

Rulemaking No.: 20-08-020

Exhibit No.: PAO-10

Witness: Tom Beach

Commissioner: Martha Guzman Aceves

ALJ: Kelly A. Hymes

Dated: July 30, 2021

**Excerpt from Opening Brief of SVS on 2020 Changes
to the ACC**



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED
12/17/19
04:59 PM

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Create a
Consistent Regulatory Framework for the
Guidance, Planning and Evaluation of
Integrated Distributed Energy Resources.

Rulemaking 14-10-003
(Filed October 2, 2014)

**OPENING BRIEF AND OPENING COMMENTS OF THE
SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION AND VOTE SOLAR
ON 2020 CHANGES TO THE AVOIDED COST CALCULATOR**

VOTE SOLAR
Susannah Churchill
360 22nd St., Suite 730
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (415) 817-5065
Email: susannah@votesolar.org

California Director for Vote Solar

CROSSBORDER ENERGY
R. Thomas Beach
2560 Ninth Street, Suite 213A
Berkeley, California 94710
Telephone: (510) 549-6922
Email: tomb@crossborderenergy.com

Consultant to SEIA and Vote Solar

December 17, 2019

GOODIN, MACBRIDE,
SQUERI & DAY, LLP
Jeanne B. Armstrong
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Email: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

*Attorneys for Solar Energy Industries
Association*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE ACC	5
III. PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE AVOIDED COST CALCULATOR.....	6
A. Use and Importance of No New DER Case.....	6
B. Avoided Energy Costs and Hourly Shapes.....	8
C. Avoided Generation Capacity.....	10
1. Comments on Staff’s Proposal.....	10
2. Resource Balance Year	12
3. Allocation of Generation Capacity Value.....	16
D. The GHG Adder and its Application	16
1. Trajectory of the GHG Adder.....	16
2. Applying the GHG Adder to Marginal Emissions.....	18
E. Natural Gas Forecast Issues	20
1. Gas Commodity	21
2. Gas Transportation Rates.....	23
3. Separate Burnertip Gas Prices for Northern and Southern California	27
F. Avoided Transmission and Distribution Costs	27
1. Overview and scope.....	27
2. Background: the importance of unspecified avoided T&D costs	28
3. The Commission should not rely solely on “specified” avoided T&D costs.	31
4. Avoided distribution costs	34
5. Avoided CAISO transmission costs.....	35
a. Reliance on CAISO Comments in R. 14-08-013 is Procedurally Improper.	35
b. The CAISO’s Comments Do Not Discredit the SEIA/ Vote Solar Proposal to Include Avoided CAISO Transmission Costs in the ACC.	37
c. Methods for Determining Avoided CAISO Transmission Costs Already Are Used in Commission Ratemaking Cases.....	39
d. The Staff Proposal to Use Avoided Congestion Costs.	41

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
6. Choice of avoided subtransmission, substation, and distribution costs.....	42
7. Allocation of avoided T&D costs to hours	46
IV. PROPOSED ADDITIONS TO THE AVOIDED COST CALCULATOR.....	47
A. Avoided Fuel Cost Volatility	47
B. Avoided Leakage of High GWP Gases	50
C. Reliability and Resiliency Benefits of Storage and Storage + Solar	54
D. Grid Services.....	61
V. CONCLUSION.....	65

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Decisions of the California Public Utilities Commission	
D. 88-07-002	25
D. 04-06-015	23
D. 05-04-024	5, 31, 33
D. 14-08-013	28, 36
D. 16-06-007	<i>passim</i>
D. 17-08-022	5
D. 18-02-018	5, 16
D. 18-08-013	46
D. 18-11-027	46
D. 19-05-019	<i>passim</i>
D. 19-09-025	23, 25, 26, 27
D. 19-11-016	15
D.19-04-040	15
Resolution E-4898	62
Federal Statues	
18 CFR part 292.304(e)(2)(vii)	14
Other Authorities	
SB 100	<i>passim</i>

about 2 hours per year of power interruptions (excluding major disruptions).¹⁷¹ The values shown in these reports are then used by the Joint IOUs in value-of-service studies. These studies assess how much customers value reliability in dollars per minute of avoided interruption. Multiplying these value-of-service metrics by the minutes of interruption per year yields the annual reliability value per customer to be gained by a storage system that can eliminate short duration interruptions.¹⁷² While this value averages to approximately \$300 per customer per year, the reliability value can be broken down into separate values for residential, small commercial, and large commercial / industrial.¹⁷³

SEIA and Vote Solar have quantified the resiliency benefits of solar-plus-storage using what the literature on resiliency calls a “revealed preference” method based on the costs of customers’ “defensive behavior” to mitigate the impacts of an extended, major interruption. This approach has been used by the U.S. military, and uses the cost of portable gasoline-powered generators that proliferate among residential customers who attempt to maintain a basic level of electric service at their homes during a long interruption. We have estimated the costs for a gasoline-powered backup system whose characteristics are as similar as possible to a solar-plus-storage system, including the costs to mitigate the noise and air emission impacts of the portable generator. The first step in this calculation is to determine an average cost for a portable inverter electric generator that is compliant with CARB emission requirements for California.¹⁷⁴ To that

¹⁷¹ Exhibit SVS-01, p. 66, lines 10-12.

¹⁷² *Ibid.*, p.66, lines 19-21.

¹⁷³ *Id.*, p. 67, Table 6 and p. 68, Table 7.

¹⁷⁴ An inverter generator is most comparable to a solar-plus-storage system because it is quieter, and thus closer to the value a customer receives from a solar-plus-storage system than other type of portable generators that are extremely noisy. Tr. Vol. 1 (SEIA/Vote Solar-Beach), p. 141, line 24, to p.142 line 3.

average cost is added sales tax, fuel storage costs,¹⁷⁵ the cost to install a manual transfer switch to feed the critical circuits in the home, and the cost of the air impacts associated with emissions of NOx, PM 2.5, and CO₂. The resultant cost of approximately \$104 per kW-year constitutes the residential resiliency value.¹⁷⁶ Reproduced below is a revised version of Table 7 from the SEIA/Vote Solar testimony that includes a small change in these numbers that Mr. Beach made from the stand (the addition of the necessary locked shed to store gasoline safely).¹⁷⁷

Revised Table 8: Components of Residential Resiliency Value

Component	Cost	Notes
Generator	\$472 / kW	1.8 to 5.5 kW units
	\$1,650	Assuming a 3.5 kW generator
CA Sales Tax	\$140	At 8.5%
Transfer Switch	\$600	Manual switch & installation
Fuel Storage	\$1,050	Fuel containers, annual rotation, locked shed
Excess Energy Costs	\$60	Electricity costs above \$0.25/kWh
Air Impacts	\$149	NOx, PM2.5, GHG Planning Price ¹⁷⁸
Total	\$3,650	Total for the 3.4 kW unit
	\$104 per kW-year	Assuming one 7-day interruption per decade

¹⁷⁵ Fuel storage costs include the cost to buy two 5-gallon, UL certified fuel storage containers to store 10 gallons of fuel, and then the cost every year to either add fuel stabilizer to the gasoline or the time to rotate the gasoline by pouring the old gas into your car and then driving to the gas station and filling up the fuel storage containers. In addition, a detached, ventilated, locked shed is needed to store the gasoline. Tr. Vol. 1 (SEIA/Vote Solar-Beach), p. 144, line to p. 145, line 14.

¹⁷⁶ Note that this value now includes the \$750 cost of a ventilated locked shed that is needed to store the gasoline as was addressed by Mr. Beach on cross examination. Tr. Vol. 1 (SEIA/Vote Solar-Beach), p.145, lines 11-14.

¹⁷⁷ Tr. Vol. 1 (SEIA/Vote Solar - Beach), p. 145, line 3-14.

¹⁷⁸ Mr. Beach estimated the air emissions for portable gasoline generators assuming emissions of NOx and PM2.5 at the CARB voluntary compliance standard for these small engines, although many small generators on the market do not comply with these standards. To value the health impacts of emissions of criteria pollutants (NOx and PM2.5), Mr. Beach used the values provided in the white paper that he authored with Alison Seel of the Sierra Club, *Non-Energy Benefits of Distributed Generation* (August 3, 2015), which is in the record for this docket as Attachment 2 to SEIA's comments filed March 23, 2017 on the staff proposal recommending a societal cost test. For the GHG costs, Mr. Beach used the average 2018-2030 GHG Planning Price less \$20 per ton for the cap & trade value of GHG emissions from gasoline, which were assumed to be included in the \$4 per gallon cost of gasoline.

The resiliency value for commercial customers is determined using an approach similar to residential, based on the costs for backup diesel generators. Studies performed on a national basis have put this value at approximately \$85 per kW-year.¹⁷⁹ However, given California's stricter air emission standards, this national value may be low. Taking this fact into account, SEIA / Vote Solar witness Mr. Beach calculated an annual value of \$106 per kW year for commercial resiliency.¹⁸⁰

These reliability and resiliency benefits can be incorporated into the ACC as annual values, escalating with inflation.¹⁸¹ The reliability value is a dollar per customer value. The resiliency value is also an annual dollar value per customer, but is expressed in dollars per kW-year because it varies based on the kW discharge capacity of the battery storage system.¹⁸²

On cross examination, the Joint IOUs attempted to challenge Mr. Beach's estimated resiliency values on the grounds that the average cost of the portable generators used by Mr. Beach was too high. The only "evidence" that the Joint IOUs offered was the cost of one standard generator (and not a quiet inverter generator).¹⁸³ As explained by Mr. Beach:

[A] more robust methodology is to do a survey. I mean these things don't all have the same attributes and the same features. I would think that it's a more robust evaluation if you don't just look at one model; if you look at a range of different models that are on the market.¹⁸⁴

¹⁷⁹ Exhibit SVS-01, p. 70, lines 4-9 *citing, e.g.,* S.C. Ericson and D. Olis, *A Comparison of Fuel Choices for Backup Generators* (NREL March 2019) at pp. 20-21 and 25-27.

¹⁸⁰ Exhibit SVS-01, p.70, lines 11-16. (\$70/kW-year for capital. \$35/kW-year for maintenance and \$1/kw-year for air emissions).

¹⁸¹ *Ibid.*, p. 70, lines 20-21.

¹⁸² *Ibid.*, p. 70, lines 22-24.

¹⁸³ Exhibit IOU-10 (showing the cost of one portable generator to be \$679).

¹⁸⁴ Tr. Vol. 1 (SEIA/ Vote Solar -Beach), p. 143, lines 2-8.