



Pacific Gas and Electric Company 2027 GRC

A.25-05-009

TURN HEARING EXHIBIT

TURN Ex-308

Ryan Weber

Response to TURN Data Request 140, Question 11

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
2027 General Rate Case Phase I
Application 25-05-009
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	TURN_140-Q011
PG&E File Name:	GRC-2027-Phi_DR_TURN_140-Q011
Request Date:	April 3, 2026
Requester DR No.:	140
Requesting Party:	The Utility Reform Network
Requester:	Hayley Goodson
Date Sent:	April 10, 2026
PG&E Witness(es):	Ryan Weber – Gas Engineering

SUBJECT: PG&E-15, CHAPTERS 7 & 9

QUESTION 011

Re: Rebuttal Ex. PG&E 15, Chapter 9, page 9-70, lines 22-26: Is it PG&E's contention that, given these data, curtailment would have occurred over fifty times since April 1, 2020 in the absence of Reserve Capacity, or were there other ways to avoid that outcome?

ANSWER 011

PG&E is not asserting that curtailment would have been necessary each time Reserve Capacity was utilized. Rather, PG&E is emphasizing that Reserve Capacity has provided supply relief on more than 50 occasions since its inception. While it is possible that curtailment could have been the only viable alternative to resolve some of these occurrences in the absence of Reserve Capacity, that conclusion is inherently speculative. The specific system conditions on those days, and whether they would have resulted in curtailment absent immediate supply-side relief, cannot be determined with certainty.