

Application: 24-03-
(U 39 M)
Exhibit No.: _____
Date: March 14, 2024
Witness(es): Various

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

**COMPREHENSIVE GAS ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE
REPLACEMENT PROGRAM**

PREPARED TESTIMONY



PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
 COMPREHENSIVE GAS ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE
 REPLACEMENT PROGRAM
 PREPARED TESTIMONY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Witness
1	INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	David Console
2	COMPREHENSIVE GAS AMI REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	David Console Gustavo Castillo
3	GAS ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE TECHNOLOGY ROADMAP	Tony Chimienti
4	PRUDENCY OF MANAGEMENT OF AMI 1.0	James Meadows
5	RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	Sean Su
6	COST RECOVERY	Rebecca Madsen
Appendix A	STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS	Gustavo Castillo Tony Chimienti David Console Rebecca Madsen James Meadows Sean Su
Appendix B	GLOSSARY OF KEY TERMS	

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Introduction.....	1-1
B. Summary of Request.....	1-3
C. Prudent Lifecycle Replacement of Gas AMI System	1-4
D. Improvements to the Program Based on the Commission’s 2023 GRC Input	1-6
E. Customer Affordability and Satisfaction.....	1-7
F. PG&E’s Request Is Necessary Despite Electrification Goals	1-8
G. Organization of Remainder of Testimony	1-9
H. Conclusion.....	1-9

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **CHAPTER 1**
3 **INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW**

4 **A. Introduction**

5 Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E or the Company) respectfully
6 submits, and requests approval to recover, its 2023-2026 forecasted costs to
7 maintain and replace the gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) necessary
8 for PG&E to timely collect gas consumption data from customers, present that
9 data to customers to help them reduce their gas consumption and monthly bills,
10 and bill these customers for their utility service. In addition, this Application
11 requests approval to recover the costs of a Gas AMI System Upgrade (including
12 head-end application software and network communication equipment) that will
13 enable enhanced operational and safety benefits in the future (collectively
14 “Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program”).

15 PG&E initially sought approval for these costs in its 2023 General Rate
16 Case (GRC) (Application (A.) 21-06-021), seeking approval of its 2023-2026
17 forecast to: (1) replace Gas Modules after they fail as part of Corrective
18 Maintenance,¹ and (2) begin replacing Gas Modules on a programmatic,
19 lifecycle basis before they reach the end of their useful lives. However,
20 in Decision (D.) 23-11-069, the California Public Utilities Commission
21 (Commission) found that PG&E had not adequately substantiated these costs,
22 adopted a forecast of \$0 for 2023-2026, and authorized PG&E to file a separate
23 application to substantiate the costs necessary to support this required
24 maintenance program.² PG&E submits its new Application today and urges the
25 Commission’s prompt reconsideration of this critical infrastructure need.

26 PG&E’s original Gas AMI system (Gas AMI 1.0 or Gas SmartMeter™) is a
27 one-way communication system that PG&E installed from 2006 through 2013
28 and that securely and automatically transmits customer gas energy usage to the
29 Company’s billing system, providing timely and accurate billing to over 4 million

1 As PG&E explains in Chapter 2, PG&E now refers to this type of work—which it referred to as “Corrective Maintenance” in the 2023 GRC—as Required Maintenance, which more accurately describes the work.

2 D.23-11-069, pp. 334, 539-545.

1 PG&E gas customers. The system comprises head-end application software,
2 network communication equipment, and battery-operated Gas Modules with
3 built-in network interface cards externally-attached to each customer gas meter,
4 which all connect to the Company's billing system. While the Gas AMI system
5 consists of several components, one primary driver for this replacement program
6 is that the battery-operated Gas Modules have failed or are forecasted to reach
7 end-of-life as the Gas Modules' batteries run out of energy. It has been
8 understood since PG&E filed its original Gas AMI 1.0 case that these batteries
9 would eventually fail; at issue in this Application is that some of the batteries did
10 not last as long as originally predicted. As explained in Chapter 4, that some
11 Gas Modules failed before expected occurred for reasons beyond PG&E's
12 control.

13 Through this Application, PG&E proposes to continue to replace Gas
14 Modules as they fail (Required Maintenance), and replace Gas Modules on a
15 programmatic lifecycle basis as the Gas AMI 1.0 system reaches the end of its
16 useful life, starting in the geographic areas with the oldest Gas Modules or the
17 highest failure rates (Lifecycle Replacement). This Application also proposes to
18 begin to update PG&E's Gas AMI System (referred to as Gas AMI 2.0
19 throughout this Application) to prevent obsolescence and take advantage of
20 next-generation metering technologies that can provide PG&E and its customers
21 additional safety and operational functions and capabilities in the future.³

22 This chapter provides an overview of PG&E's Application and testimony,
23 summarizes PG&E's 2023-2026 cost forecasts, demonstrates that the program
24 is consistent with prudent and standard utility lifecycle asset management
25 practices, summarizes the improvements and refinements to the program since
26 PG&E's 2023 GRC Application (filed in June 2021), and explains how the
27 program improves the customer experience and is necessary despite the State's
28 trend towards electrification.

29 PG&E currently expects to complete the Comprehensive Gas AMI
30 Replacement Program by 2030 and present its post-2026 forecast for the
31 program in its 2027 GRC. By the time PG&E completes the program, it will have

³ See Appendix B, Glossary of Key Terms, for additional explanations of terminology used in this chapter.

1 replaced all of its original battery-operated legacy Gas Modules with new Gas
 2 Modules, and enabled a Gas AMI 2.0 System to provide customers the available
 3 safety benefits that newer technologies offer.⁴ PG&E provides further details of
 4 the Gas AMI 2.0 System in Chapter 3.

5 **B. Summary of Request**

6 PG&E requests that the Commission adopt its 2023-2026 expense forecast
 7 of \$11.7 million, and its 2023-2026 capital expenditure forecast of \$485.1 million
 8 for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program.⁵

9 Table 1-1 summarizes PG&E’s 2023-2026 expense forecast by Major Work
 10 Category (MWC).

**TABLE 1-1
 SUMMARY OF EXPENSE FORECAST BY MWC
 (THOUSANDS OF NOMINAL DOLLARS)**

Line No.	MWC	Nature of Work	2023 Recorded	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	Total
1	EZ	Program Management	\$1,081	\$1,205	\$1,218	\$1,232	\$4,736
2	IS	Billing and Call Center Operations	705	1,157	1,104	908	3,946
3	JV	Maintain Information Technology (IT) Applications and Infrastructure	–	537	840	1,652	3,029
4	Total		\$1,786	\$2,899	\$3,162	\$3,864	\$11,711

11 Table 1-2 summarizes PG&E’s 2023-2026 capital expenditure forecast by
 12 MWC.

⁴ PG&E has separate AMI Systems for providing Gas and Electric services. While its current one-way Gas AMI system will need to be replaced to prevent obsolescence, the Company does not currently expect its Electric AMI system will require any substantial system-wide lifecycle replacement in the foreseeable future. PG&E’s Electric AMI is a two-way communicating system. The Electric SmartMeter™ devices are not battery-operated and have built-in network interface cards that facilitate communication capabilities from the meter.

⁵ For additional expense forecast information, see Chapter 2, Section H.1 and associated Workpapers (WP) 2-3, “Summary of Expense Forecast by Major Work Category” and 2-4, “Detail Expense Forecast by Major Work Category.” For additional capital expenditure forecast information, see Chapter 2, Section H.2 and associated WPs 2-5, “Summary of Capital Expenditure Forecast by Major Work Category” and 2-6, “Detail Capital Expenditure Forecast by Major Work Category.”

**TABLE 1-2
SUMMARY OF CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FORECAST BY MWC
(THOUSANDS OF NOMINAL DOLLARS)**

Line No.	MWC	Nature of Work	2023 Recorded	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	Total
1	74	Install Gas AMI Devices and Infrastructure	\$95,873	\$112,089	\$122,702	\$121,939	\$452,603
2	2F	Build IT Applications and Infrastructure	1,095	11,048	11,838	8,474	32,455
3	Total		\$96,968	\$123,137	\$134,540	\$130,413	\$485,058

1 **C. Prudent Lifecycle Replacement of Gas AMI System**

2 PG&E serves over 4 million gas customers. To do so, the Company
3 leverages approximately 4.7 million gas meters, which require
4 externally-attached battery-operated Gas Module communication devices to
5 enable critical functions.⁶ These devices automatically and securely transmit
6 customer gas usage to PG&E’s billing system. These gas usage data are
7 critical to providing timely and accurate bills to customers without relying on
8 more costly and labor-intensive manual processes and interventions that AMI
9 technology rendered obsolete over a decade ago. Timely and accurate gas
10 usage is also required for third-party gas providers to bill customers and is
11 essential to many of PG&E’s energy efficiency programs.

12 The Commission approved PG&E’s proposal for full deployment of Gas AMI
13 in 2006, finding that:

14 PG&E’s proposal has sufficient probable and quantifiable economic
15 operating and demand response benefits now, including sufficient flexibility
16 to up-grade for enhanced features, over the expected 20-year useful life.⁷

17 The Commission established a 20-year useful life (i.e., the period when a
18 system is considered “used and useful”) for the AMI system, but noted that:

19 As with any complex system, individual components may fail early or last
20 longer than the overall useful life. The AMI system’s useful life does not
21 depend on when the first component fails or how long the last meter-module
22 can be coaxed to function. Its life depends on the system as a whole

6 See WP 1-1, “Current Gas Modules In-Service by Vintages.”

7 D.06-07-027, p. 10.

1 operating correctly and reliably. We therefore find a 20-year useful life is a
2 reasonable forecast for purposes of this decision.⁸

3 In addition, the Commission adopted a 20-year depreciable life for the Gas
4 AMI system to “match” the adopted useful life, subject to reexamination in
5 subsequent GRCs.⁹ Based on PG&E’s recommendation, the Commission
6 recently adopted a 15-year average life for Gas AMI assets in the 2023 GRC.¹⁰

7 The Gas AMI 1.0 system that the Commission approved in 2006—which
8 PG&E installed between 2006 and 2013—now requires replacement as the Gas
9 Modules have failed (i.e., the batteries have run out of energy) or are near the
10 end of their useful lives. Over the last several years, PG&E has replaced Gas
11 Modules as they fail as part of Required Maintenance, in order to maintain
12 infrastructure that is critical to customer service.

13 In light of the volume of Gas Modules at the end of their useful lives, PG&E
14 plans to address the infrastructure replacement programmatically, replacing Gas
15 Modules based on the geographic areas with the oldest Gas Modules or the
16 highest failure rates. A programmatic lifecycle approach that concentrates
17 replacement of the Gas Modules on an area-by-area basis is more efficient and
18 cost-effective than continuing to solely replace Gas Modules after they are no
19 longer useful. This approach also maintains continued service for more
20 customers, enabling continuous, timely, and accurate usage data and billing.

21 Chapter 2 presents the results of PG&E’s economic analysis, which
22 demonstrates that the Net Present Value of incorporating Lifecycle Replacement
23 is more cost-effective than continuing to solely replace Gas Modules on a
24 geographically-dispersed basis after they fail (i.e., Required Maintenance).
25 PG&E’s programmatic Lifecycle Replacement approach also provides a better
26 customer experience. Specifically:

- 27 • **Cost:** Incorporating Lifecycle Replacement enables PG&E to better design
28 customer communications, annual and multi-year maintenance plans, plan
29 for and utilize available field resource capacity, replace Gas Modules faster,

⁸ D.06-07-027, p. 24.

⁹ D.06-07-027, p. 26.

¹⁰ A.21-06-021, Exhibit (PG&E-10), Chapter 12, WP 12-1227 to 12-1228 (Depreciation Study), Chapter 11, WP 11-5, line 238 and WP 11-6, line 282; D.23-11-069, p. 675, fn. 2452.

1 optimize deployment routes, bundle work, reduce repeat visits, and
2 complete more customer appointments in a given period. PG&E has
3 programmatically replaced Gas Modules in the Kern and Sacramento
4 Divisions at an installed labor replacement unit cost of approximately
5 \$91 per unit for Lifecycle Replacement. This is far less expensive than an
6 installed labor unit cost of \$169 per unit for Required Maintenance.

- 7 • **Customer Experience:** Lifecycle Replacement reduces potential
8 interruptions to timely and accurate customer billing and usage data
9 presentment that supports customers' more actively reducing their gas
10 usage and accompanying gas bills.

11 PG&E presents in Chapter 2 its 2023-2026 forecast for the work required to
12 maintain functioning Gas Modules and to begin the first phase of
13 programmatically replacing PG&E's approximately 2.9 million remaining first
14 generation (Legacy) Gas Modules.¹¹

15 The Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program also will upgrade
16 PG&E's existing Gas AMI System to enable PG&E to develop, pilot, and
17 leverage next-generation Gas AMI Metering technologies and capabilities that
18 will facilitate new safety, operational, and customer service capabilities in the
19 future. PG&E's Gas AMI Technology Roadmap is presented in Chapter 3, and
20 its 2023-2026 technology-related costs are presented in Chapter 2.

21 **D. Improvements to the Program Based on the Commission's 2023 GRC Input**

22 PG&E took to heart the feedback that the Commission and stakeholders
23 provided in the 2023 GRC, applied recent experiences with Gas Module asset
24 management, and sharpened its pencil to design a refined and less-expensive
25 program. In particular, since filing the 2023 GRC in June 2021, PG&E has:

- 26 • Completed a supplier warranty replacement project for certain vintages of
27 Gas Modules (specifically, longer-range Gas Modules), at the supplier's
28 cost;
- 29 • Secured a settlement with the supplier regarding legacy product warranty
30 claims, refined product quality assurance (QA) and warranty return

11 For more detail and PG&E's calculations supporting the 2.9 million remaining legacy Gas Modules to be replaced, see WP 2-2, "Gas Module Replacement Unit Forecast." PG&E currently forecasts replacing approximately 1.7 million Legacy Gas Modules during the 2023-2026 period, with the remaining 1.2 million forecasted from 2027-2030.

1 processes with the supplier, and performed additional supplier quality
2 verifications;

- 3 • Concluded a focused commercial Request for Proposal (RFP), and selected
4 two vendors for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program that will
5 enable PG&E to meet current and future customer needs, develop an
6 affordable technology roadmap, leverage next-generation AMI Metering
7 technology in the future, and better balance supplier and market risk;
- 8 • Refined the Gas Module end-of-life (failure rate) forecast through more
9 granular, updated data and analysis of trends, resulting in a slower, more
10 methodical proposed pace of Gas Module replacements through 2026; and
- 11 • Began programmatic Lifecycle Replacements in key divisions (Kern and
12 Sacramento) where either the oldest vintages of Gas Modules are installed
13 or PG&E is observing the highest Gas Module failure rates, while continuing
14 to replace Gas Modules as they fail in other areas.

15 **E. Customer Affordability and Satisfaction**

16 PG&E made many of the improvements discussed above with customer
17 affordability and satisfaction in mind, including: (1) the proposed slower pace
18 and more geographically targeted proposal for Lifecycle Replacement;
19 (2) supplier quality verifications, product QA and warranty program refinements
20 with its Gas Module supplier; (3) additional warranty benefits from PG&E's Gas
21 Module supplier, which significantly offset replacement costs; (4) the selection of
22 the most competitive suppliers that responded to PG&E's RFP; (5) an updated
23 comprehensive work optimization plan that reduces duplicative and inefficient
24 work by enabling work bundling to increase productivity and lower costs; and
25 (6) a comprehensive customer outreach plan to keep customers informed and
26 reduce access issues that would have resulted in repeat visits to the customer
27 premise. PG&E's more targeted approach and slower ramp up of Lifecycle
28 Replacement has resulted in a 2023-2026 forecast that is approximately
29 35 percent lower than that presented in the GRC.

30 PG&E expects Gas Module failures to continue increasing as more devices
31 reach the end of their useful lives. Incorporating a programmatic and
32 comprehensive Lifecycle Replacement program approach will help PG&E and its
33 customers limit interruptions in their gas meter and data communications, and
34 mitigate manual intervention, increased handling, and avoidable costs.

1 The Lifecycle Replacement work that PG&E completed in Kern Division and
2 began in Sacramento Division validated that a programmatic approach will
3 significantly reduce customer impacts, deliver more positive customer
4 experiences, improve customer satisfaction, and reduce the costs associated
5 with this necessary infrastructure maintenance. PG&E accordingly proposes to
6 apply this approach more broadly now.¹²

7 **F. PG&E’s Request Is Necessary Despite Electrification Goals**

8 In its 2023 GRC decision, the Commission wondered whether PG&E’s
9 proposed investment in its Gas Metering Infrastructure is necessary in light of
10 California’s electrification goals and the expected corresponding declines both in
11 customers’ gas demand and PG&E’s support for its gas distribution system.¹³
12 However, until the State resolves to end the use of natural gas, PG&E has an
13 obligation to serve its gas customers pursuant to Public Utilities Code
14 Section 451, and must also continue billing customers for their gas consumption.
15 As long as these customers continue to receive gas service, they must have
16 functioning Gas Modules to support timely and accurate transmission of
17 customer gas energy usage for billing and other customer service functions
18 (including various gas-related energy reduction and curtailment programs).

19 In addition, PG&E relies on data provided by Gas AMI to support a reliable
20 system. For example, the Gas AMI system allows PG&E’s Gas Operations
21 team to implement and monitor curtailment procedures to safely preserve the
22 gas system for customers. PG&E depends on curtailments to ensure that
23 system pressure does not decrease to the point that it causes uncontrolled
24 outages. PG&E must be able to monitor compliance in real-time and depends
25 on timely and accurate gas data from Gas Modules to do so. PG&E also uses
26 SmartMeter™ data to generate customer load projections for PG&E gas
27 hydraulic models, develop customer usage profiles and load estimation for gas
28 system clearances and operation support, and conduct feasibility analyses with
29 the usage data for potential large load and renewable natural gas customers.
30 Additionally, gas AMI data can be used to provide key insights into localized gas

¹² See Chapter 2, Section F.3 and WP 2-10 for further details on the Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement work in the Kern and Sacramento Divisions, and WP 2-11 for further details on PG&E’s Customer Communications Plan.

¹³ D.23-11-069, p. 544.

1 demand leading to more efficient planning, over time, of target electrification
2 activities to avoid large gas capital investments, thereby contributing to
3 reductions in future gas system revenue requirement and operating costs.

4 Finally, timely and accurate gas usage data that are communicated securely
5 to PG&E systems through Gas Modules are crucial to ensure the provision of
6 energy cost savings and monitoring of gas usage to approximately 2.5 million
7 customers enrolled in 21 energy efficiency gas programs. These gas-related
8 energy efficiency programs rendered savings to customers of approximately
9 29.6 million therms in 2023.

10 PG&E is not the only provider that relies on gas usage data to provide
11 accurate and timely bills to customers. As of February 2022, PG&E worked with
12 28 third-party Core Transport Agents (CTA) who provide gas to customers
13 through PG&E's gas infrastructure. PG&E provides gas usage data to these
14 third-party providers daily to facilitate their customer billing. Providing delayed or
15 estimated gas usage to CTAs may result in a less satisfactory customer
16 experience for the CTAs' customers.

17 **G. Organization of Remainder of Testimony**

18 The remainder of testimony in support of this Application is organized as
19 follows:

- 20 • Chapter 2 – Presents a description of the work and 2023-2026 forecast
21 costs for PG&E's Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program.
- 22 • Chapter 3 – Presents PG&E's Technology Roadmap for its Gas AMI 2.0
23 System.
- 24 • Chapter 4 – Demonstrates that PG&E acted prudently in installing and
25 maintaining Gas AMI 1.0 as authorized by D.06-07-027.
- 26 • Chapter 5 – Presents the revenue requirements associated with the costs in
27 this Application.
- 28 • Chapter 6 – Describes PG&E's cost recovery proposals for the costs
29 presented in Chapter 2 and the revenue requirements presented in
30 Chapter 5.

31 **H. Conclusion**

32 The Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program proposed in this
33 Application is necessary to continue to provide required and affordable gas

1 service to PG&E's over 4 million gas customers. PG&E submits that its forecast
2 costs for 2023-2026 are reasonable and should be adopted by the Commission.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 2
COMPREHENSIVE GAS AMI REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 2
COMPREHENSIVE GAS AMI REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Introduction.....	2-1
B. Program Overview.....	2-1
C. Summary of Request.....	2-5
1. Expense	2-5
2. Capital Expenditures	2-5
D. Updated End-of-Life Study and Projections for Gas Modules	2-6
E. Detailed Description of Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program.....	2-8
1. Supplier Warranty Replacements	2-10
2. Required Maintenance	2-11
3. Focused Lifecycle Replacement of Gas Modules in Targeted Areas	2-12
4. Gas AMI System Upgrade	2-13
F. PG&E’s Proposed Lifecycle Replacement Provides Added Benefits Over Solely Applying a Required Maintenance Approach.....	2-15
1. Financial Benefits of Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement.....	2-15
2. Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement Improves the Customer Experience	2-18
3. Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement in the Kern and Sacramento Divisions Realized Benefits.....	2-19
G. Centralized Project Management and Customer Communications	2-20
H. Cost Forecasts by Major Work Category.....	2-21
1. Expense Forecasts by MWC and Estimating Method	2-21
a. MWC EZ.....	2-22
b. MWC JV	2-22
c. MWC IS	2-22
2. Capital Expenditure Forecasts by MWC	2-23

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 2
COMPREHENSIVE GAS AMI REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)

a. MWC 74.....	2-23
b. MWC 2F	2-24
I. Conclusion.....	2-24

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **CHAPTER 2**
3 **COMPREHENSIVE GAS AMI REPLACEMENT PROGRAM**

4 **A. Introduction**

5 This chapter describes Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s (PG&E or the
6 Company) multi-year Comprehensive Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure
7 (AMI) Replacement Program, presents the Company’s 2023-2026 forecasts for
8 the program, and demonstrates the financial and customer benefits of beginning
9 to incorporate a programmatic lifecycle replacement strategy. The
10 Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program is necessary to maintain critical
11 customer service functions, and the costs to do so are reasonable. PG&E
12 respectfully asks that the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission)
13 authorize the costs proposed here for 2023-2026. PG&E will forecast the
14 2027-2030 costs associated with the completion of its Comprehensive Gas AMI
15 Replacement Program and launch of a newer, modernized Gas AMI System in
16 its 2027 General Rate Case (GRC), but provides that context for the
17 Commission’s broader understanding of this Program.

18 **B. Program Overview**

19 In 2006, the Commission approved cost recovery for PG&E’s full
20 deployment of Gas AMI 1.0 (also known as SmartMeter™).¹ The Company’s
21 Gas AMI system relies on battery-operated communication Gas Modules
22 externally attached to gas meters and network communications infrastructure to
23 transmit gas consumption from customers’ meters to related computerized
24 systems and software that enable billing and data presentation to individual
25 customers.² PG&E’s Gas AMI 1.0 project included enabling the automation of

1 Decision (D.) 06-07-027.

2 As stated in Chapter 1, PG&E’s original Gas AMI system (Gas AMI 1.0 or Gas SmartMeter™) is a one-way communication system installed between 2006 to 2013 that securely and automatically transmits customers’ gas energy usage to the Company’s billing system, providing bills to over 4 million PG&E gas customers. The system includes head-end application software, network communication equipment, and battery-operated Gas Modules with built-in network interface cards externally attached to each customer gas meter, which all connect to the Company’s billing system. See Appendix B, Glossary of Key Terms, for additional explanations of terminology used in this chapter.

1 gas metering via the Gas Modules, deploying new communications networks to
2 communicate with the Gas Modules, and upgrading the customer billing system
3 (at the time consisting of 4.2 million gas meters).³ The Commission found
4 PG&E’s Gas AMI 1.0 proposal had “sufficient probable and quantifiable
5 economic operating and demand response benefits,” and adopted a project
6 budget of approximately \$1.7 billion.⁴ The Commission also adopted a 20-year
7 useful life for the Gas AMI system, but recognized that this was a new
8 technology and acknowledged that, “individual components may fail early or last
9 longer than the overall useful life.”

10 In addition, the Commission adopted a 20-year depreciable life for the AMI
11 system to “match” the adopted useful life, but invited PG&E to re-examine the
12 20-year depreciable life in subsequent GRCs “when there is credible evidence
13 that the life should be adjusted.”⁵ Based on its most recent depreciation study,
14 PG&E recommended a 15-year average depreciable life for Gas AMI assets
15 (including Gas Modules and Gas AMI communication equipment) in the
16 Company’s 2023 GRC. No party opposed PG&E’s proposal to move to a
17 15-year average service life for Gas Modules and communication equipment,
18 and the Commission approved this proposal.⁶

19 PG&E’s roughly 4 million gas customers currently utilize approximately
20 4.7 million gas meters, which rely on Gas Modules to automatically transmit
21 these customers’ gas usage securely to PG&E’s billing system. These devices
22 include both extended range Gas Modules and standard range Gas Modules.⁷
23 The Gas Modules—originally installed throughout PG&E’s service territory
24 between 2006 and 2013—support critical functions on which PG&E and other

3 D.06-07-027, p. 2, fn. 2.

4 D.06-07-027, pp. 10, 65-66, Conclusion of Law 3.

5 D.06-07-027, p. 26.

6 Application (A.) 21-06-021, Exhibit (PG&E-10), Chapter 12, WP 12-1227 to 12-1228 (Depreciation Study), Chapter 11, WP 11-5, line 238 and WP 11-6, line 282; D.23-11-069, p. 675, fn. 2452.

7 The vast majority of PG&E’s Gas Modules are standard range (approximately 91 percent of the 4.7 million in-service Gas Modules). However, certain customer premises (such as basements, underground locations, and remote locations) require additional range to ensure network coverage and connection to PG&E’s back-end systems, and thus require a device that operates on a higher power frequency to provide that extra communication range.

1 stakeholders rely, such as billing by PG&E and third-party energy providers and
2 administration of energy efficiency programs.

3 PG&E has found that its legacy first generation battery-operated Gas
4 Modules require replacement, as the batteries have run out of energy or are
5 expected to run out of energy. As PG&E highlighted in its 2020 GRC, and as
6 the Commission acknowledged in its decision on PG&E's 2023 GRC, some Gas
7 Modules failed before reaching the end of their useful lives, and required
8 immediate replacement to continue to support critical customer service
9 functions.⁸ From 2014 through 2022, PG&E replaced approximately 1.86 million
10 first generation Gas Modules.⁹

11 PG&E and the Commission recognized in 2006 that SmartMeter™ was a
12 new technology that had not been deployed on the scale at which PG&E
13 deployed it, such that “individual components may fail early or last longer than
14 the overall useful life.”¹⁰ In response, and as explained further below, PG&E
15 pursued compensation from its original Gas AMI 1.0 supplier pursuant to the
16 warranty in the parties’ contract, which significantly offset the costs of those
17 replacements, lessening the burden on PG&E’s customers for replacement of
18 the Gas Modules.

19 PG&E forecasts an increasing number of Gas Modules requiring
20 replacement as the devices reach, or near the end of, their useful lives. PG&E
21 forecasts approximately 2.9 million Gas Modules will require replacement from
22 2023 through 2030.¹¹ In this Application, PG&E proposes an efficient,
23 multi-pronged, and comprehensive programmatic approach for replacing Gas
24 Modules from 2023-2026, which includes:

- 25 1) Completing PG&E’s existing program to replace all extended range Gas
26 Modules at the supplier’s cost (Warranty Replacements);

⁸ D.23-11-069, p. 544.

⁹ The 1.86 million Gas Module replacements include replacements due to Gas Module failure (Required Maintenance) and Gas Modules replaced when PG&E exchanges gas meters in compliance with its regular gas meter maintenance programs. See WP 2-1, “Legacy Gas Module Replacements.”

¹⁰ D.06-07-027, p. 24.

¹¹ PG&E currently forecasts replacing approximately 1.7 million Gas Modules during the 2023-2026 period, and 1.2 million from 2027-2030. See WP 2-2, “Gas Module Replacement Unit Forecast.”

- 1 2) Continuing replacement of certain Gas Modules as they fail (Required
2 Maintenance);¹²
- 3 3) Beginning focused Gas Module replacement in select areas to deliver better
4 customer experiences, realize efficiencies and economies of scale, increase
5 productivity, and lower costs (Lifecycle Replacement); and
- 6 4) Beginning a Gas AMI 2.0 System Upgrade that will allow PG&E to start
7 upgrading its system before it becomes obsolete, and leverage newer Gas
8 AMI and Metering technologies via two-way communication.

9 Replacement of failed or failing Gas Modules is necessary to ensure
10 continued automated gas usage collection, continuous customer billing by PG&E
11 and third parties, and support for PG&E’s energy efficiency programs. In
12 addition, PG&E plans to maintain and begin upgrading its Gas AMI functionality,
13 utilizing its existing Gas AMI Supplier (Aclara) and preparing to potentially
14 leverage its existing Electric AMI System (Itron) for Gas AMI. This system
15 upgrade will allow PG&E to further leverage existing and emerging Gas AMI and
16 Metering technologies, offering PG&E and its customers additional safety,
17 operational, and customer service capabilities in the future while reducing sole
18 source Gas AMI supplier and market risks. As PG&E explains in Chapter 3, the
19 two-way communication that a Gas AMI 2.0 System enables can support
20 features that have become available (and that other utilities have deployed)
21 since PG&E installed its original Gas AMI 1.0 System, such as automatic and
22 remote shutoff for improved safety capabilities, on-demand meter reads, and
23 over-the-air firmware updates. PG&E expects to complete the Comprehensive
24 Gas AMI Replacement Program in 2030 and will provide its forecasts for
25 2027-2030 in its 2027 GRC.

12 In the 2023 GRC, PG&E referred to the practice of replacing Gas Modules as they fail as “Corrective Maintenance,” and included the forecasts for that work in Electric Distribution Operations, Field Metering. (A.21-06-021, Exhibit (PG&E 4), Chapter 8). PG&E now refers to this work as Required Maintenance because it more accurately describes the nature of the work. PG&E presents the Required Maintenance forecast in this chapter for a holistic presentation of the 2023-2026 forecast for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program.

1 **C. Summary of Request**

2 **1. Expense**

3 PG&E requests that the Commission adopt its 2023-2026 expense
4 forecast of \$11.7 million for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement
5 Program, including the annual forecasts below:

**TABLE 2-1
SUMMARY OF EXPENSE FORECAST BY YEAR
(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)**

Line No.		2023 (Recorded)	2024 (Forecast)	2025 (Forecast)	2026 (Forecast)	Total
1	Expense Forecast	\$1,786	\$2,899	\$3,162	\$3,864	\$11,711

6 These expenses provide for a Project Management Office (PMO) with
7 centralized, coordinated, and efficient management of the Comprehensive Gas
8 AMI Replacement Program, as well as incremental funding for PG&E’s customer
9 outreach and engagement, contact center support, back-office billing, and
10 Information Technology (IT) operations and maintenance.¹³

11 **2. Capital Expenditures**

12 PG&E requests that the Commission adopt its capital expenditure
13 forecast of \$485.1 million for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement
14 Program from 2023-2026, including the annual forecasts below:

¹³ See WP 2-3, “Summary of Expense Forecast by Major Work Category” and WP 2-4
“Detail Expense Forecast by Major Work Category.”

**TABLE 2-2
SUMMARY OF CAPITAL EXPENDITURE FORECAST BY YEAR
(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)**

Line No.		2023 (Recorded)	2024 (Forecast)	2025 (Forecast)	2026 (Forecast)	Total
1	Capital Expenditures Forecast	\$96,968	\$123,127	\$134,540	\$130,413	\$485,058

1 These capital expenditures encompass both Required Maintenance and
2 Lifecycle Replacement materials and labor costs, described more fully in this
3 chapter.¹⁴

4 **D. Updated End-of-Life Study and Projections for Gas Modules**

5 PG&E previously retained an independent, third-party consultant (Exponent)
6 to analyze and assess the remaining operational life of the in-service legacy
7 standard range Gas Modules (3.4 million as of June 2020).¹⁵ PG&E provided
8 data to Exponent regarding Gas Module installation dates, the dates when Gas
9 Modules were replaced or removed, and the reason for replacement.

10 Exponent incorporated PG&E’s data into a statistical model to estimate the
11 probability of failure by module age, which PG&E presented in the 2023 GRC.¹⁶
12 In that study, Exponent used data from failures across the Company’s service
13 area. The data demonstrated the highest rates of failure in the Kern and
14 Sacramento Divisions; as PG&E installed these units earlier in the 2006-2013
15 installation period, these divisions contain some of the oldest Gas Modules
16 currently in service. Exponent and PG&E extrapolated from these initial results
17 to predict aggregated failure rates for PG&E’s entire service area. That study
18 assumed that newer Gas Modules would fail at the same rate as the older Gas
19 Modules since the data relied so heavily on failure rates in the Kern and
20 Sacramento Divisions.¹⁷

14 See WP 2-5, “Summary of Capital Expenditure Forecast by Major Work Category” and WP 2-6 “Detail Capital Expenditure Forecast by Major Work Category.”

15 Exponent is a leading engineering consulting firm providing services in a variety of industries, including energy, utilities, and construction.

16 A.21-06-021, Exhibit (PG&E 6-E), Chapter 9, WP 9-14 to 9-15, Gas Module Failure Rate Study.

17 PG&E’s service area includes five regions (North Coast, North Valley and Sierra, Bay Area, South Bay and Central Coast, and Central Valley). It is further subdivided by 19 geographic divisions.

1 PG&E and Exponent have since refreshed the failure rate probabilities,
2 applying current data from each division only to that specific division. Using
3 Exponent’s updated Gas Module Failure Rate Study, PG&E performed a trend
4 analysis on the updated probability of failure by Gas Module age and division,
5 and applied the probability curves to vintages of legacy Gas Modules remaining
6 in the field as of December 31, 2022 by division to prepare the updated failure
7 forecast.¹⁸ For example, data from failures in the Kern Division are used to
8 predict failures in the Kern Division, and data from failures in the San Francisco
9 Division are used to predict failures in the San Francisco Division.

10 This division-specific approach better accounts for module vintages, age of
11 the Gas Modules, and the effects that weather, climate, and temperature can
12 have on failure rates in different geographic areas. For example, the refreshed
13 study showed that divisions in higher-range temperature areas have higher
14 failure rates. Indeed, PG&E observed that extreme temperature ranges can
15 cause the expansion and contraction of the Gas Module’s casing, which leads to
16 cracking of the casing that can allow entry of water into the Gas Module.
17 PG&E’s updated analysis predicts that 95 percent of the remaining Gas Modules
18 in the Sacramento Division would fail by the age of 16 years, and 89 percent of
19 the remaining Gas Modules in the Kern Division would fail by the age of
20 15 years. By contrast, areas in which Gas Modules were deployed later are
21 experiencing lower failure rates. For example, PG&E’s refreshed analysis
22 predicts that 14 percent of the remaining Gas Modules in the Peninsula Division,
23 and 16 percent of the remaining Gas Modules in the Mission Division would fail
24 by the age of 14 years.¹⁹ As a result of these modeling improvements, PG&E
25 determined that failures where Gas Modules were among the first deployed,
26 which also happen to be among the areas with the biggest temperature swings
27 (i.e., Kern and Sacramento Divisions), are significantly higher than in other
28 divisions.

29 PG&E’s updated model, which analyzes failure rates by area, has improved
30 the accuracy of PG&E’s 2023-2026 forecast. Accordingly, PG&E’s failure rate

¹⁸ See WP 2-7, “Gas Module Failure Rate Probability Forecast Methodology.”

¹⁹ See WP 2-8, “End of Life Study.”

1 forecast has declined.²⁰ PG&E’s refreshed forecast includes: (1) warranty
2 replacements at the supplier’s cost; (2) lower expected Required Maintenance
3 volumes; and (3) targeted Lifecycle Replacement in those specific areas:
4 (a) containing the oldest vintages of Gas Modules, and (b) the highest failure
5 rates (specifically, Kern and Sacramento Divisions).²¹

6 **E. Detailed Description of Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program**

7 As of January 2023, there are approximately 2.9 million legacy Gas Modules
8 remaining to be replaced (PG&E plans to replace all legacy Gas Modules by
9 2030).²² In this Application, PG&E proposes a strategic replacement strategy
10 that includes: (1) completing its existing program to replace certain extended
11 range Gas Modules at the supplier’s cost (Supplier Warranty Replacements);
12 (2) continued replacement of standard range Gas Modules as they fail that need
13 to be replaced to maintain customer billing and other functions (Required
14 Maintenance); (3) focused programmatic Gas Module replacement in select key
15 areas (Kern and Sacramento Divisions) where the Company can deliver better
16 customer experiences, realize efficiencies and economies of scale to increase
17 productivity and lower costs (Lifecycle Replacement); and (4) a Gas AMI System
18 Upgrade that begins to transition PG&E’s Gas AMI 1.0 System to a Gas AMI 2.0
19 System that will allow PG&E and its customers to leverage additional safety,
20 operational and customer service features and technologies, and
21 next-generation metering products in the future. PG&E discusses each of these
22 further below.

23 Table 2-3 summarizes the Company’s replacement plans and associated
24 cost forecasts for 2023-2026 set forth in this Application. PG&E will present its
25 cost forecasts for 2027-2030 in its 2027 GRC.²³

20 See WP 2-8, “End of Life Study”; A.21-06-021, Exhibit (PG&E 6-E), Chapter 9, WP 9-14 to 9-15, “Gas Module Failure Rate Study.”

21 PG&E currently forecasts replacing approximately 1.7 million Legacy Gas Modules during the 2023-2026 period, with the remaining 1.2 million forecasted from 2027-2030. See WP 2-2, “Gas Module Replacement Unit Forecast.”

22 See WP 1-1, “Current Gas Modules In-Service by Vintages” and WP 2-2 “Gas Module Replacement Unit Forecast.”

23 See WP 2-3, “Summary of Expense Forecast by Major Work Category,” WP 2-4, “Detail Expense Forecast by Major Work Category,” WP 2-5, “Summary of Capital Expenditure Forecast by Major Work Category” and WP 2-6, “Detail Capital Expenditure Forecast by Major Work Category” for additional support.

**TABLE 2-3
COMPREHENSIVE GAS AMI REPLACEMENT PROGRAM FORECAST (2023-2026)**

Line No.	(a) Supplier Warranty Replacements	(b) Required Maintenance	(c) Lifecycle Replacement	(d) Gas AMI System Upgrade	(e) All Replacements
1	Extended range Gas Modules replaced by the supplier pursuant to warranty.	Continued replacement of individual Gas Modules after they fail.	Targeted proactive replacement where PG&E has either the oldest Gas Modules or the highest failure rates.	Gas AMI System Upgrade that begins to transition PG&E's Gas AMI 1.0 System to a modern Gas AMI 2.0 System	Total Gas Module Replacements
2	29,387 Units	1,329,474 Units	230,432 Units	N/A	1,589,293 Units ^(a)
3	Costs covered by the supplier are not included in this Application. See Chapter 4 for information on warranty benefits.	Forecast: Capital \$401.5 million Expense \$6.1 million	Forecast: Capital \$40.8 million Expense \$2.6 million	Forecast: Capital \$42.8 million Expense \$3.0 million	Total Forecast: Capital \$485.1 million Expense \$11.7 million
4		Current Application	Current Application	Current Application	Current Application

(a) See WP 2-2 "Gas Module Replacement Unit Forecast," lines 15-19.

1 **1. Supplier Warranty Replacements**

2 The warranty for the original Gas AMI 1.0 installation covered both
3 extended range and standard range Gas Modules. Extended range Gas
4 Modules are used in hard-to-reach locations such as remote geographical
5 areas, basements, or indoor locations (such as garages). These extended
6 range Gas Modules operate on a higher power frequency to provide the
7 extra communication strength needed to reach PG&E’s Gas AMI and billing
8 system. PG&E and its supplier determined that these extended range Gas
9 Modules have a much shorter lifespan than standard range Gas Modules.
10 In 2018, PG&E and its Gas AMI supplier agreed that PG&E could elect to
11 have its supplier replace all legacy extended range Gas Modules at the
12 supplier’s cost.

13 In June 2020, PG&E exercised that right, informing its Gas AMI supplier
14 that it elected to have the supplier proactively replace all extended range
15 Gas Modules. Following this election, in close coordination with PG&E, the
16 supplier began project planning, field work route design, staging its
17 operations (securing a warehouse and required inventory), and on-boarding
18 and training technicians. The Supplier Warranty Replacements began in
19 June 2021 and were largely completed in 2023. To date, PG&E’s legacy
20 extended range Gas Modules have been fully replaced with new Gas
21 Modules (except for a few unique circumstances such as customer premise
22 access issues that PG&E is addressing). PG&E’s Gas AMI supplier covered
23 the cost of materials and labor to replace the legacy extended range Gas
24 Modules.

25 In addition, PG&E’s supplier agreed in 2018 that it would provide
26 warranty credits to cover PG&E’s replacement of extended range Gas
27 Modules that had failed or that PG&E resources (rather than supplier
28 resources) would replace. In total, the supplier replaced over 74,000 legacy
29 extended range Gas Modules solely at its cost between 2021-2023. The
30 supplier provided PG&E with warranty credits for the approximately
31 281,000 extended range Gas Modules that PG&E had replaced through
32 2022, the approximately 7,000 PG&E replaced during 2023 and the
33 approximately 18,000 that remain to be replaced by PG&E as of

1 December 31, 2023.²⁴ PG&E’s diligence in pursuing supplier warranty
2 claims, securing the supplier’s agreement to replace extended range Gas
3 Modules at its cost and provide warranty credits for PG&E-replaced Gas
4 Modules significantly lowered the overall costs of Gas Module Replacement
5 for our customers. The benefits of supplier warranty work are discussed in
6 Chapter 4.

7 **2. Required Maintenance**

8 Required Maintenance refers to the practice of replacing individual Gas
9 Modules after their batteries fail, irrespective of geography. As the individual
10 Gas Modules fail, PG&E is temporarily unable to collect the customer’s gas
11 energy usage that a functioning Gas Module would automatically have
12 transmitted to PG&E’s billing system. PG&E must replace these failed
13 devices with new devices in order to enable billing, both by PG&E and
14 third-party energy providers. This approach results in a more spread-out or
15 geographically dispersed replacement plan than does a targeted proactive
16 geographic replacement strategy.

17 This is how PG&E initially addressed Gas Module failures, i.e., replace
18 as needed. Between 2014-2018, PG&E replaced approximately 218,000
19 Gas Modules, an average of approximately 43,600 Gas Modules per year.
20 However, from 2019-2022, PG&E observed a significant increase in Gas
21 Module failures and replaced approximately 842,000 Gas Modules, an
22 average of 210,500 Gas Modules per year. Required Maintenance
23 replacements occurred on a more geographically dispersed basis.

24 Table 2-4 summarizes annual Gas Module replacements performed by
25 PG&E for 2019-2022.²⁵

24 See WP 2-9, “Extended Range Warranty Replacements.”

25 As demonstrated in Table 2-4, standard range Gas Module replacements increased year-over-year between 2019 and 2022. PG&E forecasts this trend to continue as the standard range Gas Modules reach end-of-life. By contrast, extended range Gas Module replacements increased between 2019-2021 and decreased in 2022. Nearly all extended range Gas Modules have been replaced by PG&E or its supplier.

**TABLE 2-4
REQUIRED MAINTENANCE GAS MODULE REPLACEMENTS
(2019-2022)**

Line No.	Year	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
1	Standard Range	75,301	91,419	188,633	209,231	564,584
2	Extended Range	20,075	78,632	138,768	40,254	277,729
3	Total	95,376	170,051	327,401	249,485	842,313

1 PG&E forecasts that the standard range Gas Module failures will
2 continue to increase as the devices reach their end-of-life. While it makes
3 economic sense to programmatically replace Gas Modules in some
4 locations, PG&E will need to continue to replace some Gas Modules as they
5 fail during the 2023-2026 period. These costs primarily include the materials
6 and labor costs to perform Required Maintenance (replace Gas Modules as
7 they fail). Table 2-5 below provides a summary of Gas Module Required
8 Maintenance capital expenditures.

**TABLE 2-5
SUMMARY OF REQUIRED MAINTENANCE FORECAST
(THOUSANDS OF NOMINAL DOLLARS)**

Line No.	Required Maintenance	2023 Recorded	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	2023-26 Total
1	Capital Expenditures	\$87,438	\$101,719	\$108,049	\$104,261	\$401,468
2	Expense	\$1,151	\$1,735	\$1,681	\$1,557	\$6,124
3	Number of Gas Modules (Units)	274,915	370,528	357,255	326,776	1,329,474

9 **3. Focused Lifecycle Replacement of Gas Modules in Targeted Areas**

10 In addition to continued Required Maintenance for Gas Modules that
11 have failed and need to be replaced, PG&E proposes transitioning to a Gas
12 Module Lifecycle Replacement Program where the economics justify
13 proactively replacing Gas Modules on a programmatic basis. Based on its
14 updated analysis and forecasting, PG&E has adjusted its near-term strategy
15 to focus on those divisions where its Gas Modules are oldest and failure
16 rates are highest. Focusing on these areas for Lifecycle Replacement in the
17 short term optimizes field labor plans, achieves economies of scale, lowers
18 costs, and delivers a better customer experience.

1 PG&E initiated its focused and targeted Lifecycle Gas Module
 2 Replacement Program in 2023 in the Kern and Sacramento Divisions, where
 3 PG&E had its oldest vintages of Gas Modules and/or its highest
 4 end-of-life/failure rates. PG&E completed Lifecycle Replacements in the
 5 Kern Division in December 2023 and plans to complete Lifecycle
 6 Replacements in the Sacramento Division by the end of 2026.²⁶ Table 2-6
 7 below provides a summary of Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement capital
 8 expenditures and expenses.

**TABLE 2-6
 SUMMARY OF LIFECYCLE REPLACEMENTS FORECAST
 (THOUSANDS OF NOMINAL DOLLARS)**

Line No.	Lifecycle Replacements	2023 Recorded	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	2023-26 Total
1	Capital Expenditures	\$8,435	\$10,070	\$10,789	\$11,463	\$40,757
2	Expense	\$635	\$627	\$641	\$655	\$2,558
3	Number of Gas Modules (Units)	50,432	60,000	60,000	60,000	230,432

9 PG&E will forecast post-2026 Gas Module Lifecycle Replacements in its
 10 2027 GRC.

11 **4. Gas AMI System Upgrade**

12 PG&E also proposes to begin upgrades to its Gas AMI communications
 13 network control and management software, which is necessary to replace
 14 the system before it becomes obsolete, and allow PG&E and its customers
 15 to capture additional safety and operational benefits in the future. PG&E
 16 engaged an independent third-party consultant to assist it in conducting an
 17 updated global industry Gas AMI technology assessment. The Gas AMI
 18 Assessment covered advanced metering for natural gas across the United
 19 States, Europe, and Asia Pacific, gathering detailed data concerning existing
 20 and evolving technology trends and availability.

21 The consulting assessment summarized the current market options for
 22 Gas AMI communications devices and networks, with a focus on emerging
 23 next-generation Gas AMI and Metering technology and industry trends.

²⁶ See WP 2-10, “Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement Program” for additional details about productivity and cost efficiencies for this work.

1 PG&E used this information to develop its plan for updating its Gas AMI
2 System to accommodate an efficient programmatic approach to required
3 Gas Module Replacement in the near-term and enable future customer
4 benefits in the long-term.

5 The assessment described above also informed PG&E's development
6 of an updated set of business and technology requirements for the upgraded
7 Gas AMI System. Those requirements established the basis for a
8 competitive bidding process, utilizing a Request for Proposal (RFP) that
9 PG&E released to five top AMI vendors in North America in the fall of 2021.
10 With a focus on scaled, cost-effective, reliable, and safe solutions, PG&E
11 evaluated the five vendors throughout multiple rounds over an 18-month
12 period. During this process, PG&E assessed and considered the
13 Company's and customers' current and future needs, supplier and market
14 risks, cost constraints, and customer affordability. PG&E evaluated bidders
15 in the following categories: (1) Commercial Terms; (2) Technical; (3) Pricing;
16 (4) Safety; and (5) Responsibility. PG&E also completed product lab tests
17 and limited field trials of the short-listed products as part of the RFP
18 selection process.

19 In June 2023, PG&E selected the two vendors that scored the highest
20 on PG&E's criteria: (1) Aclara (PG&E's current Gas AMI vendor); and
21 (2) Itron (PG&E's Electric AMI provider). PG&E's decision to select Aclara
22 and Itron balanced current needs and customer affordability, reduced sole
23 source supplier and market risks, and allowed future deployment of newer
24 technology that can provide additional capabilities and benefits for safety,
25 operations, and customer service.

26 PG&E has developed its technology roadmap and Gas AMI System
27 Upgrade plans. PG&E must begin upgrades to its nearly 20 year old Gas
28 AMI System before it becomes obsolete. In doing so, PG&E will enable
29 enhanced safety, operations, and customer service capabilities that will
30 benefit customers in the future. Table 2-7 provides a summary of PG&E's
31 Gas AMI System Upgrade costs. The Gas AMI System Upgrade currently
32 includes: (1) upgrading to a next-generation two-way Gas AMI software
33 network and hardware platform to support Gas AMI 2.0 technologies; and
34 (2) potentially enabling Gas AMI functionality on PG&E's existing Electric

1 AMI software platform. Due to continued advancements in vendor
 2 technologies, PG&E will continue to monitor industry and technology trends
 3 and evaluate the most feasible and cost-effective ways to serve its
 4 customers. Further details on PG&E’s proposed Gas AMI System Upgrade
 5 plans are discussed in Chapter 3.

**TABLE 2-7
 SUMMARY OF GAS AMI SYSTEM UPGRADE FORECAST
 (THOUSANDS OF NOMINAL DOLLARS)**

Line No.	Gas AMI System Upgrade	2023 Recorded	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	2023-26 Total
1	Capital Expenditures	\$1,095	\$11,348	\$15,702	\$14,689	\$42,834
2	Expense	–	\$537	\$840	\$1,652	\$3,029

Note: The \$42.8 million of capital expenditures related to the Gas AMI System Upgrade include \$32.4 million of IT capital in major work category (MWC) 2F and \$10.4 million of network capital in MWC 74. See WP 2-11 “IT Network Project Expenditures.”

6 **F. PG&E’s Proposed Lifecycle Replacement Provides Added Benefits Over**
 7 **Solely Applying a Required Maintenance Approach**

8 Incorporating Lifecycle Replacement of Gas Modules offers several
 9 advantages over a program that relies solely on replacing the Gas Modules as
 10 they fail, including: (1) increased productivity; (2) the ability to bundle work;
 11 (3) reduced travel time between jobs; and (4) customer communication plans
 12 that improve customer-generated appointments, which reduce repeat visits (due
 13 to inability to access the customer's premise) and improve customer satisfaction.
 14 The benefits of including a Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement approach result
 15 in a lower total net present value (NPV) vis-à-vis Required Maintenance, and
 16 improved customer experience. These financial and customer experience
 17 benefits are discussed in more detail below.

18 **1. Financial Benefits of Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement**

19 Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement work within a specific geography
 20 achieves economies of scale and lowers unit costs. Replacing Gas Modules
 21 in a single geographic neighborhood all at one time minimizes travel time,
 22 improves work productivity, enables work bundling, improves the opportunity
 23 to generate customer appointments, which reduces repeat visits, and results

1 in reduced labor costs. PG&E validated these benefits in its targeted Gas
2 Module Lifecycle Replacement work in the Kern and Sacramento Divisions
3 in 2023, which will be discussed in more detail below.²⁷

4 Another benefit of Lifecycle Replacement relates to the potential to take
5 advantage of available field resource capacity in the spring and summer
6 months, which helps the Company avoid: (1) releases and rehires of
7 temporary field technicians that currently perform most of the Gas Module
8 replacements, and (2) associated costs, including recruiting, on-boarding,
9 training, and assigning vehicles and mobile tablets. Over the past several
10 years, PG&E has observed that more Required Maintenance work is
11 necessary during the winter months. PG&E has observed that colder
12 temperatures and water intrusion affect the Gas Modules' internal
13 electronics and accelerate battery end-of-life. PG&E expects this trend to
14 continue. To optimize work and resource plans, PG&E plans to focus on
15 Required Maintenance during the winter months, and focus on
16 programmatic Lifecycle Replacements in targeted geographic areas during
17 the spring and summer months. This will allow PG&E to better plan and
18 normalize work volumes throughout the year with available field resource
19 capacity. By normalizing the monthly Gas Module Replacement work plan
20 throughout the year, PG&E can reduce the time and cost associated with
21 releasing and rehiring the temporary field technicians that perform Gas
22 Module Replacement and related costs. Due to the temporary nature of the
23 Gas Module Replacement Program, PG&E anticipates that it will continue to
24 rely on temporary and regular field technicians for this work, and has
25 planned accordingly.²⁸

26 Since submitting the 2023 GRC, PG&E has refreshed its economic
27 analysis. Table 2-8 below compares the NPV of shifting to a Lifecycle
28 Replacement strategy as proposed in this Application compared to
29 continuing solely with Required Maintenance of individual Gas Modules after

27 See WP 2-10 "Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement Program."

28 PG&E has regular Meter Maintenance Personnel and Gas Service Representatives that are qualified to perform Gas Module maintenance and replacements. However, due to the seasonality of Gas Module failures and relatively short-term nature of the Gas Module Replacement Program, the Company plans to continue to have temporary hiring hall workers perform much of this work.

1 they fail. This economic analysis forecasts costs over a 15-year period
2 (2023-2037) and on a total program basis, the NPV of the Company's
3 Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program improves as follows:

- 4 • Lifecycle Replacement: -\$889.6 million
- 5 • Required Maintenance Only: -\$910.7 million Under both approaches, a
6 certain amount of Required Maintenance is necessary. In addition,
7 there are costs that are common to both approaches (such as IT costs).
8 Therefore, PG&E performed an additional NPV analysis that compares
9 the incremental costs of the two approaches after excluding common
10 Required Maintenance work. The incremental NPVs are:

- 11 • Lifecycle Replacement (excluding common costs): -\$134.6 million
- 12 • Required Maintenance Only (excluding common
13 costs): -\$155.7 million.²⁹

14 The results of these economic analyses demonstrate the cost
15 effectiveness of both PG&E's Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement
16 Program as a whole, and more specifically its current approach which
17 leverages targeted proactive Lifecycle Replacement.

²⁹ See WP 2-12, "Net Present Value Economic Analysis." PG&E's proprietary economic model used to calculate the NPV economic analysis is available via confidential data request.

**TABLE 2-8
ECONOMIC ANALYSIS SUMMARY**

Line No.	Replacement Approach	NPV	Description
1	Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program	-\$889.6 million	Inclusive of all programmatic costs for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program as described in this chapter.
2	Required Maintenance Only	-\$910.7 million	Under this approach, PG&E would continue solely to replace Gas AMI Modules individually after failure.
3	Incremental Lifecycle Replacement	-\$134.6 million	This approach analyzes the incremental costs of the proposed Lifecycle Replacement included in the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program above, excluding the Required Maintenance and related costs that are common to the two approaches above.
4	Incremental Required Maintenance Only	-\$155.7 million	This approach analyzes the incremental costs in the Required Maintenance Only scenario above, excluding the costs that are common with the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program above.

2. Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement Improves the Customer Experience

In addition to the financial benefits discussed above, Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement offers several customer experience benefits. Gas Module failures disrupt the communication of gas usage data from meters to the PG&E billing system. Relying solely on a Required Maintenance approach of replacing Gas Modules as they fail on a unit-by-unit basis can lead to billing exceptions. A programmatic approach allows the Company to proactively replace Gas Modules (before they fail) in the areas in which it makes economic sense to do so, reducing customer billing exceptions and improving the customer experience.

Waiting until after Gas Modules fail to replace them can lead to a less satisfying customer experience. In particular, PG&E often must make repeat visits to perform Gas Module maintenance in circumstances where PG&E is unable to access the customer's premise. In those circumstances, PG&E must take further measures to engage with those customers and schedule follow-up service appointments, increasing PG&E's costs and, in some instances, customer frustration. PG&E can mitigate many of the

1 customer satisfaction issues mentioned above as targeted proactive
2 Lifecycle Replacement enables PG&E to plan this work geographically,
3 develop targeted timeframes for the work, and proactively communicate with
4 customers through multiple channels. These practices increase customer
5 generated appointments, reduce customer premise access issues, and
6 reduce unnecessary repeat visits by PG&E to replace Gas Modules.

7 Replacing Gas Modules after they fail could also have negative impacts
8 on third party energy providers (Core Transport Agents) as well as
9 the energy efficiency programs that PG&E administers, as noted in
10 Chapter 1, Section F. Gas Modules are crucial infrastructure through which
11 timely and accurate gas usage data are communicated securely to PG&E
12 systems ensuring the provision of energy cost savings to customers enrolled
13 in these energy efficiency programs.

14 **3. Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement in the Kern and Sacramento** 15 **Divisions Realized Benefits**

16 PG&E's Gas Module Lifecycle Replacements in the Kern and
17 Sacramento Divisions in 2023 confirmed that Gas Module Lifecycle
18 Replacement work within a specific targeted geography can achieve
19 economies of scale and lower labor replacement unit costs. Replacing Gas
20 Modules proactively in the Kern and Sacramento Divisions in a
21 programmatic manner minimized travel time, improved productivity, enabled
22 work bundling opportunities, and reduced repeat visits (due to proactive
23 customer outreach and the ability for customers to schedule appointments),
24 resulting in reduced labor replacement unit costs vis-à-vis a Required
25 Maintenance approach. In the Kern and Sacramento Divisions, PG&E
26 programmatically replaced Gas Modules at an installed labor replacement
27 unit cost of approximately \$91 per unit. This is much less expensive than an
28 installed labor unit cost of \$169 per unit for Required Maintenance
29 replacement of Gas Modules.³⁰ PG&E forecasted unit costs for 2024-2026
30 by applying escalation to 2023 recorded unit costs for Required
31 Maintenance and Lifecycle Replacement, resulting in a lower unit cost

30 See WP 2-10, "Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement Program" in which PG&E further describes and quantifies the efficiencies achieved from the Lifecycle Replacement Program.

1 forecast for Lifecycle Replacement than for Required Maintenance. This
2 approach also delivered better customer experiences.

3 **G. Centralized Project Management and Customer Communications**

4 PG&E created the AMI PMO to lead the Comprehensive Gas AMI
5 Replacement Program. The AMI PMO is similar to the project management
6 approach for the original Gas AMI 1.0 installations that the Commission
7 approved in 2006.³¹ The overall goal of the AMI PMO is to efficiently plan,
8 coordinate and execute the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program
9 with a focus on safety, quality, cost, and project delivery. This includes
10 cross-functional planning, coordination, and execution of Supplier Warranty
11 Replacements, Required Maintenance, and Lifecycle Replacement of legacy
12 Gas Modules. The AMI PMO is also responsible to cross-functionally plan,
13 coordinate, and execute Gas AMI System Upgrades and the Company’s Gas
14 AMI Technology Roadmap. The responsibilities of the AMI PMO include leading
15 financial management, industry and technology assessments, cross-functional
16 coordination of PG&E’s Gas AMI RFP evaluation and supplier selection,
17 technology roadmap planning and implementation, work and resource planning
18 and coordination, customer communications and outreach, business
19 performance, supplier warranty claims and benefits realization, legacy and new
20 product management, and the related portfolio management functions
21 associated with the multi-year Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program.

22 Customer outreach and engagement is a key focus of the AMI PMO. PG&E
23 has developed a plan to communicate to customers, communities, and other
24 stakeholders regarding Gas Module replacements in their areas. A
25 multi-touchpoint communications plan creates a positive customer experience
26 and keeps customers informed. It can also mitigate customer complaints and
27 minimize costs by improving the scheduling of customer appointments to reduce
28 repeat visits where PG&E is unable to access the customer premise to replace
29 the Gas Module. PG&E plans to coordinate outreach and communications to
30 provide customers with timely and relevant information about the Gas Module

31 D.06-07-027, pp. 11-12.

1 replacement activities at their premises through various channels.³² PG&E will
2 adjust its approach based on real-time customer feedback.

3 PG&E forecasts approximately \$4.7 million in expense in 2023-2026
4 associated with the AMI PMO and Customer Outreach program in MWC EZ.
5 The forecast comprises \$3.0 million of contractor costs, \$0.6 million of internal
6 labor costs, and \$1.1 million of customer outreach costs. PG&E relies on
7 contractors for certain categories of work, including IT Consulting, Vendor
8 Management, Product Evaluation, and Customer Communications Consulting.
9 PG&E was considering several contractors when the 2023 GRC was pending.
10 The Company has now selected and contracted with several contractors to
11 perform the duties discussed above. As the \$3.0 million of contractor cost is not
12 internal labor, no escalation was applied to the annual forecasted amounts.

13 The AMI PMO is staffed by 8 incremental full-time equivalents that are not
14 currently funded. PG&E dissolved its original PMO (which the Commission
15 approved in 2006) upon completion of the original AMI project in 2014. The
16 proposed AMI PMO is an entirely new organization that will facilitate centralized,
17 coordinated, and efficient management of the Comprehensive Gas AMI
18 Replacement Program over the next several years.³³

19 **H. Cost Forecasts by Major Work Category**

20 **1. Expense Forecasts by MWC and Estimating Method**

21 PG&E's expense forecast for the Comprehensive Gas AMI
22 Replacement Program is summarized in Table 2-9, below.

³² See WP 2-13, "Customer Communications Plan" for a detailed overview of the Company's customer communications plan for Gas Module replacement activities.

³³ AMI PMO costs include both expense costs and capital expenditures.

**TABLE 2-9
EXPENSE BY MWC
(THOUSANDS OF NOMINAL DOLLARS)**

Line No.	MWC	Nature of Work	2023 Recorded	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	Total
1	EZ	Program Management	\$1,081	\$1,205	\$1,218	\$1,232	\$4,736
2	IS	Billing and Call Center Operations	705	1,157	1,104	908	3,946
3	JV	Maintain IT Applications and Infrastructure	–	537	840	1,652	3,029
4	Total		\$1,786	\$2,899	\$3,162	\$3,864	\$11,711

1 PG&E forecasted expenses by: (1) determining project management
2 and outreach costs needed for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement
3 Program; (2) determining anticipated incremental Contact Center and billing
4 support expenses; and (3) estimating system upgrades based on historical
5 costs and vendor quotes. Descriptions of activities included in each
6 expense MWC are provided below.

7 **a. MWC EZ**

8 PG&E recorded \$1.1 million for 2023, and forecasts \$1.2 million for
9 2024, \$1.2 million for 2025, and \$1.2 million for 2026 to cover
10 incremental expenses associated with the programmatic management,
11 customer communications, and customer outreach activities for the
12 Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program.

13 **b. MWC JV**

14 PG&E recorded \$0 for 2023, and forecasts \$0.5 million for 2024,
15 \$0.8 million for 2025, and \$1.7 million for 2026, which includes
16 incremental Operations and Maintenance costs associated with PG&E's
17 Gas AMI System Upgrade discussed in this chapter and more
18 comprehensively in Chapter 3.

19 **c. MWC IS**

20 PG&E recorded \$0.7 million for 2023, and forecasts \$1.2 million for
21 2024, \$1.1 million for 2025, and \$1.0 million for 2026, which includes
22 anticipated incremental billing and Customer Care Operations costs to
23 process customer billing exceptions and handle calls from impacted
24 customers to discuss billing questions and Gas Module replacements.

1 The estimates of the percentage of customers requiring billing and call
 2 center support, as well as the unit costs for providing such support, were
 3 based on actual 2023 data, and only reflect incremental forecasted
 4 volumes above baseline volumes adopted in the 2023 GRC.

5 **2. Capital Expenditure Forecasts by MWC**

6 PG&E’s capital expenditure forecast for the Comprehensive Gas AMI
 7 Replacement Program is summarized in Table 2-10, below.

**TABLE 2-10
 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES BY MWC
 (THOUSANDS OF NOMINAL DOLLARS)**

Line No.	MWC	Nature of Work	2023 Recorded	2024 Forecast	2025 Forecast	2026 Forecast	Total
1	74	Install Gas AMI Devices and Infrastructure	\$95,873	\$112,089	\$122,702	\$121,939	\$452,603
2	2F	Build IT Applications and Infrastructure	1,095	11,048	11,838	8,474	32,455
3	Total		\$96,968	\$123,137	\$134,540	\$130,413	\$485,058

8 PG&E forecasted capital expenditures using two main methods. The
 9 first method includes deriving 2023 labor and materials unit costs for the
 10 Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program and multiplying the
 11 forecast of units by the expected unit cost, adding escalation where
 12 appropriate. The second method includes non-labor assumptions and
 13 escalation where appropriate. Descriptions of activities included in each
 14 capital MWC are provided below.

15 **a. MWC 74**

16 PG&E recorded \$95.9 million for 2023, and forecasts \$112.1 million
 17 for 2024, \$122.7 million for 2025, and \$121.9 million for 2026, which
 18 includes all activities associated with materials and labor to replace Gas
 19 Modules as part of the Required Maintenance and Lifecycle
 20 Replacement programs. As previously stated in Table 2-3, the costs for
 21 Warranty Replacements were covered by the supplier and are not
 22 included in this Application.

1 **b. MWC 2F**

2 PG&E recorded \$1.1 million for 2023, and forecasts \$11.0 million for
3 2024, \$11.8 million for 2025, and \$8.5 million for 2026, which includes
4 activities associated with PG&E's Gas AMI System Upgrade discussed
5 in this chapter and more comprehensively in Chapter 3.

6 **I. Conclusion**

7 PG&E proposes a Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program to
8 perform the necessary work of replacing the Gas AMI System as it reaches the
9 end of its useful life in a cost-effective manner. PG&E's 2023-2026 cost
10 forecasts are reasonable and should be approved by the Commission.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 3
GAS ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE
TECHNOLOGY ROADMAP

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 3
GAS ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE
TECHNOLOGY ROADMAP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Introduction.....	3-1
B. Technology Roadmap Planning	3-1
1. Framework and Background	3-1
2. Global Marketplace and Technology Assessments	3-3
3. Focused Commercial Request for Proposal.....	3-4
4. Comprehensive Evaluation and Selection Process.....	3-4
C. Near-Term AMI Roadmap (2023-2026).....	3-5
1. Maintain Gas AMI and Enable Electric AMI to Support Gas	3-5
2. Customer Benefits of Near-Term Strategy	3-7
D. Long-Term AMI Roadmap (2027 and Beyond).....	3-8
1. Gas AMI 2.0 Two-Way Communication Benefits	3-8
2. Ultrasonic Meter Pilot Project.....	3-8
3. Methane Detector Pilot Project	3-10
4. New Metering Certifications	3-10
5. Future Customer Benefits	3-10
E. Conclusion.....	3-11

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **CHAPTER 3**
3 **GAS ADVANCED METERING INFRASTRUCTURE**
4 **TECHNOLOGY ROADMAP**

5 **A. Introduction**

6 This chapter presents Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s (PG&E or the
7 Company) technology roadmap for its Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure
8 (Gas AMI) System. Certain costs to implement this roadmap are included in this
9 Application as system communication and control elements of the new Gas AMI
10 System are essential to transition from the legacy Gas AMI System before it
11 becomes obsolete. These costs are reasonable and should be approved by the
12 California Public Utilities Commission (Commission). Gas AMI technology cost
13 forecasts for 2023-2026 are discussed in Chapter 2 (Section E.4).

14 This chapter describes the near-term and long-term benefits of the
15 technology that PG&E has selected to begin its plan to upgrade key
16 infrastructure components of the original Gas AMI System (Gas AMI 1.0) in
17 conjunction with replacing its legacy Gas Modules.¹ It also demonstrates that
18 PG&E’s selection of vendors through its focused Request for Proposal (RFP)
19 and its plans to execute the Gas AMI technology roadmap are in the best
20 interests of customers.

21 **B. Technology Roadmap Planning**

22 **1. Framework and Background**

23 In its 2006 decision authorizing PG&E’s full-scale deployment of Gas
24 AMI 1.0 throughout its service territory, the Commission recognized the
25 likelihood that “new technology may emerge that offers PG&E and its
26 customers increased reliability and performance enhancements.”² PG&E
27 has adhered to the Commission’s requirement to “monitor market place
28 developments so, whenever feasible, it can upgrade its AMI system and

1 See Appendix B, Glossary of Key Terms, for additional explanations of terminology used in this chapter.

2 Decision 06-07-027, p. 59.

1 offer its customers technology upgrades.”³ PG&E continually evaluates new
2 technologies to identify the right combinations that will: (1) provide high
3 functionality to customers now; and (2) allow PG&E to continue to improve
4 its service, deploying new initiatives as needs are identified and as more
5 advanced interfacing technologies become available. For example, PG&E
6 frequently surveys the industry and marketplace to understand vendors’ and
7 other gas operators’ technology plans, regulatory filings, pilots, and projects.
8 PG&E also conducted a comprehensive RFP to evaluate the offerings and
9 capabilities of next-generation Gas AMI technologies (sometimes called Gas
10 AMI 2.0)⁴ by five top vendors in North America. Section B.3 describes the
11 RFP process, evaluation, and outcome in detail.

12 PG&E’s evaluation of the global Gas AMI 2.0 market identified several
13 key trends in the gas industry focused on improving safety and customer
14 benefits. These include (1) an increasing focus on ultrasonic meters
15 (USMs) that offer remote and automatic shut-off capabilities; (2) providing
16 customers with real-time gas usage data; and (3) deploying a two-way
17 communication infrastructure to support these devices and functions. For
18 example, USMs can provide pressure, temperature, flow and seismic alerts,
19 with remote and auto shutoff capabilities that improve safety. Real-time
20 data can provide information to customers and the utility to help identify
21 ways to optimize usage, reduce waste, and lower customers’ bills.

22 Gas AMI systems are beginning to transform and are offering advanced
23 features that can enhance safety, operations, and customer service. PG&E
24 is committed to upgrading its Gas AMI System to an intelligent, integrated,
25 forward-looking next-generation system that: (1) supports energy
26 management and conservation programs; (2) enables customers to
27 participate in demand reduction and customer support programs via near
28 real-time usage data; and (3) enables enhanced safety and operational
29 features.

3 *Id.*

4 PG&E’s original and current Gas AMI System (Gas AMI 1.0 or Gas SmartMeter™) is a one-way communication system that is mainly used for automatically transmitting customer gas usage to the Company’s billing system. Next-generation Gas AMI Systems (Gas AMI 2.0) offer two-way communications that can provide additional functionalities and enhanced safety, operational, and customer service capabilities.

1 **2. Global Marketplace and Technology Assessments**

2 Since PG&E’s original AMI deployment, the Company has continually
3 monitored and kept current with technology and industry trends. For
4 example, PG&E has participated in vendor technology roadmap updates
5 with established Gas AMI suppliers, regularly attended industry
6 conferences, and frequently evaluated newer technologies.

7 PG&E formally conducted an updated global industry and Gas AMI
8 technology assessment with an independent third-party consultant. This
9 assessment evaluated current and evolving technology trends and
10 availability in the United States, Europe, and Asia Pacific.

11 The assessment summarized current options for Gas AMI
12 communications devices and networks with a focus on industry trends. The
13 assessment focused mainly on Gas Modules and excluded large-scale
14 replacements of gas meters themselves. It also included an examination of
15 opportunities to leverage PG&E’s investments in its Itron (previously Silver
16 Spring Networks) Electric AMI network to benefit the Gas AMI system where
17 gas and electric service areas overlap. The assessment focused on
18 maintaining the continuity of the Company’s automated Meter to Cash
19 (MTC) processes⁵ with similar AMI functionality, without requirements to
20 add significant new MTC functionality as part of the project. Finally, the
21 assessment determined that natural gas metering and sensing technology is
22 in the early stages of significant transformation. Accordingly, PG&E’s goal
23 was to select a next-generation Gas AMI network and system that will
24 enable the Company to leverage additional products and capabilities as the
25 transformation occurs.

26 PG&E used the information gathered through the assessment to inform
27 its strategic direction, set business requirements, and develop a path
28 forward for the Company’s Gas AMI Replacement plan. The assessment
29 also provided PG&E with valuable input in the development of an RFP for
30 the competitive bidding phase of the project.

5 “Meter-to-cash” (MTC) refers to the end-to end process from collection of customer usage data at the gas meter through payment of a customer’s monthly bill. In between, the data are transmitted to PG&E’s systems for verification and validation before sending to the billing system for calculation of the monthly bill.

1 **3. Focused Commercial Request for Proposal**

2 PG&E released a Gas AMI 2.0 RFP to five top AMI vendors in
3 North America in the fall of 2021. PG&E selected these vendors for
4 competitive bidding based on their industry experience, deployment footprint
5 in North America, and their ability to scale to PG&E’s potential needs.
6 PG&E also designed the RFP to balance multiple factors, including current
7 and future needs, customer affordability and costs, technology
8 improvements, and market risks.

9 PG&E developed an extensive RFP with hundreds of detailed functional
10 and technical requirements in addition to questions about commercial terms
11 and pricing. PG&E also asked vendors to provide a solution overview,
12 explain how their services and technology aligned with industry standards,
13 confirm the useful life of solution components, and describe enhanced
14 security features (physical and cyber), safety features and other capabilities.
15 The RFP questions were designed to understand the capabilities of
16 comprehensive next-generation solutions including endpoints,
17 communications networks, and back-office operational systems.

18 **4. Comprehensive Evaluation and Selection Process**

19 PG&E assembled a cross-organizational team of technical and
20 functional experts to help assess vendor responses to the RFP. PG&E
21 evaluated the five vendors through multiple rounds over an 18-month period.
22 During this process, PG&E considered the Company’s current and future
23 needs and market risks, including the extent to which products are currently
24 commercially available or planned for future release. The Company also
25 addressed cost constraints and focused on customer affordability to make a
26 final decision. PG&E scored bidders in the following categories:
27 Commercial Terms, Technical, Pricing, Safety, and Supply Chain
28 Responsibility. PG&E then conducted lab and limited field tests on a subset
29 of these vendors’ then-available products to assess their compliance with
30 PG&E requirements. Ultimately, PG&E selected two vendors: its legacy
31 Gas AMI provider (Aclara), and its Electric AMI provider (Itron).

32 PG&E’s vendor selection will enable the Company to accomplish
33 several key objectives, including:

- 1 • Maintain its automated gas energy usage collection, customer data
2 presentment, and customer billing processes;
- 3 • Enable a two-way communication gas network that provides the
4 foundation for the next-generation functionalities (e.g., deployment of
5 future metering products and pull real-time usage data to answer
6 customer billing questions);
- 7 • Implement end-to-end security framework with proper security policies
8 and governance which allows for adequate risk management;
- 9 • Enable deployment of newer grid sensor technologies that can provide
10 enhanced customer, safety, environmental, and operational benefits;
- 11 • Continue testing, piloting, certifying, and deploying future Gas AMI and
12 Metering products as they become available;
- 13 • Leverage and employ the existing Electric AMI communications network
14 in areas where gas and electric service overlap to cost-effectively
15 connect hard-to-reach customer gas service locations;
- 16 • Balance and reduce market risk and sole-source supplier risk;
- 17 • Drive more innovation and competition within the industry; and
18 • Negotiate favorable contracts and pricing.

19 **C. Near-Term AMI Roadmap (2023-2026)**

20 This section presents PG&E’s near-term plan for Gas AMI technology.

21 **1. Maintain Gas AMI and Enable Electric AMI to Support Gas**

22 The rigorous evaluation conducted during the Gas AMI RFP identified
23 Aclara as a cost-effective vendor for PG&E. PG&E plans to continue with
24 Aclara as a vendor, and upgrade to Aclara’s next-generation two-way Gas
25 AMI software platform and field communications network before the original
26 one-way solution becomes obsolete. The upgraded system will support Gas
27 AMI 2.0 technologies like solid-state gas ultrasonic meters (USM) with
28 automatic and remote shutoff capabilities.⁶ The USMs will incorporate
29 Aclara’s integrated network interface card, allowing for two-way
30 communications over the Aclara network. Two-way communications will

6 The American Gas Association (AGA) approved the USM standard titled “ANSI B109.6 Single Path Ultrasonic Gas Meters (Under 1400 Cubic Feet Per Hour Capacity)” and published the standard in January 2024.

1 enable customer benefits by allowing PG&E to: (1) obtain real-time usage
2 data (*i.e.*, on-demand reads) to answer customer billing questions; (2) obtain
3 near real-time alarm and event information from Gas Modules; (3) push
4 firmware updates to Gas Modules to maintain and enhance security and
5 features; and (4) initiate remote shutoff of USMs to leverage safety and
6 operational benefits.

7 PG&E plans to deploy new Gas AMI head-end application software and
8 next-generation network equipment in the near term that will allow PG&E to
9 deploy new two-way communication Gas Modules on existing diaphragm
10 meters and introduce new USMs in the future. These costs are included in
11 Chapter 2 (Section E.4).

12 The Aclara next-generation software and hardware system will support
13 current Aclara Gas Modules, ensuring continuity in customer service as
14 PG&E migrates to Gas AMI 2.0. This will minimize potential customer
15 impacts—such as customer billing and service interruptions. PG&E plans to
16 implement the next-generation gas solution while the current population of
17 battery-operated Gas Modules progressively reach the end of their useful
18 lives.

19 The Gas AMI RFP evaluation process also identified opportunities to
20 leverage PG&E's existing Electric AMI system to support gas metering with
21 minimal investment in the existing Electric AMI communications network.
22 PG&E's near-term plan is to leverage its existing Itron Electric AMI system
23 by enabling gas functionality on the current Itron AMI software platform.
24 This plan will allow PG&E to deploy Itron's Gas Modules on existing
25 diaphragm meters in hard-to-reach customer gas service locations and to
26 selectively deploy Itron's USMs in PG&E's gas and electric dual commodity
27 service territory where it makes economic sense. PG&E expects the
28 benefits associated with connecting gas meters in hard-to-reach locations to
29 offset the incremental cost associated with enabling gas on the Electric AMI
30 system, thus lowering the overall cost to customers.

31 Utilizing two vendors further serves to mitigate single-vendor risks and
32 creates optionality. For example, PG&E will be able to deploy USMs and,
33 potentially, other Gas AMI 2.0 technologies like methane detectors sooner
34 because the Electric AMI system already supports the two-way

1 communication required for these Gas AMI 2.0 technologies.⁷ Due to
2 advancements in vendor technologies, PG&E will continue to monitor
3 industry and technology trends and evaluate the most feasible and
4 cost-effective ways to serve its customers and adjust accordingly.

5 **2. Customer Benefits of Near-Term Strategy**

6 Since its original Gas AMI system deployment in 2006, PG&E has
7 prudently maintained the AMI system, but it remains a one-way
8 communication system. PG&E plans to begin upgrading towards a Gas AMI
9 2.0 system. This future Gas AMI 2.0 will enable secure two-way
10 communication between PG&E and customers' meters as it consists of
11 integrated meter systems and controls, network communications equipment,
12 data processing and management systems.

13 Gas AMI 2.0 capabilities will enable on-demand reads of customer
14 energy consumption, provide additional safety alarms and alerts (e.g., USMs
15 can provide pressure, temperature, flow and seismic alerts, with auto shutoff
16 capabilities), and facilitate over-the-air firmware updates to ensure that
17 endpoint devices remain current for the duration of their useful lives.

18 Finally, PG&E's selection of two vendors for its Gas AMI Replacement
19 provides several customer benefits. Continuing with Aclara as PG&E's Gas
20 AMI supplier is the lowest cost option for customers. In addition, proceeding
21 with two vendors allows PG&E to mitigate single vendor, market, and supply
22 chain risks. PG&E has separate AMI systems for electric and gas. Its
23 Electric AMI system already provides two-way communication and has
24 proven over-the-air software upgrade capabilities and benefits. Moreover,
25 Electric AMI has no battery-enabled field devices. Therefore, PG&E does
26 not expect any major system-wide lifecycle replacement of field assets in its
27 Electric AMI network in the foreseeable future.

⁷ The cost to purchase and fully deploy Gas AMI 2.0 technologies like USMs and methane detectors is not included in this Application. PG&E's near-term plan includes piloting these newer technologies.

1 **D. Long-Term AMI Roadmap (2027 and Beyond)**

2 This section presents PG&E’s current long-term plan for Gas AMI
3 technology which continues to build-on PG&E’s near-term strategy discussed
4 above.

5 **1. Gas AMI 2.0 Two-Way Communication Benefits**

6 The Gas AMI industry is increasingly focused on Gas AMI 2.0
7 technologies as a major evolution. The most promising future benefits
8 require two-way communication, which is a central feature of PG&E’s
9 proposed Gas AMI Technology Roadmap. A two-way communication lays
10 the foundation for many Gas AMI 2.0 capabilities, and includes the following
11 benefits:

- 12 • Customer Benefits: On-demand reads provide customers and the
13 Company with access to more real-time energy usage data to help
14 inform and manage energy supply plans and customer usage.
- 15 • Safety and Environmental Benefits: Secure, automatic or remote shutoff
16 capabilities that may be used in certain instances such as a seismic
17 event (earthquake) or when the Company needs to shut-off gas at
18 customer premises (such as when a customer no longer resides at the
19 premise). The next-generation Gas AMI system also can enable
20 methane detection devices. These capabilities improve safety and
21 reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- 22 • Operational Benefits: Secure over-the-air firmware updates can
23 address programming and maintenance needs without having to send a
24 gas meter technician to perform this function at every individual Gas
25 Module device and customer location. This capability significantly
26 improves operations, reduces unnecessary truck-rolls, and lowers costs.

27 **2. Ultrasonic Meter Pilot Project**

28 Through an advanced gas metering assessment across the United
29 States, Europe, and the Asia Pacific, PG&E gathered detailed data
30 concerning existing and evolving Gas AMI 2.0 technology trends and
31 next-generation product availability. PG&E regularly attends industry
32 conferences, conducts gas utility roadmap meetings and engages with gas
33 vendors on current and future technology roadmaps. Through these

1 engagements, PG&E has observed that ultrasonic meters (USMs) continue
2 to capture the attention of the global gas smart metering markets. One
3 major U.S. manufacturer ceased manufacturing diaphragm meters for the
4 U.S. market in 2021 to focus on manufacturing USMs. Since then, PG&E
5 has seen the cost of diaphragm meters purchased by PG&E increase by
6 29 percent as only two major manufacturers of diaphragm meters remain in
7 the U.S. market.

8 In North America, many large utilities are either planning to deploy or
9 currently deploying USMs. As of May 2023, one major meter manufacturer
10 has shipped more than one million USMs in North America.⁸ Utilities initially
11 have been focused on USM safety benefits, including autonomous shutoff
12 (e.g., when the meter automatically turns off gas flow when temperature,
13 pressure, flow or other factors exceed pre-defined thresholds), as well as
14 potential operational cost savings like reduced truck rolls. The industry also
15 is focused on other USM features such as enabling remote gas shutoff
16 (in response to safety or customer services issues) and methane detection
17 in conjunction with installing separate methane detector devices. At least
18 three utilities with more than one million gas meters in the U.S. and Canada
19 have committed to replacing their diaphragm gas meters with USMs.⁹

20 PG&E currently is piloting USM technology to verify use cases for
21 features such as autonomous shutoff, remote shutoff, and self-diagnostics.
22 The pilots will validate key benefits including increased measurement
23 accuracy of gas usage, monitoring capabilities (e.g., monitoring
24 temperature, pressure and flow and providing alerts if values exceed defined
25 thresholds), remote and autonomous shut-off capabilities for temperature,
26 pressure and flow, and seismic events that can provide significant safety
27 benefits for customers. Additional potential benefits of USMs include
28 streamlining operations and billing, improving customer engagement, and
29 reducing PG&E's environmental footprint.

8 See WP 3-1, "Utility Benchmarking References," Part A1.

9 See WP 3-1, "Utility Benchmarking References," Part A2.

1 **3. Methane Detector Pilot Project**

2 Methane detectors also have garnered much attention throughout the
3 industry. These are separate devices connected to gas meters with the
4 capability to send alarms via a two-way Gas AMI communications network in
5 the case of methane leak events. With these alerts, utilities can take
6 proactive safety actions such as remote gas shut-off, immediately
7 dispatching a gas service representative to the customer’s premise or
8 scheduling an appointment with the customer for a service call. These
9 devices typically are installed in enclosed spaces like garages, basements,
10 and meter rooms. As of the end of 2022, at least one large U.S. utility has
11 installed more than 162,000 of these devices with a main driver to identify
12 and remediate potentially serious safety conditions.¹⁰ PG&E currently is
13 conducting a limited pilot of this technology.¹¹

14 **4. New Metering Certifications**

15 The Gas AMI industry also is focused on new Gas AMI 2.0 technologies
16 like USMs and methane detectors as the next devices to certify. PG&E has
17 already conducted rigorous lab tests and evaluations of these technologies.
18 Additionally, vendors continue to release new Gas Modules, including
19 Gas Modules for large industrial customers that require certification. PG&E
20 will continue to work with its vendors as new products are released.

21 **5. Future Customer Benefits**

22 PG&E continues to lead meetings and benchmarking sessions with
23 industry leaders to identify and evaluate additional customer benefits of Gas
24 AMI 2.0 technologies. PG&E is confident that the Gas AMI technologies
25 and related metering devices selected are prudent choices that will provide
26 benefits to PG&E’s customers and the Company now and will serve as a
27 robust Gas AMI System for future initiatives. PG&E will evaluate these
28 initiatives focused on additional safety features, reducing the environmental
29 footprint related to greenhouse gas emissions, improving the Company’s
30 operational capabilities, and increasing customer affordability.

¹⁰ See WP 3-1, "Utility Benchmarking References," Part B1.

¹¹ The costs of the Methane Detector Pilot are not included in this Application.

1 **E. Conclusion**

2 This chapter demonstrates that PG&E’s Gas AMI 2.0 Technology Roadmap
3 will benefit customers now and into the future by improving safety capabilities,
4 business operations, operational efficiencies, customer engagement, and
5 customer satisfaction, while further reducing sole source supplier and market
6 risks. To capture these benefits, Gas AMI 2.0 communications network and
7 network control and management software must be in place. These costs
8 (summarized in Chapter 2, Section E.4) are reasonable and should be
9 approved.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 4
PRUDENCY OF MANAGEMENT OF AMI 1.0

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 4
PRUDENCY OF MANAGEMENT OF AMI 1.0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Introduction.....	4-1
B. Early Large-Scale Adoption of SmartMeter™ in California.....	4-1
1. PG&E’s RFP and Vendor Selection	4-2
2. PG&E Conducted a Field Pilot Before Deployment of the Gas AMI 1.0 System	4-3
3. PG&E Secured an Extended Supplier Warranty for Customers.....	4-3
4. PG&E’s Regular Reporting to the Commission and Parties Throughout Its Gas AMI Deployment.....	4-4
C. Oversight and Management Following AMI 1.0 Deployment.....	4-5
1. Quality Assurance Practices	4-5
2. Gas Module Replacement Strategies.....	4-5
a. Remaining Life Statistical Model Projections for Gas Modules.....	4-6
3. Gas Module Warranty Returns Program	4-7
a. Extended Range Module Replacements	4-7
b. Standard Gas Module Returns	4-8
c. Warranty Credits Offset in This Application	4-8
4. AMI Vendors Reselected for Next-Generation Products.....	4-8
D. Conclusion.....	4-9

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **CHAPTER 4**
3 **PRUDENCY OF MANAGEMENT OF AMI 1.0**

4 **A. Introduction**

5 This chapter demonstrates that Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E or
6 the Company) acted prudently in installing and maintaining its first-generation
7 Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure (Gas AMI 1.0 or SmartMeter™),
8 consistent with the authorization provided by the California Public Utilities
9 Commission (CPUC or Commission). PG&E, now and throughout the
10 deployment of its AMI Program, has worked to protect its customers from undue
11 risk, and balanced customer experience and cost when replacing end-of-life Gas
12 Modules.

13 **B. Early Large-Scale Adoption of SmartMeter™ in California**

14 The Commission first evaluated and approved PG&E's SmartMeter™
15 deployment in 2006, finding the program just and reasonable in
16 Decision (D.) 06-07-027. Specifically, the Commission found that:

17 PG&E's proposal has sufficient probable and quantifiable economic
18 operating and demand response benefits now, including sufficient flexibility
19 to up-grade for enhanced features, over the expected 20-year useful life.¹

20 At the time that PG&E filed its SmartMeter™ deployment application (2005),
21 SmartMeter™ technology was a new and innovative approach to serving utility
22 customers. No other utility had attempted to deploy an advanced metering
23 program on such a large scale, rendering it impossible to project the useful life of
24 each part of the new system with certainty.

25 The CPUC recognized this when it first approved PG&E's widespread
26 deployment of Gas AMI, noting that:

27 Although PG&E expects the system to remain in service for 20 years, **only**
28 **time will tell whether there will be significant unforeseen**
29 **developments—good or bad—that may lead to an earlier or later**
30 **replacement of the AMI system.**²

1 D.06-07-027, p. 10.

2 D.06-07-027, pp. 27-28 (emphasis added).

1 Accordingly, PG&E took extensive action to prudently address and mitigate
2 uncertainty and risk, from the initial Gas AMI 1.0 Request for Proposal (RFP)
3 process, through the deployment and installation of the Gas AMI 1.0 System, to
4 securing an extended warranty from its Gas AMI supplier, and through the
5 post-installation management of the system and warranties. These steps are
6 addressed in this chapter.³

7 **1. PG&E’s RFP and Vendor Selection**

8 PG&E selected its Gas AMI 1.0 vendors and products after performing a
9 detailed, extensive RFP and evaluation process that included 77 proposals,
10 with at least five specifically related to Gas Modules. In connection with the
11 RFP, PG&E required these vendors to demonstrate that their proposed
12 technologies had been tested, could be deployed at the scale required by
13 PG&E, and had a proven track record of reliability (even if on a smaller
14 scale). PG&E developed a detailed evaluation and selection process to
15 consider various risk considerations, including product maturity, vendors’
16 experience in AMI deployments, and the products vendors had used for
17 other utilities’ AMI installations.

18 PG&E performed detailed product assessments with each of the
19 vendors involved in the RFP, reviewing extensive details about the products,
20 including designs, raw materials, and manufacturing processes. In addition,
21 PG&E visited manufacturing sites to evaluate these vendors’ quality
22 assurance procedures. PG&E also evaluated studies of the estimated
23 meter module battery life and overall expected useful product life.⁴

24 At the time of PG&E’s Gas AMI 1.0 Application, several utilities in the
25 United States had begun to deploy AMI technology, though on a much
26 smaller scale. PG&E’s Gas AMI technology evaluation and vendor selection
27 process involved consultation with other utilities and consultants who had
28 direct experience with AMI implementations.

³ See Appendix B, Glossary of Key Terms, for additional explanations of terminology used in this chapter.

⁴ See WP 4-1, “Product Adoption Protocol,” which includes an example of a recent Gas Module standard asset management and product evaluation process implemented by PG&E.

1 **2. PG&E Conducted a Field Pilot Before Deployment of the Gas AMI**

2 **1.0 System**

3 Before starting its full deployment of the Gas AMI 1.0 System, PG&E
4 conducted a months-long field pilot in Vacaville, California. The pilot
5 included the installation of more than 2,650 gas and 2,350 electric AMI
6 devices at customer homes and businesses. PG&E engaged International
7 Business Machines (IBM)—a highly experienced system integrator—to
8 design many of the tests and perform multiple testing protocols. IBM had
9 experience working with AMI systems and operated a state-of-the-art,
10 scalable lab through which they performed and evaluated high volumes of
11 tests. PG&E also performed multiple tests: unit testing, factory acceptance
12 testing, system acceptance testing, and quality assurance testing upon each
13 shipment that it received from its AMI vendors. In addition to confirming the
14 viability of the field devices, PG&E evaluated and confirmed many aspects
15 of the installation process, materials handling, software, and systems
16 operations during the pilot period. PG&E also studied meter module
17 installation methodologies. Further, PG&E evaluated any inadvertent billing
18 exceptions or billing inquiries that resulted from meter exchange
19 transactions.

20 Through the field pilot, PG&E demonstrated that the products and
21 related software met the Company’s criteria for proceeding to contract with
22 the vendors that PG&E had selected through the RFP.

23 **3. PG&E Secured an Extended Supplier Warranty for Customers**

24 PG&E secured a warranty from its Gas Module supplier to support any
25 product claims that might arise over the expected product life. At the time
26 that PG&E entered the contract, it was (to PG&E’s knowledge) the longest
27 warranty ever secured in the industry, far exceeding the typical one to
28 three-year warranties that PG&E had found other utilities had negotiated.

29 It was—and remains PG&E’s view—that such an extended warranty
30 represented a significant, reasonable, and practical way to manage and
31 mitigate the risks of product failure, particularly in light of what PG&E could
32 know about SmartMeter™ technology in that timeframe. The negotiated
33 warranty provided PG&E with a credit for the remaining value of an installed
34 Gas Module after taking into account the number of years that the Gas

1 Module provided effective service. For example, if a Gas Module
2 experienced a product-related failure after 17 years in service (i.e., after a
3 customer benefited from its use for 17 years), then PG&E maintained a
4 residual warranty covering the value for the remainder of the 20-year
5 warranty term, i.e., the last three years of the Gas Module’s projected
6 20-year life.⁵

7 **4. PG&E’s Regular Reporting to the Commission and Parties Throughout**
8 **Its Gas AMI Deployment**

9 PG&E responsibly managed the deployment of its Gas AMI system,
10 consistent with practices that PG&E, intervenors, and the Commission
11 developed through PG&E’s original Gas AMI case and that the Commission
12 ordered in D.06-07-027. Specifically, from 2006-2013, PG&E:

13 (a) monitored advances in AMI technology, (b) conducted assessments of
14 AMI system operating performance based on performance criteria
15 established in consultation with the Commission’s Energy Division and the
16 Division of Rate Payer Advocates (DRA) (now known as the Public
17 Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission, or
18 Cal Advocates), and (c) assessed the system’s ability to provide near
19 real-time usage data, and customer interest in receiving such data.⁶ In
20 addition, PG&E filed semi-annual reports to keep the Commission’s Energy
21 Division, Cal Advocates, and other parties to Application (A.) 05-06-028
22 informed of AMI deployment and AMI product performance.⁷ PG&E’s
23 semi-annual assessments:

24 ...include[d] general information on advances in metering technology
25 and infrastructure with specific information, when available, on
26 (1) meter/meter module reliability, (as well as) (2) meter/meter module
27 costs and performance....⁸

5 For example, see confidential WP 4-2, “Gas Module Warranty Discount Schedule.”

6 PG&E, intervenors, and the CPUC developed this forward-looking management regimen in PG&E’s original Gas AMI case.

7 See, for example, A.05-06-028, Fourteenth Semi-Annual Assessment Report on the Deployment of its AMI Program and Fourteenth Quarterly Report on the implementation progress of its SmartMeter™ Program Upgrade (Dec. 19, 2014), p. 19.

8 D.06-07-027, p. 58.

1 PG&E also semi-annually conferred with representatives of the Energy
2 Division and Cal Advocates to discuss the scope of topics to be addressed
3 and the metrics by which the Gas AMI system was to be assessed.

4 **C. Oversight and Management Following AMI 1.0 Deployment**

5 Since the completion of PG&E’s AMI deployment in 2013, PG&E has
6 instituted significant, effective asset management practices to mitigate Supplier
7 Quality Assurance (SQA) risks. PG&E has sought to reduce costs to customers
8 associated with these risks, including continuously monitoring Gas Module
9 performance and regularly coordinating with suppliers to review performance
10 trends, conduct root cause failure analyses, and implement effective solutions to
11 identified challenges.

12 **1. Quality Assurance Practices**

13 PG&E’s SQA Department performs critical AMI product quality oversight
14 to identify, prevent, and reduce risks associated with defective materials
15 originating from the supply chain. PG&E’s SQA utilizes rigorous,
16 industry-accepted quality assurance standards to ensure that suppliers have
17 the necessary internal processes and controls in place to manufacture and
18 deliver materials that meet PG&E’s high quality and minimal defect
19 requirements. PG&E’s quality assurance processes include testing to
20 identify defects prior to releasing new inventory into the field. Testing
21 includes out-of-box visual inspections and comprehensive product quality,
22 performance, and reliability tests. PG&E also conducts periodic SQA
23 reviews at the supplier’s Gas Module manufacturing facilities to validate
24 supplier adherence to industry standards. Enforcing these rigorous
25 standards ensures that PG&E’s equipment is safe and reliable. By
26 identifying defects early, the Company eliminates potential maintenance and
27 repair activities, reducing costs for customers.⁹

28 **2. Gas Module Replacement Strategies**

29 As discussed in Chapter 2, in addition to PG&E’s continued replacement
30 of Gas Modules after failure (Required Maintenance), the Company
31 implemented a focused programmatic Gas Module replacement program in

9 See WP 4-3, “Gas AMI Supplier Quality Program” for additional information regarding PG&E’s Supplier Quality Assurance Program.

1 select geographic areas to realize efficiencies and economies of scale that
2 increased productivity and lowered costs (Lifecycle Replacement).
3 Additionally, PG&E implemented a program to efficiently identify failed Gas
4 Modules that qualify for warranty coverage and to process the resulting
5 warranty claims with the supplier (Warranty Returns Program). This process
6 includes root-cause failure analysis in appropriate cases. The Company
7 also completed a program under the warranty in which the supplier replaced
8 extended range Gas Modules that had experienced particularly high early
9 failure rates (Supplier Warranty Replacements). In 2023, PG&E completed
10 a comprehensive Gas AMI technology RFP and developed a Gas AMI
11 technology roadmap to address the Company's and its customers' current
12 and future needs. PG&E's actions regarding its Gas AMI Remaining Life
13 Statistical Model, Gas Module Warranty Returns Program, including the
14 Supplier Extended Range Warranty Module Replacement Project, and
15 vendor selection for next-generation AMI technology are further detailed
16 below.

17 **a. Remaining Life Statistical Model Projections for Gas Modules**

18 In 2015, PG&E's supplier advised that some of its Gas Modules
19 might fail earlier than their projected 20-year life. PG&E promptly took
20 action to protect its customers, including engaging with the supplier on
21 the problem, monitoring and assessing early Gas Module failure rates,
22 performing failure rate studies, expanding quality assurance product
23 testing, conducting field-based root cause analyses, replacing failed Gas
24 Modules, activating the Supplier Warranty Program, and monitoring the
25 AMI technology marketplace.¹⁰

26 PG&E monitored failure rates to make a data-informed decision on
27 whether it would be more cost-effective to proactively replace Gas
28 Modules on a lifecycle basis based on their expected end-of-life, rather
29 than after they fail. PG&E retained a third-party consultant, Exponent, a
30 leading engineering consulting firm, to perform failure rate analyses and
31 to assess the remaining life of installed legacy standard range Gas

¹⁰ PG&E also notified the Commission and parties to the risk of earlier-than-expected Gas Module failure in 2018 when it filed its 2020 GRC. A.18-12-009, HE-91: Exhibit (PG&E-6), p. 6-16, line 9 to p. 6-17, line 23.

1 Modules (3.4 million as of June 2020). Using data from field-removed
2 Gas Modules and applying a statistical model, the consultant forecasted
3 how long PG&E’s installed legacy standard range Gas Modules likely
4 would remain in service. Exponent updated its failure rate statistical
5 model study to analyze failure rates on a geographic basis (i.e., by
6 division) within PG&E’s service area.¹¹

7 **3. Gas Module Warranty Returns Program**

8 PG&E worked with the supplier to establish and implement two distinct
9 warranty programs for products that reached their end-of-life prior to the
10 20-year supplier warranty: one for extended range Gas Modules and
11 one for standard range Gas Modules. These programs as described below
12 have enabled the Company to realize warranty claims on behalf of its
13 customers, which significantly reduced the cost of replacing failed Gas
14 Modules.

15 **a. Extended Range Module Replacements**

16 In 2018, PG&E and its Gas AMI supplier agreed that PG&E could
17 elect to have its supplier replace all the remaining legacy extended
18 range Gas Modules at the supplier’s cost. In addition, PG&E’s supplier
19 agreed that it would provide warranty credits to cover PG&E’s
20 replacement of any extended range Gas Modules that failed before they
21 could be replaced by the supplier. PG&E largely completed replacing
22 the legacy extended range Gas Modules in 2023 at the supplier’s cost,
23 significantly lowering the overall costs of Gas Module Replacement for
24 customers. PG&E plans to replace the remaining approximately
25 18,000 extended range Gas Modules at the supplier’s cost as part of
26 this program.¹² The second-generation extended range Gas Modules
27 will follow the enhanced electronic return process described above.

¹¹ See Chapter 2, Section D, “Updated End-of-Life Study and Projections for Gas Modules,” for more information on the failure forecast modeling.

¹² As of December 31, 2023, approximately 18,000 extended range Gas Modules remain. See WP 2-9, “Extended Range Warranty Replacements.”

1 **b. Standard Gas Module Returns**

2 PG&E actively pursued and resolved claims with its Gas Module
3 supplier on customers' behalf. In 2022, PG&E and its supplier settled
4 warranty claims for legacy standard range Gas Modules. Additionally,
5 PG&E and its supplier agreed to an enhanced electronic warranty return
6 process for the second-generation Gas Modules to streamline the return
7 and evaluation process and reduce operational costs.¹³ PG&E's
8 current forecast incorporates the amount of the supplier warranty
9 compensation for both legacy and second-generation standard range
10 Gas Modules.¹⁴

11 **c. Warranty Credits Offset in This Application**

12 PG&E has received a substantial benefit from the Gas Module
13 supplier for legacy Gas Modules, significantly reducing PG&E's forecast
14 in this Application.¹⁵

15 **4. AMI Vendors Reselected for Next-Generation Products**

16 As discussed in Chapter 3, PG&E selected its Gas AMI 1.0 vendor to
17 continue supplying Gas AMI products and services. In addition, PG&E
18 selected its current Electric AMI vendor to mitigate risk by providing a
19 secondary supplier that has demonstrated to be equally capable of
20 delivering Gas AMI products and services on a cost-effective basis.¹⁶

21 PG&E plans to upgrade to a next-generation Gas AMI System
22 (Gas AMI 2.0) that will leverage currently available and emerging AMI
23 metering technologies with additional safety, operational, and customer

13 PG&E and its supplier have improved the original supplier warranty return process, reducing manual processes and leveraging data analytics.

14 See confidential WP 4-4, "Supplier Warranty Valuation in Application."

15 Total warranty benefits are detailed in confidential WP 4-5, "Supplier Warranty and Settlement Valuation." A summary description of the settlement can be referenced in confidential WP 4-6, "Supplier Settlement Summary."

16 PG&E has separate AMI Systems for providing Gas and Electric services. While its current one-way Gas AMI system will need to be replaced to prevent obsolescence, the Company does not currently expect its Electric AMI system will require any substantial systemwide lifecycle replacement in the foreseeable future. PG&E's Electric AMI is a two-way communicating system. The Electric SmartMeter™ devices are not battery-operated and have built-in network interface cards that facilitate communication capabilities from the meter.

1 service capabilities. For instance, the Gas AMI 2.0 system has the potential
2 to provide alerts associated with pressure, temperature, flow and seismic
3 events with automatic shutoff capabilities. This next-generation Gas AMI
4 system also can enable methane detection devices. Furthermore, the Gas
5 AMI system can provide on-demand reads of customer energy consumption
6 as well as over-the-air firmware updates.¹⁷

7 **D. Conclusion**

8 PG&E has acted prudently in deploying and managing its Gas AMI 1.0
9 System. Smart metering was a new technology that has laid the foundation for
10 significant utility advances and PG&E successfully managed its comprehensive
11 deployment. Since discovering that some Gas Modules fail earlier than
12 expected, PG&E has proactively analyzed the issue, managed its response to
13 protect customers, and has held its supplier accountable, significantly reducing
14 customers' costs.

¹⁷ See Chapter 3 for further discussion of the capabilities and benefits of the Gas AMI 2.0 two-way communication system.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

CHAPTER 5

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 5
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Introduction.....	5-1
B. Summary of Request.....	5-1
C. Elements of the RO Calculation	5-2
1. Expenses	5-2
2. Capital-Related Inputs.....	5-2
a. Capital Expenditures	5-2
b. Capital Additions.....	5-2
D. Cost of Removal and Gross Salvage.....	5-3
1. Capital Revenue Requirement Components.....	5-3
a. Depreciation	5-3
b. Rate of Return on Rate Base.....	5-4
c. Income Tax.....	5-5
1) FIT Depreciation and CCFT Adjustment	5-6
2) FIT and CCFT Repair Deduction.....	5-6
3) FIT and CCFT Capitalized Software Adjustment.....	5-6
d. Property Taxes	5-7
E. Common Cost Allocation	5-7
F. Cost Recovery.....	5-7
G. Conclusion.....	5-8

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 5
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

A. Introduction

This chapter describes Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s (PG&E) 2023-2026 revenue requirements for its Comprehensive Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) Replacement Program. The revenue requirements for the Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program are calculated using methods approved by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) and should be adopted.

B. Summary of Request

PG&E calculated the revenue requirements for 2023 through 2026 using the mini-Results of Operations (RO) model. The mini-RO model compiles all capital costs and expenses as presented in Chapter 2 to calculate the revenue requirements that PG&E needs to recover for work presented in the Application, the elements of which are further described in Section C of this testimony.

The total revenue requirement for the Gas AMI Replacement Program in the period 2023-2026 is \$143.3 million, including Revenue Fees & Uncollectible (RF&U). It was calculated based on a total of \$485.1 million in capital expenditures and \$11.7 million in operating expenses in PG&E’s cost forecast presented in Chapter 2.

Table 5-1 presents the revenue requirements for 2023-2026 associated with the Gas AMI Replacement Program using the methodology and assumptions described in this section.

TABLE 5-1
REVENUE REQUIREMENTS (RRQ) INCLUDING RF&U
(WHOLE DOLLARS)

Line No.	Description	2023	2024	2025	2026	2023-2026 Total
1	Capital Revenue Requirement	\$7,417,061	\$23,463,311	\$41,200,287	\$59,320,609	\$131,401,268
2	Expense Revenue Requirement	1,824,395	2,939,922	3,142,676	3,953,689	11,860,682
3	Total RRQ (including RF&U)	\$9,241,456	\$26,403,233	\$44,342,963	\$63,274,298	\$143,261,950

1 Table 5-3 at the end of this chapter presents the revenue requirement by
2 functional area.

3 **C. Elements of the RO Calculation**

4 **1. Expenses**

5 In this Application, PG&E seeks to recover a total expense revenue
6 requirement of \$11.9 million including RF&U, for the Gas AMI Replacement
7 Program costs presented in Chapter 2. This amount is associated with
8 project management and outreach, Contact Center and billing support, and
9 system upgrades as described in Chapter 2.

10 **2. Capital-Related Inputs**

11 **a. Capital Expenditures**

12 Capital expenditures are incurred when PG&E spends funds on
13 capital projects that are necessary to install new utility plant or replace
14 its existing utility plant. This Application includes \$485.1 million of
15 capital expenditures from 2023-2026 for the Gas AMI Replacement
16 Program.

17 **b. Capital Additions**

18 As capital work is performed, the capital expenditures, net of
19 removal costs, are accumulated and recorded to Construction Work in
20 Progress (CWIP) until the project is operational and providing utility
21 service. While in CWIP, projects that last over 30 days accrue an
22 Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC). Projects that
23 last less than 30 days do not accrue AFUDC and are treated as
24 “operative as installed.” When a specific capital project becomes
25 operational, the CWIP balance is transferred to plant-in-service, and the
26 capital expenditures and associated AFUDC become part of capital
27 additions. Once a project is transferred to plant-in-service, it is included
28 in rate base and a revenue requirement is calculated.

29 The capital projects associated with the installation of Gas Meters,
30 Gas Modules, and Gas Communication Equipment (also referred to in
31 this chapter as Gas Data Collection Units (DCU)) are forecasted to be
32 less than 30 days and treated as “operative as installed.” The Gas AMI
33 System Upgrade described further in Chapter 3 is forecasted to be

1 operative in the first quarter of 2025 (Itron-related costs) and 2027
2 (Aclara-related costs). The 2023-2026 forecast capital additions
3 associated with the 2023-2026 capital expenditures for the installation of
4 Gas Meters, Gas Modules, and Gas Communication Equipment, and
5 the Gas AMI System Upgrade are \$452.6 million and \$12.0 million,
6 respectively.

7 **D. Cost of Removal and Gross Salvage**

8 The portion of capital expenditures associated with the retirement of existing
9 assets known as removal cost is recorded in accumulated depreciation (AD),
10 which decreases the amount of AD in rate base. Gross salvage generally refers
11 to any value received for retired plant and increases the amount of AD in rate
12 base. In this application, there is no forecast cost of removal or gross salvage
13 associated with the forecast capital expenditures or retired plant.

14 **1. Capital Revenue Requirement Components**

15 CPUC Resolution E-3238 provides that “in addition to direct expenses,
16 utilities could also book capital-related costs such as depreciation and return
17 on capitalized additions.” Consistent with this resolution, PG&E’s
18 capital-related revenue requirement includes depreciation expense, a return
19 on rate base, related federal and state income taxes, and property taxes.
20 The various capital-related components of the RO calculation are discussed
21 below.

22 In this Application, PG&E seeks recovery of a total capital-related
23 revenue requirement of \$131.4 million including RF&U. The total capital
24 revenue amount is associated with the forecast capital expenditures of
25 \$464.6¹ million.

26 **a. Depreciation**

27 Depreciation is included in the revenue requirement calculation, as
28 both depreciation expense and through AD, a component of rate base.
29 Depreciation expense forecast is calculated using the straight-line,
30 remaining-life method (in accordance with the Commission’s Standard

1 Excludes \$20.5 million associated with the Aclara Information Technology (IT) project that will be operative in Q1 2027, which is outside the cost recovery period of this Application.

1 Practice U-4, Determination of Straight-Line Remaining Life
 2 Depreciation Accruals) using Commission-approved rates from
 3 depreciation accrual rate schedules effective during the period for which
 4 the revenue requirement calculations are made. Depreciation expense
 5 forecast is calculated by multiplying the forecasted end of month plant in
 6 service balance by the corresponding book depreciation rates.

7 In this Application, PG&E used the depreciation rates adopted in
 8 PG&E's General Rate Case (GRC) for each asset type. See below
 9 table for each type of asset associated with its corresponding 2023 GRC
 10 Decision (D.) 23-11-069 adopted depreciation rate.

**TABLE 5-2
 DEPRECIATION RATE BY ASSET TYPE**

Line No.	Asset	Asset Class	Depreciation Rate
1	Gas Meters	GDP38100	4.99%
2	Gas Modules/DCUs	GGP39708	8.28%
3	IT Equipment – Hardware	CMP39102	2.06%
4	IT Equipment – Software	CMP30302	17.19%

11 **b. Rate of Return on Rate Base**

12 The forecasted rate base is calculated using utility plant less
 13 adjustments for deferred taxes, depreciation reserve, and other rate
 14 base components. Utility plant consists of the forecast cost of
 15 investment in plant and equipment for rendering utility services. In
 16 developing the forecasted rate base associated with utility plant for
 17 purposes of this filing, certain deductions are made. A reduction is
 18 made for the accumulated deferred income taxes associated with these
 19 assets. These deferred income taxes primarily result from the Modified
 20 Accelerated Cost Recovery System (MACRS) tax depreciation method.
 21 Rate base is also reduced by the amount of depreciation reserve (i.e.,
 22 the AD already taken in prior years).

23 PG&E multiplied the currently adopted composite Rate of Return
 24 (ROR) of 7.28 percent by the weighted average rate base forecast for
 25 each year to calculate the Net for Return. This calculation uses the
 26 ROR and capital structure adopted in PG&E's 2023 authorized Cost of

1 Capital (COC) decision² for years 2023, 2025, and 2026. For the year
2 2024, PG&E uses the increased ROR of 7.80 percent which was
3 authorized following the adoption of Advice Letter (AL) 4813-G/7046-E³
4 (COC Formula Adjustment Mechanism) approving the increased ROR in
5 2024 pursuant to D.08-05-035.⁴ PG&E will update the return on rate
6 base if the Commission authorizes a new COC in a future COC
7 proceeding or if a new AL is issued pursuant to D.08-05-035.

8 **c. Income Tax**

9 This section describes the calculation of the forecasted Federal
10 Income Tax (FIT) and the associated deferred FIT and California
11 Corporation Franchise Taxes (CCFT or state income tax) expenses.

12 PG&E estimates current FIT and CCFT on net operating income
13 before income taxes. PG&E follows MACRS and Asset Depreciation
14 Range⁵ guidelines for classifying capital additions and calculating
15 federal and state tax depreciation. Current FIT expense forecast is the
16 product of the currently effective corporate income tax rate (21 percent)
17 and forecasted federal taxable income. Likewise, current state income
18 tax expense is the product of the statutory rate (8.84 percent) and the
19 forecasted state taxable income. The following tax adjustments are
20 made to pre-tax book income and are common to the development of
21 the federal and CCFT taxable income.

2 D.23-01-002.

3 PG&E AL 4813-G/7046-E (Dec. 22, 2023), p. 4.

4 D.08-05-035, pp. 21-22, Ordering Paragraph 2.

5 Uses Sum of Years Digits method.

1 **1) FIT Depreciation and CCFT Adjustment**

2 Federal MACRS deductions are computed on a normalized
3 basis. This allows PG&E to recognize the timing differences
4 between book and federal tax deductions. This difference multiplied
5 by the federal tax rate is called deferred FITs and is included as an
6 adjustment to current federal tax expense and the deferred FITs is
7 credited to rate base. State income taxes are calculated using
8 flowthrough treatment. With a flowthrough treatment, customers
9 receive an immediate benefit from the use of accelerated state tax
10 deductions; there are no deferred state taxes and therefore no
11 associated deduction to rate base.

12 **2) FIT and CCFT Repair Deduction**

13 Certain capital expenditures may qualify for the tax repair
14 deductions. Both Federal and California tax repair deductions are
15 treated on a flowthrough basis. In this proceeding, the Gas AMI
16 Replacement Program is ineligible for tax repair deductions due to
17 major component of replacement per the Internal Revenue Code
18 (IRC) guidelines.

19 **3) FIT and CCFT Capitalized Software Adjustment**

20 IRC Section 174 and Revenue Procedure 2000-50 provide that
21 a certain portion of the costs of qualifying self-developed software
22 may be deducted currently. IRC Section 167(f) generally requires
23 taxpayers to capitalize and depreciate purchased software. For
24 financial reporting purposes, software development costs are
25 generally capitalized and depreciated over the software's book life,
26 resulting in a tax and book timing difference. Under the federal
27 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA), Section 174 software
28 development costs paid or incurred in tax years beginning after
29 December 31, 2021 are required to be capitalized and amortized
30 over five years for FIT purposes. However, this post-2021 TCJA
31 adjustment to require capitalization does not apply for state tax
32 purposes. PG&E has followed this rule in calculating the FIT and
33 CCFT associated with the IT capital expenditure in this application.

1 **d. Property Taxes**

2 Property tax calculations are determined by multiplying the
3 forecasted taxable Plant Less Depreciation (Net Plant) by the composite
4 property tax factor. The composite property tax factor is based on
5 PG&E's 2023 GRC levelized average property factor for 2023 through
6 2026. The property tax factor is composed of the adjusted base year
7 (recorded 2020) market to cost ratio multiplied by the composite tax
8 rate. The adjusted market to cost ratio is the relationship between the
9 most current assessment (adjusted) and the taxable Net Plant.

10 **E. Common Cost Allocation**

11 D.23-11-069 adopted a methodology of allocating certain common, general,
12 and intangible (CGI) costs among other functional areas within PG&E. In this
13 Application, the Gas Modules and Gas AMI System Upgrade capital costs are
14 considered CGI costs and subject to common cost allocation. Similar to PG&E's
15 practice adopted in its 2023 GRC, these costs are allocated to different
16 functional areas (Electric Distribution, Gas Distribution, Electric Generation, Gas
17 Transmission & Storage and Electric Transmission) using the authorized
18 Operations & Maintenance (O&M) labor allocation factors adopted in
19 D.23-11-069. The revenue requirement presented in this chapter for years
20 2023-2026 incorporates the allocation of the CGI portion of the revenue
21 requirement into the separate functional areas under CPUC jurisdiction (all
22 functional areas, excluding FERC-jurisdictional Electric Transmission) based on
23 2023 GRC adopted O&M labor allocation factors. Gas meters capital costs are
24 not considered CGI plant. The revenue requirement related to gas meters
25 capital costs and the O&M expense are included in the Gas Distribution
26 functional area only.

27 **F. Cost Recovery**

28 PG&E proposes to recover a total revenue requirement of \$143.3 million
29 (including RF&U) for the Gas AMI Replacement Program costs presented in
30 Chapter 2. In this proceeding, the capital revenue requirement covers 2023
31 through 2026. PG&E proposes to roll the forecast capital additions and plant
32 associated with the Gas AMI Replacement Program capital expenditures into its
33 2027 GRC Application.

1 The revenue requirement calculation in this filing includes RF&U and
2 excludes Interest. Upon the CPUC approval of the cost recovery in this
3 application, the revenue requirement associated with the approved costs in this
4 filing will be posted monthly into the specific revenue adjustment mechanisms as
5 described in Chapter 6, and will include interest.

6 PG&E's final cost recovery will include the interest expense based on the
7 applicable interest rates, timing of the decision and the approved cost recovery.
8 PG&E will accrue interest associated with the authorized revenue requirement
9 based on the latest available interest rates, consistent with the Commission
10 approved preliminary statement, which states:

11 [] Interest rate on three-month Commercial Paper for the previous month, as
12 reported in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release, G.13, or its successor.

13 Additional details on cost recovery are provided in Chapter 6, Cost
14 Recovery.

15 **G. Conclusion**

16 PG&E respectfully requests that the Commission adopt a total revenue
17 requirement of \$143.3 million (including RF&U) for the Gas AMI Replacement
18 Program costs presented in Chapter 2. The revenue requirement set forth in
19 this Application was calculated using the RO model for separately funded rate
20 applications and was based on the forecast costs presented in Chapter 2. The
21 detailed revenue requirement calculation is provided in the workpapers
22 supporting this chapter.⁶

⁶ See WP 5-1, "CGI RRQ Allocation", WP 5-2, "Gas Module and IT RO Model" and WP 5-3, "Gas Meter and O&M RO Model."

TABLE 5-3
REVENUE REQUIREMENT – SUMMATION OF ALL YEARS (2023-2026)
(THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

Line No.	Account	Electric Distribution	Electric Generation	Gas Distribution	Gas Transmission & Gas Storage	Total Functional Areas
		(2023-2026)	(2023-2026)	(2023-2026)	(2023-2026)	(2023-2026)
		Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
1	Gas AMI Module Capital & IT Capital	\$46,419	\$14,988	\$24,525	\$11,689	\$97,620
2	Gas Meter Capital	–	–	33,781	–	33,781
3	Operating Expenses	–	–	11,861	–	11,861
4	Total RRQ (including RFU)	\$46,419	\$14,988	\$70,167	\$11,689	\$143,262

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

CHAPTER 6

COST RECOVERY

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
CHAPTER 6
COST RECOVERY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Introduction..... 6-1

B. Cost Recovery 6-1

 1. Summary of Costs..... 6-1

 2. Memorandum Account..... 6-2

 3. Recovery of Functional Revenue Requirements..... 6-3

 a. Existing Revenue Adjustment Mechanisms to Be Used to
 Recover Gas AMI Replacement Program Adopted Revenue
 Requirements 6-3

C. Conclusion..... 6-7

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **CHAPTER 6**
3 **COST RECOVERY**

4 **A. Introduction**

5 This chapter presents Pacific Gas and Electric Company’s (PG&E) proposal
6 for tracking, recording, and recovering the costs of PG&E’s Comprehensive Gas
7 Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) Replacement Program.

8 PG&E’s expense and capital expenditures forecasts for the Gas AMI
9 Replacement Program are set forth in Chapter 2. Adoption of PG&E’s cost
10 recovery proposal presented in this chapter will assure timely recovery of the
11 reasonable costs of the Gas AMI Replacement Program.

12 In summary, PG&E requests that the California Public Utilities Commission
13 (CPUC or the Commission):

- 14 • Approve PG&E’s contemporaneously-filed motion to establish the Advanced
15 Metering Infrastructure Memorandum Accounts (AMIMA) and authorize
16 PG&E to track and record its actual revenue requirements for its costs from
17 January 1, 2023 through the effective date of the final decision on this
18 Application.
- 19 • Authorize PG&E to recover all amounts recorded to the AMIMAs through the
20 next available rate change or the next Annual Electric True-Up (AET) and
21 Annual Gas True-Up (AGT) following the Commission’s decision on this
22 Application.
- 23 • Authorize PG&E to recover through rates on a forecast basis the adopted
24 revenue requirements from 2024 through 2026.

25 **B. Cost Recovery**

26 **1. Summary of Costs**

27 PG&E requests authorization to recover \$143.3 million in total
28 2023-2026 revenue requirements, of which \$11.9 million is expense revenue
29 requirement and \$131.4 million is capital revenue requirement as described
30 in Chapter 5. Chapter 2 shows the total actual 2023 expenses and capital
31 expenditures, and 2024-2026 forecasted expenses and capital expenditures
32 by year. These costs are incremental and not included in costs recorded in

1 any other balancing accounts, or in revenue requirements adopted by the
2 2023 General Rate Case (GRC) Decision, Decision (D.) 23-11-069.

3 **2. Memorandum Account**

4 In its 2023 GRC Application (A.) 21-06-021, PG&E requested rate
5 recovery for its Gas AMI Replacement Program. On November 17, 2023,
6 the Commission issued D.23-11-069, which adopted a forecast of \$0 for the
7 Gas AMI Replacement Program, but allowed PG&E to file a separate
8 application seeking cost recovery for this program. In 2023, PG&E incurred
9 \$1.8 million in expenses, and \$97 million in capital expenditures for the Gas
10 AMI Replacement Program. PG&E will shortly file a *Motion to Establish*
11 *Advanced Metering Infrastructure Memorandum Accounts*, to request that
12 the Commission authorize PG&E to track and record its actual revenue
13 requirements for its Gas AMI Replacement Program costs beginning on
14 January 1, 2023 through the effective date of the final decision on this
15 Application. Upon approval of the motion, PG&E will file a Tier 1 Advice
16 Letter to establish the AMIMAs, effective as of January 1, 2023, and track
17 Gas AMI Replacement Program costs in these accounts through the
18 effective date of a final decision on this Application.

19 PG&E proposes, upon a final decision on this Application, to transfer the
20 balance of the AMIMAs to the applicable revenue adjustment mechanisms
21 for recovery from customers in rates¹ through the next available rate change
22 or the next AET and AGT. The Commission has the opportunity to review
23 and assess the reasonableness of the 2023 actual costs in this Application.
24 Actual costs recorded beyond 2023 to the AMIMAs up to the adopted
25 forecast amounts in this Application shall be deemed reasonable since the
26 Commission has approved the adopted amounts.² Therefore, PG&E seeks
27 cost recovery of the balances recorded in the AMIMAs through this
28 Application. All costs recorded to the AMIMAs and recovered through rates
29 would be subject to the Commission's final decision on this Application

1 The related revenue adjustment mechanisms and rate components are identified and discussed in Section 3 below.

2 Actual costs beyond 2023 recorded to the AMIMAs, up to the adopted forecast amounts, through the date of the final decision in this Application will be recovered from customers, rather than the adopted amounts.

1 authorizing revenue requirements to be recovered in rates. PG&E proposes
2 that the total of the actual costs recorded to the AMIMAs and the amounts
3 recovered on a forecast basis for 2024 through 2026 may not exceed the
4 total adopted amounts.

5 **3. Recovery of Functional Revenue Requirements**

6 **a. Existing Revenue Adjustment Mechanisms to Be Used to Recover** 7 **Gas AMI Replacement Program Adopted Revenue Requirements**

8 PG&E proposes to recover through rates its adopted Gas AMI
9 Replacement Program revenue requirements for 2023 based on actual
10 expenses and capital expenditures and on a forecast basis for
11 2024-2026. As described in Chapter 5, the Gas AMI Replacement
12 Program costs are: (1) common, general, and intangible costs (the
13 recovery of which is allocated to all functional areas),³ and (2) gas
14 meters (the recovery of which is included in the Gas Distribution
15 functional area only). Chapter 5 also describes PG&E's proposal to
16 allocate these common costs across PG&E's base GRC revenue
17 requirements as approved in its 2023 GRC decision. Specifically, PG&E
18 proposes to use its existing revenue adjustment mechanisms to recover
19 the Gas AMI Replacement Program adopted revenue requirements
20 through the related rate components/revenue adjustment mechanisms
21 over which common costs are allocated. The purpose of the revenue
22 adjustment mechanisms described below is to ensure the recovery of
23 the adopted revenue requirements in PG&E's electric and gas rates, as
24 actual energy sales deviate from forecasted energy sales. PG&E will
25 utilize the existing accounting procedures used to record and recover
26 the adopted GRC revenue requirements to similarly record and recover
27 the adopted Gas AMI Replacement Program revenue requirements.

³ As described in Chapter 5, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission jurisdictional portion of the allocated revenue requirements is not included in this Application.

Electric:

**TABLE 6-1
ELECTRIC REVENUE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISMS FOR RECOVERY BY COMPONENT**

Line No.	Component	Revenue Adjustment Mechanisms for Recovery
1	Electric Distribution	Distribution Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (DRAM)
2	Electric Generation	Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA)
3		New System Generation Balancing Account (NSGBA)
4		Portfolio Allocation Balancing Account (PABA)

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

The allocation between electric distribution and electric generation components of the actual costs will be based on the adopted revenue requirements.

- DRAM:⁴ DRAM is a two-way revenue balancing account that recovers adopted electric distribution revenue requirements.
- ERRA:⁵ ERRA is a two-way revenue balancing account that recovers power costs associated with PG&E’s authorized procurement plan and California Public Utilities Code § 454.5(d)(3). Power costs recorded in ERRA are applicable solely to PG&E’s bundled customers.
- NSGBA:⁶ NSGBA is a two-way balancing account that records the benefits and the costs of Power Purchase Agreements associated with generation resources for which the Commission has determined that the costs and benefits will be allocated to all benefitting customers, including bundled service, Direct Access, and Community Choice Aggregation customers.

⁴ Electric Preliminary Statement Part CZ, available at: https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/ELEC_PRELIM_CZ.pdf (accessed Feb. 20, 2024).

⁵ Electric Preliminary Statement Part CP, available at: https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/ELEC_PRELIM_CP.pdf (accessed Feb. 20, 2024).

⁶ Electric Preliminary Statement Part FS, available at: https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/ELEC_PRELIM_FS.pdf (accessed Feb. 20, 2024).

- PABA:⁷ PABA is a two-way balancing account that records the “above-market” costs of all generation resources that are eligible for recovery through Power Charge Indifference Adjustment rates. PABA is composed of subaccounts for each year’s vintage portfolio resources, that records the costs, market revenues, and imputed revenues of all generation resources executed or approved by the Commission for cost recovery that year. Amounts include costs related contracts executed with third-parties and Utility-Owned Generation.

Gas:

**TABLE 6-2
GAS REVENUE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISMS FOR
RECOVERY BY COMPONENT AND CUSTOMER CLASS**

Line No.	Component	Revenue Adjustment Mechanisms for Recovery
1	Gas Distribution	Core Fixed Cost Account (CFCA), Distribution Subaccount
2		Noncore Customer Class Charge Account (NCA), Distribution Subaccount
3	Gas Transmission and Storage (GT&S)	Adjustment Mechanism for Costs Determined in Other Proceedings (AMCDOP), ^(a) Other Costs Impacting GT&S Revenue Subaccount
4	Gas Local Transmission	AMCDOP, Local Transmission Subaccount
<p>(a) Adjustments to PG&E’s GRC adopted GT&S revenue requirements are approved to be recorded to the AMCDOP. Note that the GT&S adjustments recorded to the AMCDOP are transferred to the CFCA and NCA for recovery from core and noncore customers, respectively.</p>		

The allocation between Gas Distribution, GT&S, and Local Transmission components of the actual costs will be based on the adopted revenue requirements.

⁷ Electric Preliminary Statement Part HS, available at: https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/ELEC_PRELIM_HS.pdf (accessed Feb. 20, 2024).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

- AMCDOP:⁸ AMCDOP records the difference in the revenue requirement associated with the costs determined in other proceedings and the revenue requirements based on placeholder costs included in the currently effective GRC decision and consists of several subaccounts including:
 - The “Other Costs Impacting GT&S Revenue Subaccount” tracks the amount of other costs, (including those resulting from policy changes), determined to be allocated and applied to GT&S in any other proceeding against the allocation of costs allocated and applied to GT&S services in the currently effective GRC decision.
 - The “Local Transmission Subaccount” records local transmission costs applicable to any of the other subaccounts of the AMCDOP.
- CFCA:⁹ CFCA is a two-way balancing account that records the authorized GRC distribution base revenue amounts (with credits and adjustments), certain other core transportation costs, and transportation revenue from core customers and consists of several subaccounts including:
 - The “Distribution Cost Subaccount” recovers the distribution base revenue requirement adopted in PG&E’s GRC that are allocated to core transportation customers based on the distribution base revenue allocation adopted in the Cost Allocation Proceeding.

⁸ Gas Preliminary Statement Part CO, available at:
<https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/GAS_PRELIM_CO.pdf>
(accessed Feb. 20, 2024).

⁹ Gas Preliminary Statement Part F, available at:
<https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/GAS_PRELIM_F.pdf>
(accessed Feb. 20, 2024).

- 1 • NCA:¹⁰ NCA is a two-way balancing account that records certain
2 noncore costs and revenues from noncore customers and consists
3 of several subaccounts, including:
4 – The “Distribution Subaccount” recovers the noncore distribution
5 portion of the authorized GRC base revenue requirement and
6 other costs and balances approved by the Commission from
7 noncore customer classes in proportion to their allocation of
8 distribution base revenue as adopted in Cost Allocation
9 Proceedings.

10 **C. Conclusion**

11 PG&E requests that the Commission approve the cost recovery described in
12 this chapter for the reasons described above. Specifically, PG&E requests that
13 the Commission:

- 14 • Approve PG&E’s contemporaneously-filed motion to establish the AMIMAs
15 and authorize PG&E to track and record its actual revenue requirements for
16 its costs from January 1, 2023 through the effective date of the final decision
17 on this Application.
18 • Authorize PG&E to recover all costs recorded to the AMIMAs through the
19 next available rate change or the next AET and AGT following the
20 Commission’s decision on this Application.
21 • Authorize PG&E to recover through rates on a forecast basis the adopted
22 revenue requirements for 2024-2026.

¹⁰ Gas Preliminary Statement Part J, available at:
<https://www.pge.com/tariffs/assets/pdf/tariffbook/GAS_PRELIM_J.pdf>
(accessed Feb. 20, 2024).

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
APPENDIX A
STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS OF GUSTAVO CASTILLO**

3 Q 1 Please state your name and business address.

4 A 1 My name is Gustavo Castillo, and my business address is Pacific Gas and
5 Electric Company (PG&E), 111 Almaden Blvd. San Jose, California.

6 Q 2 Briefly describe your responsibilities at PG&E.

7 A 2 I am the Director of Field Metering at PG&E, currently responsible for the
8 field operations associated with metering, meter reading and revenue
9 assurance.

10 Q 3 Please summarize your educational and professional background.

11 A 3 I earned my Associates of Science degree in Computer Electronic
12 Technology from Mission College, Bachelor of Science degree in Business
13 Management from University of Phoenix and my Master's degree in
14 Business Management from Golden Gate University in San Francisco. For
15 over 20 years, I have had the opportunity and privilege to serve our
16 customers across the service territory in almost every aspect of utility
17 metering and field services.

18 Q 4 What is the purpose of your testimony?

19 A 4 I am sponsoring the following testimony and workpapers in PG&E's
20 Comprehensive Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure Replacement
21 Program Application:

- 22 • Chapter 2, "Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program";
- 23 • Workpapers supporting Chapter 2, including the following:
 - 24 – WP 2-2, "Gas Module Replacement Unit Forecast";
 - 25 – WP 2-5, "Summary of Capital Expenditure by MWC";
 - 26 – WP 2-6, "Detail Capital Expenditure by MWC";
 - 27 – WP 2-10, "Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement Program"; and
 - 28 – WP 2-13, "Customer Communications Plan."

29 Q 5 Does this conclude your statement of qualifications?

30 A 5 Yes, it does.

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS OF TONY CHIMIENI**

3 Q 1 Please state your name and business address.

4 A 1 My name is Tony Chimienti, and my business address is Pacific Gas and
5 Electric Company (PG&E), 300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland, California.

6 Q 2 Briefly describe your responsibilities at PG&E.

7 A 2 I have held several Information Technology Operational roles that included
8 Vendor Management and roadmap responsibilities of the Electric Advanced
9 Meter Infrastructure (AMI) system. I am currently the Technical Program
10 Manager in the AMI Project Management Office group responsible for
11 analyzing and gathering key business requirements for both Gas & Electric
12 AMI systems.

13 Q 3 Please summarize your educational and professional background.

14 A 3 Prior to my work at PG&E, I held several high-tech Product Management
15 roles for both software and hardware product lines in the Silicon Valley. I
16 currently hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Information
17 Systems from the University of Phoenix.

18 Q 4 What is the purpose of your testimony?

19 A 4 I am sponsoring the following testimony and workpapers in PG&E's
20 Comprehensive Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure Replacement
21 Program Application:

- 22 • Chapter 3, "Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure Technology
23 Roadmap"; and
- 24 • Workpapers supporting Chapter 3, including the following:
 - 25 – WP 3-1, Utility Benchmarking References.

26 Q 5 Does this conclude your statement of qualifications?

27 A 5 Yes, it does.

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS OF DAVID CONSOLE**

3 Q 1 Please state your name and business address.

4 A 1 My name is David Console, and my business address is Pacific Gas and
5 Electric Company (PG&E or the Company), 300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland,
6 California.

7 Q 2 Briefly describe your responsibilities at PG&E.

8 A 2 I am a Director, in the Advanced Meter Infrastructure (AMI), Project
9 Management Office responsible for the comprehensive and programmatic
10 management of the Company's Gas AMI Program.

11 Q 3 Please summarize your educational and professional background.

12 A 3 I earned my Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Management Economics
13 from the University of California, Santa Cruz and my Master's Degree in
14 Finance from Golden Gate University in San Francisco. For over 16 years, I
15 have had the opportunity and privilege to serve our customers in a financial
16 and program management capacity at PG&E managing advanced metering
17 infrastructure assets, operations, and leading program management
18 activities. Lastly, I testified before the California Public Utilities Commission
19 in the 2023 General Rate Case regarding the Gas AMI Module Replacement
20 Application (A.05-06-028).

21 Q 4 What is the purpose of your testimony?

22 A 4 I am sponsoring the following testimony and workpapers in PG&E's
23 Comprehensive Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure Replacement
24 Program Application:

- 25 • Chapter 1, "Introduction and Overview";
- 26 • Chapter 2, "Comprehensive Gas AMI Replacement Program";
- 27 • Workpapers supporting Chapter 1 and 2, including the following:
 - 28 – WP 1-1, "Current Modules In-Service by Vintages";
 - 29 – WP 2-1, "Legacy Gas Module Replacements";
 - 30 – WP 2-2, "Gas Module Replacement Unit Forecast";
 - 31 – WP 2-3, "Summary of Expense Forecast by MWC";
 - 32 – WP 2-4, "Detail Expense Forecast by MWC";
 - 33 – WP 2-5, "Summary of Capital Expenditure by MWC";

- 1 – WP 2-6, “Detail Capital Expenditure by MWC”;
 - 2 – WP 2-7, “Gas Module Failure Rate Probability Forecast
 - 3 Methodology”;
 - 4 – WP 2-8, “End of Life Study”;
 - 5 – WP 2-9, “Extended Range Warranty Replacements”;
 - 6 – WP 2-10, “Gas Module Lifecycle Replacement Program”;
 - 7 – WP 2-11, “IT – Network Project Expenditures”;
 - 8 – WP 2-12, “Net Present Value Economic Analysis”; and
 - 9 – WP 2-13, “Customer Communications Plan.”
- 10 Q 5 Does this conclude your statement of qualifications?
- 11 A 5 Yes, it does.

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS OF REBECCA MADSEN**

3 Q 1 Please state your name and business address.

4 A 1 My name is Rebecca Madsen, and my business address is Pacific Gas and
5 Electric Company (PG&E), 300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland, California.

6 Q 2 Briefly describe your responsibilities at PG&E.

7 A 2 I am an Expert Regulatory Analysis and Forecasting Analyst in PG&E's
8 Energy Accounting Department, within the Controller's organization. I am
9 responsible for advising on emerging regulatory issues and implementing
10 cost recovery requirements in California Public Utilities Commission
11 decisions.

12 Q 3 Please summarize your educational and professional background.

13 A 3 I earned a Bachelor of Arts degree in Archaeology from the
14 George Washington University and an Associate in Science degree in
15 Accounting from Skyline College. I have been a registered Certified Public
16 Accountant in California (License 118069) since 2013.

17 I have had the opportunity and privilege to serve our customers across
18 the service territory since 2015 in Energy Accounting.

19 Q 4 What is the purpose of your testimony?

20 A 4 I am sponsoring the following testimony in PG&E's Comprehensive Gas
21 Advanced Metering Infrastructure Replacement Program Application:

- 22
 - Chapter 6, "Cost Recovery."

23 Q 5 Does this conclude your statement of qualifications?

24 A 5 Yes, it does.

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS OF JAMES MEADOWS**

3 Q 1 Please state your name and business address.

4 A 1 My name is James Meadows, and my business address is
5 2 Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor, San Francisco, California.

6 Q 2 Briefly describe your responsibilities at Pacific Gas and Electric Company
7 (PG&E).

8 A 2 I advise the Gas Advanced Meter Infrastructure (AMI) Project Team on
9 various aspects and considerations regarding PG&E's current Gas AMI
10 system. I have previously been involved with both the Gas and Electric AMI
11 systems installed at PG&E since the original selection and implementation
12 of each system.

13 Q 3 Please summarize your educational and professional background.

14 A 3 I received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from the University
15 of Texas in 1986, and a Masters of Business Administration degree from the
16 J.L. Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University in
17 1992. I have held management consulting positions with Deloitte and PwC,
18 as well as being a partner in my current consulting firm, Veregy Consulting.
19 I have held various roles on the original PG&E SmartMeter Program from
20 2002 through 2013. These roles included project risk analysis, project
21 controls, financial management and held the role of project director.
22 I testified before the California Public Utilities Commission regarding the
23 original AMI Application (Application (A.) 05-06-028), the PG&E Proposed
24 Upgrade Application (A.07-12-009) and the SmartMeter Opt-Out Program
25 (A.11-03-014).

26 Q 4 What is the purpose of your testimony?

27 A 4 I am sponsoring the following testimony and workpapers in PG&E's
28 Comprehensive Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure Replacement
29 Program Application:

- 30 • Chapter 4, "Prudency of Management of AMI 1.0";
- 31 • Workpapers supporting Chapter 4, including the following:
 - 32 – WP 4-1, "Product Adoption Protocol";
 - 33 – WP 4-2, "Module Warranty Discount Schedule";

- 1 – WP 4-3, “Gas AMI Supplier Quality Program”;
- 2 – WP 4-4, “Supplier Warranty Valuation in Dollars (Confidential)”;
- 3 – WP 4-5, “Supplier Warranty and Settlement Valuation in Dollars
- 4 (Confidential)”; and
- 5 – WP 4-6, “Supplier Settlement Summary (Confidential).”

6 Q 5 Does this conclude your statement of qualifications?

7 A 5 Yes, it does.

1 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**
2 **STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS OF SEAN SU**

3 Q 1 Please state your name and business address.

4 A 1 My name is Sean Su, and my business address is Pacific Gas and Electric
5 Company (PG&E), 300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland, California.

6 Q 2 Briefly describe your responsibilities at PG&E.

7 A 2 I am a Senior Results of Operations (RO) Analyst in the Revenue
8 Requirements and RO organization, responsible for developing revenue
9 requirement calculations for rate cases across PG&E's regulatory
10 jurisdictions.

11 Q 3 Please summarize your educational and professional background.

12 A 3 I earned my Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from Santa Clara
13 University. I began the first seven years of my career in various credit
14 finance roles. In the past three years, I began working in the investor-owned
15 utility space, first as a Rates Analyst in the water sector, and most recently
16 in my current role at PG&E.

17 Q 4 What is the purpose of your testimony?

18 A 4 I am sponsoring the following testimony and workpapers in PG&E's
19 Comprehensive Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure Replacement
20 Program Application:

- 21 • Chapter 5, "Results of Operations";
22 • Workpapers supporting Chapter 5, including the following:
23 – WP 5-1, "CGI RRQ Allocation";
24 – WP 5-2, "Gas Module and IT RO Model"; and
25 – WP 5-3, "Gas Meter and O&M RO Model."

26 Q 5 Does this conclude your statement of qualifications?

27 A 5 Yes, it does.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
APPENDIX B
GLOSSARY OF KEY TERMS

Appendix B: Glossary of Key Terms

Aclara Technologies (a subsidiary of Hubbell, Inc.): PG&E's current Gas AMI supplier and one of two Gas AMI suppliers that the Company is planning to use in the future.

Distributed Operational Capabilities: The next-generation Gas AMI 2.0 software and hardware system will provide enhanced command and control over key system components, like network equipment and gas endpoints, allowing for improved operational capabilities and efficiencies of the gas network.

Extended Range Gas Modules: Gas Modules typically used in customer locations where radio communications are impaired, such as remote geographical areas, basements, or indoor locations (e.g., garages). These Gas Modules operate on a higher power frequency to provide the extra strength needed to communicate to PG&E's Gas AMI and its billing system.

Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure 1.0 (aka Gas AMI System or SmartMeter™): PG&E's original and current one-way communication system installed between 2006 to 2013 that securely and automatically transmits customer gas energy usage to the Company's billing system. The Gas AMI System included head-end application software, network communication equipment (also known as gas data collection units or DCUs) and battery-operated Gas Modules with built-in network interface cards (NICs) externally attached to each customer gas meter, which all connect to the Company's billing system.

Gas Advanced Metering Infrastructure 2.0: The Gas AMI 2.0 System includes new head-end application software, new network communications equipment, and new Gas Modules.

Gas Data Collection Unit: Network hardware device that collects gas usage from Gas Modules via the Gas AMI network and transmits gas usage to the head-end application for transmission to PG&E's billing system.

Gas Head-End Application: Software application with a user interface that provides administrative tools which allows for command and control of Gas AMI devices.

Gas Meter: A specialized flow meter, used to measure the volume of gas energy usage from customers. The current generation of gas meters are called diaphragm meters, and the next generation of gas meters are called ultrasonic meters (USMs).

Gas Module: Battery-operated hardware devices with built-in NICs externally attached to each customer gas meter that enables Gas AMI capabilities and delivers customer gas usage via the Gas AMI network to the gas head-end application for transmission to PG&E's billing system.

Gas Module End-of-Life: Gas Modules reach end-of-life when their batteries run out of energy and require replacement with a new Gas Module.

Gas Module Failure Rate: Measures the rate at which Gas Modules have failed or are forecasted to reach end-of-lifespan.

Gas Module Types – Legacy Original 506 Series and Second-Generation Series 3000: The vast majority of the Gas Modules that currently need to be replaced by PG&E are its legacy Gas Modules (aka Aclara Series 506). The Company has been and plans to continue replacing its legacy Gas Modules with Aclara's second generation Gas Modules (aka Aclara Series 3000).

Gas Ultrasonic Meter (USM): USM flow meters use sound waves to determine the velocity of gas flowing through a pipe versus the mechanical diaphragm meters widely used today. USMs do not have mechanical components and are equipped with monitoring sensors for gas flow, pressure, temperature, and seismic events, and allow for autonomous and remote shutoff capabilities.

Itron (formerly Silver Spring Networks): PG&E's current electric AMI supplier and one of two Gas AMI suppliers that the Company is planning to use in the future.

Lifecycle Replacement (aka Programmatic or Proactive Replacement): The practice of proactively replacing Gas Modules forecasted to reach end-of-life. This approach is more focused and concentrated in select geographic areas.

Meter to Cash (MTC): Refers to the end-to end process from collection of customer usage data at the gas meter/Gas Module through payment of a customer's monthly bill. The usage data is transmitted to PG&E's systems for verification and validation before sending billing quality data to the Customer Information System (CIS) billing system for final processing of the customer's bill.

Network Interface Card (NIC): A hardware component integrated into the Gas Module unit that allows the Gas Module to communicate customer gas energy usage to PG&E's network data collection units and then back its head-end application.

Next Generation Gas AMI Technology: New and emerging technologies including head-end systems, network infrastructure, Gas Modules, ultrasonic gas meters, and residential methane detectors. These technologies require two-way communications between the gas meter and PG&E's back-office systems.

Required Maintenance (formerly termed Corrective Maintenance): The practice of replacing Gas Modules as they fail on a more geographically dispersed basis.

Residential Methane Detector (RMD): A battery operated hardware device that provides alerts of leaking natural gas inside a home, basement, or meter room. The RMD measures the concentration of methane in the air and sounds an alarm before flammable levels are reached.