

Application No: _____

Exhibit No: _____

Date: _____

Witness: _____

BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY

PREPARED TESTIMONY

MARCUS GOMEZ

CORRECTED

Prepared by:
GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY
630 East Foothill Boulevard
P. O. Box 9016
San Dimas, CA 91773-9016

Original version served: July 2026
Corrected version served: October 2026

DECLARATION OF SPONSORING WITNESS

In accordance with Rule 13.8(c) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission, I, Marcus Gomez, declare as follows under penalty of perjury:

1. I prepared the testimony attached to this declaration, or I was in charge of preparing the testimony attached to this declaration.
2. The testimony attached to this declaration is a true and correct statement of (1) my background, experience, and qualifications in the subject for which my opinions are being offered, (2) the information that I have reviewed and on which I rely for my opinions, and (3) the opinions that I am offering.

Executed on October 2, 2026 at San Dimas, California.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Gomez', is written over a horizontal line.

Marcus Gomez, Senior Regulatory Analyst
630 E. Foothill Blvd., San Dimas, CA 91773
(909) 394-3600 x432
Marcus.Gomez@gswater.com

GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY
PREPARED TESTIMONY OF
MARCUS GOMEZ

Table of Contents

I. Overview 1

II. Rate Base Schedules 2

Utility Plant..... 2

Depreciation Reserve Schedule 8

Advance and Contributions Schedules 11

Weighted Average Rate Base Schedule 14

Rate Base Special Adjustments 18

III. General Office Capital 22

General Office Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) 23

General Office New Capital Budget 26

Corporate Support Capital Budget..... 26

Centralized Operations Support Capital Budget..... 29

Utility Support Capital Budget 57

IV. Working Cash..... 57

V. New Acquisition and New Systems 64

LIST OF CORRECTIONS

Page	Correction
Page 16, Lines 6-16	Deferred Revenues <u>represents the Income Tax Component of Contribution (ITCC), which is the amount received from the developer for the tax liability incurred for the taxable portion of CIAC.</u> is associated with the Commission having adopted a "Method 5" approach with respect to the ratemaking consequences of contributions that are taxable. After the enactment of the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996, most contributions were no longer taxable effective June 12, 1996. With the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) on December 22, 2017, amounts/property received and considered to be contributions in aid of construction are taxable for federal income tax purposes. The projected deferred revenues include the tax component associated with forecasted contributions and the amortization of prior deferred revenue balances.
Page 17, Lines 13-17	Golden State is <u>using the</u> modifying the attrition allowance methodology for <u>calculating</u> rate base additions in year 3 by adding the difference between test year 1 and test year 2 rate base to test year 2 rate base <u>normalizing the weighted depreciation reserve balance and deferred income taxes.</u> Depreciation expense is handled in the same way.
Attachments 2-7	Have included missing attachments

**GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY
PREPARED TESTIMONY OF
MARCUS GOMEZ, CORRECTED**

I. Overview

(Q) Please state your name, business address, and place of employment.

(A) My name is Marcus Gomez. My business address is 630 East Foothill Boulevard, San Dimas, California. I am employed by Golden State Water Company ("GSWC" or "Golden State").

(Q) What is your job title and what are your responsibilities?

(A) I am a Senior Regulatory Analyst in the Regulatory Affairs department of Golden State. A summary of my responsibilities and qualifications are discussed in **Attachment 1** following this testimony.

(Q) Please explain the nature of your testimony in this proceeding.

(A) I am sponsoring portions of Chapter 4 of the Report on the Results of Operations ("RO") for Golden State's Customer Service Areas ("CSA") / Ratemaking Areas ("RMA"), which includes a discussion on the methodologies used to forecast Rate Base, specifically Tables 4-L, 4-M, 4-N, and 4-O in Northern Consolidation, Bay Point, Los Osos, Santa Maria, Simi Valley, San Juan Oaks (drinking water service), Sutter Pointe ("Region 1"), Regions 2 and 3, and Tables 3-E, 3-F and 3-G for the General Office ("GO") RO. Also included within my testimony is a discussion on General Office capital budgets (section III), working cash (section IV), and rate base for the newly acquired San Juan Oaks and Sutter Pointe RMAs (section V).

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

II. Rate Base Schedules

(Q) Please describe the accounts that comprise Rate Base.

(A) Rate Base is comprised of the following accounts: Utility Plant in Service, Utility Plant under Construction (“CWIP”), Acquisition Adjustments, Depreciation Reserve, Materials and Supplies, Advances, Contributions, Investment Tax Credit, Deferred Income Taxes, Excess Deferred Taxes, Deferred Revenues, Investments in Mutuals, Allowance for Working Cash and Common Utility Allocation. The forecasts for Investment Tax Credits, Deferred Income Taxes and Excess Deferred Taxes are discussed in the prepared testimony of Golden State witness Al Oishi beginning on page 4. Section 50 of the RO model electronic workpapers includes the underlying data and calculations to support the Rate Base Tables mentioned above.

Utility Plant

(Q) Please discuss the Utility Plant schedule as shown on Table 4-M of the Regions 1, 2 and 3 Results of Operations and Table 3-F of the General Office, and describe the methodology used to develop the schedule.

(A) I am sponsoring the mechanics of the Utility Plant Schedule. The need for all Regions 1, 2 and 3 plant additions requested in the application is discussed in the Operating District Capital Additions testimony. Refer to electronic workbook “SEC-51_RB_FDR Capital Budget” of the RO model for a detailed listing of all newly requested capital projects organized by asset category and CSA including escalation¹, contingency²,

¹ Construction costs are escalated by 3.6% based upon the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index Report for December 2025 as provided in Attachment 4 of Operating District Capital Additions testimony.

Design costs are escalated by compensation per hour percentages of 5.4% for 2027, 4.7% for 2028 and 3.9%

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 and overhead³ factors. The need for all Regions 1, 2 and 3 construction work in
2 progress projects requested in the application is discussed in the prepared testimony
3 of Golden State witnesses Elizabeth McDonough, Director of Capital Programs, Dane
4 Sinagra, Capital Program Manager, and David Schickling, Vice President of Water
5 Operations.

6
7 The need for General Office plant additions and CWIP related to information
8 technology in this application is discussed in the prepared testimonies of Golden State
9 witnesses Daniel Diaz, Director of Information Technology, Doug Van Gelder,
10 Technology Services Manager. All other GO plant additions and CWIP are further
11 discussed in Section III of this testimony below.

12
13 The utility plant schedule, **Attachment 2**⁴ Table 4-M (Table 3-F in General Office),
14 details the cost of utility plant for Golden State's utility operations combining plant in
15 service and construction work in progress to arrive at a weighted average which is the
16 basis for the rate base calculation. The supporting data and calculations are included
17 within electronic workbook "SEC-50_RB_Plant" of the RO model.

18
19
20
21
22
23 for 2029 as prescribed by the Public Advocates Office January 2026 Summary of Compensation per Hour
24 Memo from the CPUC.

25 ² Contingency is addressed on pages 25-26 of the prepared testimony of Operating District Capital Additions
26 testimony.

27 ³ Overhead rates are addressed in the prepared testimony of Stanley Lau.

28 ⁴ Attachment 2 includes Region 2 and General Office Centralized Operations Support as examples.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Recorded (historical) information is provided for the past five years, 2021 through 2025, and projected data (forecasted) is provided for the transitional years 2026 and 2027 and the test years 2028 and 2029.

(Q) Please explain the mechanics of the Utility Plant Schedules.

(A) The utility plant table is separated into four areas: Utility Plant in Service (Line Nos. 2-18), Utility Plant Under Construction (Line Nos. 19-22), Total Utility Plant (Line Nos. 23-26), and Weighted Average Utility Plant (Line Nos. 27-30). Recorded information is provided from Golden State's actual booked accounts. The data shown for the projected years is Golden State's forecast for rate setting purposes.

(Q) Please explain the calculation of the Utility Plant in Service portion of the Utility Plant table.

(A) The Utility Plant in Service calculation starts with Beginning of Year Balance (Line No.3), which is rolled forward from the prior year's End of Year Balance (Line No. 18). Additions (Line Nos. 5-13), including Intangibles, Land and Land Rights, Source of Supply, Pumping, Water Treatment, Transmission and Distribution, and General Plant, are totaled to arrive at Gross Additions (Line No. 14). For transition years 2026 and 2027, and test years 2028 and 2029, additions include individual projects identified in the capital budgets and construction work in progress to be closed to plant that are identified in RO model electronic workpapers entitled SEC-51_RB_FDR Capital Budget and Y_SEC_50_RB_CWIP. For the transitional years 2026 and 2027, and test years 2028 and 2029, retirements were projected based upon a 6-year historical average (2020-2025). As retirements can fluctuate from year-to-year, a 6-year historical average was deemed reasonable to capture the volatility and establish a forecast. The forecasted retirements are netted against the gross additions to arrive at the Net Additions (Line No. 17). The Beginning of Year Balance plus the Net Additions derives the End of Year Utility Plant in Service Balance (Line No. 18).

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

(Q) Please explain the calculation of the Utility Plant Under Construction portion of the Utility Plant table.

(A) As allowed by the Commission, Utility Plant Under Construction or CWIP is acknowledged as a component of utility plant and is included in rate base. In Decision (D.) 18-12-021, the Commission stated that “CWIP is the amount of capital expended on projects that are at any time under construction for customer benefit. The purpose of CWIP for ratemaking is to provide the utility the ability to cover the carrying cost of the plant under construction, before it is transferred to plant-in-service for accounting book purposes. Generally, the Commission has allowed utilities to recover the carrying costs for plant under construction either through CWIP in rate base or through allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC).”⁵ The Commission has historically authorized the CWIP in rate base approach for GSWC. This section identifies an End of Year Balance (Line No. 22) of the recorded CWIP. The Beginning of Year Balance (Line No. 20), which is the prior year’s End of Year Balance (Line No. 22), is subtracted from the End of Year Balance (Line No. 20) to arrive at the Net Change During Year (Line No. 21).

A forecasted amount is included for CWIP to be closed (Line No. 13), which is an estimate of costs to complete 2026, 2025 and prior years’ projects that will be closed from CWIP to Utility Plant in Service during 2026 – 2029 projects current in CWIP and prior year’s prudent projects that have not started in 2025 (not in recorded CWIP list) but will commence in the 2026 GRC rate cycle. Because of the lag time between the historical data (2025) and the beginning of the first test year (2028), Golden State believes it is appropriate to make this adjustment to the 2026 – 2029 forecasted rate base, so as not to penalize Golden State for costs it will incur during the extended

⁵ D.18-12-021 at 194 -195.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

processing time for the general rate case ("GRC"). As a result of (D.) 07-05-062, GRC schedule has been extended from fourteen months to twenty months. The projected amount of the CWIP to be closed (Line No. 13) is based on an estimate from Golden State's Capital Program Managers and is supported in prepared testimony by Golden State's witnesses, Elizabeth McDonough, Dane Sinagra, and David Schickling. General Office CWIP is discussed in Section III below.

The recorded CWIP balance as of December 31, 2025 has been carried forward into the forecasted years (Line No. 22) as a proxy for the CWIP balance in those years. The amount carried forward was not included in the CWIP to be closed to plant discussed above, to avoid any duplication of expenditures.

(Q) Please explain the calculation of the Total Utility Plant portion of the Utility Plant table.

(A) Total Utility Plant (Line Nos. 23-26) is the combination of the Beginning of Year Balance and Net Additions (Line No. 17) and Net Change During Year (Line No. 21) for both the Utility Plant in Service and the Utility Plant Under Construction components. The Beginning of Year Balance (Line No. 24) is the sum of Utility Plant in Service – Beginning of Year Balance (Line No. 3) and Utility Plant Under Construction – Beginning of Year Balance (Line No. 20).

(Q) Please explain the calculation of the Weighted Average Utility Plant portion of the Utility Plant table.

(A) The last section of the Utility Plant table, Weighted Average Utility Plant, is an average of Beginning Year and Ending Year Plant. The Weighted Average Utility Plant in Service for the Recorded Years (Cols. b – f, Line No. 28) is an actual 13-month moving average of historical utility plant. For the projected years, a straight 50/50 weighting is used rather than a 13-month moving average because individual monthly plant numbers are not forecasted under traditional Commission ratemaking for water

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 utilities. For the purpose of properly weighing when plant additions come on line in the
2 projected years, Golden State used a 50% weighting. This 50% weighting assumes
3 that capital additions are closed from CWIP into plant in equal monthly increments
4 over the test years. Because both CWIP and Utility Plant in Service are components
5 of rate base, the 50% weighting is proper to use for Projected Years.

6
7 The Weighted Average Acquisition Adjustment (Line No. 29a) in Bay Point, Santa
8 Maria, Region 2 and the General Office was projected by using the 2025 recorded
9 value, as this value is not anticipated to change in the projected years.

10
11 (Q) Please explain the bottom section of the Utility Plant schedule, which begins on Line
12 No. 31.

13 (A) Additional information is provided at the bottom of this table (Line Nos. 31-36). The
14 historical weighting is identified for each of the five recorded years and four projected
15 years by subtracting Total Utility Plant – Beginning of Year Balance (Line No. 24) and
16 Acquisition Adjustment (Line No. 29a) from the Weighted Average Utility Plant – Total
17 (Line No. 30). Ratio to Annual Additions (Line No. 32) is calculated by dividing the
18 Weighted Average Additions (Line No. 31) by Total Utility Plant – Net Change During
19 Year (Line No. 25). Gross Additions (Line No. 33) is calculated by adding the Utility
20 Plant in Service – Gross Additions (Line No. 14) and Utility Plant Under Construction –
21 Net Change During Year (Line No. 21). Additionally, the Gross Additions have been
22 identified by associated funding sources based upon Advances for Construction (Line
23 No. 34), Contributions in Aid of Construction (Line No. 35) and Golden State funded
24 (Line No. 36).

25
26 (Q) Is this methodology new to the Commission?

27 (A) No. The methodology for forecasting total plant, as opposed to combining the results
28 of two separate forecasts for CWIP and plant in service, has been used routinely by
29

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Golden State. This method, which is centered on the assertion of a 50% CWIP weighting, was addressed specifically by the Commission in D.92-01-025, dated January 10, 1992⁶:

“...Branch proposes a deviation from this procedure, contending that plant additions for SoCalWater occur towards the end of the year. While Branch’s analysis of five-year plant additions may be accurate, it fails to recognize that before a specific plant addition is considered to be in service, it would be part of CWIP and thus would be included in the rate base. Therefore, the precise date of a plant additions being in service has no bearing on the test year estimate of weighted average plant and ultimately on rates. The practice of including 50% of CWIP as well as plant additions eliminates the need for computing a precise breakdown between CWIP and plant addition in service at any time during the test year. We believe that this practice is simple and fair to ratepayers as well as shareholders and should be continued. We will not adopt Branch’s recommendation.”

Depreciation Reserve Schedule

(Q) Please explain the purpose of the Depreciation and Amortization Reserve and Expenses schedule shown on **Attachment 3**⁷ (Table 4-N, General Office Table 3-G).

(A) The Depreciation and Amortization Reserve and Expense schedule, Attachment 3 (Table 4-N, General Office Table 3-G), details the accumulated depreciation of company owned properties and amortization of contributed properties net of retirements and adjustments, which is included in the rate base calculation. The

⁶ D.92-01-025 at 28.

⁷ Attachment 3 includes Region 2 and General Office Centralized Operations Support as examples.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

supporting data and calculations are included within electronic workbook "SEC-50_RB_Depr Reserve" of the RO model.

Recorded (historical) information is provided for the last five years, 2021 through 2025 in columns (b) through (f). Projected information (forecasted) is provided for the transition years 2026 and 2027, and test years 2028 and 2029, in columns (g) through (j).

(Q) Would you please explain the mechanics of the Depreciation and Amortization Reserve and Expenses schedule?

(A) For the projected years (2026-2029), the Beginning of Year Balance (Line No. 3) is rolled forward from the prior year's End of Year Balance (Line No. 14). For the projected years, the accrual charged to Clearing Accounts (Line No. 5) is based on last recorded in 2025.

The accrual charged to Contributions (Line No. 6) is based on beginning 2025 depreciable contributions, multiplied by the accrual depreciation rate. Transition year 2027 and test years 2028 and 2029 follow the same methodology as transition year 2026.

(Q) How is the depreciation and amortization accrual calculated?

(A) The depreciation rates for test years are derived from Golden State depreciation analyses discussed in the prepared Testimony of Gladys Estrada. These rates are multiplied by the depreciable plant to arrive at the depreciation and amortization accrual. The accrual charged to expenses is the depreciation and amortization accrual calculated on the bottom row of Table 4-N (Line 19), less the accrual charged to clearing accounts, less contributions.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Please refer to Gladys Estrada's prepared testimony for the mechanics of the depreciation analysis and how the depreciation rates were derived.

(Q) How are the Net Additions (Line No. 13) to the Depreciation and Amortization Reserve calculated?

(A) Net Additions is the sum of the accrual charged to clearing accounts, contributions and expenses less net retirements and the addition or subtraction of adjustments. Examples of adjustments booked in the depreciation reserve are the salvage recovered from and the costs of removal associated with retired assets.

(Q) How is the End of Year Balance (Line No. 14) calculated?

(A) It is the sum of the beginning of year balance (Line No. 3) and the net additions (Line No. 13).

(Q) How is the Weighted Average Balance (Line No. 15) calculated for the projected years?

(A) It is the sum of the beginning of year balance (Line No. 3) and the end of year balance (Line No. 14) divided by two.

(Q) How are the depreciable plant balances (Line No. 16) calculated for the projected years?

(A) The projected depreciable plant balances are calculated by subtracting land and land rights from the end of year plant balance of the preceding year (Table 4-M, Line No. 18) and adding 50% of current year's depreciable net additions as Golden State's depreciation method is mid-year convention whereby half of the depreciable plant additions are depreciated in the same year that they are in service.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

(Q) How is the composite accrual rate (Line No. 17) determined for the historical years, transition years and forecasted years?

(A) For the historical years, it is calculated as the subtotal of the accrual charged to clearing accounts, contributions and expenses (Line No. 8) divided by the depreciable plant (Line No. 16). For the transition years (2026 and 2027), it is calculated as the recorded 2025 accrual rate that is derived by dividing the 2025 recorded accrual by the 2025 depreciable plant.

(Q) How is the depreciation and amortization accrual (Line No. 18-19) determined for the projected years?

(A) The depreciation and amortization accrual is calculated as the depreciable plant (Line No. 16) multiplied by the composite accrual rate (Line No. 17) used for each year.

Advances and Contributions Schedules

(Q) Please explain **Attachment 4**⁸ (Table 4-O) titled “Advances for Construction and Contributions in Aid of Construction.”

(A) The Advances for Construction and Contributions in Aid of Construction schedule (Table 4-O) consists of two parts, Advances for Construction and Contributions in Aid of Construction. The Advances for Construction account represents the amount of money or properties advanced for construction purposes subject to Golden State’s rules and regulations, and subject to refund in whole or in part. The Contributions in Aid of Construction account include donations or contributions in cash, services, or property from states, municipalities or other governmental agencies, developers and others for construction purposes. The years 2021 through 2025 represent historical

⁸ Attachment 4 includes Region 2 as an example. Contributions and Advances are not projected for the GO as contributions and advances are not commonly received for GO-type assets.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

years and the years 2026 through 2029 represent projected years. The supporting data and calculations are included within electronic workbook "SEC-50_RB_Other Ratebase Items" of the RO model.

(Q) How is the Beginning of Year Balance for Advances (Line No. 3) derived for the projected years?

(A) For the years 2026 through 2029, the Beginning of Year Balance is the prior year's End of Year Balance (Line No. 8) rolled forward.

(Q) How were the Additions for Advances (Line No. 4) determined for the projected years?

(A) Additions to advances are zero in all projected years for all ratemaking areas, except San Juan Oaks and Sutter Pointe. San Juan Oaks and Sutter Pointe are still in the construction phases of development as discussed in Section V.

(Q) How were the refunds (Line No. 5) determined for the projected years?

(A) For the years 2026 through 2029, the beginning of year accumulated balances for advances were multiplied by the ratio of the 5-year recorded refunds to the beginning of the year balances. These refunds are for prior recorded advances, since the projected additions to advances are zero.

(Q) How are the End of Year balances for Advances (Line No. 8) computed for the recorded and projected years?

(A) The End of Year balance for advances is the sum of the following: the beginning of year balance, additions, refunds, transfers to contributions, and adjustments.

(Q) How is the weighted average balance for Advances (Line No. 9) determined for the projected years?

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

(A) For the projected years 2026-2029, the weighted average balance is calculated as the beginning of year balance (Line No. 3) for advances plus the end of the year balance (Line No. 8) divided by two.

(Q) How is the Beginning of Year Balance for Contributions (Line No. 11) derived for the projected years?

(A) For the years 2026 through 2029, the Beginning of Year Balance is the prior year's End of Year Balance (Line No. 16) rolled forward.

(Q) How were the Additions for Contributions (Line No. 12) determined for the projected years?

(A) Additions to contributions are zero in all projected years for all ratemaking areas. Likewise, contributions additions are not forecasted in utility plant in the projected years for all ratemaking areas.

(Q) How was the Depreciation for Contributions (Line No. 14) computed for the projected years?

(A) For the years 2026 through 2029, the contributions accrual was based on prior gross depreciable contributions multiplied by the accrual rate for contributions. This depreciation is of prior recorded contributions, since the forecasted additions to contributions are zero.

(Q) How are the End of Year balances for Contributions (Line No. 16) computed for the recorded and projected years?

(A) The End of Year balance for Contributions is the sum of the following: the beginning of year balance, additions, transfers from advances, depreciation and adjustments.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

(Q) How is the weighted average balance for Contributions (Line No. 17) determined for the projected years?

(A) For the projected years 2026-2029, the weighted average balance is calculated as the beginning of year balance (Line No. 11) for contributions plus the end of the year balance (Line No. 16) divided by two.

(Q) Are transfers and adjustments forecasted for Advances and Contributions?

(A) No as they are not predictable.

(Q) Has the overall methodology for forecasting advances and contributions described above been used in prior Commission Proceedings?

(A) Yes, Golden State has used this methodology in its prior General Rate Cases.

Weighted Average Rate Base Schedule

(Q) Please explain the Weighted Average Depreciated Rate Base Table **Attachment 5**⁹ (Table 4-L, Table 3-E for General Office).

(A) The Weighted Average Depreciated Rate Base that is included in Attachment 5 (Table 4-L, Table 3-E for General Office), represents the utility's investment in utility plant and the working capital necessary to operate the water systems. The years 2021 through 2025 are historical years and the years 2026 through 2029 are the projected years. The Utility Plant in Service, Utility Plant Under Construction and Acquisition Adjustment forecasts are obtained from the Utility Plant Schedule (Table 4-M) under the heading "Weighted Average Utility Plant." Total Utility Plant (Line No. 4) is the sum of Utility Plant in Service, Utility Plant under Construction and Acquisition Adjustment. The supporting data and calculations for the Weighted Average Depreciated Rate

⁹ Attachment 5 includes Region 2 and General Office Centralized Operations Support as examples.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Base Table are included within electronic workbook "SEC-50_RB_Summary Ratebase" of the RO model.

(Q) How are projected depreciation reserve amounts (Line No. 5) forecasted?

(A) For the years 2026 through 2029, the projected depreciation reserve amounts are a 50% weighting of the beginning of the year balance for depreciation reserve and the end of the year balance, as calculated in the Depreciation and Amortization Reserve and Expense (Table 4-N) under the heading "Weighted Average Balance."

(Q) How is the Net Utility Plant balance (Line No. 6) calculated?

(A) The Net Utility Plant is the difference between the Total Utility Plant and the Depreciation Reserve. The depreciation expense paid for by customers is subtracted from utility plant to insure that investors receive a return based only on their net investment.

(Q) How are the Materials and Supplies amounts (Line No. 7) forecasted for the projected years?

(A) For the projected years 2026 through 2029, the 2025 recorded amount was used as the forecast.

(Q) How are the Advances and Contributions amounts (Line Nos. 8 and 9) forecasted for the projected years?

(A) For the projected years 2026 through 2029, they are forecasted using a 50% weighted average of the beginning of year balance and the end of year balance as calculated in Table 4-O. This is true for both advances and contributions.

(Q) The line entitled "Rate Base before Adjustments" is the sum of Net Utility Plant and Materials and Supplies, offset by Advances and Contributions; is that correct?

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 (A) Yes. That is correct.

2
3 (Q) Please explain the Rate Base Adjustments, excluding the adjustments for Deferred
4 Income Taxes, Investment Tax Credit (ITC) and Excess Deferred Taxes, which are
5 addressed in the prepared testimony of Alric Oishi, beginning on page 4.

6 (A) Deferred Revenues represents the Income Tax Component of Contribution (ITCC),
7 which is the amount received from the developer for the tax liability incurred for the
8 taxable portion of CIAC. ~~is associated with the Commission having adopted a "Method~~
9 ~~5" approach with respect to the ratemaking consequences of contributions that are~~
10 ~~taxable. After the enactment of the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996, most~~
11 ~~contributions were no longer taxable effective June 12, 1996. With the enactment of~~
12 ~~the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) on December 22, 2017, amounts/property received~~
13 ~~and considered to be contributions in aid of construction are taxable for federal~~
14 ~~income tax purposes. The projected deferred revenues include the tax component~~
15 ~~associated with forecasted contributions and the amortization of prior deferred~~
16 ~~revenue balances.~~

17
18 Allowance for Working Cash compensates investors for funds provided by them,
19 which are permanently committed to the business for the purpose of paying operating
20 expenses in advance of receipt of offsetting revenues from its customers and in order
21 to maintain minimum bank balances. The calculations for working cash are discussed
22 in section V below.

23
24 Common Utility Allocation represents each ratemaking area's allocation of the three
25 General Office segment's rate base, as well as the allocation of the Northern District
26 Office (Arden Cordova, Bay Point, Clearlake, San Juan Oaks and Sutter Pointe),
27 Coastal District Office (Los Osos, Santa Maria and Simi Valley), Central and
28 Southwest District Offices (Region 2), and Foothill, Mountain Desert and Orange

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

County District Offices (Region 3), as applicable. The projected General Office and District Office weighted average rate base is multiplied by an allocation factor for the respective ratemaking area to determine the projected Common Utility Allocation forecast. The methodology to derive the allocation factors is discussed in Section V of the prepared testimony of Jenny Darney-Lane.

(Q) How is Weighted Average Rate Base (Line No. 16) calculated?

(A) Weighted average rate base is the sum of the rate base before adjustments and all of the rate base adjustments.

(Q) How is Weighted Average Rate Base calculated for the third year of the rate cycle (2030)?

(A) Golden State is ~~using the~~modifying the attrition allowance methodology for calculating rate base ~~additions~~ in year 3 by ~~adding the difference between test year 1 and test year 2 rate base to test year 2 rate base~~normalizing the weighted depreciation reserve balance and deferred income taxes. ~~Depreciation expense is handled in the same way.~~ This is a deviation from the Rate Case Plan (RCP) method due to the RCP creating an inconsistency between (a) the amounts of test year 3 depreciation and income tax expenses recovered in cost of service revenue requirement, and (b) the test year 3 depreciation reserve and deferred income tax activities used to compute test year 3 rate base. The Internal Revenue Code requires consistency in order to satisfy tax normalization requirements. On February 1, 2024, Golden State received a Private Letter Ruling (PLR)¹⁰ from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) confirming that Year-3 rates under the RCP method would violate the consistency rules. The methodology used by Golden State to forecast the third-year rate base in 2030

¹⁰ <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-wd/202417002.pdf>

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

conforms with the PLR and was previously used to set rates in 2024 and will be used to set rates in 2027¹¹.

Rate Base Special Adjustments

(Q) Were any special adjustments made to rate base amounts?

(A) Yes. An adjustment was made to reflect the inclusion of a portion of the equity related AFUDC of Rate Base Offsets approved in Advice Letter 1558 (AL 1558).

(Q) Please provide a background of Advice Letter 1558.

(A) Golden State filed AL 1558 on February 28, 2014, and later supplemented it with AL 1558-WA on January 23, 2015. This advice letter was filed pursuant to D.10-12-059, dated December 16, 2010, in Application (A.)10-01-009, filed January 13, 2010.¹² In AL 1558, Golden State requested authorization to include in rate base the costs of completed, operational, used and useful capital projects and its Allowance For Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC), in its Arden Cordova, Bay Point and Santa Maria districts. AL 1558-WA was approved on March 1, 2015. The costs that were authorized for inclusion in rate base by ratemaking area are listed below:

	Approved Capital Costs	AFUDC	Total
Arden Cordova	\$1,234,792	\$241,630	\$1,476,422
Bay Point	\$124,300	\$25,196	\$149,496
Santa Maria	\$2,073,185	\$391,641	\$2,464,826

¹¹ See D.25-01-036, Section 3.8.

¹² Ordering Paragraph Nos. 2a, 2e and 3 in D. 10-12-059 in GSWC's A.10-01-009.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 (Q) Did Golden State include these costs in rate base?

2 (A) In part. The majority of these costs were included in recorded rate base as authorized,
3 except a portion of the equity related AFUDC that was not included.

4
5 (Q) Please explain why a portion of the equity related AFUDC was not included in
6 recorded rate base?

7 (A) Based on accounting guidance, ASC 980-340-25-5 through 25-6, the regulated
8 utilities applying ASC 980 are not permitted to capitalize the cost of equity, except
9 while a plant is under construction. At the time of approving AL 1558-WA, which was
10 March 1, 2015 (almost a year after its filing), none of these projects were still under
11 construction.

12
13 ASC 980-340-25-5 states:

14 "Consistent with this guidance, although a regulator may permit a utility to
15 recover the "cost" of equity in rates, the regulated utility should not capitalize
16 the equity component or otherwise record a regulatory asset, regardless of
17 whether future recovery is probable. For purposes of financial reporting, the
18 equity component should not be recognized until it is collected through rates."

19
20 Based on the above, Golden State did not record the equity portion of AFUDC related
21 to the period after construction was completed on its books and therefore adjusts the
22 rate base in this proceeding for ratemaking purposes to ensure continued rate
23 recovery of these previously authorized amounts.

24
25 (Q) Where did you include the AL 1558-WA adjustments in rate base?

26 (A) The utility plant adjustments were incorporated in Arden Cordova, Bay Point, and
27 Santa Maria ratemaking area's rate base, Table 4-M (Utility Plant, Line No. 12). The
28 corresponding depreciation adjustments were incorporated in the recorded years 2017

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 through 2025 and forecasted years 2026 through 2029 in Table 4-N (Depreciation
2 Reserve).

3
4 (Q) Is this the first time this adjustment has been included in Golden State's General Rate
5 Case filing?

6 (A) No. This adjustment was also included in Golden State's prior 2017, 2020 and 2023
7 General Rate Cases (A.17-07-010, A.20-07-012, A.23-08-010) and reviewed by the
8 Commission in those proceedings.

9
10 (Q Please explain the adjustments made for certain projects approved as Advice Letter
11 projects pursuant to D.25-01-036.

12 (A) On February 3, 2025 the Commission approved D.25-01-036 which authorized
13 Golden State to include in rates, through the advice letter process, 75 additional
14 capital projects. Section 3.3.1, page 46 states, "The Settling Parties also agreed to 75
15 additional capital projects that Golden State may treat as Advice Letter projects
16 totaling \$75,887,649 for costs incurred after December 31, 2022. The total Advice
17 Letter projects amount include \$58,233,087 in 2023 and \$17,654,562 in 2024. A
18 memorandum account (see Appendix C of the Settlement Agreement) will track
19 accrued interest during the construction period for each Advice Letter project at
20 Golden State's adopted cost of debt until the assets are in service, and the full rate of
21 return and applicable components of revenue requirement, such as depreciation and
22 property taxes, for the projects from the period the assets are in service to the date
23 Golden State files its attrition year filings for 2026 and 2027. At that time, the
24 completed assets and the associated amounts in the memorandum account will be
25 added to the adopted rate base, subject to Commission confirmation that the amounts
26 were appropriately recorded in the memorandum account." The associated amounts
27 in the memorandum account were filed on November 10, 2025 in advice letters AL-

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1974, AL-1976, AL-1977, A-1978, AL-1979 and AL-1980 to include the amounts in rate base.

(Q) What is the total effect of this adjustment?

(A) This adjustment accumulates to \$13,982,029 of associated amounts that were tracked in the 2023 GRC Capital Memorandum account and affects the following RMAs: Northern Consolidated, Los Osos, Santa Maria, Simi Valley, Region 2 and Region 3. See table below for the detailed breakout.

RMA	Advice Letter No.	Approved Capital Costs
Northern Consolidated	AL-1974	\$203,025
Los Osos	AL-1976	\$148,377
Santa Maria	AL-1977	\$969,494
Simi Valley	A-1978	\$198,517
Region 2	AL-1979	\$6,093,729
Region 3	AL-1980	\$6,368,887

(Q) Does this adjustment include all of the approved advice letter projects?

(A) Of the 75 capital projects approved, 74 of them were included in the advice letter filings in 2025 for the 2026 escalation year. The one project that was excluded relates to the Higuera Bridge project located in Region 2. This project was not completed in 2025 and is anticipated to be completed in 2026, with carrying costs being tracked in a memorandum account. The Higuera Bridge project is included in the CWIP forecast for capital additions, but Golden State has not included any of the carrying costs that are currently being tracked in the memorandum account. In the event that the memorandum account carrying costs are included in the escalation rates for 2027,

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Golden State requests that the carrying costs approved in the advice letter should be added to the final rate base adopted in this proceeding in order to continue to reflect them in rates during the rate cycle.

(Q) Are there more adjustments to rate base?

(A) Yes, please see Section V for details regarding adjustments related to acquisitions.

III. General Office Capital

(Q) What is the total projected capital budget, including Construction Work In Progress and New Capital projects, for the General Office for 2026 -2029?

(A) Please see **Attachment 6** for the fully loaded costs associated with the General Office capital budget.

(Q) What are loaded versus unloaded costs?

(A) Unloaded costs are raw costs of the project as quoted. Other than software, or if the quote already has tax added to it, a 9% sales tax¹³ is applied to the raw cost. Loaded costs are unloaded costs (including sales tax if applicable) with overhead¹⁴, contingency¹⁵, and escalation factors added. The escalation factor used is CPI-U¹⁶.

¹³ <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/rates.aspx> (Blended rate between Los Angeles County = 9.75% and Sacramento and Orange County = 7.75%). Golden State Water's General Office is located in Los Angeles County.

¹⁴ Overhead rates are addressed in the prepared testimony of Emily Chow.

¹⁵ Contingency rate is addressed in the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony

¹⁶ January 2026 CPI-U rate: <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/cpi.pdf> at Table 5.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Certain projects do not include escalation factors because the quotes provided are specific for that budgeted year, so there is no need to escalate.

General Office Construction Work In Progress

(Q) What are the amounts reflected in Construction Work in Progress for 2026-2029 for General Office – Corporate Support (A), Centralized Operations Support (W) and Utility Support Services (U)?

(A) Please see **Attachment 7** for a listing of the GO CWIP projects by GO segment. Attachment 7 includes CWIP projects that are still open on December 31, 2025, and the amount of money needed to complete and close the projects in years 2026 through 2029. It also includes 2025 and 2026 projects that were authorized by D.23-06-024 but not yet opened on December 31, 2025, and projects not previously authorized by the Commission for which money is to be spent in 2026.

(Q) Which 2026 General Office CWIP projects are not currently authorized by the Commission?

(A) The 2026 CWIP projects that are not currently authorized by the Commission include:

- (1) Cisco Remote Routers Eos,
- (2) JD Edwards (JDE) Revenue Uplift 2026,
- (3) PowerPlan and JDE Application Enhancements,
- (4) Performance laptop for new EAM Coordinator
- (5) Replacement of vehicle #507894
- (6) EAMS Software Subscription - Cityworks Project,
- (7) AMI Procurement Support,
- (8) SCADA Office Upgrades,
- (9) FME Subscription,
- (10) Water Supply Planning Pilot,
- (11) KloudGin Software Subscription,

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

(12) Esri License Subscription and

(13) Aveva Flex Subscription

(Q) Please explain the need for these not previously authorized CWIP projects.

(A) The CWIP projects numbered (1) and (2) above are discussed in the prepared testimony of Daniel Diaz, pages 86-88. The supporting documents can be found in the General Office Construction Work In Progress Workpapers, pages 2-8. The CWIP projects numbered (6) through (13) above are discussed in the prepared testimony of Douglas Van Gelder, pages 56-68, and Appendix B and C includes the supporting documentation. The other CWIP projects numbered (3) through (5) above are discussed below.

PowerPlan and JD Edwards ("JDE") Application Enhancements

2026 Budget \$155,402

Refer to the General Office Construction Work In Progress Workpapers (pages 9-29) for supporting documentation.

Project Description

PowerPlan and JD Edwards ("JDE") Application Enhancements to Support Sewer Reporting Requirements

Project Need

GSWC reported only as a water utility prior to May 2025. In May 2025, GSWC purchased from a developer the San Juan Oaks water and sewer system assets located in San Benito County. GSWC is required to report the sewer utility as a standalone system with a standalone balance sheet and income statement in order to meet the reporting requirements of the Annual CPUC Sewer Report. However, in

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 order to do so, GSWC is required to develop enhancements to JDE, the company's
2 ERP system, and PowerPlan, asset management system, to track the newly
3 purchased sewer system's financial activities. For instance, under PowerPlan, Sewer
4 utility plant assets have specific Uniform System of Account codes that are different
5 from that of a water utility. In order to gain access to the reporting tools that allow for
6 this information, additional development and configuration in PowerPlan was required.
7 The implementation and production launch of sewer reporting will be completed in
8 2026.

Performance laptop for new Enterprise Asset Management (EAM) Coordinator

10 2026 Budget \$5,000

12
13 Refer to the General Office Construction Work In Progress Workpapers (pages 30-32)
14 for supporting documentation.

Project Description

16 Purchase a new laptop for a new position.

Project Need

19 The Water Operations department requires a robust workstation to accommodate
20 the extreme processor demands of specialized software. Due to the position
21 requiring a non-standard laptop, this purchase is not included in the IT capital
22 budget.
23

Replacement of vehicle #507894

25 2026 Budget \$70,000

27 See vehicles workpapers for replacement cost support.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Description

Replace the following vehicles in 2026: 507894

Project Need

Consistent with the vehicle replacement rate reflected in the capital budgets adopted in D.25-01-036, GSWC follows the latest version of the California Department of General Services (DGS) vehicle replacement guidelines. Between the replacement schedule and methodology recommended by DGS this vehicle listed above is expected to meet the replacement criteria in 2026. Vehicles with high mileage typically incur additional maintenance costs that exceed the value of the vehicle and produce higher emissions than newer vehicles. The replacement vehicle will be similar and/or in compliance with our current vehicle policy. See the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony beginning at page 286 for more details on vehicle replacement.

General Office New Capital Budget

(Q) Please explain the need for new capital budget project requests for the General Office by segment.

(A) See below.

2027-2029 Corporate Support Capital Budget

Information Technology (85, 86, 87, 89)

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Refer to the Prepared Testimony of Daniel Diaz, pages 2 – 80 and the Information Technology (“IT”) Department section of the General Office Corporate Support Capital Workpapers (pages 1-144) for supporting documents.

GO Facility (79)

Refer to the General Office Facility Department Workpapers section of the General Office Corporate Support Capital Workpapers (pages 146-151) for supporting documents.

General Office Facility Replacements

2027 Budget \$75,200

2028 Budget \$77,000

2026 Budget \$78,900

Project Description

This budget item is to account for the general office miscellaneous needs that occur throughout the year.

Project Need

The General Office Building is a single-story 32,000 square foot building approximately 37 years old and experiences typical age related failures that are not foreseen during the rate case cycle. This fund will provide for the timely replacement of building related items and appurtenances upon failure or significant degradation in performance. Specifically, these funds will be used to replace plumbing fixtures, electric components, doors, windows, miscellaneous hardware (i.e: door hardware), cabinetry, outdoor fixtures and other building and property

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

related items. The amount was derived by calculating the four-year average for the unplanned and unbudgeted repairs from 2021 to 2025.

Replace Carpet in Worn Areas

2027 Budget \$70,000

2028 Budget \$71,700

2029 Budget \$73,500

Project Description

Replace carpet over 3 years.

Project Need

The carpet throughout the office is 16 years old and shows signs of wear and age and needs to be replaced.

Replace G.O. Exhaust Fans

2027 Budget \$15,200

Project Description

There are 5 exhaust fans for the building and all 5 need to be replaced.

Project Need

Our HVAC contractor has advised that the exhaust fans are worn and will need to be replaced.

Slurry Seal and Stripe Parking Lots

2028 Budget \$17,900

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Description

Slurry, seal, and stripe GO parking lots.

Project Need

The lifespan of an asphalt parking lot is increased by more than double when properly maintained with a slurry and seal coat every 4-5 years. It was last seal coated and striped in early 2019 and will be due for another in 2028. In addition, the parking stall markings wear down every few years requiring new stripes.

Replace Batteries In Data Center UPS

2029 Budget \$32,400

Project Description

Replace 80 uninterruptible power system (UPS) batteries that will be at the end of their useful life.

Project Need

During power outages, the (UPS) provides up to eight minutes of power to the Data Center equipment which allows time for the generator to start up, or in the event of generator failure, allows the equipment to be shut down safely. The current UPS batteries will reach the end of their useful life in 2029 and need replacement.

2027-2029 Centralized Operations Support Capital Budget

Asset Management (30W)

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Refer to the Asset Management Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 1 of 2 (pages 2-5) for supporting documents.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment

2027 Budget \$5,400

2028 Budget \$5,600

2029 Budget \$5,700

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the Asset Management department (30W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Asset Management (30W) department, including office furniture, file storage cabinets, specialty computer software, hardware, and software licenses. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

Capital Program Management I (31W), II (32W), III (38W)

Refer to the Capital Program Management (CPM) Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 1 of 2 (pages 7- 10) for supporting documents.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment (31W)

2027 Budget \$6,500

2028 Budget \$6,600

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

2029 Budget \$6,800

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the CPM department (31W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Capital Program Management (31W) department, including office furniture, file storage cabinets, paper shredders, desktop printers, specialty computer software and hardware, and software licenses. Other items also replaced on an ongoing basis are hard hats, plan holders, survey equipment, tape measures, pressure gauges, and line locators. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture and equipment generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

Replace Vehicles (31W)

2027 Budget \$67,600

2028 Budget \$138,400

2029 Budget \$172,900

Project Description

Replace the following vehicles in 2027: 512514

Replace the following vehicles in 2028: 512565 and 512512

Replace the following vehicles in 2029: 509649, 512515 and 509756

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Need

Consistent with the vehicle replacement rate reflected in the capital budgets adopted in D.25-01-036, GSWC follows the latest version of the California Department of General Services (DGS) vehicle replacement guidelines. Between the replacement schedule and methodology recommended by DGS this vehicle listed above is expected to meet the replacement criteria in 2026. Vehicles with high mileage typically incur additional maintenance costs that exceed the value of the vehicle and produce higher emissions than newer vehicles. The replacement vehicle will be similar and/or in compliance with our current vehicle policy. See the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony beginning at page 286 for more details on vehicle replacement. See vehicles workpapers for replacement cost support.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment (32W)

2027 Budget \$6,500

2028 Budget \$6,600

2029 Budget \$6,800

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the CPM department (32W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Capital Program Management (32W) department, including office furniture, file storage cabinets, paper shredders, desktop printers, specialty computer software and hardware, and software licenses. Other items also replaced on an ongoing basis are hard hats, plan holders, survey equipment, tape

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

measures, pressure gauges, and line locators. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture and equipment generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

Replace Vehicles (32W)

2027 Budget \$263,200

2029 Budget \$121,900

Project Description

Replace the following vehicles in 2027: 509614, 512834, 510372, 509602

Replace the following vehicles in 2029: 509705, 511876

Project Need

Consistent with the vehicle replacement rate reflected in the capital budgets adopted in D.25-01-036, GSWC follows the latest version of the California Department of General Services (DGS) vehicle replacement guidelines. Between the replacement schedule and methodology recommended by DGS this vehicle listed above is expected to meet the replacement criteria in 2026. Vehicles with high mileage typically incur additional maintenance costs that exceed the value of the vehicle and produce higher emissions than newer vehicles. The replacement vehicle will be similar and/or in compliance with our current vehicle policy. See the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony beginning at page 286 for more details on vehicle replacement. See vehicles workpapers for replacement cost support.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment (38W)

2027 Budget \$6,500

2028 Budget \$6,600

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

2029 Budget \$6,800

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the CPM department (38W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Capital Program Management (38W) department, including office furniture, file storage cabinets, paper shredders, desktop printers, specialty computer software and hardware, and software licenses. Other items also replaced on an ongoing basis are hard hats, plan holders, survey equipment, tape measures, pressure gauges, and line locators. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture and equipment generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

Replace Vehicles (38W)

2027 Budget \$64,000

2028 Budget \$138,400

Project Description

Replace the following vehicles in 2027: 508757

Replace the following vehicle in 2028: 512510, 512509

Project Need

Consistent with the vehicle replacement rate reflected in the capital budgets adopted in D.25-01-036, GSWC follows the latest version of the California Department of General Services (DGS) vehicle replacement guidelines. Between

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

the replacement schedule and methodology recommended by DGS this vehicle listed above is expected to meet the replacement criteria in 2026. Vehicles with high mileage typically incur additional maintenance costs that exceed the value of the vehicle and produce higher emissions than newer vehicles. The replacement vehicle will be similar and/or in compliance with our current vehicle policy. See the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony beginning at page 286 for more details on vehicle replacement. See vehicles workpapers for replacement cost support.

Customer Service Center (CSC) (91W)

Refer to the Customer Service Center Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 1 of 2 (pages 12-17) for supporting documents.

Agent Wireless Headsets

2027 Budget \$6,600

2029 Budget \$6,900

Project Description

Replacement of 60 Units of Agents' Headsets (30 in each budgeted year)

Project Need

The CSC replaces headsets used by the Customer Service Center Associates. The headsets enable "hands free" handling of incoming calls and efficiently complete necessary documentation on the customers' account while talking to the customers. The headsets are ergonomic tools that can help the CSC associates work efficiently to meet current phone service standards and enable them to do their jobs safely throughout the day.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

CSC Workstation UPS Replacements

2027 Budget \$14,700

Project Description

Replacement of 60 Units of CSC Workstation UPS

Project Need

The CSC equips each employee workstation with a back-up UPS units to keep the electronic equipment secure and connected to the network and phone system servers during unexpected power outages. The units have an approximate shelf-life of five years.

CSC Workstation Hydraulic Desk Replacements – 20 Units

2028 Budget \$48,600

Project Description

Replacement of 20 CSC Workstation Hydraulic Desks

Project Need

The CSC has 20 workstations equipped with hydraulic desks that are over 20 years old. These are ergonomic desks that allow the CSC associates on the phones to assume sit and stand positions interchangeably as needed, enabling them to do their jobs safely throughout the day.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

CSC iPad Replacements – 8 Units

2029 Budget \$10,300

Project Description

Replacement of Eight CSC iPads

Project Need

The CSC equips each CSC supervisor with an iPad to use for monitoring phone system and CSC associates' performance, field activity status and completion, and deploying GEMS emergency alerts as needed. The original iPads were purchased in 2025 and will need to be replaced after four years.

Engineering Planning (21W)

Refer to the Engineering Planning section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 1 of 2 (pgs. 19-176) for supporting documents.

Hydraulic Modeling Efficiency/Automation Improvements Study

2027 Budget \$646,400

Project Description

Hire consultant to develop roadmap for efficiency and automation improvements to Golden State's current hydraulic modeling software and update processes.

Project Need

Golden State has 41 water distribution systems, 38 operational steady-state InfoWater Pro hydraulic models (the three newest systems/acquisitions do not yet

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 have models developed) and two Extended Period Simulation (EPS) models – and
2 Golden State’s goal is to develop additional EPS models for its more hydraulically
3 complex water systems.

4
5 As the complexity of Golden State’s Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and
6 data analysis needs increase, regular updates of these hydraulic models are critical
7 for operational decision-making and engineering analyses of the water systems,
8 including network tracing, firm capacity analyses, Unidirectional Flushing Plan
9 development, fire flow modeling, water age analyses, etc. However, the current
10 manual process limits Golden State’s ability to update these models on the desired
11 frequency; ideally, the models would be updated with each published change to the
12 GIS system data, but currently each model is fully updated only approximately once
13 every 12+ months, which leads to delays in accurate desktop hydraulic analysis as
14 compared to what is installed in the field, impacting the precision of pressure and
15 fire flow analysis results in response to Operations and customer requests. In
16 addition, Golden State’s GIS is migrating from a geometric network data model to
17 the Esri Utility Network (UN), which will require a major re-write of the GIS-hydraulic
18 model data exchange process, and there are other hydraulic modeling software
19 programs (besides InfoWater Pro) which should be evaluated that may better align
20 with the UN model, have more automation opportunities to reduce reliance on the
21 current manual process, and provide improved tools to develop and maintain
22 accurate EPS models.

23
24 In order to have a clear roadmap for transitioning Golden State’s hydraulic models
25 to the modernized data model and evaluate automation opportunities, a consultant
26 study is needed, as developing an automation and efficiency roadmap requires
27 expertise in:

- 28 • Software architecture modernization

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

- Workflow automation (e.g., scripting, API integration)
- Data pipeline optimization
- Change management for technical teams
- Industry best practices in hydraulic modeling

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment

2027 Budget \$1,900

2028 Budget \$2,000

2029 Budget \$2,000

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the Engineering Planning Department (21W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Engineering Planning Department (21W), including office furniture, file storage cabinets, specialty computer software, hardware, and software licenses. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

Engineering Design (24W)

Refer to the Engineering Design Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 1 of 2 (pages 178-181) for supporting documents.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment

2027 Budget \$6,500

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

2028 Budget \$6,600

2029 Budget \$6,800

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the Engineering Design Center (24W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Engineering Design Center (24W), including office furniture, file storage cabinets, specialty computer software, hardware, and software licenses. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

Replace Vehicle# 513135

2028 Budget \$65,600

Project Description

Replace the following vehicle in 2028: 513135

Project Need

Consistent with the vehicle replacement rate reflected in the capital budgets adopted in D.25-01-036, GSWC follows the latest version of the California Department of General Services (DGS) vehicle replacement guidelines. Between the replacement schedule and methodology recommended by DGS this vehicle listed above is expected to meet the replacement criteria in 2026. Vehicles with high mileage typically incur additional maintenance costs that exceed the value of the vehicle and produce higher emissions than newer vehicles. The replacement

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

vehicle will be similar and/or in compliance with our current vehicle policy. See the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony beginning at page 286 for more details on vehicle replacement. See vehicles workpapers for replacement cost support.

New Business (34W)

Refer to the New Business Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 1 of 2 (pages 183-186) for supporting documents.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment

2027 Budget \$6,500

2028 Budget \$6,600

2029 Budget \$6,800

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the Engineering Design Center (24W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Engineering Design Center (24W), including office furniture, file storage cabinets, specialty computer software, hardware, and software licenses. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Field Technology Services (36W)

Refer to the Prepared Testimony of Doug Van Gelder and the Field Technology Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 2 of 2 (pages 2-313) for supporting documents. For AMI related projects, refer to the prepared testimony of Tricia Anklan and Nem Ochoa. For AMI supporting documents, refer to the AMI workpapers.

Planning & Analysis Department (37W)

Refer to the Planning and Analysis Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 2 of 2 (pages 314-317) for supporting documents.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment

2027 Budget \$12,400

2028 Budget \$7,300

2029 Budget \$13,000

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the Planning & Analysis Department (37W).

Project Need

Miscellaneous office furniture and equipment must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Planning & Analysis Department (37W), including office furniture, file storage cabinets, specialty computer software, hardware, and software licenses. The cost of this miscellaneous office furniture generally occurs on a regular basis and is fairly consistent in magnitude.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Procurement Department (35W)

Refer to the Procurement Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 2 of 2 (pages 319-336) for supporting documents.

Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment

2027 Budget \$7,800

2028 Budget \$7,900

2029 Budget \$8,100

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the Procurement (35W) department.

Project Need

There are miscellaneous office furniture and equipment that must be purchased and/or replaced throughout the year in the Procurement Department (35W) department including office furniture, file storage cabinets, paper shredders, desktop printers, specialty computer software and hardware, and software licenses. These miscellaneous office furniture and equipment costs generally occur on a regular basis and are fairly consistent in magnitude.

Air Purifiers for Via Verde Office

2027 Budget \$10,700

Project Description

Purchase and/or replace 24 air purifiers.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Need

Per the warranty life of air purifiers, they must be replaced every two years. Air purifiers improve indoor air quality by removing harmful particles like dust, pollen, pet dander, smoke, and mold spores using HEPA-certified filters, to reduce allergy and asthma symptoms. They also reduce airborne viruses and bacteria, promoting respiratory health.

AV System for Via Verde Office

2027 Budget \$85,200

Project Description

This project involves the replacement and upgrade of the existing Audio-Visual (AV) system installed in 2018. The scope of this project includes procurement and installation of a new projector, upgraded audio system, and compatible control/interface equipment to ensure full functionality, reliability, and integration with current technology standards.

Project Need

The existing AV system, installed in 2018, is no longer functioning reliably and has reached the end of its useful life. The current system includes a ceiling-mounted projector, integrated speaker system, and associated control components that support presentations, meetings, and operational functions. The projector is non-operational, and the speakers are faulty, significantly impacting the ability to conduct meetings, presentations, and day-to-day business operations. Continued use of the current system poses operational inefficiencies and limits effective communication. Replacement of the AV system is necessary to restore functionality, improve audio and visual quality, and support ongoing organizational needs with dependable and modern equipment.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Bar Code Scanners

2027 Budget \$23,300

Project Description

This project involves the procurement, deployment, and configuration of barcode scanners across 30 operational locations. The scope includes selection of compatible scanning devices, integration with existing JDE system, and distribution to each site. Implementation will also include device setup, testing, and coordination with end users to ensure proper functionality and consistency across all locations.

Project Need

The scanners will be used to support inventory management, asset tracking, and material handling processes. The goal is to standardize scanning capabilities, improve data accuracy, and enhance operational efficiency across the organization. The implementation of barcode scanners across 30 locations is necessary to improve the accuracy, efficiency, and consistency of inventory and asset management processes. Current manual tracking methods increase the risk of data entry errors, misplaced items, and inefficiencies in material handling. The lack of standardized scanning technology across locations also limits real-time visibility into inventory levels and asset movement. Deploying barcode scanners will streamline operations, reduce errors, enhance data reliability, and support better decision-making by providing timely and accurate information across all sites.

Barcode Printer

2027 Budget \$23,100

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Description

This project involves the procurement and deployment of barcode printers to support labeling operations across organizational facilities. The barcode printers will be used to generate standardized labels for inventory, assets, and materials, ensuring compatibility with barcode scanning systems and JDE inventory management system. The scope includes selection of appropriate printer models, acquisition of necessary supplies (e.g., labels and ribbons), configuration, and distribution to designated locations. Implementation will also include setup, testing, and coordination with end users to ensure consistent and reliable printing capabilities.

Project Need

The organization is implementing a new standardized barcoding system to improve inventory and asset tracking across operations. Currently, barcode technology has not been deployed, resulting in reliance on manual tracking processes that are prone to errors, inconsistencies, and limited visibility. This project includes the procurement of barcode printers and scanners, along with integration into the existing JD Edwards (JDE) system, to establish a fully functional end-to-end barcoding solution. Implementing this system is necessary to enable accurate real-time data capture, improve inventory accuracy, streamline receiving and issuing processes, and enhance overall operational efficiency across all locations.

Ice Machine

2027 Budget \$12,000

2028 Budget \$12,200

2029 Budget \$12,500

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Description

This project involves the replacement of three existing machines located at the Via Verde office. The current equipment is no longer under warranty and has experienced increased breakdowns and maintenance requirements.

Project Need

The three existing machines at the Via Verde office are no longer under warranty and have experienced increasing breakdowns and maintenance requirements. This has resulted in reduced reliability, higher maintenance demands, and operational disruptions that impact workflow efficiency. Continued use of aging equipment also increases the risk of unexpected failures and downtime. Replacing the machines is necessary to restore reliable operations, reduce ongoing maintenance costs and service interruptions, and ensure consistent performance in support of facility operations.

Water Resources (22W)

Refer to the Water Resources Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 2 of 2 (pages 338-341) for supporting documents.

Replace Vehicle# 509706

2029 Budget \$67,200

Project Description

Replace the following vehicles in 2029: 509706

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Need

Consistent with the vehicle replacement rate reflected in the capital budgets adopted in D.25-01-036, GSWC follows the latest version of the California Department of General Services (DGS) vehicle replacement guidelines. Between the replacement schedule and methodology recommended by DGS this vehicle listed above is expected to meet the replacement criteria in 2026. Vehicles with high mileage typically incur additional maintenance costs that exceed the value of the vehicle and produce higher emissions than newer vehicles. The replacement vehicle will be similar and/or in compliance with our current vehicle policy. See the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony beginning at page 286 for more details on vehicle replacement. See vehicles workpapers for replacement cost support.

Environmental Compliance Department (71W)

Refer to the Environmental Compliance Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 2 of 2 (pages 343-353) for supporting documents.

Ergonomic Equipment

2027 Budget \$63,700

2028 Budget \$65,200

2029 Budget \$66,800

Project Description

Purchase ergonomic equipment per year.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Project Need

For employees with ergonomic needs, this equipment helps to prevent or reduce repetitive motion injuries such as tendonitis and carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) as well as leg and back issues due to sitting for prolonged periods of time. This equipment includes ergonomic chairs, sit-stands work units, adjustable keyboard trays, ergonomic keyboards and mice, corner sleeves, footrests, etc., and enables employees to adjust their computer workstations within their reach and range. This budget allows for the ergonomic accommodation for 25 employees with a full set of equipment each.

Safety Training Videos/DVDs

2027 Budget \$4,700

2028 Budget \$4,800

2029 Budget \$4,900

Project Description

Purchase safety training videos/DVDs per year.

Project Need

Safety training videos (e.g. DVDs) are used regularly in biweekly meetings to instruct operational field and office employees on safe work practices/methods, safety regulation compliance, and hazard recognition and correction in the workplace to prevent injuries. The average cost of a video/DVD is \$225. This budget allows for the replacement of old or damaged (VHS) videotapes with newer safety topics and content on DVDs.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Automatic External Defibrillators

2027 Budget \$10,900

2028 Budget \$11,200

2029 Budget \$11,400

Project Description

Purchase automatic external defibrillators for various offices per year.

Project Need

The use of automatic external defibrillators (AEDs) as life-saving devices will be an invaluable part of our emergency response training. Designated teams of employee-volunteers are trained by the American Red Cross to provide CPR/First-Aid/AED response in an emergency. It is a well-known fact that responding during the initial minutes of a medical emergency with an AED can be crucial. We will increase the number of company locations for AEDs and select offices based on the total employees assigned to work there and the building size. The average cost for one AED is \$2,300 (that includes the replacement of parts with a service life such as the CPR Pads and batteries). GSWC plans to buy and install 4 AEDs each year in 2027, 2028, and 2029.

Security Assessments

2027 Budget \$1,619,000

Project Description

Conduct security assessments on over 300 Golden State facility sites. The assessments will include review of crime statistics, past incidents, current security protocols, and existing security infrastructure, including access points, sightlines,

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 lighting, locks, fencing, cameras, access controls, intrusion and alarms to assess
2 suitability to control or mitigate for criminal activity including theft, vandalism, and
3 unauthorized entry. Project goal is to enhance protection of employees, assets,
4 customer and critical infrastructure data by developing and evaluating current
5 measures, and recommending tailored improvements for better resilience.

6 7 **Project Need**

8 The Department of Homeland Security has identified "Water & Wastewater" as one
9 of the 16 critical infrastructure sectors that are considered so vital to the United
10 States that their incapacitation or destruction would have a debilitating effect on
11 security, national economic security and national public health per Presidential
12 Policy Directive 21. Security assessments are part of our ongoing process and will
13 drive future site improvements.

14
15 Assessments will be performed consistently with requirements of SB 553
16 Workplace Violence Regulations and Environmental Protection Agency's America
17 Infrastructure Act (AWIA) Risk and Resiliency Assessment requirements. AWIA
18 assessments replaced the vulnerability assessments required by Public Health
19 Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002 and requires
20 that all water systems assess risks from malevolent acts (e.g., cyberattacks, terror,
21 insider threats) and natural hazards (e.g., fire, floods) every five years. Golden
22 State is committed to complying with Senate Bill (SB) 553, and California Labor
23 Code 6401.9, which requires California employers to establish, implement, and
24 maintain a workplace violence prevention plan (WVPP). SB 553 went into effect on
25 July 1, 2024, and includes but is not limited to the following: Responding to actual
26 and potential emergencies, conducting initial and ongoing risk assessments to
27 identify, evaluate, and correct workplace violence hazards and prohibit employee
28 retaliation, a comprehensive security upgrade plan will be developed with sites and
29

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 projects ranked to decide priority of future recommended projects based on
2 standardized industry risk management methods developed by American Society of
3 Civil Engineers and American Water Works Association.

4
5 Our customers will benefit from a systemic approach to physical security system
6 enhancements to reduce vulnerability of vital physical and data infrastructure,
7 protect and deter criminal activity, assist in the apprehension of suspects in the
8 case of criminal activity and ultimately increase reliability of high quality water
9 supply.

10 11 **Security Upgrades - Enterprise System**

12 2028 Budget \$4,807,700

13 14 **Project Description**

15 Centralize and integrate our security systems and leverage technology
16 advancements. A centralized security system, including access control, intrusion,
17 and Closed-Circuit Television (CCTV), will enable these systems to integrate for
18 enhanced criminal activity and incident monitoring. A modernized system will
19 enable our employees to view CCTV cameras remotely during alarm activations
20 and in progress incidents. Upgrading the access control system allows for
21 centralized control of access to the organization, which allows access to be
22 immediately granted or revoked.

23 24 **Project Need**

25 As part of Golden State's ongoing enhancement of facility security, workplace
26 safety and compliance with regulatory standards, this project will centralize existing
27 facility access control and upgrade of security system with purchase of enterprise
28 solution. This project includes upgrade of site-specific security at staffed locations,

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 including upgrades of existing cameras, card readers, door access, monitoring,
2 CCTV, alarm and network video recorders at 32 company locations that have
3 existing access control and that have GSWC employees working for the majority of
4 the work day. Currently, multiple messages must be sent to ensure access for
5 multiple locations that are managed individually. A centralized system will allow
6 GSWC to more effectively manage site security upon employee/contractor hire and
7 termination ensuring not only compliance with existing regulations but improving
8 site security and employee safety. Newer security systems will provide the ability
9 for our staff to remotely determine if a situation is a false alarm or if it requires first
10 responder intervention. This enables our staff to make first responder dispatch
11 decisions without having to visit the facilities and potentially being in a dangerous
12 situation. This project will allow us to purchase security assets as an integrated
13 centralized system, protecting our employees, infrastructure and data assets in
14 order to continue to provide reliable water to our customers.

15
16 As part of Golden State's comprehensive approach to compliance with Senate Bill
17 553, our workplace violence plans identified physical security as a key area to
18 address for continual improvement since much of our equipment was over 10 years
19 old and in poor working condition. Replacing this equipment individually is more
20 expensive over time and does not achieve the improvements of a centralized
21 remote access system. This project is key to ensure ongoing compliance and is
22 consistent with American Water Works Association Standards G430 - 24 for
23 Security Practices and Department of General Services (DGS) Physical Security
24 Standards 5365. AWWA G430-24 establishes minimum requirements for proactive,
25 all-hazards security programs in water, wastewater, and reuse utilities, focusing on
26 protecting employee safety, public health, and infrastructure. It covers physical
27 security and access control to protect against external and insider threats. DGS
28 5365 focuses on the safeguard of information, information technology and cyber

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

assets against unauthorized access. These upgrades would ensure that Golden State remains in compliance with these regulations.

These security measures protect infrastructure, customer data and Golden State employees so Golden State can continue to provide reliable, high quality drinking water.

Water Quality (72W)

Refer to the Water Quality Department section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers Vol. 2 of 2 (pages 355-361) for supporting documents.

New Vehicle – Cross Connection

2027 Budget \$45,700

Project Description

Add vehicle for Cross Connection Control (CCC) Plans

Project Need

On July 1, 2025, Golden State submitted 40 Cross Connection Control Plans - one for each of its water systems - to comply with the CCC Policy Handbook requirements. The CCC Plans will significantly increase the workload of the CCC group including the need for additional field assessments, testing and inspections. Some examples of field work to comply with the CCC Plans are:

- Manage initial and ongoing CCC field hazard assessments to ensure completeness as outlined in the CCC Plans

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

- Complete all non-residential initial hazard assessments over an 8-year period. As of 2025, there are more than 12,000 non-residential assessments that require initial hazard assessments.
- Complete all residential initial hazard assessments over a 20-year period. As of 2025, there are more than 230,000 residential assessments that require initial hazard assessments.

- New backflow assembly installation inspections for nonresidential services
- Testing and repair of GSWC owned backflow assemblies
- Continuous hazard assessments for non-residential and residential customers

The added field work required to comply with the CCC Plans will require an additional vehicle.

Replace Vehicle# 512833

2029 Budget \$67,200

Project Description

Replace the following vehicles in 2029: 512833

Project Need

Consistent with the vehicle replacement rate reflected in the capital budgets adopted in D.25-01-036, GSWC follows the latest version of the California Department of General Services (DGS) vehicle replacement guidelines. Between the replacement schedule and methodology recommended by DGS this vehicle listed above is expected to meet the replacement criteria in 2026. Vehicles with high mileage typically incur additional maintenance costs that exceed the value of the vehicle and produce higher emissions than newer vehicles. The replacement vehicle will be similar and/or in compliance with our current vehicle policy. See the Operating District Capital Additions Testimony beginning at page 286 for more

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 details on vehicle replacement. See vehicles workpapers for replacement cost
2 support.

3 4 **Utility Solutions (73W)**

5 Refer to the Prepared Testimony of Melynda Holm, page 5 for details on the Water
6 Loss Planning project. Refer to pages 362-365 of the Utility Solutions Department
7 section of the General Office Centralized Operations Support Capital Workpapers
8 Vol. 2 of 2 for supporting documents.

9 10 **Miscellaneous Office Furniture & Equipment**

11 2027 Budget \$6,500

12 2028 Budget \$6,600

13 2029 Budget \$6,800

14 15 **Project Description**

16 Purchase and/or replace miscellaneous office furniture and equipment in the
17 Utility Solutions (73W) department.

18 19 **Project Need**

20 There are miscellaneous office furniture and equipment that must be purchased
21 and/or replaced throughout the year in the Utility Solutions (73W) department
22 including office furniture, file storage cabinets, paper shredders, desktop printers,
23 specialty computer software and hardware, and software licenses. These
24 miscellaneous office furniture and equipment costs generally occur on a regular
25 basis and are fairly consistent in magnitude.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

2027-2029 Utility Support Capital Budget

Information Technology (88U, 89U)

Refer to the Prepared Testimony of Daniel Diaz, pages 80 – 86 and the Information Technology (“IT”) Department section of the General Office Utility Support Capital Workpapers (pages 1-6) for supporting documents.

IV. Working Cash

(Q) What would you like to discuss next?

(A) Golden State’s calculations of the working cash.

(Q) Please explain the methodology used to forecast the Allowance for Working Cash.

(A) The Allowance for Working Cash was established for the test year, 2028, in accordance with the Commission’s Standard Practice U-16, whereby a detailed study of the revenue and expense lag days was performed.

As stated in Standard Practice U-16:

“The working cash allowance is a component of rate base. It can be positive or negative. Its purpose is to compensate investors for funds provided by them which are permanently committed to the business for the purpose of paying operating expenses in advance of receipt of offsetting revenues from its customers”¹⁷

¹⁷ California Public Utilities Commission Water Division Standard Practice U-16 Working Cash, Page 1-2.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 “The detailed basis first measures, from the midpoint of the month, the
2 weighted average days of lead or lag of payment of expenses by analyzing
3 each expense component to determine how many days on the average before
4 or after a reference point the payment are made. This procedure measures, on
5 the average, the number of days the utility has available the amount of the
6 expense before its payment. A similar analysis of weighted average days is
7 made of revenues by classes of customers to determine the average number of
8 days that the utility has extended credit to its customers for the cost of service
9 supplied by the utility”.¹⁸
10

11 (Q) How were the proposed Operating Expenses determined?

12 (A) They were obtained from the Functional Summary of Earnings for the Estimated
13 Years.
14

15 (Q) How were the average numbers of lag days for expenses determined?

16 (A) In Golden State’s 2017 GRC (“A.17-07-010”), Golden State performed a detailed
17 working cash study to determine the lag days. For this current Application, Golden
18 State used the lag days developed in Golden State A.17-07-010 as follows. Average
19 number of lag days was calculated based upon transactions recorded during 2015
20 with the exception of Santa Maria and Arden Cordova Purchased Water, whereby
21 2016 transactions were utilized as no Purchased Water transactions occurred in 2015.
22 Refer to RO model electronic workpaper “SEC-50_RB_Working Cash” and Working
23 Cash Workpapers for the lag days used to calculate working cash.
24
25
26
27

28 ¹⁸ Id. Page 1-10.
29

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 For Company Labor – the number of lag days is the time from the midpoint of the pay
2 period to the date of payment.

3
4 For Taxes – Golden State used the same lag days developed in Golden State A.17-
5 07-010 as follows: Federal, State, pump, property and local, the number of lag days is
6 the time from the midpoint of the monthly expense accrual to the date of payment.

7
8 For Purchased Chemicals, Purchased Water, and Purchased Power – the number of
9 lag days is the time from the midpoint of the expenses accrual period to the date of
10 payment.

11
12 For Operation Expenses, Maintenance Expenses, Office Supplies and Expenses,
13 Outside Service, Regulatory Expenses, and Rent – the number of lag days is the time
14 from the midpoint of the expenses service period to the date of payment.

15
16 (Q) Did Golden State utilize any lag days that were different from what was presented in
17 A.17-07-010?

18 (A) Yes. For payroll taxes, Golden State used 12.5 lag days instead of the 13.5 lag days
19 used in A.17-07-010 as the number of lag days from the end of the payroll period to
20 the date of payment (four days). Golden State pays its payroll taxes on the same day
21 that it pays its payroll. In D.16-12-067, Golden State and the Office of Ratepayer
22 Advocates settled on 13.5 lag days for payroll taxes but agreed that Golden State
23 could update the number of lag days for Payroll Taxes in its next GRC when it
24 updates its lead-lag study using the most recent data available. Golden State updated
25 its payroll taxes lag days in A.20-07-012 to more appropriately reflect the lag period.
26 These updated payroll taxes lag days are part of the Settlement agreement approved
27 in D.23-06-024.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 The lead lag days for Common Customer Account for the Rate Making Areas (RMA)
2 was also changed. In A.17-07-010, Golden State used 45 lag days, which is the same
3 lag days as Common Customer Account in the GO Utility Support Services SOE.
4 Common Customer Account in the GO Utility Support Services SOE includes
5 customer billing related expenses such as billing supplies, printing fees, electronic bill
6 payment & presentation fees, etc. However, the Common Customer Account
7 expense in each RMA includes allocated expense for the entire GO Utility Support
8 Services SOE. For the current Application, instead of using the 45 lag days used in
9 A.17-07-010, Golden State is using the lag days derived from GO Utility Support
10 Services. In this Application, as was done in A.20-07-012 and A.23-08-010, Golden
11 State performed its lead lag calculation based upon the total amount of GO Utility
12 Support Services expenses projected rather than a subset of those expenses as
13 utilized in A.17-07-010. Golden State believes that the current approach provides for
14 a more accurate calculation of the Common Customer Account lag days.

15
16 Along with changing the lead lag days for Common Customer expenses (GO Utility),
17 the lead lag days for Allocated GO and District Office expenses were also changed.
18 Golden State used the same GO lead lag days and methodology as A.17-07-010 to
19 develop the lead lag days for the General Office. However, in the current Application,
20 a lead lag day is calculated for each GO segment separately (GO Utility, GO
21 Corporate Support and GO COPS). Refer to SEC-10_SOE, tab "OUT_GO_Exp_LL
22 Days" of the RO model electronic workpapers for each GO segment's lead lag days.
23 The lead lag days for GO Utility were used in the RMA's Common Customer expense
24 (explained above), while the combination of lag days for GO Corporate Support and
25 GO COPS were used for Allocated GO and District Office expenses for each RMA.
26 Golden State believes that this method is a more accurate reflection of the lead lag
27 days.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

(Q) How was the Thousand Dollar-Days Lag column derived?

(A) It is the product of each operating expense and its associated average number of lag days.

(Q) How was the Average Lag determined?

(A) The average lag was established by dividing the summation of the thousand dollar-days lag by the total expense.

(Q) Explain the calculation of the Average Amount of Cash Required as a Result of Paying Expenses, Taxes, and Accruing Depreciation in Advance of Collecting Revenue.

(A) The average lag in collection of revenues was computed from all customer bills for the period January 2025 – December 2025. Refer to RO model electronic workbook “SEC-50_RB_Working Cash” for the calculation of revenue lag days.

The lag days were established using the time from the midpoint of the period during which the service is rendered to the date that the revenue is received for the service. The midpoint is the difference between the beginning billing date and the ending billing date, divided by two and added to the beginning billing date.

The dollar days were obtained by multiplying the lag days by the amount of the bill. The summation of the amount of the bill paid divided by the summation of the dollar days equals the average lag days.

The excess of the collection lag over the payment lag is the difference between the average lag in collection of revenues and the payment of expenses.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 The total of expenses, taxes, and depreciation is computed as the total operating
2 expenses obtained from the Functional Summary of Earnings. The daily total of
3 expenses, taxes and depreciation is the total of expenses, taxes and depreciation
4 divided by 365 days.

5
6 The average amount of working cash capital required as a result of paying expenses,
7 taxes and depreciation in advance of collecting revenues is the daily total of
8 expenses, taxes and depreciation times the excess of collection lag divided by the
9 payment lag. Refer to RO model electronic workbook "SEC-10_SOE" for the working
10 cash calculation.

11
12 (Q) Are there any other exceptions in the methodology used for Working Cash that are
13 different from previous GRCs?

14 (A) Yes, Decision No. 25-01-036 required Golden State to transition from the
15 WRAM/MCBA into a M-WRAM. As a result of this decision, Golden State is no longer
16 making an adjustment for the average lag in collection of revenues associated with the
17 WRAM/MCBA.

18
19 (Q) The San Juan Oaks and Sutter Pointe CSAs are new ratemaking areas. What
20 methodology was used to develop the average number of lag days for expenses in
21 these two areas?

22 (A) Golden State used the same lag days developed for its Arden Cordova CSA in
23 Golden State A.17-07-010 for its newly acquired San Juan Oaks and Sutter Pointe
24 CSAs. Arden Cordova's lag days are a reasonable representation for the lag days
25 for these two new CSAs as they are in the Northern District administrative area,
26 and supervised by the same Operations Managers.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 (Q) What methodology was used for San Juan Oaks and Sutter Pointe Working Cash
2 Revenue Lag Days?

3 (A) For San Juan Oaks, revenue lag days were determined using the same
4 methodology as other RMAS as discuss above, since Golden State began
5 operations during 2025 and has sufficient data on which to perform the calculation.
6

7 The Sutter Pointe CSA is still in the development stages and currently there is no
8 payment history to determine the Working Cash Revenue Lag Days. Golden State
9 used the same revenue lag days as Arden Cordova as the payment policy is the
10 same for both customer service areas.
11

12 (Q) What would you like to discuss next?

13 (A) Golden State's calculations of the working cash in the General Office.
14

15 (Q) Please explain the methodology that was used to forecast General Office working
16 cash.
17

18 (A) The General Office working cash was forecasted in accordance with the
19 Commission's Standard Practice U-16. Refer to Working Cash Workpapers as well as
20 electronic workbook "Y_GOCS_Working Cash" in the RO model. The operational
21 cash requirement was determined for General Office and included as part of rate
22 base. Table 3-H included in the Working Cash Workpapers summarizes the
23 development of the operating working cash based on 2025 utility operations. This
24 amount consists of a cash requirement, which includes special deposits, working
25 funds, and non-interest bearing receivables. This gross cash requirement is reduced
26 by the amount available from the employee withholdings.
27
28
29

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

(Q) What is the methodology applied to allocate the general office working cash allowance?

(A) Working cash allowance is a component of general office rate base. The general office rate base is allocated to Golden State's regulated operations as well as the Golden State affiliates. The detail of the general office cost allocation study is discussed in Section V of the prepared testimony of Jenny Darney-Lane.

V. New Acquisition and New Systems

Acquisition

Q) What would you like to discuss next?

(A) Golden State's potential acquisition of the Artesia Service Area and the newly acquired ratemaking areas of Sutter Pointe and San Juan Oaks.

Q) Which acquisition would you like to discuss first?

(A) I would like to discuss the proposed acquisition of the Artesia Service Area ("ASA") from the City of Norwalk. On January 27, 2026, Golden State filed A.26-01-015 requesting authorization to purchase of the utility assets. This proceeding is currently open.

(Q) What adjustments were made to reflect the incorporation of this acquisition, as requested in A.26-01-015, in this proceeding?

(A) Adjustments were included in the "IN_Adjustments" tab of the "SEC-50_RB_Plant" file with other rate base adjustments in order to adjust the forecasted rate base in Region 2 to include the capital costs projected in A.26-01-015. These capital costs include the \$5,250,000 purchase price, \$1,830,000 for interconnections and projected capital improvements of \$718,500 and \$1,288,000, for 2028 and 2029, respectively. Details

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 related to capital improvements can be found on page 99 of the Operating District
2 Capital Additions testimony.

3
4 (Q) What action or actions are you requesting from the Commission in regards to these
5 adjustments?

6 (A) GSWC requests that if A.26-01-015 is resolved prior to the adoption of the first test
7 year rates in this proceeding that the rate base impacts of these capital additions
8 should be incorporated into the final rate base approved in this proceeding to ensure
9 that they are reflected in rates in 2028 and beyond.

10 11 **New Systems**

12 (Q) Which new system would you like to discuss next?

13 (A) I would like to discuss the Sutter Pointe ratemaking area. On August 26, 2024, Golden
14 State submitted A.24-08-011, the first General Rate Case (GRC) for Sutter Pointe,
15 which also requested Sutter Pointe's inclusion in the 2026 GRC. On October 30,
16 2025, the Commission issued D.25-10-035 adopting the settlement agreement and
17 authorizing initial rates for water service for 2026, 2027 and 2028 and the inclusion of
18 the Sutter Pointe ratemaking area in GSWC's test year 2028 GRC.

19
20 (Q) What adjustments were made to forecasted rate base to incorporate this new system?

21 (A) Adjustments for Sutter Pointe include adjustments to Utility Plant, Advances and
22 Contributions, and Deferred Taxes. As there is limited recorded history on which to
23 base the forecasts, Golden State has followed the projections that have already been
24 authorized in D. 25-10-035 and included the adjustments to these sections. See
25 adjustments below for Plant, Contributions and Advances. In order to fully represent
26 the tax adjustments, Golden State has used the calculation authorized in D.25-10-035.
27 This calculation identifies the single connection services that are taxable and
28 calculates the additional deferred taxes and related amortization.

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Adjustments	2026	2027	2028	2029
Incremental Acquisition	\$1,018,116	\$820,149	\$2,670,500	\$2,361,996
Plant	\$38,213,012	\$3,418,468	\$ 9,186,377	\$ -
Contributions	\$32,078,281	\$1,258,750	\$4,768,084	\$ -
Advances	\$6,052,297	\$2,159,718	\$4,418,293	\$ -
Net Capital Additions	\$1,100,550	\$820,149	\$2,670,500	\$2,361,996

Adjustments for these items can be found in the following locations:

a. Plant

i. File: SEC-50_RB_Plant, Tab: "IN_Adjustments"

b. Advances

i. File: SEC-50_RB_Other Ratebase Items, Tab: "Proj Advances WS-03"

c. Contributions

i. File: SEC-50_RB_Other Ratebase Items, Tab: "IN_Contribution Adj Acquisition"

ii. File: SEC-50_RB_Other Ratebase Items, Tab: "Proj Depr Contributions WS-06"

d. Deferred Taxes

i. File: SEC-50_RB_Tax Depr and Def Tax, Tabs: "9-5 Def Taxes & Rev CIAC", "9-6 Taxable CIAC&ADV St&Fed, and "9-6a Water - Single Connection"

Q) Were there any deviations from what was adopted in D.25-10-036?

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

1 (A) Yes, Golden State is requesting an increase in the incremental acquisition price
2 starting in 2028. Please refer to the testimony sponsored by Jon Pierotti, section V for
3 more details on Special Request #11.
4

5 (Q) Which new system would you like to discuss next?

6 (A) I would like to discuss the San Juan Oaks drinking water system. On December 15,
7 2023, Golden State submitted A.23-12-009 requesting San Juan Oaks' inclusion as a
8 non-contiguous service area of Golden State to provide water and service services.
9 The Commission issued D.24-12-009 granting Golden State authorization to establish
10 rates for service.
11

12 (Q) Were adjustments included for San Juan Oaks RMA?

13 (A) Yes. Golden State began operations of the San Juan Oaks system on May 1, 2025
14 and therefore does not have sufficient recorded history on which to base the
15 forecasts. The system is currently still underdevelopment. Adjustments to rate base
16 for San Juan Oaks drinking water include Plant and Advances. These Plant and
17 Advance additions follow the authorized amounts from D.24-12-009, however, due to
18 more recent information on the timing of the development, Golden State has delayed
19 the previously forecasted 2026 capital costs to 2027. The Plant adjustments include
20 Incremental Acquisition payments by Golden State to the developer at a rate of
21 \$4,000 per EDU for water service, as adopted in D.24-12-009, as well as the
22 developer funded capital additions. Forecasted advances are included in order to
23 offset the developer funded capital additions. See Plant adjustments below.
24
25
26
27
28
29

PREPARED TESTIMONY OF MARCUS GOMEZ (Cont.)

Plant Adjustments	2026	2027	2028	2029
Incremental Acquisition	\$812,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
Plant	\$ -	\$2,301,102	\$ -	\$1,905,335
Advances	\$ -	\$2,301,102	\$ -	\$1,905,335
Net Capital Additions	\$812,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000

The adjustments related to San Juan Oaks can be found at the below.

a. Plant

ii. File: SEC-50_RB_Plant, Tab: "IN_Adjustments"

b. Advances

iii. File: SEC-50_RB_Other Ratebase Items, Tab: "Proj Advances WS-03"

(Q) Does this conclude your testimony?

(A) Yes. This concludes my testimony.

QUALIFICATIONS OF

MARCUS J. GOMEZ

My name is Marcus J. Gomez and my business address is 630 E. Foothill Boulevard, San Dimas CA. 91773. I have been with Golden State since February 2022. My current position is Senior Regulatory Analyst.

I graduated from Sonoma State University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with an emphasis in Accounting. With Golden State, my responsibilities include data analysis, report preparation, compliance filings and general rate case functions.

Prior to joining Golden State, I was a Senior Financial Analyst for Alliance Environmental, a leader in the environmental abatement and indoor air quality industry. Before Alliance, I have served as a Valuation Analyst for Innovative Partnerships Group, a Business Development and Consulting company for global brands and property rights holders in the sports and entertainment industry. Prior to that, I spent three and half years in public accounting with PricewaterhouseCoopers ("PwC"), primarily working with Financial Services clients.

Utility Plant
(Dollars in Thousands)

Golden State Water Company
Rate Base (Table 4-M)
Rate Making Area
2000 - Region 2 - Metro (REG2)

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 25a 26 27 28 29 29a 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Description	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
		Recorded				Projected				
	Utility Plant in Service	\$ 726,783.7	\$ 763,040.8	\$ 803,924.8	\$ 832,545.7	\$ 899,488.3	\$ 970,837.0	\$ 1,028,713.4	\$ 1,124,032.4	\$ 1,285,507.0
	Beginning of Year Balance									
	Additions									
	Intangibles	\$ -	\$ 311.9	\$ 79.9	\$ (0.0)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Land & Land Rights	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Source of Supply	\$ 1,413.5	\$ 3,271.1	\$ (1,608.2)	\$ 2,548.4	\$ 1,093.9	\$ -	\$ 2,418.1	\$ 10,765.6	\$ 2,669.8
	Pumping	\$ 2,089.2	\$ 1,966.5	\$ 5,377.7	\$ 7,091.0	\$ 5,930.6	\$ -	\$ 1,280.5	\$ 1,326.5	\$ 1,374.4
	Water Treatment	\$ 791.4	\$ 3,334.5	\$ 11,330.2	\$ 8,350.2	\$ 1,741.7	\$ -	\$ 1,193.0	\$ 3,974.7	\$ 1,800.9
	Transmission & Distribution	\$ 35,141.4	\$ 33,817.5	\$ 20,221.0	\$ 53,168.7	\$ 59,993.6	\$ -	\$ 54,060.6	\$ 121,504.4	\$ 109,763.9
	General Plant	\$ 418.3	\$ 797.7	\$ 959.1	\$ 610.0	\$ 4,262.9	\$ -	\$ 1,235.4	\$ 1,442.7	\$ 1,066.0
	ALI58 - AFUDC equity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,152.2	\$ -	\$ 7,080.2	\$ 718.5	\$ 1,288.0
	CWIP to be closed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 62,414.0	\$ 32,588.8	\$ 26,279.8	\$ 19,630.9
	Gross Additions	\$ 39,853.9	\$ 43,499.1	\$ 36,359.7	\$ 71,768.3	\$ 79,174.9	\$ 62,414.0	\$ 99,856.6	\$ 166,012.2	\$ 137,593.9
	Retirements	\$ (3,184.9)	\$ (2,611.8)	\$ (7,769.0)	\$ (4,811.6)	\$ (7,826.1)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)
	Transfers & Adjustments	\$ (411.9)	\$ (3.3)	\$ 30.1	\$ (14.1)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Net Additions	\$ 36,257.1	\$ 40,884.0	\$ 28,620.8	\$ 66,942.6	\$ 71,348.7	\$ 57,876.4	\$ 95,319.0	\$ 161,474.6	\$ 133,056.3
	End of Year Balance	\$ 763,040.8	\$ 803,924.8	\$ 832,545.7	\$ 899,488.3	\$ 970,837.0	\$ 1,028,713.4	\$ 1,124,032.4	\$ 1,285,507.0	\$ 1,418,563.3
	Utility Plant Under Construction									
	Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 41,128.5	\$ 48,929.7	\$ 58,530.7	\$ 68,157.0	\$ 69,738.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3
	Net Change During Year	\$ 7,801.2	\$ 9,601.0	\$ 9,626.3	\$ 1,581.3	\$ (4,353.0)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	End of Year Balance	\$ 48,929.7	\$ 58,530.7	\$ 68,157.0	\$ 69,738.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3
	Total Utility Plant									
	Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 767,912.2	\$ 811,970.5	\$ 862,455.5	\$ 900,702.6	\$ 969,226.6	\$ 1,036,222.3	\$ 1,094,098.7	\$ 1,189,417.7	\$ 1,350,892.3
	Net Change During Year	\$ 44,058.3	\$ 50,485.0	\$ 38,247.1	\$ 68,524.0	\$ 66,995.7	\$ 57,876.4	\$ 95,319.0	\$ 161,474.6	\$ 133,056.3
	Acquisition Adjustment	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)
	End of Year Balance	\$ 803,649.4	\$ 854,134.5	\$ 892,381.6	\$ 960,905.5	\$ 1,027,901.2	\$ 1,085,777.6	\$ 1,181,096.6	\$ 1,342,571.2	\$ 1,475,627.5
	Weighted Average Utility Plant									
	In Service	\$ 743,625.1	\$ 782,450.3	\$ 817,408.1	\$ 868,391.7	\$ 937,086.2	\$ 999,775.2	\$ 1,076,372.9	\$ 1,204,769.7	\$ 1,352,035.1
	Under Construction	\$ 44,723.4	\$ 53,496.4	\$ 63,189.1	\$ 63,949.6	\$ 70,995.5	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3
	Acquisition Adjustment	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)
	Total	\$ 780,027.4	\$ 827,625.6	\$ 872,766.1	\$ 924,020.3	\$ 999,760.7	\$ 1,056,839.4	\$ 1,133,437.1	\$ 1,261,833.9	\$ 1,409,099.4
	Weighted Average Additions	\$ 20,436.3	\$ 23,976.2	\$ 18,141.7	\$ 31,638.7	\$ 38,855.2	\$ 28,938.2	\$ 47,659.5	\$ 80,737.3	\$ 66,528.1
	Ratio to Annual Additions	46.38%	47.49%	47.43%	46.17%	58.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
	Gross Additions	\$ 47,655.1	\$ 53,100.2	\$ 45,985.9	\$ 73,349.6	\$ 74,821.9	\$ 62,414.0	\$ 99,856.6	\$ 166,012.2	\$ 137,593.9
	New Advances	\$ (242.2)	\$ (137.9)	\$ (423.6)	\$ (1,796.5)	\$ (1,136.2)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	New Contributions	\$ (4,580.4)	\$ (2,639.1)	\$ (3,963.9)	\$ (4,201.2)	\$ (6,206.5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Company Funded Additions	\$ 42,832.5	\$ 50,323.1	\$ 41,598.4	\$ 67,351.8	\$ 67,479.1	\$ 62,414.0	\$ 99,856.6	\$ 166,012.2	\$ 137,593.9

Golden State Water Company
9013 - GO - Central Operations (GOCO)

Utility Plant
(Dollars in Thousands)

Table 3-F

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
1	Description		Recorded	2023	2024	2025	Projected		
	2021	2022					Projected		
							2026	2027	2028
2	Utility Plant In Service								
3	Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 10,694.0	\$ 12,347.0	\$ 14,985.1	\$ 15,465.0	\$ 16,024.6	\$ 20,483.8	\$ 26,876.5	\$ 40,559.0
4	Additions								
5	Intangibles	\$ -	\$ 26.0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 263.2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6	Land & Land Rights	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Source of Supply	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Pumping	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	Water Treatment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 242.7	\$ -	\$ -
10	Transmission & Distribution	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11	General Plant	\$ 2,296.6	\$ 3,550.3	\$ 386.0	\$ 1,315.6	\$ 4,240.0	\$ -	\$ 14,006.0	\$ 10,389.8
12	AL1558 - AFUDC equity	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13	CWIP to be closed	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,958.8	\$ -	\$ -
14	Gross Additions	\$ 2,296.6	\$ 3,576.3	\$ 386.0	\$ 1,315.6	\$ 4,503.1	\$ 6,958.8	\$ 14,248.7	\$ 10,389.8
15	Retirements	\$ (48.1)	\$ (577.8)	\$ (45.0)	\$ (166.4)	\$ (685.3)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)
16	Transfers & Adjustments	\$ (595.6)	\$ (360.3)	\$ 138.9	\$ (589.6)	\$ 641.3	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
17	Net Additions	\$ 1,652.9	\$ 2,638.1	\$ 479.9	\$ 559.6	\$ 4,459.2	\$ 6,392.7	\$ 13,682.5	\$ 9,823.6
18	End of Year Balance	\$ 12,347.0	\$ 14,985.1	\$ 15,465.0	\$ 16,024.6	\$ 20,483.8	\$ 26,876.5	\$ 40,559.0	\$ 50,382.7
19	Utility Plant Under Construction								
20	Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 1,850.4	\$ 1,643.6	\$ 121.9	\$ 1,659.9	\$ 2,037.6	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)
21	Net Change During Year	\$ (206.7)	\$ (1,521.7)	\$ 1,538.0	\$ 377.7	\$ (2,494.5)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
22	End of Year Balance	\$ 1,643.6	\$ 121.9	\$ 1,659.9	\$ 2,037.6	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)
23	Total Utility Plant								
24	Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 12,544.4	\$ 13,990.6	\$ 15,107.0	\$ 17,124.8	\$ 18,062.2	\$ 20,026.9	\$ 26,419.6	\$ 40,102.1
25	Net Change During Year	\$ 1,446.2	\$ 1,116.4	\$ 2,017.8	\$ 937.4	\$ 1,964.7	\$ 6,392.7	\$ 13,682.5	\$ 9,823.6
25a	Acquisition Adjustment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
26	End of Year Balance	\$ 13,990.6	\$ 15,107.0	\$ 17,124.8	\$ 18,062.2	\$ 20,026.9	\$ 26,419.6	\$ 40,102.1	\$ 49,925.8
27	Weighted Average Utility Plant								
28	In Service	\$ 11,285.2	\$ 12,589.2	\$ 15,260.9	\$ 15,951.5	\$ 16,606.5	\$ 23,680.1	\$ 33,717.7	\$ 45,470.8
29	Under Construction	\$ 1,323.7	\$ 2,667.1	\$ 2,221.6	\$ 1,036.1	\$ 2,861.7	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)
29a	Acquisition Adjustment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
30	Total	\$ 12,608.9	\$ 15,256.3	\$ 17,482.5	\$ 16,987.6	\$ 19,468.2	\$ 23,223.3	\$ 33,260.9	\$ 45,014.0
31	Weighted Average Additions	\$ 64.5	\$ 1,265.7	\$ 2,375.5	\$ (137.2)	\$ 1,406.0	\$ 3,196.3	\$ 6,841.3	\$ 4,911.8
32	Ratio to Annual Additions	4.46%	113.37%	117.73%	-14.64%	71.56%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
33	Gross Additions	\$ 2,089.8	\$ 2,054.5	\$ 1,924.0	\$ 1,693.4	\$ 2,008.7	\$ 6,958.8	\$ 14,248.7	\$ 10,389.8
34	New Advances	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
35	New Contributions	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
36	Company Funded Additions	\$ 2,089.8	\$ 2,054.5	\$ 1,924.0	\$ 1,693.4	\$ 2,008.7	\$ 6,958.8	\$ 14,248.7	\$ 10,389.8

Depreciation and Amortization Reserve and Expense
(Dollars in Thousands)

Golden State Water Company
Rate Base (Table 4-N)
Rate Making Area
2000 - Region 2 - Metro (REG2)

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)						
										Recorded			Projected		
										2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
1	Description														
2	Reserve														
3		\$ 166,187.4	\$ 168,842.8	\$ 176,387.1	\$ 178,909.6	\$ 181,075.5	\$ 182,303.1	\$ 195,255.4	\$ 209,573.2	\$ 228,079.2					
4	Accrual Charged to														
5		\$ 78.2	\$ 83.3	\$ 125.1	\$ 120.8	\$ 217.0	\$ 217.0	\$ 217.0	\$ 217.0	\$ 217.0					
6		\$ 1,084.6	\$ 1,134.9	\$ 1,270.9	\$ 1,288.1	\$ 1,394.4	\$ 1,465.0	\$ 1,465.0	\$ 1,576.7	\$ 1,576.7					
7		\$ 10,753.3	\$ 11,818.0	\$ 13,031.8	\$ 13,564.5	\$ 14,754.0	\$ 15,807.9	\$ 17,173.4	\$ 21,249.9	\$ 24,092.1					
8		\$ 11,916.1	\$ 13,036.3	\$ 14,427.7	\$ 14,973.4	\$ 16,365.5	\$ 17,490.0	\$ 18,855.4	\$ 23,043.6	\$ 25,885.8					
9	Retirements and Adjustments														
10		\$ (3,184.9)	\$ (2,611.8)	\$ (7,769.0)	\$ (4,811.6)	\$ (7,826.1)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)					
11		\$ (6,075.7)	\$ (2,880.2)	\$ (4,136.2)	\$ (7,995.9)	\$ (7,311.8)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -					
12		\$ (9,260.6)	\$ (5,492.0)	\$ (11,905.2)	\$ (12,807.5)	\$ (15,137.9)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)	\$ (4,537.6)					
13		\$ 2,655.5	\$ 7,544.3	\$ 2,522.5	\$ 2,165.9	\$ 1,227.6	\$ 12,952.3	\$ 14,317.8	\$ 18,506.0	\$ 21,348.2					
14		\$ 168,842.8	\$ 176,387.1	\$ 178,909.6	\$ 181,075.5	\$ 182,303.1	\$ 195,255.4	\$ 209,573.2	\$ 228,079.2	\$ 249,427.5					
15	Weighted Average Balance														
		\$ 167,243.2	\$ 172,469.2	\$ 179,458.8	\$ 180,578.0	\$ 181,976.8	\$ 188,779.3	\$ 202,414.3	\$ 218,826.2	\$ 238,753.3					
16		\$ 715,940.6	\$ 772,641.6	\$ 807,414.6	\$ 855,216.9	\$ 924,362.6	\$ 988,975.1	\$ 1,065,572.8	\$ 1,193,969.6	\$ 1,341,235.1					
17		1.66%	1.69%	1.79%	1.75%	1.77%	1.77%	1.93%	1.93%	1.93%					
18	Depreciation and														
19		\$ 11,916.1	\$ 13,036.3	\$ 14,427.7	\$ 14,973.4	\$ 16,365.5	\$ 17,490.0	\$ 18,855.4	\$ 23,043.6	\$ 25,885.8					

Golden State Water Company
9013 - GO - Central Operations (GOCO)

Depreciation and Amortization Reserve and Expense
(Dollars in Thousands)

Table 3-G

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	
1	Description	Recorded			Projected			Projected		
		2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
2	Reserve									
3	Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 2,702.6	\$ 4,614.1	\$ 6,312.3	\$ 5,799.7	\$ 6,131.0	\$ 7,827.2	\$ 10,231.6	\$ 13,895.1	\$ 24,523.9
4	Accrual Charged to									
5	Clearing Accounts	\$ 291.5	\$ 390.6	\$ (157.9)	\$ 121.8	\$ 551.3	\$ 551.3	\$ 551.3	\$ 551.3	\$ 551.3
6	Contributions	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7	Expenses	\$ 1,663.2	\$ 1,875.5	\$ (314.4)	\$ 359.0	\$ 1,738.5	\$ 2,419.2	\$ 3,678.3	\$ 10,643.6	\$ 12,473.4
8	Sub-Total	\$ 1,954.7	\$ 2,266.2	\$ (472.4)	\$ 480.8	\$ 2,289.9	\$ 2,970.5	\$ 4,229.7	\$ 11,194.9	\$ 13,024.7
9	Retirements and Adjustments									
10	Net Retirements	\$ (48.1)	\$ (577.8)	\$ (45.0)	\$ (166.4)	\$ (685.3)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)
11	Adjustments	\$ 4.9	\$ 9.8	\$ 4.8	\$ 16.9	\$ 91.7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Sub-Total	\$ (43.2)	\$ (568.0)	\$ (40.3)	\$ (149.5)	\$ (593.6)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)	\$ (566.2)
13	Net Additions	\$ 1,911.5	\$ 1,698.2	\$ (512.6)	\$ 331.3	\$ 1,696.3	\$ 2,404.4	\$ 3,663.5	\$ 10,628.8	\$ 12,458.6
14	End of Year Balance	\$ 4,614.1	\$ 6,312.3	\$ 5,799.7	\$ 6,131.0	\$ 7,827.2	\$ 10,231.6	\$ 13,895.1	\$ 24,523.9	\$ 36,982.5
15	Weighted Average Balance	\$ 3,248.8	\$ 4,800.5	\$ 5,561.3	\$ 5,758.0	\$ 6,772.3	\$ 9,029.4	\$ 12,063.4	\$ 19,209.5	\$ 30,753.2
16	Depreciable Plant	\$ 10,694.0	\$ 13,666.0	\$ 15,225.0	\$ 15,744.8	\$ 18,254.2	\$ 23,680.1	\$ 33,717.7	\$ 45,470.8	\$ 52,903.0
17	Composite Accrual Rate	18.28%	16.58%	-3.10%	3.05%	12.54%	12.54%	12.54%	24.62%	24.62%
18	Depreciation and									
19	Amortization Accrual	\$ 1,954.7	\$ 2,266.2	\$ (472.4)	\$ 480.8	\$ 2,289.9	\$ 2,970.5	\$ 4,229.7	\$ 11,194.9	\$ 13,024.7

Advances for Construction and Contributions in Aid of Construction
(Dollars in Thousands)

Golden State Water Company
Rate Base (Table 4-O)
Rate Making Area
2000 - Region 2 - Metro (REG2)

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Description	Recorded					Projected			
	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
1 Advances									
2 Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 8,264.3	\$ 8,056.6	\$ 7,799.8	\$ 7,811.9	\$ 9,116.9	\$ 9,639.2	\$ 9,142.2	\$ 8,680.4	\$ 8,250.4
3 Additions	\$ 242.2	\$ 137.9	\$ 423.6	\$ 1,796.5	\$ 1,136.2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4 Refunds	\$ (469.1)	\$ (417.7)	\$ (420.7)	\$ (482.8)	\$ (563.5)	\$ (497.0)	\$ (461.8)	\$ (430.0)	\$ (401.3)
5 Transfers to/from Contributions	\$ (136.2)	\$ 23.0	\$ 9.8	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6 Adjustments	\$ 155.4	\$ -	\$ (0.5)	\$ (8.8)	\$ (50.4)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
7 End of Year Balance	\$ 8,056.6	\$ 7,799.8	\$ 7,811.9	\$ 9,116.9	\$ 9,639.2	\$ 9,142.2	\$ 8,680.4	\$ 8,250.4	\$ 7,849.1
8 Weighted Average Balance	\$ 8,183.8	\$ 7,934.4	\$ 7,759.2	\$ 8,191.7	\$ 9,509.9	\$ 9,390.7	\$ 8,911.3	\$ 8,465.4	\$ 8,049.7
9 Contributions									
10 Beginning of Year Balance	\$ 50,108.3	\$ 53,628.8	\$ 55,428.0	\$ 58,131.3	\$ 61,118.0	\$ 66,127.5	\$ 64,662.4	\$ 63,197.4	\$ 61,620.7
11 Additions	\$ 4,580.4	\$ 2,639.1	\$ 3,963.9	\$ 4,201.2	\$ 6,206.5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12 Transfers to/from Advances	\$ 136.2	\$ (23.0)	\$ (9.3)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13 Depreciation	\$ (1,084.6)	\$ (1,134.9)	\$ (1,270.9)	\$ (1,288.1)	\$ (1,394.4)	\$ (1,465.0)	\$ (1,465.0)	\$ (1,576.7)	\$ (1,576.7)
14 Adjustments	\$ (111.5)	\$ 318.0	\$ 19.5	\$ 73.6	\$ 197.3	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15 End of Year Balance	\$ 53,628.8	\$ 55,428.0	\$ 58,131.3	\$ 61,118.0	\$ 66,127.5	\$ 64,662.4	\$ 63,197.4	\$ 61,620.7	\$ 60,044.1
16 Weighted Average Balance	\$ 52,030.2	\$ 54,624.7	\$ 56,622.6	\$ 59,401.2	\$ 62,747.6	\$ 65,394.9	\$ 63,929.9	\$ 62,409.1	\$ 60,832.4
17 Depreciable Contributions (BOY balance)	\$ 62,795.3	\$ 65,717.5	\$ 68,762.5	\$ 71,441.1	\$ 75,486.6	\$ 81,693.1	\$ 81,693.1	\$ 81,693.1	\$ 81,693.1

Table 4-1

Weighted Average Depreciated Rate Base
(Dollars in Thousands)

Golden State Water Company
Rate Base (Table 4-1)
Rate Making Area
2000 - Region 2 - Metro (REG2)

(a)	(b)	(c)	Recorded				(f)	Projected				(i)	
			2021	2022	2023	2024		2025	2026	2027	2028		2029
1													
2		\$ 743,625.1	\$ 782,450.3	\$ 817,408.1	\$ 868,391.7	\$ 937,086.2	\$ 999,775.2	\$ 1,076,372.9	\$ 1,204,769.7	\$ 1,352,035.1			
3		\$ 44,723.4	\$ 53,496.4	\$ 63,189.1	\$ 63,949.6	\$ 70,995.5	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3	\$ 65,385.3			
3a		\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)	\$ (8,321.1)			
4		\$ 780,027.4	\$ 827,625.6	\$ 872,767.1	\$ 924,020.3	\$ 999,760.7	\$ 1,056,839.4	\$ 1,133,437.1	\$ 1,261,833.9	\$ 1,409,099.4			
5		\$ (167,243.2)	\$ (172,469.2)	\$ (179,458.8)	\$ (180,578.0)	\$ (181,976.8)	\$ (188,779.3)	\$ (202,414.3)	\$ (218,826.2)	\$ (238,753.3)			
6		\$ 612,784.2	\$ 655,156.3	\$ 692,817.3	\$ 743,442.2	\$ 817,783.9	\$ 868,060.2	\$ 931,022.8	\$ 1,043,007.7	\$ 1,170,346.0			
7		\$ 1,625.2	\$ 2,210.4	\$ 2,831.8	\$ 3,690.9	\$ 3,430.8	\$ 3,430.8	\$ 3,430.8	\$ 3,430.8	\$ 3,430.8			
8		\$ (8,183.8)	\$ (7,934.4)	\$ (7,759.2)	\$ (8,191.7)	\$ (9,509.9)	\$ (9,390.7)	\$ (8,911.3)	\$ (8,465.4)	\$ (8,049.7)			
9		\$ (52,030.2)	\$ (54,624.7)	\$ (56,622.6)	\$ (59,401.2)	\$ (62,747.6)	\$ (65,394.9)	\$ (63,929.9)	\$ (62,409.1)	\$ (60,832.4)			
10		\$ 554,195.3	\$ 594,807.7	\$ 631,267.3	\$ 679,540.2	\$ 748,957.3	\$ 796,705.3	\$ 861,612.4	\$ 975,564.0	\$ 1,104,894.7			
11		\$ (317.8)	\$ (306.3)	\$ (294.7)	\$ (283.2)	\$ (271.7)	\$ (259.2)	\$ (247.6)	\$ (236.1)	\$ (224.6)			
12		\$ (58,876.4)	\$ (60,095.0)	\$ (62,062.7)	\$ (64,696.1)	\$ (67,284.8)	\$ (69,564.2)	\$ (72,104.7)	\$ (76,029.3)	\$ (79,779.9)			
12a		\$ (32,103.1)	\$ (31,817.2)	\$ (31,509.2)	\$ (31,263.0)	\$ (31,012.9)	\$ (30,964.9)	\$ (30,685.9)	\$ (30,334.6)	\$ (29,863.1)			
13		\$ (3,532.9)	\$ (3,979.6)	\$ (3,945.7)	\$ (4,322.0)	\$ (4,742.3)	\$ (4,920.5)	\$ (5,098.8)	\$ (5,216.3)	\$ (5,333.9)			
13a		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			
14		\$ 1,379.7	\$ 12,287.3	\$ 12,287.3	\$ 12,287.3	\$ 3,720.5	\$ 3,720.5	\$ 3,720.5	\$ (2,246.3)	\$ (2,246.3)			
15		\$ 16,406.7	\$ 16,333.9	\$ 16,246.6	\$ 14,123.8	\$ 17,504.9	\$ 20,588.7	\$ 23,190.3	\$ 24,365.1	\$ 20,407.2			
16		\$ 477,151.5	\$ 527,230.9	\$ 561,988.8	\$ 605,387.0	\$ 666,870.9	\$ 715,285.8	\$ 780,386.2	\$ 885,866.4	\$ 1,007,854.1			

**Weighted Average Depreciated Rate Base
(Dollars in Thousands)**

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	
1	Description	Recorded				Projected				
		Recorded				Projected				
		2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
2	Utility Plant in Service	\$ 11,285.2	\$ 12,589.2	\$ 15,260.9	\$ 15,951.5	\$ 16,606.5	\$ 23,680.1	\$ 33,717.7	\$ 45,470.8	\$ 52,903.0
3	Utility Plant under Construction	\$ 1,323.7	\$ 2,667.1	\$ 2,221.6	\$ 1,036.1	\$ 2,861.7	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)	\$ (456.9)
3a	Acquisition Adjustment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
4	Total Utility Plant	\$ 12,608.9	\$ 15,256.3	\$ 17,482.5	\$ 16,987.6	\$ 19,468.2	\$ 23,223.3	\$ 33,260.9	\$ 45,014.0	\$ 52,446.2
5	Depreciation Reserve	\$ (3,248.8)	\$ (4,800.5)	\$ (5,561.3)	\$ (5,758.0)	\$ (6,772.3)	\$ (9,029.4)	\$ (12,063.4)	\$ (19,209.5)	\$ (30,753.2)
6	Net Utility Plant	\$ 9,360.0	\$ 10,455.8	\$ 11,921.2	\$ 11,229.7	\$ 12,695.9	\$ 14,193.8	\$ 21,197.5	\$ 25,804.4	\$ 21,693.0
7	Materials & Supplies	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	Advances	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
9	Contributions	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
10	Rate Base before Adjustments	\$ 9,360.0	\$ 10,455.8	\$ 11,921.2	\$ 11,229.7	\$ 12,695.9	\$ 14,193.8	\$ 21,197.5	\$ 25,804.4	\$ 21,693.0
11	Investment Tax Credit	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
12	Deferred Income Taxes	\$ (2,389.0)	\$ (2,601.3)	\$ (1,890.4)	\$ (3,136.1)	\$ (2,607.3)	\$ (862.9)	\$ (1,307.0)	\$ (1,831.0)	\$ (1,582.2)
12a	Excess Deferred Taxes	\$ 2,169.9	\$ 2,563.3	\$ 2,795.5	\$ 2,475.0	\$ 2,537.0	\$ 3,346.7	\$ 3,355.5	\$ 3,369.7	\$ 3,405.8
13	Deferred Revenues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
13a	Investments in Mutuals	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
14	Allowance for Working Cash	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
15	Common Utility Allocation	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
16	Weighted Average Rate Base	\$ 9,141.0	\$ 10,417.7	\$ 12,826.3	\$ 10,568.6	\$ 12,625.6	\$ 16,677.6	\$ 23,246.0	\$ 27,343.1	\$ 23,516.6

GSW General Office Capital Budgets 2026 - 2029
2026 GRC

[illegible]

Golden State Water Company
General Office - Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)
2026 GRC

Department	Funding Project	Work Order Description	Work Order	CWIP at 12/31/2025	Funds Needed In 2026	Funds Needed In 2027	Funds Needed In 2028	Funds Needed in 2029
Information Technology	892409-03	Citrix Upgrade and Redesign	08900105	-	40,620	-	-	-
Information Technology	892509-01	Sharepoint Upgrade	08900108	-	37,412	-	-	-
Information Technology	862509-98	Cisco Remote Routers EoS	08600153	-	297,857	-	-	-
Information Technology	862609-02	Datacenter Server Refresh	08600149	-	147,943	-	-	-
Information Technology	862609-01	File Security Management - Varonis	08600148	-	327,932	-	-	-
Information Technology	872609-02	Personal Computers and Peripherals	08700121	-	275,980	-	-	-
Information Technology	872609-03	Microsoft Enterprise Licenses Renewal and True-up	08700122	-	1,286,163	-	-	-
Information Technology	862609-03	Load-Balancer Refresh	08600150	-	184,168	-	-	-
Information Technology	882609-01	Customer Care and Billing Upgrade	08800018	-	224,960	-	-	-
Information Technology	882609-02	Customer Care and Billing Upgrade	08800019	-	1,449,176	-	-	-
Information Technology	892609-99	JDE Revenue Uplift 2026	08900112	-	23,000	-	-	-
GO Facility	792509-01	Replace Data Center AC units		-	92,723	-	-	-
GO Facility	792609-01	GO Facility Replacements		-	29497	-	-	-
GO Facility	792609-01	Replace the G.O. security access	7900162	-	17,907	-	-	-
	Corporate Support Total			\$ -	\$ 4,435,338	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Accounting & Finance	642609-99	PowerPlan and JD Edwards ("JDE") Application Enhancements	6410010	\$ -	155,402	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Utility Support Total			\$ -	\$ 155,402	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Asset Management	212609-01	Replace CAD/GIS Laptop & Docking St	02100098	-	7,193	-	-	-
Asset Management	212609-02	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment	02100099	-	2,124	-	-	-
Asset Management	242609-01	Replace CAD/GIS Laptop & Docking St	02400093	-	19,320	-	-	-
Asset Management	242609-02	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment	02400094	-	5,260	-	-	-
Asset Management	242609-03	Replace Plotter/Scanner	02400095	-	30,952	-	-	-
Asset Management	242610-02	Replace Vehicle Number 503403		-	4,271	-	-	-
Asset Management	252609-01	Replace CAD/GIS Laptop & Docking St		-	11,632	-	-	-
Asset Management	252609-02	Fire Hydrant Pressure Data Logger (		-	4,956	-	-	-
Construction Management	302609-01	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment		-	4,855	-	-	-
Construction Management	312510-02	Repacae Vehicle# 508102	03111037	-	56,964	-	-	-
Construction Management	312609-01	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment	03111040	-	6,777	-	-	-
Construction Management	312610-01	Replace Vehicle# 505970	03111038	-	69,793	-	-	-
Construction Management	312610-02	Replace Vehicle# 507690		-	59,880	-	-	-
Construction Management	312610-03	Replace Vehicle Number 67477	03111039	-	35,409	-	-	-
Construction Management	312610-04	Replace Vehicle Number 500054		-	7,998	-	-	-
Construction Management	322410-01	Replace Vehicle# 505344		-	41,738	-	-	-
Construction Management	322609-01	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment	03200093	-	6,777	-	-	-
Construction Management	322610-01	Replace Vehicle# 507954	03200092	-	64,938	-	-	-
Construction Management	322610-02	Replace Vehicle Number 505778		-	11,826	-	-	-
Construction Management	322610-03	Replace Vehicle Number 507042		-	53,102	-	-	-
Construction Management	342609-01	NB Portal software upgrades		-	15,577	-	-	-
Construction Management	342609-02	NB Portal Enhancements		-	71,007	-	-	-
Construction Management	382609-01	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment		-	10,317	-	-	-
Construction Management	382609-02	Replace CPM Manager Laptop		-	545	-	-	-
Customer Service Center	912509-02	Agent Headsets	09100088	-	8,047	-	-	-
Customer Service Center	912609-01	Agent headsets		-	7,586	-	-	-
Customer Service Center	912609-02	CSC Workstation UPS Replacements		-	3,035	-	-	-
Customer Service Center	912609-03	Iron Meter Reading Handheld CN80 D	09100092	-	482,587	-	-	-
Planning & Analysis	372609-01	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment		-	14,869	-	-	-
Construction Management	352609-01	Install Cubicle Workstations	03500038	-	26,805	-	-	-
Construction Management	352609-02	Air Purifiers - 12		-	9,812	-	-	-
Construction Management	352609-03	Misc. Office Furniture & Equipment		-	7,687	-	-	-
Construction Management	352609-04	Copier Replacement Project	03500039	-	205,132	-	-	-
Standard & Compliance	732609-99	Performance laptop for new EAM Coor	07300048	-	5,000	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	712409-02	Automatic External Defibrillators	07100076	4,400	6,055	-	-	-

Department	Funding Project	Work Order	Work Order Description	CWIP at 12/31/2025	Funds Needed In 2026	Funds Needed In 2027	Funds Needed In 2028	Funds Needed in 2029
Environmental & Water Quality	712409-04	07100081	Mobile Incident Command/Bact Lab	5,642	217,300	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	712410-01	07100079	Emergency Equipment Trailer #1	331	116,885	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	712410-01	07100082	Emergency Equipment Trailer #2	-	117,219	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	712509-01	07100080	Ergonomic Equipment	39,997	51,659	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	712609-01	07100083	Ergonomic equipment sets for 25 emp	-	113,693	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	712609-02	07100084	Automatic External Defibrillators	-	15,476	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	712609-03	07100085	Safety Training Videos/DVDs	-	10,823	-	-	-
Environmental & Water Quality	722610-01	07200058	Replace Vehicle# 507861	-	69,793	-	-	-
Technology Services	362209-97	03600031	Cityworks Project	-	50,000	-	-	-
Technology Services	362409-06	03600041	GIS Phase 2 Project	-	33,852	-	-	-
Technology Services	362409-11		Data Warehouse Cloud Hosting Fees	-	145,000	-	-	-
Technology Services	362509-01		Technology Services Laptop Refresh	-	12,000	-	-	-
Technology Services	362509-04		GIS Phase 2 Project	-	225,000	-	-	-
Technology Services	362509-98		AMI Procurement Support	-	86,000	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-01	03600074	Tech Services Laptop Refresh	-	27,007	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-02		MWVM Phase 2 Project	-	9,049	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-03		Data Warehouse Phase 2 Project	-	93,665	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-04		GIS Phase 2 Project	-	31,105	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-05	03600077	CPWS Implementation Services	-	1,372,329	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-06	03600072	SCADA Command Center Design	-	1,295,161	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-07		SCADA Master Plan & Standards Updat	-	46,773	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-07	03600073	SCADA Master Plan Update	-	83,752	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-08	03600078	Data Warehouse Hosting	-	143,026	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-10	03600076	Unity Maintain Subscription	-	279,853	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-11	03600075	FDM Subscription	-	24,125	-	-	-
Technology Services	362509-97	03600069	SCADA Office Upgrades	-	40,000	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-99	03600079	FME Subscription	-	22,700	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-95	03600080	Water Supply Planning Pilot	-	56,122	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-96	03600081	KloudGin Software Subscription	-	355,946	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-97	03600082	Esri License Subscription	-	34,643	-	-	-
Technology Services	362609-98	03600083	Aveva Flex Subscription	-	329,051	-	-	-
Administrative GO-SVP RU	462610-99	04600042	Replacement of vehicle #507894	-	70,000	-	-	-
Centralized Operations Support Total				\$ 50,370	\$ 6,958,834	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -