

Docket	:	<u>A.22-05-006</u>
Exhibit Number	:	<u>Cal Advocates-02</u>
Commissioner	:	<u>A. Reynolds</u>
ALJ	:	<u>S. O'Rourke</u>
Witness	:	<u>K. Lo</u>



PUBLIC ADVOCATES OFFICE
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

**Report on the Results of Operations
for
PacifiCorp's
General Rate Case
Test Year 2023**

Revenue Requirement, Taxes, Rate Base, Depreciation

San Francisco, California
December 22, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS.....	1
III. DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS.....	2
A. Revenue Requirement.....	2
B. Rate Base and Cash Working Capital	6
C. Taxes.....	7
D. Accelerated Coal Plant Depreciation	8
E. Depreciation Expense.....	9
F. Amortization.....	11
IV. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS.....	14

1 **PACIFICORP**
2 **REVENUE REQUIREMENT, TAXES, RATE BASE, DEPRECIATION**

3 **I. INTRODUCTION**

4 This testimony presents the Public Advocates Office at the California Public
5 Utilities Commission's (Cal Advocates) analyses and recommendations regarding
6 PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power's (PacifiCorp) revenue requirement, taxes, rate base,
7 and depreciation for Test Year (TY) 2023.

8 This testimony presents Cal Advocates' analysis and discussion of
9 PacifiCorp's proposed revenue requirement, cash working capital, taxes,
10 depreciation, and amortization adjustments.

11 **II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

12 The following summarizes Cal Advocates' recommendations for PacifiCorp's
13 revenue requirement, rate base, taxes, and depreciation:

14 A. Revenue Requirement: Cal Advocates recommends a revenue
15 requirement of \$97,401,593. PacifiCorp's proposal of
16 \$110,253,147 exceeds Cal Advocates' recommendation by
17 \$12,851,554 or 13.19%.

18 B. Rate Base: Cal Advocates proposes modest adjustments to
19 PacifiCorp's California "Cash Working Capital".

20 C. Taxes: Cal Advocates does not oppose PacifiCorp's tax
21 calculations.

22 D. Depreciation: Cal Advocates does not oppose the modest
23 increase in depreciation rates from PacifiCorp's 2013
24 Depreciation Study to its 2018 Depreciation Study, which was
25 utilized for the 2023 GRC. Cal Advocates also does not oppose
26 PacifiCorp's Depreciation Expense calculations. Lastly, Cal
27 Advocates does not oppose PacifiCorp's Proposed End of Coal
28 Plant Depreciable Life retirement dates.

29 E. Amortization: Cal Advocates recommends a reduction of
30 \$1,682,101 to PacifiCorp's Amortization Expense calculation of

1 \$4,131,795 based on proposing a longer time frame for
2 recovery of accelerated coal plant retirement related costs.

3 **III. DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS**

4 **A. Revenue Requirement**

5 PacifiCorp used its 2021 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), 2020 Protocol, and
6 Summary of Results of Operations (RO)¹ to compile its witnesses' cumulative
7 quantitative forecasts and to subsequently report its revenue requirement
8 calculations. PacifiCorp's California-allocated revenue requirement is calculated
9 using the 2020 Protocol rather than the 2017 PacifiCorp Inter-jurisdictional Allocation
10 Protocol, which was utilized in the 2019 General Rate Case (GRC).

11 PacifiCorp requests approval of the 2020 Protocol for use in California. The
12 2020 Protocol "describes the multi-jurisdictional allocation methodology that will be
13 used by [PacifiCorp] in all rate proceedings filed in California until a new allocation
14 method is proposed."² PacifiCorp's Jurisdictional Allocation Methodology (JAM)
15 model applies varying 2020 Protocol California factor allocation percentages at the
16 functional level (i.e. distribution and generation) to arrive at revenue requirement
17 totals.

18 Cal Advocates does not oppose PacifiCorp's 2020 Protocol and JAM model.
19 Cal Advocates relied on PacifiCorp's JAM model to compile its witnesses' collective
20 quantitative forecasts and to calculate its recommendations for TY 2023.

21 For the TY 2023 GRC, PacifiCorp proposes a revenue requirement of
22 \$110,253,147, which is \$27,954,622 or 33.9673% higher than the currently
23 authorized rate revenues. Cal Advocates recommends a revenue requirement of
24 \$97,401,593. PacifiCorp's proposed revenue requirement exceeds Cal Advocates'
25 recommendation by \$12,851,554, or 13.19%. These results are shown in Tables 1
26 through 3 on the pages below.

¹ PacifiCorp Results of Operations Model, file "CA GRC JAM Dec 2023 Test Period."

² Ex. PAC/900, p. 4, lines 5-7.

Table 1				
Test Year 2023				
Increase in Proposed Revenue Requirements				
	Cal Advocates	PacifiCorp	PacifiCorp Exceeds Cal Advocates	
Proposed Rates	97,401,593	110,253,147	12,851,554	13.19%
Present Rates	82,298,526	82,298,526	-	0.0000%
Increase/(Decrease)	15,103,067	27,954,621	12,851,554	
Percentage	18.3516%	33.9673%		
Source: PacifiCorp Results of Operations Model, file "CA GRC JAM Dec 2023 Test Period"				

- 1
- 2 Table 2 on the following page sets forth the TY GRC revenue requirements at
- 3 a return on equity rate of 9.00%.

Table 2					
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS SUMMARY					
Proposed GRC Revenue Requirements for TY 2023					
PacifiCorp - California Jurisdiction					
For The Forecasted Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2023					
Description of Account Summary:	Cal Advocates @ Proposed Rates	PacifiCorp @ Proposed Rates	PacifiCorp Exceeds Cal Advocates' Proposed Rates		
			Dollars	Percent	
Operating Revenues					
General Business Revenues	97,401,593	110,253,147	12,851,554	13.1944%	
Interdepartmental	-	-	-	0.0000%	
Special Sales	-	-	-	0.0000%	
Other Operating Revenues*	3,760,921	3,761,213	292	0.0000%	
Total Operating Revenues	101,162,515	114,014,360	12,851,845	12.7042%	
Operating Expenses:					
Steam Production	4,134,831	4,134,831	-	0%	
Nuclear Production	-	-	-	0%	
Hydro Production	683,854	683,854	-	0%	
Other Power Supply	1,493,406	1,500,723	7,317	0.490%	
Transmission	1,060,977	1,061,436	459	0%	
Distribution	27,332,234	31,336,716	4,004,482	14.6511%	
Customer Accounting	1,837,863	1,878,676	40,813	2%	
Customer Service & Infor	279,947	279,947	-	0%	
Sales	-	-	-	0%	
Administrative & General	5,905,007	6,032,678	127,671	2.1621%	
Total O & M Expenses	42,728,119	46,908,861	4,180,742	9.7845%	
Depreciation	22,934,920	23,000,640	65,720	0.2866%	
Amortization	2,422,609	4,131,795	1,709,186	70.5515%	
Taxes Other Than Income	5,772,125	6,106,966	334,841	5.8010%	
Income Taxes - Federal	2,982,072	4,251,368	1,269,296	42.5642%	
Income Taxes - State	675,419	962,880	287,461	42.5603%	
Income Taxes - Def Net	462,315	412,516	(49,799)	-10.7717%	
Investment Tax Credit Adj.	-	-	-	0%	
Misc Revenue & Expense	(38,426)	(38,429)	(3)	0.0068%	
Total Operating Expenses	77,939,153	85,736,598	3,616,703	4.6404%	
Operating Revenue for Return	23,223,362	28,277,764	5,054,402	21.7643%	
Rate Base:					
Electric Plant in Service	717,628,607	749,098,965	31,470,358	4.3853%	
Plant Held for Future Use	838,899	838,899	-	0%	
Misc Deferred Debits	13,519,585	13,775,760	256,175	1.8948%	
Elec Plant Acq Adj	39,488	39,488	-	0%	
Pensions	642,482	668,631	26,149	4.0700%	
Prepayments	538,115	557,700	19,585	3.6396%	
Fuel Stock	(31,783)	(31,783)	-	0%	
Material & Supplies	4,378,816	4,370,613	(8,203)	-0.1873%	
Working Capital	(42,427)	4,371	46,798	-110.3014%	
Weatherization Loans	-	-	-	0%	
Miscellaneous Rate Base	-	-	-	0%	
Total Electric Plant	737,511,781	769,322,644	31,810,863	4.3133%	
Rate Base Deductions:					
Accum Prov For Depr	(283,429,198)	(283,568,389)	(139,191)	0.0491%	
Accum Prov For Amort	(16,125,792)	(16,431,916)	(306,124)	1.8984%	
Accum Def Income Taxes	(61,458,669)	(61,508,952)	(50,283)	0.0818%	
Unamortized ITC	(2,491)	(2,491)	-	0%	
Customer Adv for Const	(1,295,728)	(1,295,728)	-	0%	
Customer Service Deposits	-	-	-	0%	
Misc. Rate Base Deductions	(34,336,527)	(34,285,051)	51,476	-0.1499%	
Total Rate Base Deductions	(396,648,405)	(397,092,527)	(444,122)	0.1120%	
Total Rate Base	340,863,376	372,230,117	31,366,741	9.2021%	
Return on Rate Base	6.813%	7.597%		11.5036%	
Return on Equity	9.000%	10.500%		16.6667%	
Source: PacifiCorp Results of Operations Model, file "CA GRC JAM Dec 2023 Test Period"					
* Differences in Other Operating Revenues are due to JAM model's allocational treatment of plant balance reductions.					

1

Table 3 sets forth the TY GRC revenue requirements at present rates:

Table 3					
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS SUMMARY					
Present Rate Revenues					
PacifiCorp - California Jurisdiction					
For The Forecasted Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2023					
Description of Account Summary:	Cal Advocates @ Present Rates	PacifiCorp @ Present Rates	PacifiCorp Exceeds Cal Advocates' Proposed Rates		
			Dollars	Percent	
Operating Revenues					
General Business Revenues	82,298,526	82,298,526	-	0.0000%	
Interdepartmental	-	-	-	0.0000%	
Special Sales	-	-	-	0.0000%	
Other Operating Revenues*	3,760,921	3,761,213	292	0.0078%	
Total Operating Revenues	86,059,447	86,059,739	292	0.0003%	
Operating Expenses:					
Steam Production	4,134,831	4,134,831	-	0%	
Nuclear Production	-	-	-	0%	
Hydro Production	683,854	683,854	-	0%	
Other Power Supply	1,493,406	1,500,723	7,317	0%	
Transmission	1,060,977	1,061,436	459	0%	
Distribution	27,332,234	31,336,716	4,004,482	14.6511%	
Customer Accounting	1,789,900	1,789,900	-	0%	
Customer Service & Infor	279,947	279,947	-	0%	
Sales	-	-	-	0%	
Administrative & General	5,905,006	6,032,678	127,672	2.1621%	
Total O & M Expenses	42,680,155	46,820,085	4,139,930	9.6999%	
Depreciation	22,934,920	23,000,640	65,720	0.2866%	
Amortization	2,422,609	4,131,796	1,709,187	70.5515%	
Taxes Other Than Income	5,584,847	5,760,329	175,482	3.1421%	
Income Taxes - Federal	1,578	(1,265,298)	(1,266,876)	-80283.3514%	
Income Taxes - State	420	(286,492)	(286,912)	-68325.7158%	
Income Taxes - Def Net	462,315	412,515	(49,800)	-10.7720%	
Investment Tax Credit Adj.	-	-	-	0%	
Misc Revenue & Expense	(38,426)	(38,429)	(3)	0.0068%	
Total Operating Expenses	74,048,418	78,535,146	346,798	0.4683%	
Operating Revenue for Return	12,011,029	7,524,593	(4,486,436)	-37.3526%	
Rate Base:					
Electric Plant in Service	717,628,607	749,098,965	31,470,358	4.3853%	
Plant Held for Future Use	838,899	838,899	-	0%	
Misc Deferred Debits	13,519,585	13,775,760	256,175	1.8948%	
Elec Plant Acq Adj	39,488	39,488	-	0%	
Pensions	642,482	668,631	26,149	4.0700%	
Prepayments	538,115	557,700	19,585	3.6395%	
Fuel Stock	(31,783)	(31,783)	-	0%	
Material & Supplies	4,378,816	4,370,613	(8,203)	-0.1873%	
Working Capital	(42,427)	4,371	46,798	-110.3014%	
Weatherization Loans	-	-	-	0%	
Miscellaneous Rate Base	-	-	-	0%	
Total Electric Plant	737,511,782	769,322,644	31,810,862	4.3133%	
Rate Base Deductions:					
Accum Prov For Depr	(283,429,198)	(283,568,389)	(139,191)	0.0491%	
Accum Prov For Amort	(16,125,792)	(16,431,916)	(306,124)	1.8984%	
Accum Def Income Taxes	(61,458,669)	(61,508,952)	(50,283)	0.0818%	
Unamortized ITC	(2,491)	(2,491)	-	0%	
Customer Adv for Const	(1,295,728)	(1,295,728)	-	0%	
Customer Service Deposits	-	-	-	0%	
Misc. Rate Base Deductions	(34,336,527)	(34,285,051)	51,476	-0.1499%	
Total Rate Base Deductions	(396,648,404)	(397,092,527)	(444,123)	0.1120%	
Total Rate Base	340,863,378	372,230,117	31,336,739	9.1933%	
Return on Rate Base	3.524%	2.021%		-42.6317%	
Return on Equity	2.705%	-0.171%		-106.3060%	
Source: PacifiCorp Results of Operations Model, file "CA GRC JAM Dec 2023 Test Period"					
* Differences in Other Operating Revenues are due to JAM model's allocational treatment of plant balance reductions.					

2

1 **B. Rate Base and Cash Working Capital**

2 One of the components within Rate Base is Working Capital, which is the
3 difference between Cash Working Capital (CWC) and Other Working Capital (OWC).
4 The purpose of CWC “is to compensate investors for funds provided by them which
5 are permanently committed to the business for the purpose of paying operating
6 expenses in advance of receipt of offsetting revenues from its customers and in
7 order to maintain minimum bank balances.”³ OWC refers to the sum of current
8 assets and liabilities that are excluded from CWC for rate recovery. In other words,
9 Working Capital is an amount of money contributed by shareholders that is eligible
10 to earn a return funded by ratepayers.⁴ As Cal Advocates does not oppose
11 PacifiCorp’s OWC calculation methodology, any adjustments to the OWC total are
12 attributed to recommendations in other sections.

13 PacifiCorp’s RO Model calculates CWC by multiplying a Net Lag Days
14 figure by its Average Daily Cost of Service. To calculate its Daily Cost of Service,
15 PacifiCorp first calculates the sum of its Operations & Maintenance (O&M), Taxes
16 Other Than Income, Federal Income Tax and State Income Tax expenses. It then
17 divides this total by the number of days in the year to calculate its “Average Daily
18 Cost of Service” figure. And finally, it multiplies this figure by its “Net Lag Days.”
19 Based on the 2015 lead lag study, which the company utilized in the TY2019 GRC
20 as well, PacifiCorp reports that it experiences 0.92 net revenue lag days in
21 California. Based on this, PacifiCorp projects that \$128,608 in CWC must be added
22 to its rate base.⁵

23 However, PacifiCorp’s methodology fails to exclude certain expenses which
24 should properly be excluded under the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC
25 or the Commission) guidelines. The Commission’s Standard Practice (SP) U-16-W
26 (SP U-16-W), *Determination of Working Cash Allowance*, describes present
27 practices for assisting staff in determining a utility’s proper working cash allowance.

³ Standard Practice U-16-W, *Determination of Working Cash Allowance*, Chapter 1, pp. 2

⁴ Law Insider, Other Working Capital, <https://www.lawinsider.com/dictionary/other-working-capital>

⁵ Ex. No. PAC/901, p. 8.1.1.

1 Specifically, SP U-16-W requires that a working cash allowance determination
2 include sources of operational cash, which are a utility's "average monthly operating
3 expenses, excluding taxes, depreciation, and uncollectibles, multiplied by a certain
4 number of months."⁶ "[T]axes, depreciation, and uncollectibles" are excluded from a
5 utility's operational cash requirement because they represent sources of working
6 cash that the utility's investors did not provide.

7 Disregarding SP U-16-W's express definition, PacifiCorp fails to exclude
8 "Taxes Other than Income," "Federal Income Taxes," and "State Income Taxes" from
9 its CWC calculation, even though these are all sources of working cash not provided
10 by investors. These tax expenses improperly add an extra \$4,208,539 to
11 PacifiCorp's working cash calculation. Removing these tax expenses from Working
12 Cash results in a \$121,554 Cash Working Capital calculation, which is \$6,955 less
13 than PacifiCorp's request.

14 While Cal Advocates does not oppose PacifiCorp's OWC calculation, Cal
15 Advocates opposes PacifiCorp's CWC determination methodology and recommends
16 a CWC amount of \$121,554. This results in a recommended Total Working Capital
17 recommendation of \$4,371.

18 **C. Taxes**

19 PacifiCorp's testimony organizes its tax obligations into five categories: (1)
20 "Taxes Other Than Income," (2) "Federal Income Taxes," (3) "State Income Taxes,"
21 (4) "Deferred Net Income Taxes," and (5) "Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes."⁷
22 Cal Advocates independently analyzed PacifiCorp's supporting work papers and
23 Data Request responses with respect to pertinent tax laws and Commission
24 precedents. Cal Advocates does not oppose PacifiCorp's tax calculations. All
25 differences in tax expense forecasts are attributed to Cal Advocates' recommended
26 adjustments to other line items.

⁶ Standard Practice U-16-W, *Determination of Working Cash Allowance*, Chapter 2, pp. 2-3

⁷ Ex. No. PAC/901 p. 2.1, lines 24-27, and 53.

1 **D. Accelerated Coal Plant Depreciation**

2 PacifiCorp's RO model calculates depreciation and amortization expense by
3 "applying functional composite depreciation and amortization rates to projected plant
4 balances by month."⁸ As new plant is placed in service, depreciation expenses
5 increase. The company's amortization expenses account for non-depreciable plant,
6 such as software or land rights.

7 PacifiCorp used plant retirement dates consistent with the retirement dates
8 reflected in its IRP, which was ordered in Decision (D.) 20-02-025,⁹ in connection
9 with Application (A.) 18-04-002, PacifiCorp's last GRC. PacifiCorp's IRP highlighted
10 certain coal plants whose updated retirement dates were earlier than the probable
11 retirement dates listed in PacifiCorp's 2018 Depreciation Study.¹⁰

12 For the 2023 GRC, PacifiCorp requests that certain coal plants' end of
13 depreciable lives be accelerated so that they match those listed in the IRP.¹¹
14 Depreciation rates, accrual amounts, future accruals, book depreciation reserve, and
15 original cost figures were all re-calculated retroactively based on the plants' new
16 retirement dates. Cal Advocates does not oppose PacifiCorp's accelerated coal
17 plant depreciation methodology. These parameters are presented in Table 4 below.

⁸ Ex. No. PAC/900 p.38, lines 14-15.

⁹ Ex. (D) 20-02-025, Decision on Test Year 2019 General Rate Case For PacifiCorp, p. 29.

¹⁰ PacifiCorp 2018 Depreciation Study, file "Exhibit No PAC307 PacifiCorp 2020 – Depr Schedule.

¹¹ Ex. No. PAC/900, p. 39, lines 12-23, and p. 40, lines 1-2.

Table 4											
Test Year 2023 Calculation of Accelerated Depreciation of Coal-Fired Power Plants											
PacifiCorp - California Jurisdiction											
ACCOUNT	PROBABLE RETIREMENT DATE	PROPOSED RETIREMENT DATE	PROPOSED REMAINING LIFE	ORIGINAL COST	BOOK DEPRECIATION RESERVE	CALCULATED ACCRUAL			ACCRUAL RATE		
						PROPOSED	CURRENT	DIFFERENCE	PROPOSED	CURRENT	DIFFERENCE
COLSTRIP GENERATING STATION	12-2027	12-2025	2.8	245,350,823	166,173,471	34,477,917	19,760,535	14,717,382	14.05	8.07	5.98
CRAIG UNIT 2	12-2026	09-2028	5.7	108,124,258	70,929,483	6,906,706	10,740,133	(3,833,427)	6.39	9.88	(3.49)
CRAIG COMMON	12-2026	09-2028	5.7	52,549,480	40,833,281	2,196,733	4,246,028	(2,049,295)	4.18	8.11	(3.93)
HAYDEN UNIT 1	12-2030	12-2028	5.6	56,065,913	34,673,521	4,033,242	2,940,233	1,093,009	7.20	5.24	1.96
HAYDEN UNIT 2	12-2030	12-2027	4.6	32,277,324	20,448,878	2,722,651	1,663,361	1,059,290	8.44	5.14	3.30
HAYDEN COMMON	12-2030	12-2028	5.7	28,199,269	18,745,187	1,716,985	1,206,824	510,161	6.09	4.98	1.11
NAUGHTON UNIT 1	12-2029	12-2025	2.7	217,503,599	134,733,664	37,736,626	15,451,030	22,285,596	17.35	7.10	10.25
NAUGHTON UNIT 2	12-2029	12-2025	2.7	278,903,301	157,636,710	53,578,496	20,101,915	33,476,581	19.21	7.21	12.00

Source: Attach Cal Advocates 17.1

E. Depreciation Expense

In 2018, PacifiCorp produced an updated Depreciation Study to replace its 2013 study. However, due to the 2011 Rate Case settlement that stated that “adjustments to distribution depreciation rates in use in California will only be made pursuant to a Commission order in a PacifiCorp general rate case,” PacifiCorp was impelled to use its 2013 Depreciation Study in the TY2019 GRC.¹² The company is proposing to apply depreciation rates from its 2018 Depreciation Study in the TY2023 GRC.

Cal Advocates does not dispute PacifiCorp’s transition to the 2018 study in its calculation of Depreciation Expenses given that it is the same study utilized in all the other jurisdictions that the company services. In addition, the updated depreciation rates are only 0.05 percent higher than the 2013 study, which Cal Advocates finds reasonable.

PacifiCorp’s 2018 Depreciation Study utilizes the following equations to calculate the company’s depreciation rates:¹³

Future Accruals =

$$[(1 - \text{Net Salvage \%} \div 100) \times \text{Original Cost}] - \text{Depreciation Reserve}]$$

Accrual Amount =

¹² Ex. No. PAC/900, p. 37, lines 16-18.

¹³ PacifiCorp Coal-fired Depreciable Lives Update, file “Attach Cal Advocates 17.1.”

1
$$\text{Future Accruals} \div \text{Composite Remaining Life}$$

2 **Accrual Rate (Depreciation Rate) =**

3
$$(\text{Accrual Amount} \div \text{Original Cost}) \times 100$$

4 Where:

- 5 • *Original cost* refers to the gross dollar value of plant in service;
- 6 • *Depreciation reserve* refers to the account within which the annual
- 7 depreciation expenses accumulate; and,
- 8 • *Net Salvage* refers to the gross salvage value of the plant at the end of its life,
- 9 less the cost of its removal

10

11 Commission Form D-2, “*Summary of Annual Depreciation Accrual and Rate*
12 *Determination Straight Line Remaining Life Method*” details the Commission’s
13 standard method of calculating depreciation rates. The Commission’s calculation
14 method is below:¹⁴

15

16 **Net Balance =**

17
$$\text{Original Cost} - \text{Net Salvage} - \text{Depreciation Reserve}$$

18

19 **Annual Accrual =**

20
$$\text{Net Balance} \div \text{Composite Remaining Life}$$

21 **Depreciation Rate =**

22
$$(\text{Annual Accrual} \div \text{Original Cost}) \times 100$$

23 PacifiCorp’s depreciation rate calculations use net salvage percentages while
24 Form D-2 calculates rates using total net salvage values. Regardless, in this
25 instance, both calculation methodologies yield equivalent depreciation rates. Cal
26 Advocates does not oppose PacifiCorp’s depreciation calculation methodology.

27 PacifiCorp calculates depreciation and amortization expenses for the Test
28 Period by applying functional composite depreciation and amortization rates to

¹⁴ Standard Practice U-4, *Determination of Straight-Line Remaining Depreciation Accruals*, Chapter 4, p. 14.

1 projected monthly plant balances. The company is projecting a \$4,937,697 increase
2 to Depreciation Expense for TY2023. Of this increase, \$1,726,273 can be attributed
3 to the 0.05% increase in depreciation rates from the 2013 Depreciation Study to the
4 2018 Depreciation Study. Another \$986,893 can be attributed to increased rates
5 resulting from PacifiCorp's accelerated Coal Plant Depreciation. Finally, \$2,224,531
6 of the increase can be attributed to the increase in PacifiCorp's California distribution
7 plant related to wildfire mitigation capital additions.¹⁵ Cal Advocates does not
8 oppose the company's calculated depreciation expenses.

9 **F. Amortization**

10 PacifiCorp accelerated its coal plant retirement dates in its 2018 Depreciation
11 Study to match the updated dates in PacifiCorp's 2021 IRP. The IRP's updated coal
12 plant retirement dates reflect updated performance projections while also
13 considering new California environmental legislation. For the TY2023 GRC,
14 PacifiCorp is seeking to recover \$4,131,795 annually in amortization expenses over
15 the next four years. PacifiCorp's accelerated coal plant retirement accounts for
16 \$1,218,447 of this annual amortization expense.

17 Cal Advocates opposes the annual \$1,218,447 amortization expense
18 increase resulting from this adjustment because it is a significant increase that would
19 more than double PacifiCorp's TY2019 amortization expenses. This request is
20 excessive given the significant revenue increase PacifiCorp is requesting for the
21 2023 test year and will adversely impact ratepayers who are already subjected to
22 inflationary challenges in the current post-pandemic economic climate.¹⁶

23 To mitigate the impacts of rate shock, Cal Advocates proposes that the
24 accelerated coal plant retirement costs be amortized over eight years, or two GRC
25 periods. This proposal would reduce the increase in the accelerated coal plant
26 amortization expense by 50%, which is \$609,223 collected in each of eight years
27 instead of \$1,218,447 in each of four years. Cal Advocates also recommends that
28 such costs could be traced in a balancing account. By allowing the balancing

¹⁵Ex. CA A.22-05-006 Cal PA Set 31 (1-3), page 3.

¹⁶ Federal Reserve Monetary Policy Report, June 17, 2022.
https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/files/20220617_mprfullreport.pdf

1 account treatment for the requested adjustment, this recommendation provides
2 PacifiCorp with certainty on the recoverability of these amounts in future years while
3 avoiding the rate shock that the expense increase would cause to ratepayers.

4 PacifiCorp also proposes to add “into results the amortization of deferred
5 unrecovered plant balance, closure costs, and estimated decommissioning costs
6 from the closure of Cholla Unit 4 facilities in December 2020.”¹⁷ PacifiCorp filed for
7 and was granted approval to establish a memorandum account to defer all costs
8 associated with this closure to a future proceeding. PacifiCorp is now seeking to
9 recover \$5,149,809 in amortization expenses resulting from the Cholla Unit 4 closure
10 over a three-year period, which is an additional annual amortization expense of
11 \$1,716,603.

12 Cal Advocates opposes the recovery of these costs over a three-year
13 amortization period as it charges ratepayers over fewer years than the current GRC
14 period while also more than doubling PacifiCorp’s current amortization expenses.

15 Cal Advocates proposes that the costs associated with the Cholla Unit 4
16 closure be recovered over eight years, or two GRC cycles. This proposal would
17 reduce the increase in the Cholla Unit 4 closure expense by 63%, and result in
18 \$643,726 collected annually over eight years instead of \$1,716,603 annually over
19 three years. Extending the memorandum account’s amortization period will provide
20 assurance to PacifiCorp on the recoverability of the total closure cost while
21 mitigating the increased economic pressure on ratepayers.

22 To mitigate the rate impact on ratepayers from PacifiCorp’s proposed
23 amortization expense increase, Cal Advocates recommends recording the expenses
24 associated with accelerated coal plant retirements and the closure of the Cholla Unit
25 4 facilities in balancing accounts that will be charged to ratepayers over an eight-
26 year period. Table 5 compares Cal Advocates proposed adjustments to
27 amortization expense with PacifiCorp’s proposed adjustments.

¹⁷ Ex. CA A.22-05-006 Cal PA Set (1-4) 9-23-2022, p. 2.

Table 5				
Comparison of PacifiCorp's Proposed Amortization Adjustment and Cal Advocates' Recommended Amortization Adjustment				
PacifiCorp - California Jurisdiction				
ADJUSTMENT	ADJUSTMENT DESCRIPTION	PACIFICORP PROPOSED ADJUSTMENT	CAL ADVOCATES RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENT	DIFFERENCE
6.1 - Depreciation & Amortization Expense	This adjustment reflects the incremental amortization expense into the Test Period 12 months ending December 2023 calculated on incremental plant additions included in this filing through Adjustment 8.4 – Pro Forma Plant Additions & Retirements.	235,854	235,854	0
6.5 - Incremental Decommissioning & Other Closure Costs	This adjustment includes into Test Year results the incremental decommissioning costs from the revised decommissioning study and in the 2018 Depreciation Study, spread evenly over the remaining life of the last retired unit of each generation facility	1,218,447	609,223	609,224
8.6 - Regulatory Assets & Liabilities Amortization	This adjustment reflects into Test Period results the reduction to amortization expense of Electric Plant Acquisition Adjustment balances due to a portion of the balance being fully amortized by March 2022.	-69,054	-69,054	0
8.10 - Cholla Decommissioning	This adjustment adds into results the amortization of deferred unrecovered plant balance, closure costs and estimated decommissioning costs from the closure of Cholla Unit 4 facilities in December 2020. The Company had filed and were approved to establish a memorandum account to defer all costs associated with the closure of Cholla Unit 4, with ratemaking treatment delayed into a future proceeding. The Company is seeking to recover these deferred costs in this GRC over a three-year amortization period, beginning with the rate effective date of this GRC.	1,716,603	643,726	1,072,877
Source: Attach Cal Advocates 25.1				

1

2

1 **IV. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS**

2 My name is Kevin Lo. My business address is 505 Van Ness Avenue, San
3 Francisco, California. I am employed by the Public Advocates Office at the
4 California Public Utilities Commission as a Public Utilities Regulatory Analyst in the
5 Energy Cost of Service and Natural Gas Branch. I received a Bachelor of Arts in
6 Economics from Pitzer College, with a focus on public policy. During my second and
7 third year in college, I worked as an intern for the Business Development
8 Department of the San Francisco Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce
9 Development (OEWD). In addition to conducting research and data analysis, I
10 evaluated the results of Requests For Proposals (RFP) to measure
11 accomplishments and identify areas for improvement. This included analyzing
12 survey results and providing recommendations to improve certain RFP processes
13 and the program practices. In addition, I established an eligibility framework for
14 nonprofit partnerships that contains procurement standards aimed at enabling
15 OEWD to select partners who are aligned with its strategies for shared and equitable
16 prosperity. I also established a Nonprofit Starter Kit for San Francisco small
17 businesses, which resides in the San Francisco Office of Small Business portal
18 website. I am responsible for Exhibit Cal Advocates-02.

19 This concludes my statement of qualifications.