Commission's 21-day expedited CEQA review process may be used to obtain CEQA review of projects likely to be categorically exempt under CEQA, using the following process:

The utility will provide the Commission's Energy Division with:

- a. A detailed description of the proposed project, including:
  - i. Customer(s) to be served;
  - ii. The precise location of the proposed construction project; and
  - iii. Regional and local site maps.
- b. A description of the environmental setting, to include at a minimum:
  - i. Cultural, historical, and paleontological resources;
  - ii. Biological resources; and
  - iii. Current land use and zoning.
- c. A construction workplan, to include:
  - i. Commission Preconstruction Survey Checklist Archaeological Resources;
  - ii. Commission Preconstruction Survey Checklist Biological Resources;
  - iii. A detailed schedule of construction activities, including site restoration activities;
  - iv. A description of construction/installation techniques; and

- v. A list of other agencies contacted with respect to siting, land use planning, and environmental resource issues, including contact information.
- vi. A list of permits required for the proposed project;
- d. A statement of the CEQA exemption(s) applicable to the proposed project; and
- e. Documentation and factual evidence sufficient to support a finding that the claimed exemption(s) is (are) applicable.

The Energy Division will review the utility's submission for the proposed project to confirm that the claimed exemption(s) from CEQA are applicable; and

Within 21 days from the date of the utility's submittal, the Energy Division will issue either:

- a. A Notice to Proceed (NTP) and file a Notice of Exemption with the State Clearinghouse, Office of Planning and Research; or
- b. A letter of denial stating the specific reasons why the claimed exemption(s) are not applicable to the proposed project.

The Energy Division will also notify the provider of either its approval or its denial of then review within 21 days from the time that utility's submittal is complete.

If the Energy Division disapproves a CPCN holder's claimed CEQA exemptions, the staff shall issue a letter to the utility which states the specific reasons that the claimed CEQA exemptions do not apply to the proposed project.

If the Energy Division disapproves a CPCN holder's claimed CEQA exemption(s), the CPCN holder shall either re-design the specific project and facilities and then reapply for a finding of exemption from CEQA, or file a formal

application with the Commission seeking the requisite approval and full CEQA review, before commencing any full facilities-based construction activities.

(END OF APPENDIX H)