

**Case:** A.20-09-019

ALJ: Nojan

**Witness:** PG&E - Cullings

#### **TURN Cross Examination Exhibit**

**Exhibit Number: TURN-**

Section from PG&E's 2020 RAMP Report

Concerning Wildfire Risk

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U 39 M) to Submit Its 2020 Risk Assessment and Mitigation Phase Report

Application No. 20-06-\_\_\_

## APPLICATION OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U39M) TO SUBMIT ITS 2020 RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION PHASE REPORT

STEVEN W. FRANK TESSA M. G. CARLBERG

Pacific Gas and Electric Company 77 Beale Street, B30A San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 973-7950

Facsimile: (415) 973-5520

E-Mail: tessa.carlberg@pge.com

Attorneys for

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: June 30, 2020

### PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CHAPTER 10

## RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION PHASE RISK MITIGATION PLAN: WILDFIRE

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	Executive Summary				
	1.	Ris	sk Overview	10-4	
	2.	Ris	sk Definition	10-5	
B.	Risk Assessment				
	1.	Ва	ckground and Evolution	10-5	
	2.	Ris	sk Bow Tie	10-7	
		a.	Difference from 2017 Risk Bow Tie	10-9	
	3.	Ex	posure to Risk	10-10	
	4.	Tra	anches	10-10	
	5.	Dri	ivers and Associated Frequency	10-14	
	6.	Cr	oss-Cutting Factors	10-16	
	7.	Со	onsequences	10-18	
C.	Controls and Mitigations				
	1.	20	19 Controls and Mitigations	10-28	
		a.	Controls	10-28	
		b.	Mitigations	10-34	
	2.	20	17 RAMP Update	10-45	
		a.	Controls	10-45	
		b.	Mitigations	10-46	
D.	2020-2022 Mitigation Plan				
	1. Changes to Controls				
		a.	Changes to Mitigations	10-49	
E.	202	23-2	2026 Controls and Mitigations	10-58	

# PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY CHAPTER 10 RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION PHASE RISK MITIGATION PLAN: WILDFIRE

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

	1.	Changes to Controls	. 10-58
	2.	Changes to Mitigations	. 10-58
F.	Alte	ernative Analysis	. 10-65
	1.	Alternative Plan 1: M11a – Remote Grid	. 10-65
	2.	Alternative Plan 2: A2 (M12) – Fire Retardant	. 10-67
	3.	Alternative Plan 3: A3 – Wildfire-Targeted System Upgrades	. 10-69
	4.	Alternative Plan 4: A4 – System Hardening-Hybrid	. 10-72

Section C below maps the evolution of PG&E's mitigations for the Wildfire risk from the 2017 RAMP to the 2020 GRC to the 2020 RAMP.

PG&E discussed its Wildfire risk reduction activities in its 2019 and 2020 WMPs though these plans use a very different organizational schema from either the RAMP or GRC. On May 7, 2020, the CPUC's Wildfire Safety Division (WSD) provided a Draft Guidance Resolution to all California investor-owned utilities and a Draft Resolution specific to PG&E providing conditional approval of and feedback on the utilities' 2020 WMPs. See Draft Guidance Resolution WSD-002 and Draft Resolution WSD-003 in R.18-10-007. The CPUC ratified the Draft Resolutions on June 11, 2020. Given the June 30 filing deadline for the 2020 RAMP, PG&E will not be able to substantively respond to WSD's feedback in this report. However, PG&E will respond in the WMP proceeding and will also incorporate WSD's feedback into its presentation of the Wildfire risk in the 2023 GRC.

#### 2. Risk Bow Tie

Figures 10-1 and 10-2 below show the Wildfire risk bow ties for (1) PG&E's entire transmission and distribution overhead electric system and (2) the portion of PG&E's system that lies in HFTD areas. PG&E is including the HFTD-specific bow tie to show how Wildfire risk characteristics differ between HFTD and non-HFTD areas. PG&E's tranche analysis, discussed in Section B.4 below, shows that HFTD areas account for 99 percent of the Wildfire risk. All the terms used in the bow ties are defined below.