

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**ENERGY DIVISION**

**RESOLUTION E-5472**

**October 8, 2026**

**R E S O L U T I O N**

Resolution E-5472 approves Southern California Edison Advice Letter 5709-E and, with modifications, San Diego Gas & Electric Advice Letter 4772-E, and directs improved truck roll fee transparency.

**PROPOSED OUTCOME:**

- Approves Southern California Edison Company Advice Letter 5709-E and approves San Diego Gas & Electric Company Advice Letter 4772-E with modifications.
- Requires San Diego Gas & Electric Company to list its meter socket adapter “truck roll” fee on its public meter socket adapter webpage.
- Directs Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company to update their meter socket adapter tariff rules to: (1) specify their current “truck roll” fee amounts and (2) specify that any future updates to the “truck roll” fee amounts shall be made via Tier 3 Advice Letter.
- Directs Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company to jointly file a Tier 3 Advice Letter proposing a “truck roll” fee structure and methodology for future tariff updates.

**SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS:**

- There are no safety considerations associated with this Resolution.

**ESTIMATED COST:**

- This Resolution is expected to create minor and absorbable administrative utility costs associated with filing revisions to tariff rules and making webpage updates. The precise magnitude of such costs is unknown.

By Advice Letter 5709-E, and Advice Letter 4772-E submitted December 17, 2025.

## **SUMMARY**

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) Advice Letter 5709-E and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) Advice Letter 4772-E were both submitted on December 17, 2025 pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (OP) 21 and OP 22 of Decision (D.) 25-06-034, which directed California's three large electric investor-owned utilities (IOUs) to establish public webpages<sup>1</sup> that provide information on meter socket adapters (MSAs) and related technologies. A similar Advice Letter filing from Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) was approved separately.<sup>2</sup>

This Resolution approves SCE Advice Letter 5709-E and approves SDG&E Advice Letter 4772-E with modifications. SDG&E is required to state the "truck roll" fee associated with the installation and removal of MSAs and related technologies on its webpage. Additionally, this Resolution directs PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to amend their respective MSA tariff rules to improve "truck roll" fee transparency, as well as jointly file a Tier 3 Advice Letter proposing a "truck roll" fee structure and methodology for future tariff updates.

## **BACKGROUND**

On June 12, 2025, the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) adopted D.25-06-034 in Rulemaking (R.) 19-01-011. Among other actions, D.25-06-034 expanded the evaluation process approved pursuant to D.21-01-018 and Resolution E-5194. D.21-01-018 and Resolution E-5194 approved funding for and outlined how large electric utilities should evaluate devices that are customer-owned, interface with utility equipment, and allow buildings to isolate from the electric grid. D.25-06-034 expanded the scope of this funding and process to also include electric non-isolating technologies, such as MSAs, that help customers avoid electric service panel upgrades and electric service line upsizing while maintaining safe and reliable electric service.

MSAs are devices installed between a customer's meter socket and electric meter that help enable the installation of various equipment associated with building decarbonization (e.g., electric vehicle charging equipment, battery storage, etc.) without requiring costly electric service panel replacement or electric service line upsizing.

MSAs help customers electrify buildings by enabling the installation of new devices and appliances without necessitating costly modifications to a building's existing electric infrastructure or the sometimes extended wait periods and incremental expense associated with upgrading the premise's electric service line to accommodate

---

<sup>1</sup> D.25-06-034, Ordering Paragraph 21 and Ordering Paragraph 22; Section 5.3.2.

<sup>2</sup> PG&E Advice Letter 7784-E, approved June 30, 2026.

incremental electric load. To help members of the public, including customers and contractors, better understand MSAs and related technologies, and how they can help save time and money, OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034 directed PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to each establish public webpages describing approved technologies, application requirements, utility evaluation processes, and other information. More specifically, OP 22 directed that the new IOU webpages fulfill the following eight requirements:

- (a) Be freely accessible without access restrictions, login credentials, or other barriers;
- (b) Not jeopardize manufacturers' proprietary data or creating competitive disadvantages;
- (c) Include sections on the descriptions of the processes for testing and evaluating new eligible devices, user-friendly explanations of the testing and evaluation processes, resources for manufacturers to better understand the types of tests used to evaluate devices, considerations for manufacturers to keep in mind, and common areas of failure;
- (d) Include a list of approved devices;
- (e) Include an FAQ section for device manufacturers highlighting the types of tests conducted and key considerations;
- (f) Link to a portal for customers to request device installations from the utility;
- (g) Permit access without necessitating a customer log-in; and
- (h) Be hosted this list on a new landing page specific to these types of devices.

Pursuant to OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034, PG&E filed Advice Letter 7784-E, SCE filed Advice Letter 5709-E, and SDG&E filed Advice Letter 4772-E on December 17, 2025. The three Advice Letters describe each utility's compliance with the requirement to create the newly required webpages. While SCE's Advice Letter and SDG&E's Advice Letter pertain solely to the requirements of OP 21 and OP 22, PG&E's Advice Letter batches other requirements of D.25-06-034 along with the webpage-related requirements. PG&E's Advice Letter was approved on June 30, 2026 along with separate Advice Letters filed by SCE<sup>3</sup> and SDG&E<sup>4</sup> implementing MSA-specific tariff rules.

Upon review of the Advice Letters filed pursuant to OP 21 and OP 22 and each utility's new webpage, Energy Division identified a concern regarding the transparency of the "truck roll" fee, which is a colloquial term used to describe the fee assessed to the customer based on the estimated cost to provide the service – including unit costs, average service time, and program administration costs – when utility personnel are dispatched to a customer premise to perform an MSA installation or removal. While

---

<sup>3</sup> SCE Advice Letter 5711-E and SCE Advice Letter 5711-E-A.

<sup>4</sup> SDG&E Advice Letter 4774-E.

PG&E and SCE both specify the truck roll fee amount in the FAQ sections of their respective new webpages, SDG&E does not. D.25-06-034 does not speak specifically about truck roll fees or whether they should be considered a “key consideration” of each IOU’s new webpage, per the requirement of OP 22(e). As such, formal clarification is necessary.

Pursuant to the requirements of General Order (GO) 96-B, Rule 7.6.1, an Advice Letter is subject to industry division (in this matter, Energy Division) staff disposition so long as a technically qualified person could determine objectively whether the proposed action has been authorized by the statutes or Commission orders cited in the Advice Letter. Whenever an Advice Letter disposition requires more than ministerial action from staff, the disposition of the Advice Letter on the merits will be by Commission resolution.

## **NOTICE**

Energy Division served draft Resolution E-5472 to the service lists for R.19-01-011 and R.19-09-009 on October 8, 2026.

## **PROTESTS**

No protests were received regarding either SCE Advice Letter 5709-E or SDG&E Advice Letter 4772-E.

## **DISCUSSION**

### **Webpage Transparency**

While PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E all complied with the requirement to create new webpages pursuant to OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034, only PG&E and SCE list the truck roll fee amount associated with MSA installation and removal (currently \$275 for PG&E and \$279.22 for SCE) on their respective webpages. In contrast, SDG&E’s webpage mentions the applicability of a truck roll fee without specifying the fee amount.

The Commission finds that SDG&E’s webpage does not provide sufficient information regarding the precise truck roll fee amount. While OP 22(e) of D.25-06-034 did not expressly identify truck roll fee amounts as a “key consideration,” the Commission clarifies through this Resolution that such fee amounts are indeed a key consideration that must be addressed in the FAQ sections of the IOUs’ respective webpages.

Accordingly, SDG&E must list its truck roll fee amount on its webpage in a manner similar to how PG&E and SCE disclose their truck roll fee amounts on their respective webpages.<sup>5</sup> SDG&E shall demonstrate compliance with this requirement through a Tier 1 Advice Letter filing to be submitted no later than 60 days from the approval of this Resolution. Moving forward, all three large electric IOUs must ensure that the truck roll fee amount remains listed on their respective webpages.

Additionally, while the new IOU webpages currently focus exclusively on MSAs, D.25-06-034 clearly establishes that those webpages are intended to be technology agnostic (i.e., not focused solely on MSAs) and applicable to all devices that are customer-owned, interface with utility equipment, and allow for grid isolation or addition of decarbonization technologies. Consistent with OP 22(h) of D.25-06-034, which requires that this information be hosted on a landing page specific to these types of devices, each IOU shall maintain a single, clearly identifiable landing page for information regarding all devices eligible for the evaluation process. As new non-MSA technologies come to market, the IOUs are granted discretion to provide information regarding those technologies on separate webpages; however, if such information is provided separately, the IOUs' respective MSA webpages shall clearly direct customers and contractors to where information on those non-MSA technologies can be found. The IOUs may, at their discretion, consult with Energy Division staff regarding these updates, and shall continue to notify Energy Division concurrent with any website update regarding a newly approved device, consistent with OP 21 of D.25-06-034.

### **Additional Transparency Measures**

While this Resolution was issued in response to concerns regarding the provision of information pursuant to OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034, the Commission notes additional concerns relating to the transparency of IOU truck roll fees. None of the three large electric utilities' respective MSA tariff rules<sup>6</sup> state the truck roll fee amount, the circumstances under which those truck roll fees would be adjusted, or the methodology for future adjustments to the fee. PG&E Advice Letter 7784-E describes the truck roll fee and the procedural mechanism by which it would seek to update the fee,<sup>7</sup> but those items are not reflected in its MSA tariff rule language and there is no Commission-

---

<sup>5</sup> PG&E Meter Socket Adapter Webpage FAQ Section, [Meter Socket Adapters | PG&E](#); SCE Meter Socket Adapter Webpage FAQ Section, [Meter Socket Adapter Program](#).

<sup>6</sup> PG&E Electric Rule 31, SCE Electric Rule 31, and SDG&E Electric Rule 46.

<sup>7</sup> PG&E states that it is not proposing a change to the existing \$275 truck roll fee, and that the prevailing fee was calculated to cover its meter technicians' time to perform the requested service and overhead costs associated with program administration so that the program does not impact non-participants' rates. PG&E further states that any future changes to the fee would be submitted via a Tier 1 Advice Letter (PG&E Advice Letter 7784-E at 9).

approved formula or methodology governing calculation of the fee that would guide future updates.

In the disposition letters issued by Energy Division in response to PG&E Advice Letter 7784-E, SCE Advice Letter 5711-E/SCE Advice Letter 5711-E-A, and SDG&E Advice Letter 4774-E, Staff noted the same aforementioned concerns regarding truck roll fee transparency. For example, a portion of the disposition letter in response to SDG&E Advice Letter 4774-E reads as follows:

“Energy Division notes its concern with AL 4774-E’s lack of clarity regarding the truck roll fee that customers will be required to pay to facilitate MSA installation. While PG&E indicated its fee amount in AL 7784-E, and both PG&E and SCE indicated their fee amounts on their respective MSA websites, SDG&E does not indicate its fee amount on its MSA website, nor is the fee amount reflected anywhere in AL 4774-E or in the proposed tariff language itself. Additionally, SDG&E does not provide an explanation regarding the specific conditions under which the fee might need to be updated or the method by which an update would be made. While no parties raised concerns regarding these particular aspects of AL 4774-E, **it may nevertheless be appropriate to address how to both ensure fee transparency and clarify the fee update process at a future time**” (emphasis added).

The Commission finds it appropriate to address Staff’s transparency concerns in this Resolution. Because the cost of the MSA (or related technology) and the truck roll fee are both borne directly by the customer, any increase in the truck roll fee directly affects customers’ cost considerations regarding the adoption of such technologies. Ensuring greater Commission oversight over future truck roll fee updates will help ensure that any such updates are just and reasonable and consistent with both Public Utilities (PU) Code Section 451 and PU Code Section 489.

PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E shall, no later than 60 days from the adoption of this Resolution, each revise their respective MSA tariff rules via Tier 2 Advice Letter to: (1) specify their current truck roll fee amounts and (2) specify that any future updates to the truck roll fee amounts shall be made via Tier 3 Advice Letter. Each IOU may exercise discretion regarding the formal terminology used to describe the truck roll fee in its own tariff rules, but the IOUs are strongly encouraged to coordinate in advance and propose consistent terminology in their respective filings.

Each IOU's Electric Rule 15 states the utility’s prevailing electric line extension allowance amount and contains Commission-approved provisions governing periodic updates to those allowances based on formulas and methodologies previously adopted by the Commission. Unlike with the electric line extension allowance provisions in Rule

15, however, there is no existing Commission decision establishing the inputs and formula for calculating the truck roll fee associated with MSA installations and removals. Absent prior guidance provided by the Commission, this Resolution adopts the following requirements for establishing the fee structure and methodology for future truck roll fee updates:

- **Tier 3 Advice Letter Required:** Within 90 days of the effective date of this Resolution, PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E shall jointly file a Tier 3 Advice Letter proposing the truck roll fee structure and methodology for devices approved pursuant to Resolution E-5194. A Tier 3 filing is required because establishment of the truck roll fee structure and methodology requires Commission review and does not follow directly from an existing statute or Commission order. Future updates to the truck roll fee amount shall also require a Tier 3 Advice Letter, consistent with Energy Industry Rules 5.3(2) and 5.3(9) of General Order 96-B, unless the Commission approves a different Advice Letter tier for such updates. Because the Commission has not yet approved an index or formula governing calculation of the fee, it declines to authorize a lower tier pathway for subsequent fee amount updates at this time. However, as part of the Tier 3 Advice Letter, the IOUs may propose a different Advice Letter tier for subsequent fee amount updates, which the Commission will consider in its review of that filing. The IOUs are encouraged, but not required, to collaborate with Energy Division prior to submitting the Advice Letter required by this Resolution.
- **Supporting Documentation:** The Tier 3 Advice Letter filing shall include supporting calculations, assumptions, cost-related data, and documentation demonstrating that a future proposed fee structure or revision is justified and reasonable, including an explanation of the methodology and cost drivers supporting the proposed fee structure or proposed change.

SDG&E may combine the requirement to update its webpage ordered pursuant to OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034 with the requirement to update its MSA tariff rule in a single Tier 2 Advice Letter filing.

## COMMENTS

Public Utilities Code section 311(g)(1) provides that this Resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review. Any comments are due within 20 days of the date of its mailing and publication on the Commission's website and in accordance with any instructions accompanying the notice. Section 311(g)(2) provides that this 30-day

review period and 20-day comment period may be reduced or waived upon the stipulation of all parties in the proceeding.

The 30-day review and 20-day comment period for the draft of this resolution was neither waived nor reduced. Accordingly, this draft resolution was mailed to parties for comments and placed on the Commission's agenda no earlier than 30 days from the date of mailing and publication.

Comments on the draft Resolution were received from PG&E, SDG&E, and SCE on September 14, 2026. The topics addressed in those comments are summarized below.

### **Fee Definition**

PG&E and SCE both requested that the fee described throughout the draft Resolution as a “truck roll” fee should be characterized more broadly and accurately, consistent with the cost basis reflected in the IOUs' approved MSA tariffs (Rule 31 for PG&E and SCE, Rule 46 for SDG&E), rather than as a fee tied narrowly to the dispatch of utility personnel. SCE proposed that the fee be called the “MSA installation and removal fee” to more broadly encompass unit costs, average service time, and program administration costs.

### **Disposition Re: Fee Definition**

The Commission declines to adopt SCE's specific naming proposal. Instead, we clarify that “truck roll” fee is a colloquial term used to describe the fee assessed to the customer based on the estimated cost to provide the service – including unit costs, average service time, and program administration costs – when utility personnel are dispatched to a customer premise to perform an MSA installation or removal. We further clarify that each IOU may exercise discretion regarding the formal terminology used to describe the truck roll fee in its own tariff rules, but strongly encourage the IOUs to coordinate in advance and propose consistent terminology in their respective filings.

### **Advice Letter Tier Structure for Truck Roll Fee Updates**

PG&E and SCE both requested that the Resolution avoid requiring repeated Tier 3 Advice Letter review for routine future fee-amount updates where an approved fee methodology remains unchanged. SCE proposed that each IOU file an initial Tier 3 Advice Letter within 90 days of the Resolution's effective date proposing its MSA truck roll fee structure, after which subsequent fee updates that do not modify the Commission-approved methodology or formula would be submitted through Tier 1 Advice Letters. SCE additionally proposed that future changes to the fee structure or methodology continue to require Tier 3 review. PG&E similarly proposed Tier 1 treatment for subsequent fee changes where the previously approved methodology remains unchanged, citing Energy Industry Rule 5.1(3)

of General Order 96-B. However, PG&E proposed a Tier 2 Advice Letter, rather than Tier 3, when proposing to change fee methodology.

SCE further proposed that the tariff rule revisions required by OP 4 of the draft Resolution occur within 60 days after Commission approval of the initial Tier 3 Advice Letter and that the fee amount include only those costs approved through that review. PG&E requested that the OP 4 tariff rule revision be filed via Tier 1 Advice Letter rather than Tier 2 Advice Letter, arguing that OP 4 directs the IOUs to add specific text to an existing, Commission-approved tariff and therefore qualifies under GO 96-B Rule 5.1(3) as a tariff change whose wording follows directly from a Commission order.

**Disposition Re: Advice Letter Tier Structure for Truck Roll Fee Updates**

The Commission adopts these comments in part. The Commission agrees with SCE that a Tier 3 Advice Letter should be filed within 90 days of this Resolution's effective date to establish the fee structure and methodology consistent with the documentation required by OP 5. However, rather than three individual filings that could lead to three different approaches, we require a joint filing. As part of the joint Tier 3 Advice Letter filing required by this Resolution, the IOUs may propose an alternative, lower Advice Letter tier for subsequent fee amount updates; the Commission declines to articulate such a pathway in the tariff rules at this time and will instead consider any such proposal in the context of its review of that filing. Finding 10, OP 4, and OP 5 are revised accordingly.

The Commission declines to adopt PG&E's request to file the OP 4 tariff rule revision via Tier 1 Advice Letter, and maintains that a Tier 2 Advice Letter is the most appropriate means by which to ensure that the respective filings comply fully and accurately with the requirements of this Resolution.

**SDG&E Compliance Finding**

SDG&E requested that the Resolution be revised to remove findings characterizing SDG&E's webpage as falling short of full compliance with OP 22(e) of D.25-06-034, arguing that truck roll fee amounts were not identified as a "key consideration" in that Decision, and that requiring disclosure of the fee amount is new, prospective guidance rather than an existing compliance obligation.

**Disposition Re: SDG&E Compliance Finding**

The Commission adopts SDG&E's request. The Resolution's characterization of SDG&E's prior compliance is revised to clarify that the fee disclosure requirement reflects new guidance clarifying OP 22(e), rather than finding that SDG&E failed to comply with an existing, explicit requirement. The requirement per OP 2 that

SDG&E document the addition of its truck roll fee amount to its new webpage via Tier 1 Advice Letter (or as a consolidated Tier 2 Advice Letter filing) is retained.

### **Future Technology Webpage Placement**

PG&E requested that: (1) the Resolution not designate the MSA-specific webpage as the required location for information regarding future non-MSA technologies; and (2) any related conference with Energy Division regarding webpage updates be optional rather than required.

### **Disposition Re: Future Technology Webpage Placement**

The Commission adopts both of PG&E's requests with modification. The IOUs are granted discretion to provide information on future non-MSA technologies across separate webpages; however, if such information is provided on a separate webpage, the dedicated MSA landing page shall clearly direct customers and contractors to that information, preserving a single, clearly identifiable entry point consistent with OP 22(h) of D.25-06-034. The Commission does not require a mandatory conference or consultation with Energy Division regarding webpage content; the IOUs may consult with Energy Division staff at their discretion. This does not affect the separate requirement in OP 21 of D.25-06-034 that IOUs must notify Energy Division concurrent with any website update regarding a newly approved device.

These comments are addressed in this section of the final Resolution, and corresponding changes have been made in other sections.

## **FINDINGS**

1. D.25-06-034 expanded the evaluation process and funding to conduct evaluations for California's three large electric utilities for electric isolating technologies to also apply to electric non-isolating technologies.
2. D.21-01-018, Resolution E-5194, and D.25-06-034 are intended to be technology agnostic and applicable to all devices that are customer-owned, interface with utility equipment, and allow for grid isolation or additional of decarbonization technologies. The process and funding are not limited solely to MSAs.
3. OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034 directed California's three large electric IOUs to establish public webpages listing all devices approved through the Resolution E-5194 evaluation process.
4. SCE Advice Letter 5709-E and SDG&E Advice Letter 4772-E were both filed on December 17, 2025, in compliance with D.25-06-034. PG&E's corresponding Advice Letter was separately filed and approved prior to the issuance of this Resolution.

5. No protests were received regarding SCE Advice Letter 5709-E or SDG&E Advice Letter 4772-E.
6. SCE's and PG&E's new webpages that were ordered pursuant to OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034 include their truck roll fee amounts, but SDG&E's new webpage does not.
7. Truck roll fee amounts are key considerations that must be included in the FAQ section of an IOU's webpage for MSAs and/or related technologies pursuant to OP 22(e) of D.25-06-034.
8. No IOU's MSA tariff rule currently identifies the truck roll fee amount, the circumstances under which the truck roll fee is updated, or the methodology for future truck roll fee adjustments.
9. The Commission has not previously adopted a formula or methodology governing calculation of MSA truck roll fees.
10. It is reasonable to require PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E to file a joint Tier 3 Advice Letter proposing a truck roll fee structure and methodology for Commission review and approval. Future revisions to an IOU's MSA truck roll fee shall require Commission review through a Tier 3 Advice Letter pursuant to Energy Industry Rules 5.3(2) and 5.3(9) of General Order 96-B unless the Commission approves a different Advice Letter tier for such revisions as part of its review of the initial Tier 3 Advice Letter required by this Resolution.
11. It is reasonable to approve SCE Advice Letter 5709-E and to approve SDG&E Advice Letter 4772-E with the modifications adopted in this Resolution.
12. Greater transparency regarding future truck roll fee updates and the methodology used to calculate those truck roll fee updates will benefit customers, contractors, and other stakeholders, and is consistent with the Commission's obligations under PU Code Section 451 and PU Code Section 489.

**THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:**

1. Southern California Edison Company's Advice Letter 5709-E is approved.
2. San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Advice Letter 4772-E is approved with modifications. San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall file a Tier 1 Advice Letter no later than 60 days from the issuance of this Resolution demonstrating that it has updated its meter socket adapter webpage to include its specific truck roll fee amount.
3. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall notify Energy Division staff of any updates to the webpages that were ordered pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (OP) 21 and OP 22 of Decision 25-06-034 to ensure that information is provided regarding all devices that are eligible for the evaluation process as new

technologies other than meter socket adapters come to market. As new non-meter socket adapter technologies come to market, the aforementioned electric investor-owned utilities (IOUs) are granted discretion to provide information regarding those technologies across separate webpages; however, if such information is provided separately, the IOUs' respective meter socket adapter (MSA) webpages shall clearly direct customers and contractors to where information on those non-MSA technologies can be found. The IOUs may, at their discretion, consult with Energy Division staff regarding these updates, and shall continue to notify Energy Division concurrent with any website update regarding a newly approved device, consistent with OP 21 of D.25-06-034.

4. Within 60 days of the effective date of this Resolution, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall each file a Tier 2 Advice Letter revising their applicable meter socket adapter tariff rules to: (1) specify their current truck roll fee amount and (2) specify that any future updates to the truck roll fee amount shall be made via Tier 3 Advice Letter. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company may exercise discretion regarding the formal terminology used to describe the truck roll fee in its own tariff rules, but they are strongly encouraged to coordinate in advance and propose consistent terminology in their respective filings.
5. Within 90 days of the effective date of this Resolution, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall jointly file a Tier 3 Advice Letter proposing a truck roll fee structure and methodology for devices approved pursuant to Resolution E-5194. This filing, and any future Tier 3 Advice Letter filing proposing an update to a truck roll fee, shall include supporting calculations, assumptions, cost-related data, an explanation of the methodology used to calculate the fee, documentation identifying the specific cost drivers supporting the proposed fee structure or revision, and any other information necessary for the Commission to evaluate the reasonableness of the proposed fee structure or amount. As part of this initial filing, the electric investor-owned utilities (IOUs) may propose an alternative Advice Letter tier for subsequent fee amount updates. Because the Commission has not yet approved an index or formula governing calculation of the fee, the Commission declines to authorize a lower tier pathway at this time and will instead consider any such proposal in the context of its review of the Tier 3 Advice Letter. The IOUs are encouraged, but not required, to collaborate with Energy Division prior to submitting the Advice Letter required by this Ordering Paragraph.
6. San Diego Gas & Electric Company may combine the requirement to update its webpage ordered pursuant to OP 21 and OP 22 of D.25-06-034 with the requirement to update its meter socket adapter tariff rule in a single Tier 2

Advice Letter filing.

7. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall ensure that the truck roll fee amount remains listed on their respective meter socket adapter webpages.
8. All Advice Letter filings ordered pursuant to this Resolution – including any future Advice Letter filing proposing an update to an electric utility’s truck roll fee – shall be served on the service lists for Rulemaking (R.) 19-01-011 and R.19-09-009, and shall be subject to the standard protest period applicable to Advice Letters under General Order 96-B.

This Resolution is effective today.

The foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on October 8, 2026; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

/s/ LEUWAM TESFAI

Leuwam Tesfai  
Executive Director

JOHN REYNOLDS  
President

DARCIE L. HOUCK  
KAREN DOUGLAS  
MATTHEW BAKER  
CHRISTINE HARADA  
Commissioners

Dated October 8, 2026, at Sacramento, California