

Docket No.: A.25-05-009

Exhibit No.: CALCCA Ex-2

Date: May 5, 2026

Sponsor/Witness: PG&E (Various)

CALCCA EX-2
PG&E Responses to CalCCA DR Set 16

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
2027 General Rate Case Phase I
Application 25-05-009
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalCCA_016-Q001
PG&E File Name:	GRC-2027-PhI_DR_CalCCA_016-Q001
Request Date:	March 17, 2026
Requester DR No.:	016
Requesting Party:	California Community Choice Association
Requester:	Julia Kantor
Date Sent:	March 30, 2026
PG&E Witness(es):	Eric Van Deuren – Generation Rebecca Doidge – Generation

QUESTION 001

Refer to A.26-02-018, *Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company (U 39 E) for Approval Under Public Utilities Cost Section 851 to Sell the Hamilton Branch Hydroelectric Project*, Application at 3 where it states “The reason for these savings is that the removal of the Project from PG&E’s portfolio reduces future capital investment and operation and maintenance costs.” What is PG&E’s estimate of the annual O&M savings from the removal of the Hamilton Branch project from its portfolio?

ANSWER 001

PG&E objects to this request as it is outside the scope of the 2027 GRC proceeding. Consideration of savings due to the sale of Hamilton Branch Hydroelectric Project will be reviewed by the Commission in A.26-02-018.

Subject to and without waiving that objection, PG&E estimates the average annual O&M savings at \$150,000. This estimate aligns with the current mothball status for the Hamilton Branch powerhouse and the associated water conveyance facilities.

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
2027 General Rate Case Phase I
Application 25-05-009
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalCCA_016-Q002
PG&E File Name:	GRC-2027-PhI_DR_CalCCA_016-Q002
Request Date:	March 17, 2026
Requester DR No.:	016
Requesting Party:	California Community Choice Association
Requester:	Julia Kantor
Date Sent:	March 30, 2026
PG&E Witness(es):	Eric Van Deuren – Generation Rebecca Doidge – Generation

QUESTION 002

Refer to A.26-02-018, Chapter 1 at 1-4 where it states: “PG&E regularly assesses and evaluates the costs, benefits, and value of its generation assets to provide safe, cost effective and reliable generation for customers.”

- a. How frequently does PG&E assess and evaluate the costs, benefits and value of its generation assets?
- b. Does PG&E perform that assessment and evaluation at a regular interval?
- c. Do any precipitating events trigger the assessment and evaluation of generation assets such as but not limited to: a planned capital investment or a FERC license expiration. If so, please provide any specific threshold criteria surrounding a precipitating event that PG&E uses to determine when it should asset and evaluate its generation assets (e.g. capital investment greater than X million).

ANSWER 002

PG&E objects to this request as it is outside the scope of the 2027 GRC proceeding.

Subject to, and without waiving that objection, PG&E responds as follows:

- a. As stated in Exhibit (PG&E-5), p. 7-4, lines 19-20, *PG&E regularly reviews its generation portfolio to ensure that it continues to provide value for customers.*
- b. There is no defined interval at which PG&E performs its assessment. See subpart c.
- c. Precipitating events such as those listed often prompt the assessment and evaluation of a generation asset’s value to customers. Significant damage from a natural disaster may also trigger an evaluation. There are no specific threshold criteria surrounding a precipitating event that PG&E uses to determine when it should assess and evaluate the value of its generation assets to customers. This happens on a case-by-case basis, dependent on the facts and circumstances at the time and is governed by the reasonable manager standard.

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
2027 General Rate Case Phase I
Application 25-05-009
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalCCA_016-Q003
PG&E File Name:	GRC-2027-PhI_DR_CalCCA_016-Q003
Request Date:	March 17, 2026
Requester DR No.:	016
Requesting Party:	California Community Choice Association
Requester:	Julia Kantor
Date Sent:	March 31, 2026
PG&E Witness(es):	Kevin Chang, Ned Allis – Finance

QUESTION 003

Refer to A.26-02-018, page C-1 of Attachment C to the Application, where it shows a Depreciable Property historical cost of 8,329,494 for Hamilton Branch. Please reconcile this amount with the 8,075,014 in the Original Cost for Hamilton Branch at EOY 2024 and EOY 2023 as provided in PG&E’s Supplemental Attachment 1 to DR CalCCA002-001.

ANSWER 003

PG&E objects to this request as it is outside the scope of the 2027 GRC proceeding. Subject to and without waiving that objection, PG&E responds as follows:

Please see the reconciliation below. The main reconciling items are (1) 2025 vintage assets in the Hamilton Branch 851 not included in EOY 2023 and EOY 2024 amounts and (2) Non hydro accounts that are not included in the EOY 2023 and EOY 2024 amounts, as these EOY amounts include hydro accounts only.

	(in thousands)
12/31/2025 Plant per A.26-02-018 Hamilton Branch 851	8,329
Less:	
Non-Hydro asset classes	215
2025 vintage assets	40
Total Hydro Plant Pre 2025	8,074
Misc Difference	1
12/31/2023 Hamilton Branch Plant per CalCCA002-001Supp Atch01	8,075
12/31/2024 Hamilton Branch Plant per CalCCA002-001Supp Atch01	8,075

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
2027 General Rate Case Phase I
Application 25-05-009
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalCCA_016-Q004
PG&E File Name:	GRC-2027-Phi_DR_CalCCA_016-Q004
Request Date:	March 17, 2026
Requester DR No.:	016
Requesting Party:	California Community Choice Association
Requester:	Julia Kantor
Date Sent:	March 31, 2026
PG&E Witness(es):	Kevin Chang, Ned Allis – Finance; Rebecca Doidge – Generation

QUESTION 004

Refer to A.26-02-018, page C-2 of Attachment C to the Application, where it shows a Net Book Value of 616,022 for the Depreciable Property at Hamilton Branch. Did PG&E calculate this value using the same methodology described in its response to DR CalCCA12-9. If not, please describe the methodology used to determine the Net Book Value for Hamilton Branch and provide all calculations, assumptions, and inputs to this methodology in executable format with links and formulas intact.

ANSWER 004

PG&E objects to this request as it is outside the scope of the 2027 GRC proceeding. Subject to and without waiving that objection, PG&E responds as follows:

PG&E notes that for Section 851 filings, financial estimates are provided which are to be updated after the sale is approved by the Commission and a sale subsequently closes.

The net book value of 616,022 for Hamilton Branch provided in the Section 851 filing A.26-02-018 was prepared using PG&E’s fixed asset system, PowerPlan. This fixed asset system does not have executable formats, links or formulae.

PowerPlan generally tracks accumulated depreciation to hydro facilities at a FERC License or project account level, not at individual hydro facility locations. Hamilton Branch is not a FERC licensed project. To determine allocations at specific hydro facility locations, Gannett Fleming has been providing more refined theoretical reserve calculations by location using the methodology described in the response to CalCCA_012-Q009. This methodology incorporates both adopted net salvage and survivor curves for interim retirements and the estimated end of life based on each GRC’s depreciation study:

The accumulated depreciation for each location within each account is calculated by allocating the accumulated depreciation for the account to each location in proportion to the calculated accrued depreciation (or “theoretical reserve”). The calculated accrued

depreciation is calculated by applying the calculated accrued depreciation ratio ("CADR") to the total service value for the location, where the total service value is equal to the original cost less net salvage and the CADR is equal to 1 minus the ratio of the remaining life to the average service life. The CADR is calculated and applied to each vintage within the location.

The net book value used in the Hamilton Branch 851 filing was as of December 31, 2025. As Gannett Fleming theoretical reserve calculations for year-end 2025 were not available for the Hamilton Branch 851 filing, PowerPlan amounts were used for the estimated net book value.

As described in the 851 filing prepared testimony, Chapter 3, Section C, Proposed Ratemaking Treatment, PG&E will true-up the final financial information as of the closing date of the Transaction for the calculation of the loss on sale and will provide it to the Commission in a Tier 1 Advice Letter filing submitted within 60 days following closing.

In sales that are subject to Section 851 applications, PG&E typically updates the 851 net book value estimates based on the sale close date. Subsequent to a Commission decision approving the 851 application and sale, and once the sale is closed, PG&E plans to update the net book value amounts to reflect the same methodology explained in CalCCA_012-Q009.

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
2027 General Rate Case Phase I
Application 25-05-009
Data Response**

PG&E Data Request No.:	CalCCA_016-Q005
PG&E File Name:	GRC-2027-PhI_DR_CalCCA_016-Q005
Request Date:	March 17, 2026
Requester DR No.:	016
Requesting Party:	California Community Choice Association
Requester:	Julia Kantor
Date Sent:	March 30, 2026
PG&E Witness(es):	Rebecca Doidge – Generation

QUESTION 005

Refer to A.26-02-018, page 43 of Exhibit H (Small Generator Interconnection Agreement) of Attachment A to the Application, where it indicates the project owner has opted to pay for the required interconnection upgrades through a one-time charge of \$2,717,154.72. How does PG&E plan to account for the revenue received from this customer? In which FERC account will this revenue be recorded? Is this revenue subject to balancing account treatment? Will this revenue reduce the overall Electric Generation revenue requirement in the year it is received, or in any subsequent year?

ANSWER 005

PG&E objects to this request as it is outside the scope of the 2027 GRC proceeding. Consideration of ratemaking due to the sale of Hamilton Branch Hydroelectric Project will be reviewed by the Commission in A.26-02-018.

Subject to and without waiving that objection, PG&E responds that this one-time charge of \$2,717,154.72 covers the cost of ownership for the project-specific interconnection facilities (including the future operation and maintenance). It will be paid by the buyer to PG&E Electric Distribution out of the Transfer Payment made to the buyer by PG&E Electric Generation. As such, the revenue received related to the Cost of Ownership for the interconnection facilities will not reduce the overall Electric Generation revenue requirement in any year.