

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division
Transportation Licensing and Analysis Branch**

**RESOLUTION TL-19154
June 26, 2025**

R E S O L U T I O N

RESOLUTION AWARDING LOCAL ACCESS FUND ADMINISTRATORS AND
CORRESPONDING ACCESS FUND GRANTS FOR FUNDING YEAR 2025-2026 FOR
THE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK COMPANY ACCESS FOR ALL PROGRAM.

SUMMARY

This Resolution approves Local Access Fund Administrators (LAFAs) and their corresponding Access Fund awards for Funding Year 2025-2026. The following entities will serve as a LAFA and administer the Transportation Network Company (TNC) Access for All Program locally for their respective counties: Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda), Contra Costa Transportation Authority (Contra Costa), Fresno Council of Governments (Fresno), Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Los Angeles), Redding Area Bus Authority (Shasta), Sacramento Regional Transit District (Sacramento), San Diego Association of Governments (San Diego), San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (San Francisco), San Joaquin Regional Transit District (San Joaquin), San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (San Luis Obispo), Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (Santa Barbara), Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (Santa Cruz), Solano Transportation Authority (Solano), Transportation Agency for Monterey County (Monterey), and Ventura County Transportation Commission (Ventura). Collectively, the total amount authorized to be disbursed from the Access Fund is \$10,693,947.

BACKGROUND

On September 22, 2018, Governor Brown signed Senate Bill (SB) 1376 (Hill) or the *Transportation Network Company (TNC) Access for All Act*, into law.¹ This legislation

¹ SB 1376 is codified in California Public Utilities (Pub. Util.) Code § 5440.5.

directed the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) to establish a program relating to accessibility for persons with disabilities, including wheelchair users who need a wheelchair-accessible vehicle (WAV).² The purpose of the TNC Access for All Program is to incentivize the expansion and improvement of on-demand WAV transportation service for people with disabilities in California. On February 21, 2019, the Commission issued an Order Instituting Rulemaking (R.) 19-02-012 to implement SB 1376. This proceeding was divided into five tracks: Track 1 culminated in Decision (D.) 19-06-033; Track 2 culminated in D.20-03-007; Track 3 culminated in D.21-03-005; Track 4 culminated in D.21-11-004; and Track 5 culminated in D.23-02-024.

A. Access Fund and Offset Process

In D.19-06-033, the Commission required TNCs to collect an “Access Fee” in the amount of \$0.10 for each TNC trip and to remit the fees collected to the Commission quarterly and by geographic beginning the third quarter of 2019. The fees collected from TNCs are then deposited in the Commission’s TNC Access for All Fund (“Access Fund”) for distribution to “Access Providers” that establish on-demand transportation programs or partnerships to meet the needs of persons with disabilities, including wheelchair users who need a WAV, in each geographic area.

There are two mechanisms to meet the overall goal of the Access for All Program: (1) through TNCs’ own investments and (2) through local Access Providers. In the first mechanism, TNCs invest in expanding or improving on-demand WAV service in each county. In return, they can file “offset” requests on a quarterly basis with the Commission which, if approved, allow the TNCs to retain Access Fee revenue equivalent to the amount they invest in WAV service in a particular county in a particular quarter, so long as the WAV service meets performance requirements set by the Commission.

In the second mechanism, the Commission sets aside the remaining moneys not claimed by TNCs in the offset process to be distributed to local Access Providers through LAFAs. LAFAs identify Access Providers as entities that can provide on-demand WAV service in their geographic area, but that require additional financial resources to do so.

B. Access Providers and Local Access Fund Administrators (LAFAs)

² Pub. Util. Code § 5431(b) defines “wheelchair accessible vehicle” or “WAV” to mean a vehicle equipped with a ramp or lift capable of transporting non-folding motorized wheelchairs, mobility scooters, or other mobility devices.

California Public Utilities (Pub. Util.) Code § 5440.5(a)(1)(C) provides that the Commission “shall distribute funds in the Access Fund on a competitive basis to access providers that establish on-demand transportation programs or partnerships to meet the needs of persons with disabilities, including wheelchair users who need a WAV, in the geographic areas selected...” Additionally, Section 5440.5(3)(c) allows the Commission to hire an independent entity to administer the program locally within the geographic areas defined in D.19-06-033 (counties). This independent entity was named “Access Fund Administrator” (AFA) in D.20-03-007 and then renamed “Local Access Fund Administrator” (LAFA) in D.21-03-005 to distinguish it from the “Statewide Access Fund Administrator” (SAFA). The SAFA is another entity charged with administering programs in geographic areas where there are no approved LAFAs.³ “AFA” refers to LAFAs and the SAFA collectively.

The primary role of an AFA is to administer the Access for All program in the geographic area(s) within its jurisdiction. Specifically, D.20-03-007 tasks AFAs with developing local WAV programs and distributing Access Fund moneys to Access Providers in accordance with criteria adopted by the Commission. To serve as an AFA, the applicant must be able to perform the following responsibilities identified in D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, and D.21-11-004, including:

1. Establishing a submission process for the Access Provider application
2. Selecting Access Providers to receive Access Fund moneys based on criteria adopted by the Commission
3. Distributing Access Fund moneys to selected Access Providers
4. Submitting a consolidated Quarterly Report to the Commission based on the Quarterly Reports submitted by Access Providers
5. Submitting on a quarterly basis the amount of Access Funds requested by and distributed to Access Providers in a quarter and a brief description of the progress made by selected Access Providers and any compliance or other challenges encountered
6. Submitting an annual certification that Access Fund moneys will be expended and distributed in accordance with the requirements established by the CPUC

In D.20-03-007, the Commission limited the eligibility of potential LAFAs to the following entities, in recognizing they are “best equipped and positioned to administer the Access Fund:” Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Regional

³ A second Request for Proposals was released on October 11, 2024. CPED posted an Intent to Award to GCAP on January 13, 2025.

Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs), and County Transportation Commissions (CTCs). With four exceptions, CTCs, RTPAs, and MPOs in California are all single-county entities (See Attachment 1 for a complete list of entities eligible to serve as LAFAs).⁴ Moreover, except for El Dorado and Placer counties, no county spans more than one MPO or RTPA. Most of these two counties are under the jurisdiction of the Sacramento Area Council of Governments, while small portions are under the jurisdiction of the Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization (TMPO) of Nevada.

For these multi-county MPOs, the individual RTPA or local CTC with jurisdiction over each member county shall be eligible to apply as a LAFA for that geographic area. Each geographic area may only be served by a single LAFA. In the event overlapping eligible entities apply to become a LAFA for the same area, the MPO will be given preferential consideration followed by the county-level RTPA or CTC.

In D.23-02-024, the Commission expanded LAFA eligibility to public transit agencies. In the event a public transit agency, MPO, RTPA, or CTC applies in the same county, preference shall be given to an eligible MPO, RTPA, or CTC.⁵

C. LAFA Program Guidelines

As directed in D.20-03-007, CPED developed program guidelines that included the application and selection process for potential LAFAs. January 27, 2025, CPED released the *Access for All Program Overview & Requirements V.1.5* ("Program Requirements"), specifying the Commission's procedures for selecting LAFAs; the operational, fiscal, and reporting requirements for entities serving as LAFAs; and the requirements for Access Providers chosen to provide on-demand transportation to meet the needs of persons with disabilities.

All LAFA applicants are required to submit a complete application that includes the following:

- 1. MPO / RTPA / CTC Information Form** – This form provides the Commission with correct and updated information related to the applicants.

⁴ There are four exceptions. AMBAG (Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments) consists of three counties: Monterey, San Benito, and Santa Cruz. MTC (Metropolitan Transportation Commission) consists of nine counties: Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma. SACOG (Sacramento Area Council of Governments) consists of six counties: El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba). SCAG (Southern California Association of Governments) consists of six counties: Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and Ventura.

⁵ See D.23-02-024, Ordering Paragraph #17.

2. **Certification of Program Requirements** – This certifies that the LAFA will follow the Program Requirements and must be signed by the Executive Director or other Director of the LAFA.
3. **Notarized Affidavit** – This serves as an attestation that all the information, statements, and representations made in the Application by the entity are true and correct. This includes a commitment to comply with the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure and applicable statutes, including Public Utilities Code §§ 2108 and 2111 (which describe the penalties for non-compliance with CPUC Orders), and to submit quarterly and annual reports as outlined in the Program Requirements.
4. **Payee Data Record Form (STD 204)** – This ensures that the approved LAFA can receive payments from the CPUC.⁶

Templates for each of these required application documents (Agency Information, Certification of Program Requirements, and Notarized Affidavit) can be downloaded from the CPUC website.⁷

D. LAFA Application Review and Approval

CPED reviews each application to ensure completeness, and that appropriate signatures and notarizations are included. Once CPED determines that the Application is complete, Commission staff drafts a Resolution to seek the Commission’s approval of the selected LAFA(s) and the corresponding Access Fund amounts to be disbursed.

E. Consent Form and Board Resolution

Once the Commission approves the Resolution, all LAFA(s) are required to submit the following items no later than 15 days after the Resolution becomes effective for Commission staff to initiate the Access Fund distribution process to the LAFAs. Failure to submit the required items by the due date may delay distribution of funding.

1. **Consent Form** – This form ensures the LAFA agrees to comply with all grant terms, conditions, and requirements set forth in the Resolution. This process is

⁶ <https://www.documents.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/fmc/pdf/std204.pdf>

⁷ <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/regulatory-services/licensing/transportation-licensing-and-analysis-branch/transportation-network-companies/tnc-access-for-all-program/tnc---access-for-all-program-access-fund-administrator>

required annually from all parties, including current LAFA(s) renewing their status.

2. **Board Resolution** – This resolution is an approval from the applicant’s governing board authorizing the entity to serve as the LAFA. This process is only required once for each LAFA and must be signed by the Board Chair.

LAFAs’ full approval and the disbursement of awarded Access Funds shall be conditioned on the submission of their respective Consent Form and their Board’s Resolution. The Commission will still consider Consent Form and Board Resolutions submitted more than 15 days after the Resolution becomes effective, but disbursement of Access Funds may be delayed until after September 30, 2025 for such LAFAs.

Templates for each of these required documents (Consent Form and Board Resolution) can be downloaded from the CPUC website.⁸

FUNDING YEAR 2025-2026: LAFA APPLICATIONS

CPED received 15 LAFA applications for funding year 2025-2026.

Table 1 below lists the LAFAs that applied for Funding Year 2025-2026. The applicants include 4 MPOs, 2 RTPAs, 5 CTCs, and 4 public transit agencies. None of the applicants compete to serve the same geographic area. Of the 15, 12 are existing LAFAs from Funding Year 2024-2025.

Staff reviewed these applications based on the required documents enumerated above for completeness and whether they conform to the language provided in the templates. Staff confirmed the eligibility of the applicants and determined that all 15 applications submitted by the LAFAs listed below are complete and in compliance with Program Requirements.

Table 1: List of LAFA Applicants for Funding Year 2025-26

Lafa Applicants	Entity Type	Geographic Areas Covered
Alameda County Transportation Commission	CTC	Alameda
Contra Costa Transportation Authority	CTC	Contra Costa
Fresno Council of Governments	MPO	Fresno

⁸ <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/regulatory-services/licensing/transportation-licensing-and-analysis-branch/transportation-network-companies/tnc-access-for-all-program/tnc---access-for-all-program-access-fund-administrator>

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	CTC	Los Angeles
Redding Area Bus Authority	Transit	Shasta
Sacramento Regional Transit District	Transit	Sacramento
San Diego Association of Governments	MPO	San Diego
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Transit	San Francisco
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	Transit	San Joaquin
San Luis Obispo Council of Governments	MPO	San Luis Obispo
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments	MPO	Santa Barbara
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission	RTPA	Santa Cruz
Solano Transportation Authority	CTC	Solano
Transportation Agency for Monterey County	RTPA	Monterey
Ventura County Transportation Commission	CTC	Ventura

FUNDING AWARD

SB 1376 requires the Commission to “allocate moneys in the Access Fund for use in each geographic area in a manner that is proportional to the percent of the Access Fund fees originating in that geographic area.” After considering approved TNC offsets and exemptions granted between Q3 2023 and Q2 2024 (the funding period for Funding Year 2025-26), staff allocates the remaining balance in the Access Fund by county. The balance for each county shall be the maximum funding available for the corresponding approved LAFA responsible for that county.

In Table 2, Staff recommend the following funding awards for each approved LAFA. These amounts include the corresponding 15% authorized by the Commission to cover LAFAs’ administrative costs, which are broken out separately in Table 3.

Table 2: Access Fund Amounts Awarded Per LAFA Applicant (Funding Year 25-26)

Approved LAFA	Total Access Funding
Alameda County Transportation Commission	\$1,592,746
Contra Costa Transportation Authority	\$558,193
Fresno Council of Governments	\$249,884
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	\$874,994
Redding Area Bus Authority	\$26,522
Sacramento Regional Transit District	\$3,241,253

San Diego Association of Governments	\$3,126,687
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	\$112,233
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	\$149,754
San Luis Obispo Council of Governments	\$81,015
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments	\$195,272
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission	\$70,601
Solano Transportation Authority	\$131,179
Transportation Agency for Monterey County	\$100,542
Ventura County Transportation Commission	\$183,072
TOTAL	\$10,693,947

*Table 3: Portion of the Total Approved Access Funding Allowed for Administrative Costs
(Funding Year 25-26)*

Approved LAFA	Allowance for Administrative Costs
Alameda County Transportation Commission	\$238,912
Contra Costa Transportation Authority	\$83,729
Fresno Council of Governments	\$37,483
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	\$131,249
Redding Area Bus Authority	\$3,978
Sacramento Regional Transit District	\$486,188
San Diego Association of Governments	\$469,003
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	\$16,835
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	\$22,463
San Luis Obispo Council of Governments	\$12,152
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments	\$29,291
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission	\$10,590
Solano Transportation Authority	\$19,677
Transportation Agency for Monterey County	\$15,081
Ventura County Transportation Commission	\$27,461
TOTAL	\$1,604,092

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

All approved LAFAs are required to comply with the rules detailed in the Access for All Program Requirements, which are available on the CPUC website.⁹

AUDITS

Pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 314.6(a), the Commission has the right to conduct any necessary audit, verification, and discovery during the Program's implementation to ensure that Access Fund moneys are spent in accordance with Commission requirements. D.20-03-007 authorizes CPED to retain an independent entity to monitor and audit the collection and expenditure of Access Fund moneys. For this reason, contracts with Access Providers and records such as files, invoices, and other related documentation shall be retained and made available to CPUC upon request for the duration of the program and for three years from the date of final Access Provider funding liquidation. Although an audit of Access Providers is not required, it is the responsibility of LAFAs to determine whether Access Providers are eligible to receive future funding.

COMMENTS

Public Utilities Code section 311(g)(1) provides that this Resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review. Any comments are due within 20 days of the date of its mailing and publication on the Commission's website and in accordance with any instructions accompanying the notice. Section 311(g)(2) provides that this 30-day review period and 20-day comment period may be reduced or waived upon the stipulation of all parties in the proceeding.

The 30-day review and 20-day comment period for the draft of this resolution was neither waived nor reduced. Accordingly, this draft resolution was mailed to parties for comments, and will be placed on the Commission's agenda no earlier than 30 days from today.

No comments received.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

1. In D.20-03-007, the Commission identified Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs), and County

⁹ <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/regulatory-services/licensing/transportation-licensing-and-analysis-branch/transportation-network-companies/tnc-access-for-all-program/tnc---access-for-all-program-access-fund-administrator>

Transportation Commissions (CTCs) as eligible to serve as Local Access Fund Administrators (LAFAs).

2. In D.23-02-024, the Commission expanded the eligibility of potential LAFAs to include public transit agencies.
3. Per D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, and D.21-11-004 LAFAs shall administer the Access for All Program funds locally within their respective geographic areas to Access Providers who provide on-demand WAV transportation to meet the needs of persons with disabilities.
4. CPED received complete and compliant LAFA applications from the following entities by the April 1, 2025 deadline:
 - i. Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda)
 - ii. Contra Costa Transportation Authority (Contra Costa)
 - iii. Fresno Council of Governments (Fresno)
 - iv. Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Los Angeles)
 - v. Redding Area Bus Authority (Shasta)
 - vi. Sacramento Regional Transit District (Sacramento)
 - vii. San Diego Association of Governments (San Diego)
 - viii. San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (San Francisco)
 - ix. San Joaquin Regional Transit District (San Joaquin)
 - x. San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (San Luis Obispo)
 - xi. Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (Santa Barbara)
 - xii. Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (Santa Cruz)
 - xiii. Solano Transportation Authority (Solano)
 - xiv. Transportation Agency for Monterey County (Monterey)
 - xv. Ventura County Transportation Commission (Ventura)
5. Based on its review, CPED Staff has determined that these applicants meet the eligibility requirements and submitted the required documents.
6. To receive funding by September 30, 2025, the following applicants will have until July 11, 2025 to submit their Board Resolution and Consent Form to CPED Staff:
 - i. Alameda
 - ii. Contra Costa

- iii. Fresno
- iv. Los Angeles
- v. Shasta
- vi. Sacramento
- vii. San Diego
- viii. San Francisco
- ix. San Joaquin
- x. San Luis Obispo
- xi. Santa Barbara
- xii. Santa Cruz
- xiii. Solano
- xiv. Monterey
- xv. Ventura

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. All applicants shall be conditionally approved as LAFAs; the disbursement of their Access Fund award is contingent on the submission of their Board Resolution and Consent Form by July 11, 2025. Submittals after that date will be considered on a case-by-case basis, which may delay funding distribution.
2. The Commission conditionally approves the Local Access Fund Administrators (LAFAs) endorsed by CPED Staff and shall award the following amounts for the 2025-2026 Funding Year provided that each conditionally approved LAFA submits its Consent Form and Board Resolution by July 11, 2025 to be considered for funding distribution by September 30, 2025:
 - i. \$1,592,746 to the Alameda County Transportation Commission (Alameda)
 - ii. \$558,193 to the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (Contra Costa)
 - iii. \$249,884 to the Fresno Council of Governments (Fresno)
 - iv. \$874,994 to the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Los Angeles)
 - v. \$26,522 to the Redding Area Bus Authority (Shasta)
 - vi. \$3,241,253 to the Sacramento Regional Transit District (Sacramento)
 - vii. \$3,126,687 to the San Diego Association of Governments (San Diego)
 - viii. \$112,233 to the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (San Francisco)
 - ix. \$149,754 to the San Joaquin Regional Transit District (San Joaquin)
 - x. \$81,015 to the San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (San Luis Obispo)

- xi. \$195,272 to the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (Santa Barbara)
 - xii. \$70,601 to the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (Santa Cruz)
 - xiii. \$131,179 to the Solano Transportation Authority (Solano)
 - xiv. \$100,542 to the Transportation Agency for Monterey County (Monterey)
 - xv. \$183,072 to the Ventura County Transportation Commission (Ventura)
3. LAFAs must complete and execute the Consent Form agreeing to the conditions set forth in this Resolution and email it to tncaccess@cpuc.ca.gov within 15 calendar days from the date of the adoption of this Resolution (due July 11, 2025). Failure to submit the Consent Form within 15 calendar days from the adoption date of this Resolution will render the award null and void.
 4. If fully approved, a total of \$10,693,947 for these LAFAs shall be paid out of the Access Fund in accordance with the Program Requirements.
 5. Payments to the LAFA shall be in accordance with the process outlined in the Program Requirements, which can be accessed on the CPUC website.
 6. Approved LAFAs must comply with all guidelines, requirements, and conditions associated with the Access for All Program awards as specified in D.19-06-033, D.20-03-007, D.21-03-005, D.21-11-004, D.23-02-024, the Program Requirements, and this Resolution.
 7. Upon termination of its role as a LAFA, an approved LAFA must return unused Access Fund moneys to the Commission by following the process outlined in the "Unused Funds" section of the Program Requirements.
 8. An approved LAFA shall remain approved throughout the Funding Year until such time as its own withdrawal, breach of this agreement, or Access for All Program termination. In the event of a previous withdrawal, breach of agreement, or Program termination and in the event that the LAFA is interested in being re-approved for future Funding Years, the LAFA shall apply again by submitting the full Application, including the Certification, Affidavit, Payee Data Record, Consent Form, and Board Resolution following the Commission's award. An approved LAFA that is interested in continuing in its role for the 26-

27 Funding Year shall inform CPED Staff of such intentions no later than April 1, 2026 (the same day LAFA applications are due).

This Resolution is effective today.

/s/ RACHEL PETERSON

Rachel Peterson
Executive Director

ALICE REYNOLDS

President

DARCIE HOUCK

JOHN REYNOLDS

KAREN DOUGLAS

MATTHEW BAKER

Commissioners

The foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on June 26, 2025; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

Dated June 26, 2025, at Sacramento, California

ATTACHMENT 1
ELIGIBLE LOCAL ACCESS FUND ADMINISTRATORS

LIST OF ELIGIBLE LOCAL ACCESS FUND ADMINISTRATORS (LAFAs)
(excludes eligible public transit agencies)
As of the issuance of this Draft Resolution TL-19154

Entity	Entity Type	County Type	Geographic Areas Covered
Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG)	MPO	Multi county	Monterey San Benito Santa Cruz
Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Butte
Fresno Council of Governments (Fresno COG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Fresno
Kern Council of Governments (KCOG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Kern
Kings County Association of Governments (KCAG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Kings
Madera County Transportation Commission (Madera CTC)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Madera
Merced County Association of Governments (MCAG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Merced
Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)	MPO, RTPA	Multi county	Alameda Contra Costa Marin Napa San Francisco San Mateo Santa Clara Solano Sonoma
Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG)	MPO, RTPA	Multi county	El Dorado Placer Sacramento Sutter Yolo Yuba
San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	San Diego

Entity	Entity Type	County Type	Geographic Areas Covered
San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	San Joaquin
San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	San Luis Obispo
Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Santa Barbara
Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency (SCRTPA)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Shasta
Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)	MPO, RTPA	Multi county	Imperial Los Angeles Orange Riverside San Bernardino Ventura
Stanislaus Council of Governments (StanCOG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Stanislaus
Tahoe Metropolitan Planning Organization (TMPO)	MPO, RTPA	Multi county (some parts only)	El Dorado Placer
Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG)	MPO, RTPA	Single county	Tulare
Calaveras County COG	RTPA	Single county	Calaveras
Humboldt County AOG	RTPA	Single county	Humboldt
Lake County Area Planning Council	RTPA	Single county	Lake
Mendocino COG	RTPA	Single county	Mendocino
Tuolumne County Transportation Council	RTPA	Single county	Tuolumne
Del Norte LTC	RTPA	Single county	Del Norte
Modoc CTC	RTPA	Single county	Modoc
Siskiyou County LTC	RTPA	Single county	Siskiyou
Tehama County LTC	RTPA	Single county	Tehama
Trinity County LTC	RTPA	Single county	Trinity
Nevada CTC	RTPA	Single county	Nevada
Inyo County LTC	RTPA	Single county	Inyo
Mono LTC	RTPA	Single county	Mono
Alpine LTC	RTPA	Single county	Alpine
Amador CTC	RTPA	Single county	Amador
Mariposa LTC	RTPA	Single county	Mariposa
Sierra LTC	RTPA	Single county	Sierra

Entity	Entity Type	County Type	Geographic Areas Covered
Plumas CTC	RTPA	Single county	Plumas
Colusa CTC	RTPA	Single county	Colusa
Lassen CTC	RTPA	Single county	Lassen
Glenn CTC	RTPA	Single county	Glenn