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DECISION MODIFYING DECISION 10-03-021 AUTHORIZING USE OF RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDITS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD AND LIFTING STAY AND MORATORIUM IMPOSED BY DECISION 10-05-018

1. Summary

This decision modifies Decision (D.)10-03-021, which authorizes the procurement and use of tradable renewable energy credits (TRECs) for compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard (RPS) program. D.10-03-021 also sets forth the structure and rules for a TREC market and for the integration of TRECs into the RPS flexible compliance system.

This decision modifies D.10-03-021 by:

1. Increasing the extent to which the large investor-owned utilities may rely on REC-only transactions, as defined in D.10-03-021, by modifying the temporary TREC usage cap to allow up to 40% of their RPS annual procurement targets to be met using TREC-only transactions.

2. Modifying the provisions in D.10-03-021 relating to the characterization of contracts approved prior to the effective date of the TRECs decision as REC-only, so that all contracts that were approved by the Commission before the effective date of D.10-03-021 will be characterized as bundled contracts for RPS compliance purposes and will not count toward the temporary REC usage cap adopted in D.10-03-021 and as modified herein.

D.10-03-021, as modified by this decision, is effective March 11, 2010.

Further, because this decision resolves the two petitions for modification of D.10-03-021, the stay of D.10-03-021 imposed in D.10-05-018 is no longer necessary. The stay is therefore lifted. Similarly, the moratorium on Commission approval of certain RPS contracts imposed in D.10-05-018 is no longer relevant, and is ended.
2. Procedural Background


On April 14, 2010, the assigned Commissioner issued the Assigned Commissioner’s Ruling Setting Schedule for Consideration of Joint Petition for Modification of Decision 10-03-021 and Joint Motion for Stay of Decision 10-03-021 (ACR). The ACR shortened the time for responses and replies to the joint stay motion and for responses and replies to the utility petition.

aligned the timing of consideration of the IEP petition with that of the utility petition.

Responses to the joint stay motion were filed April 21, 2010. SCE filed a reply to the responses to the joint stay motion on April 23, 2010. In D.10-05-018, the Commission stayed D.10-03-021 on its own motion, pending the resolution of the two petitions for modification. D10-05-018 also instituted a temporary moratorium on approval of any RPS procurement contracts for compliance with the renewables portfolio standard program (RPS) signed after May 6, 2010 (the effective date of the stay decision) that would be defined under D.10-03-021 as transactions transferring only renewable energy credits (RECs) and not energy.

Responses to the utility petition and the IEP petition were filed May 4, 2010. SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E filed a joint reply to the responses to the utility petition on May 10, 2010.

---

12 Responses to the joint stay motion were filed by the Alliance for Retail Energy Markets (AREM); Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies; City and County of San Francisco (CCSF); PG&E; Shell Energy North America (Shell); Sierra Pacific Industries; The Utility Reform Network (TURN); Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS); and Western Power Trading Forum (WPTF).

13 Responses to the petitions for modification were filed by AREM; Bloom Energy; California Independent System Operator (CAISO); California Wind Energy Association (CalWEA); CCSF; Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA); Green Power Institute (GPI); Iberdrola Renewables, Inc. (Iberdrola); LS Power Associates, L.P. (LS Power); Large Scale Solar Association (LSA); Mountain Utilities and Bear Valley Electric Service (jointly; collectively, MU); NextEra Energy Resources; Renewable Energy Coalition; SCE; Sempra Generation; Shell; Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD); Solar Alliance; TURN; UCS; WPTF; and Zephyr Power Transmission, LLC and Chinook Power Transmission, LLC (jointly; collectively, Zephyr).
3. Discussion

3.1. The Petitions for Modification

3.1.1. The Utility Petition

The utility petition proposes wide-ranging changes to the decision on tradable renewable energy credits (TRECs). It makes 12 specific proposals.\[14\]

1. The Commission should revise the criteria for determining what transactions are bundled transactions and what transactions are for RECs only by ratifying the characterization of the transaction in the contract. That is, if the contract states that only RECs are being conveyed, the transaction should be classified as REC-only. If the contract states that RECs and energy are being conveyed, the transaction should be classified as bundled, regardless of any other characteristics of the contract or the transaction.

\[14\] As noted by CCSF, the utility petition fails to comply with Rule 16.4(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure. That rule provides that:

A petition for modification of a Commission decision must concisely state the justification for the requested relief and must propose specific wording to carry out all requested modifications to the decision. Any factual allegations must be supported with specific citations to the record in the proceeding or to matters that may be officially noticed. Allegations of new or changed facts must be supported by an appropriate declaration or affidavit.

The utility petition proposes specific wording for only one of its requested modifications. It contains no citations to the record of the proceeding and does not propose that any matters be officially noticed. It does not provide any declarations or affidavits to present any factual material in the petition that is not the record of this proceeding.

Because the utility petition raises issues of significant importance to the RPS program, ratepayers, and the public, the Commission will consider the utility petition on the merits, despite its failure to comply with the rules governing petitions for modification.
2. The Commission should apply the criteria for classification of contracts as REC-only or bundled to contracts that are submitted for Commission approval after the effective date of the TREC decision. For all contracts submitted for approval prior to that date, the characterization of the contract that would have obtained prior to D.10-03-021 should be used.

3. The Commission should eliminate the temporary limit on the use of TREC for RPS compliance by the large utilities. The TREC decision imposed a temporary limit of 25% of the RPS annual procurement target (APT) of a large utility, which expires on December 31, 2011 unless the Commission takes some action that would extend it, or would terminate it before that date.

4. If the Commission does not eliminate the temporary limit on the large utilities’ use of TREC for RPS compliance, it should extend that limit to all RPS-obligated retail sellers.

5. If the Commission does not eliminate the temporary limit on the large utilities’ use of TREC for RPS compliance, it should provide that the limit will unconditionally expire on December 31, 2011, without further review.

6. The Commission should eliminate the temporary cap of $50.00/TREC on the price that utilities are allowed pay for TREC.

7. If the Commission does not eliminate the temporary cap on the price utilities may pay for TREC for RPS compliance, it should extend that price cap to all RPS-obligated retail sellers.

8. If the Commission does not eliminate the temporary cap on the price utilities may pay for TREC for RPS compliance, it should provide that the cap will unconditionally expire on December 31, 2011, without further review.
9. The Commission should expand the rules for “earmarking”\(^\text{15}\) TREC contracts. Instead of allowing earmarking of contracts for TRECs only between an RPS-obligated retail seller and one generator that is the source of the TRECs and associated energy, the utility petition proposes that the Commission allow earmarking of contracts between a retail seller and one seller of all the TRECs in the contract.

10. The Commission should remove the requirement that the new standard terms and conditions set out in D.10-03-021 be added to RPS procurement contracts that were submitted for Commission approval, but not yet approved, prior to the effective date of the TRECs decision.

11. The Commission should expand and/or revise the rules for using TRECs for RPS compliance to:
   
   - allow the use of TRECs associated with energy generated in 2008 and 2009 to meet retail sellers’ APTs for 2008 and 2009;
   
   - allow earmarking of REC-only contracts entered into prior to 2010 to apply to APTs prior to 2010 (if the Commission does not adopt either the utility petition’s requested change to the criteria for classifying a contract as REC-only or the request to allow all deliveries from all previously approved contracts to be counted as bundled); and
   
   - allow use of TRECs for APTs for 2008 or 2009 without any usage limit (if the Commission does not eliminate the temporary TREC usage limit for large utilities).

---

\(^\text{15}\) Earmarking is a flexible compliance mechanism by which deliveries from a future RPS procurement contract may be designated to make up, within three years, shortfalls in RPS procurement in the same year in which the earmarked contract was signed.
12. The Commission should clarify the status of RECs associated with energy generated by qualifying facilities (QFs) not located in California that is under contract with a utility that is also not located in California.

3.1.2. The IEP Petition

The IEP petition proposes changes to the TRECs decision that are less sweeping than the changes suggested in the utility petition. The IEP petition makes proposals in two areas: criteria for classifying transactions as REC-only or bundled, and the methodology for least-cost best-fit (LCBF) analysis of RPS procurement options.

1. The Commission should revise the criteria for determining what transactions are bundled transactions and what transactions are REC-only transactions, creating a rebuttable presumption that three types of transactions will be considered bundled transactions:

   - transaction providing real-time delivery using firm transmission;

   - transactions using firm transmission in which firmed and shaped energy is delivered within 90 days of the generation of the energy associated with the RECs; and

   - firmed and shaped transactions using nonfirm transmission in which firmed and shaped energy is delivered within 90 days of the generation of the energy associated with the RECs.

2. The Commission should revise the LCBF methodology to provide for the explicit consideration of the geographic and related attributes that the Commission determines would increase the value of RPS transactions for California consumers.
3.2. Plan of this Decision

The discussion in this decision will follow the order of the topics set out in D.10-03-021. The requests in the petitions for modification and the responses to the petitions will be addressed in the context of the topics in D.10-03-021 to which they relate.

These modifications, like D.10-03-021, implement the Commission’s existing authority under Pub. Util. Code § 399.16 to authorize the use of RGCs for compliance with RPS procurement targets. Pursuant to §§ 399.11 and 399.15(b)(c), these targets are 20% of the retail sales of each RPS-obligated retail seller.

The modified findings of fact, conclusion of law, and Order will be attached as Appendix A to the issued decision.

3.3. Authorization

No party seeks changes to the authorization to use of TRECs for RPS compliance.

3.4. Sources of TRECs

No party seeks changes to the discussion of the sources of TRECs. Nevertheless, we conclude that one change is in order.

The text in section 4.3.2. of D.10-03-021 should be clarified with respect to the nature of the distributed generation (DG) being discussed and the role of the California Energy Commission (CEC). The original text could engender confusion about the relationship of this Commission’s discussion of TRECs from DG sources to the CEC’s authority, pursuant to § 399.13, to determine what

16 All subsequent references to sections refer to the Public Utilities Code, unless otherwise noted.
resources are RPS eligible. We clarify that our decision to authorize the use of TREC is not intended to imply that RECs associated with energy from customer-side DG installations generated prior to the effective date of D.10-03-021 are (or are not) RPS-eligible. The CEC will make those eligibility determinations. Therefore, section 4.3.2. should be rewritten, with the underlining constituting new text, as follows:

AReM, BVES, PG&E, SCE, and TURN suggest that various forms of DG\textsuperscript{17} may provide some available TREC, though not at a very large scale over the next few years.

Customer-side DG projects may utilize a variety of renewable technologies. These include solar photovoltaic (PV) installations, largely constructed under the aegis of the California Solar Initiative (CSI) and the self-generation incentive program (SGIP) administered by this Commission, and the New Solar Homes Partnership (NSHP) administered by the CEC; generation using biodiesel or biogas; and small biomass facilities.

Any customer-side DG must be found RPS-eligible by the CEC. At this time, almost no customer-side DG is RPS-eligible. The Eligibility Guidebook (at 18) explains that:

\begin{quote}
"The Energy Commission will not certify distributed generation PV and other forms of customer-sited renewable energy into the RPS at this time, with the following exception."
\end{quote}

\begin{footnote}
\textsuperscript{17} This discussion considers generation on the customer side of the meter as DG, in accordance with the CEC’s RPS Eligibility Guidebook (3d ed., December 2007), at 17-19 (available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/2007publications/CEC-300-2007-006/CEC-300-2007-006-ED3-CMF.PDF). Generation projects on the system side of the meter that are developed to connect to the distribution system are not considered “distributed generation” for purposes of this discussion.
\end{footnote}
The Energy Commission will certify facilities that would have been considered distributed generation facilities except that they are participating in a standard contract/tariff executed pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 399.20, as implemented through the CPUC Decision 07-07-027 (R.06.05.027), executed pursuant to a comparable standard contract/tariff approved by a local publicly owned electric utility, . . ., or if the facility is owned by a utility and meets other requirements, to become certified as RPS-eligible . . . .

The Energy Commission will not certify distributed generation facilities as RPS-eligible unless the CPUC authorizes tradable RECs to be applied toward the RPS.”

Thus, although there are technologies that can be used for customer-side renewable DG, most current installations are not in fact RPS-eligible because they have not been certified by the CEC.

In anticipation of the eventual use of customer-side DG for RPS compliance, both this Commission and the CEC have addressed the issue of the availability of TREC from such installations. In D.07-01-018, the Commission determined that owners of customer-side DG installations own the RECs associated with the generation, and can therefore sell them, regardless of whether the DG owners participate in net metering, CSI, or the SGIP.\(^{18}\) In D.07-07-027 and D.08-09-033, implementing § 399.20, the Commission provided for tariffs or standard contracts for utilities’ bundled purchase of RPS-eligible generation from DG of not more than 1.5 megawatt (MW) in size located at public

\(^{18}\) The CEC has likewise determined that the system owner of customer-side DG does not need to relinquish claim over the RECs in order to participate in the NSHP. See New Solar Homes Partnership Guidebook (3d edition April2010) at 7. This guidebook is available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/2010publications/CEC-300-2010-001/CEC-300-2010-001-CMF-REV1.PDF.
water and wastewater facilities and other customers, with an overall statewide limit on such purchases. The generation so acquired counts toward the utilities’ RPS targets. In this program, customers may sell to the utility either the full output of the DG facility (energy and RECs) or only the excess (energy and RECs) not used for on-site consumption. In the latter case, the RECs associated with the energy used on-site remain with the system owner.\textsuperscript{19}

AReM states that the CSI program estimates that the program will have installed about 800 gigawatt hours (GWh) of generation by 2010. AReM additionally estimates that CSI will have provided incentives for approximately 1,100 GWh by 2011. No other party provides quantitative DG estimates.\textsuperscript{20}

3.5. **Guiding Principles**

No party has sought changes in the guiding principles set forth in Section 4.4, and we make none.

3.6. **REC-only Transactions**

The IOUs and IEP in their respective petitions request a number of modifications related to REC-only transactions. These include modifications to the definition of REC-only transactions, and thus, by extension, the definition of

\textsuperscript{19} TREC\textsuperscript{s} from RPS-eligible DG installations that are tracked in WREGIS are, for RPS compliance purposes, the same as TREC\textsuperscript{s} from RPS-eligible utility-scale generation. No matter the type of DG generation or the kind of transaction, RECs associated with RPS-eligible DG—like RECs from any other RPS-eligible generation—“shall be counted only once for compliance with the renewables portfolio standard of this state or any other state, or for verifying retail product claims in this state or any other state.” (§ 399.16(a)(2).)

\textsuperscript{20} In D.09-06-049, the Commission approved a new SCE program to procure RPS-eligible energy from rooftop solar PV installations of one to two MW in size. Because the program is new, it is not currently possible to know what, if any, impact it will have on DG as a resource for RPS procurement over the next two to three years.
bundled transactions, modifications to the limits placed on the use of REC-only transactions, and the treatment of contracts entered into prior to the issuance of D.10-03-21.

Regarding the definition of what is considered a REC-only transaction, both the IOUs and IEP argue that the definition of a REC-only transaction adopted in D.10-03-021 is overbroad. To address this, the IOUs advocate counting as REC-only transactions only those contracts that expressly convey only RECs, not energy. All other contracts would be treated as bundled transactions. IEP takes a more nuanced view, agreeing that as a practical matter, some of the contract structures that are written to convey RECs and energy and that meet the CEC’s delivery requirements, are nonetheless functionally equivalent to REC-only transactions. To address this, IEP suggests that the definition of bundled transactions be broadened to include contracts that provide for real time delivery of energy via firm transmission, as well as shaped and firmed transactions, provided that an equivalent amount of incremental energy is delivered to a California Balancing Authority (CBA) within 90 days of when the renewable energy was physically generated via firm transmission or the project can otherwise demonstrate that there was sufficient transmission capacity to allow for the delivery of an equivalent amount of incremental energy to a CBA. In all cases, under IEP’s proposal, energy deliveries would need to be validated with North American Reliability Council (NERC) eTags demonstrating delivery of the corresponding amount of energy to a CBA.

Several parties disagree with these proposals, arguing in general that broadening the definition as requested by the IOUs or by IEP would run counter to the efficacy of the temporary REC usage cap by allowing transactions that are
effectively REC-only to continue to be used without limit. We agree with these parties in the context of the petitions for modification.

We continue to prefer the approach, as we set out in D.10-03-021, of staff investigating issues related to the use of firm transmission in RPS procurement and developing information on the basis of which transactions using firm transmission could be classified as bundled RPS procurement. This will allow parties, staff, and the Commission the opportunity to review the technical and policy issues and provide the most comprehensive array of information on which to base any determination about the role of firm transmission in RPS procurement.

We decline to adopt IEP’s other proposals as well as those of the IOUs on this issue. We agree with parties that argue that these other approaches appear relatively easy to game in a way that would compromise our preference that RPS contracts provide incremental energy to the utilities’ portfolios. As TURN notes in its response to IEP’s petition, for shaped and firmed transactions, nothing would preclude a retail seller from matching RECs with energy that is already scheduled into California apart from and irrespective of the associated REC transaction. This is true whether or not the contract includes firm transmission. The role of an intermediary facility and the temporal disconnect between renewable energy production and delivery gives rise to this concern. Thus, we remain of the view that at this time, shaped and firmed transactions should be treated as REC-only for compliance purposes.

For similar reasons, we do not adopt the utilities’ proposal to only count those transactions that expressly convey only RECs and not energy as REC-only and everything else as bundled. This proposal is overly expansive and, as TURN notes, would allow transactions that, for all practical purposes, are
REC-only, to be treated as bundled, rendering meaningless any limits or rules governing the role of REC-only transactions in the RPS program.

Another issue related to the definition of REC-only and bundled transactions concerns the scope of contracts to which these definitions, and any related compliance rules, apply. The utilities object to the treatment of deliveries from contracts approved by the Commission prior to D.10-03-021 as REC-only deliveries after the effective date of that decision, if the contracts would be considered REC-only contracts under the definitions of D.10-03-021. This objection is joined by almost all parties.\(^{21}\)

\(^{21}\) DRA supports this determination.
The parties focus on the asserted disruption to commercial arrangements and expectations caused by the prospective reclassification of some deliveries as REC-only, though RPS-eligible. They argue that, having approved the contracts, it is not fair for the Commission now to determine that future deliveries from these contracts will be classified as REC-only.\textsuperscript{22} They assert that this would deprive the utilities of some of the RPS compliance benefit of their contracts, and would generally destabilize the market for RPS-eligible energy transactions in the near future.

We are persuaded that, although our initial policy preference for consistency in future treatment of RPS deliveries was reasonable, the benefit of consistency does not justify the impact on RPS-eligible transactions that parties have identified. Contracts approved by the Commission prior to adoption of D.10-03-021 became effective on that approval.\textsuperscript{23} These contracts were final, and it is reasonable for parties to rely on the regulatory rules in place at that time. On the other hand, contracts not yet approved were not yet effective, and subject to changes by the parties or the Commission. Therefore, we will modify D.10-03-021 to set the new rules on a going forward basis. Accordingly, all RPS

\textsuperscript{22} In resolutions approving such contracts, the Commission expressed its intention to decide questions related to TREC\textregistered{}s in this proceeding. Relying on the CEC’s determination that the contract structures met RPS eligibility requirements, the Commission approved the contracts while recognizing that the question of whether transactions like those at issue in the advice letter would ultimately be determined to be REC-only. See, e.g., Resolution (Res.) E-4192, at 14-15 (available at http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/word_pdf/FINAL_RESOLUTION/91720.pdf); Res. E-4244, at 20 (available at http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/word_pdf/FINAL_RESOLUTION/102740.pdf).

\textsuperscript{23} See D.08-04-009, Appendix A, at 3 (STC 1: CPUC Approval).
procurement contracts approved by the Commission prior to the effective date of
D.10-03-021 (March 11, 2010) will be treated as “bundled” contracts, conveying
both energy and RECs, for the duration of the contract. This treatment is subject
to two important caveats:

- It does not apply to any extension of a given contract
  beyond the expiration date existing on March 11, 2010; and
- It does not apply to any deliveries under a given
  contract beyond the maximum deliveries identified in
  the contract as the contract read on March 11, 2010.

In light of the foregoing discussion and determinations, the following
modifications of D.10-03-021 should be made:

1. The last two paragraphs of section 4.5 are deleted and
   the following substituted:

   The determination of classification of RPS procurement
   contracts made in this decision applies to all RPS
   procurement contracts approved by the Commission
   after the effective date of this decision.

2. Conclusion of Law 11 should be rewritten as follows:

   Transactions in which RECs and RPS-eligible energy are
   procured from a generator whose first point of
   interconnection with the WECC interconnected
   transmission system is not a California balancing
   authority, and the transaction does not make use of
   dynamic transfer arrangements with a California
   balancing authority, where the contracts were approved
   by the Commission prior to the effective date of this
   decision, should be counted as bundled transactions for
   RPS compliance purposes.

3. Conclusion of Law 13 should be rewritten as follows:

   In order to recognize the legitimate expectations of the
   parties to RPS contracts now classified as REC-only that
   were approved by the Commission prior to the effective
date of this decision, the temporary limit on the use of TRECS for RPS compliance provided in this decision should not be applied to deliveries made under contracts approved prior to the effective date of this decision. These contracts and all related energy deliveries shall be treated as bundled transactions for RPS compliance purposes. A transaction classified as REC only by this decision, but which were previously approved by the Commission, if the deliveries associated with these contracts would cause the LSE to exceed the TRECs usage limit. In this circumstance, the LSE should not be allowed to use any TRECs associated with contracts that were not approved by the Commission prior to the effective date of this decision for compliance in that year that would exceed the 25% annual limit.”

4. Ordering Paragraph 6 should be modified as follows:

As of the effective date of this decision, a transaction for purposes of compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard shall be considered a transaction that procures only renewable energy credits if that transaction either:

a. Expressly transfers only renewable energy credits and not energy from the seller to the buyer; or

b. Transfers both renewable energy credits and energy from the seller to the buyer but does not meet the Commission’s criteria for considering a procurement transaction a bundled transaction for purposes of compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard.

All deliveries from transactions described in subsection b, above, associated with contracts approved by the Commission prior to the effective date of this decision will be counted as bundled transactions for purposes of compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard.
3.7. Market Structure and Rules

3.7.1. Temporary Limits on Use of TREC

The utility petition argues for the wholesale elimination of the temporary cap on the use of TREC for RPS compliance purposes adopted in D.10-03-021. As described more fully below, we are sensitive to the concerns of the utilities and others about the problems of taking a strictly categorical approach to determining what transaction structures are, and are not, more, or less valuable to ratepayers. The utilities are generally correct in their assertion that the temporary limitation on the use of TREC limits their ability to enter into some transactions that may reduce the costs of RPS compliance. As the utilities note in their petition, the broader the market for renewables that the utilities can access, the lower the costs are likely to be. For these reasons, the temporary cap adopted in D.10-03-021 on the use of TREC for RPS compliance is an interim step while we develop better methodologies to reasonably assess the value of REC-only transactions as compared to bundled transactions. That said, the utilities present reasonable arguments regarding the potentially adverse impacts of a relatively low cap on their ability to meet their renewable energy obligations at reasonable cost. Although we stop short of fully eliminating the temporary cap on REC-only transactions, we believe that increasing the cap to 40% of APT is warranted. Thus, until the cap expires (December 31, 2011), the large utilities may meet up to 40% of their annual procurement targets using TREC. As an interim measure, the limits adopted in D.10-03-021, as modified by this decision, are reasonable given ongoing concerns regarding the value to ratepayers of REC-only procurement and our ability to assess that value in a reasonable and consistent way.
The utilities also request that, if it continues the temporary usage limit on RECs, the Commission make an unconditional commitment to ending the limit on December 31, 2011 without additional review or consideration. This request for the Commission’s promise could be granted, but it would not be meaningful. A party may file a petition for modification of a Commission decision, in accordance with Rule 16.4. A petition for modification seeking to extend the temporary usage limit, for example, would require the Commission to reexamine the termination date of the temporary limit. The Commission could not promise in advance to reject such a petition out of hand. Moreover, the Commission always has the authority to review or modify its decisions, whether or not it has formally stated its intention to do so.

In light of the forgoing discussion and determinations, the following modifications should be made to D.10-03-021:

1. Finding of Fact 10 should be rewritten as follows:

   REC-only contracts are likely to provide fewer potential benefits to ratepayers than contracts for RPS procurement that include both RECs and RPS-eligible energy. In light of this differential in potential benefits, it is reasonable to impose on the three large IOUs a temporary limit of 40% 25% of APT annually on their use of TRECsin for RPS compliance.

2. Ordering Paragraph 17 should be rewritten as follows:

   Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, and Southern California Edison Company may each use renewable energy credits procured under contracts for renewable energy credits only to meet no more than 40 25 percent of their annual procurement targets for the California renewables portfolio standard, beginning with the 2010 compliance year.
3. Conforming changes should be made to several sections of the text that refer to the temporary limit on TREC usage. Instead of 25% of APT, the limit should be stated as 40% of APT.
   a. The reference in the Summary should be changed to read:

       Under this limit, the three large California utilities may use TREC to meet no more than 25% 40% percent of their annual RPS procurement obligations.

   b. All the references to a temporary limit of 25% of APT in section 4.6.3 should be changed to “40% of APT.”

   c. The reference in Appendix D should be changed to read:

       **Temporary limit on use of TREC for RPS compliance**
       PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E may meet no more than 40% 25% of their APT with TREC. This limitation will sunset December 31, 2011 unless the Commission acts to extend it.

3.8. Cost Recovery

3.8.1. Bid Evaluation

IEP’s petition asks the Commission to expand the review of LCBF methodology for RPS procurement that is ordered in Ordering Paragraph (OP) 34. IEP seeks to include additional issues in the review, and to impose a time limit by which the review should be complete. LSA and the Solar Alliance support these proposed modifications. TURN, UCS, and SCE oppose them.

IEP proposes the addition of several issues to the LCBF review, suggesting that rather than relying on TREC usage, the Commission instead work to incorporate the various elements that contribute to a given transaction’s value from a state policy and ratepayer perspective into the LCBF methodologies used by the IOUs for purposes of project selection. While the specific elements
IEP suggests appear overbroad and, as TURN suggests, subjective, in concept
IEP’s proposal is consistent with the Commission’s desire to compare, on a value
and cost basis, different procurement options in the RPS program in a consistent
and objective way. A REC-only transaction may be the best deal for ratepayers if
the net cost of bundled contracts, once the energy, capacity, and other benefits
are subtracted out, prove more expensive. However, we do not feel that such a
value based approach has been sufficiently vetted and standardized.

While these issues may be important and worthwhile, they are not
appropriately addressed by modification of D.10-03-021. As already reflected in
OP 34 of D.10-03-021, the assigned Commissioner is authorized to initiate a
review and revision of the LCBF methodology. IEP and other interested parties
may, if they choose, file a motion for consideration of these issues in the LCBF
review. We will not impose the time limit IEP proposes (September 2010),
because it will have passed before this decision is issued. Since, it is difficult to
set meaningful short-term deadlines for complex work, we decline to modify
OP 34 to do so. However, we continue to encourage expeditious initiation of a
comprehensive review of LCBF methods.

3.8.2. Temporary Limits on Payments for TREC

Similar to their request related to the REC usage limit, the IOUs
request that we eliminate the temporary cap on the maximum price they can pay
for RECs via REC-only transactions. D.10-03-021 set this amount at $50/REC.
As with the REC usage limit adopted in the decision, this cap is set to expire,
unless the Commission takes action to extend it, on December 31, 2011. In their
petition, the utilities do not provide any specific rationale for this request,
apparently confining this to the heading of a section of their petition, “If Not
Eliminated, Any TREC Usage Limit or Price Cap Should be the Same for All
LSEs”. Nowhere else in their petition do the utilities provide any additional
detail on this specific proposal.

We do not believe the IOUs have met their burden to convince us to
modify this aspect of the decision. They offer no arguments, much less new
arguments, in support of their position on this issue, and thus, we see no reason
to modify our position. It should be noted that as with the usage cap, the price
cap is intended as an interim, albeit preliminary, approach to ensure that while
we develop experience with, and a methodology to assess the value of, different
contract structures and products, we place reasonable limits to protect ratepayers
from unreasonable outcomes.

The utilities also request that, if it continues the temporary limit on
payments for TRECs, the Commission make an unconditional commitment to
ending the price limit on December 31, 2011 without additional review or
consideration. As discussed with respect to the usage limit, this request for the
Commission’s promise could be granted, but it would not be meaningful in light
of the Commission’s existing procedures. Additionally, for all the reasons noted
above, given the current limitations in our ability to assess the relative value of
REC-only and bundled transactions on a consistent and objective basis, the price
cap, as a temporary measure, is a reasonable safeguard against adverse outcomes
while we develop an appropriate methodology to make these comparisons and
value determinations.

The text of section 4.7.3, therefore, remains unchanged. OP 21 also
remains unchanged.

3.9. Application of TREC Usage and Price Caps to Other RPS
Obligated Load Serving Entities

In their petition, the IOUs request that if the TREC usage and price
caps are not eliminated, that they be applied consistently to all RPS-obligated
load serving entities. Because we are comprehensively addressing this and other issues related to harmonizing the RPS rules as applied to the IOUs and other RPS obligated retail sellers pursuant to new Section 365.1, enacted by Senate Bill 695 (Kehoe), 2009 Stats., ch. 337, in Rulemaking (R.) 08-08-009, we do not adopt the modifications requested by the IOUs as they relate to these two specific issues. As we stated in D.10-03-021, “We prefer to approach equalization of RPS requirements through a comprehensive review of all program requirements to be undertaken in R.08-08-009, rather than by changing this one element...”  

3.10. Transactions Subject to §§ 399.16(a)(5) and (6)

The utilities identify what they characterize as an inconsistency between the text of section 4.8 in D.10-03-021 and the implementation of that discussion in OP 9. We agree that OP 9 does not reflect the Commission’s full intention, as set forth in the discussion. We therefore adopt the proposed modification of OP 9 to eliminate the reference to facilities located in California, as follows:

Renewable energy credits associated with electricity generation that is eligible for the California renewables portfolio standard delivered under procurement contracts of California utilities for both energy and renewable energy credits pursuant to the federal Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 that were signed after January 1, 2005 with qualifying facilities located in California shall be used for compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard only if they are not transferred to an entity other than the original buyer in the Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System prior to being retired for

---

24 D.10-03-021, fn 79.
compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard.

3.11. Compliance and Reporting

3.11.1. Earmarking of TREC Contracts

In D.10-03-021, the Commission concluded that, at least at the beginning of the TREC market, the ability to earmark REC-only contracts for RPS compliance should be limited to contracts between one retail seller (buyer) and one RPS-eligible generator that supplies all the RECs. (OP 15.) The utility petition asks that this restriction on earmarking TREC contracts be modified to allow contracts between a retail seller and one seller of all the RECs. UCS opposes this proposal.

The utility proposal would introduce a complexity in administration and enforcement that the Commission rejected in D.10-03-021. The utility proposal would, for example, allow earmarking of a contract between a retail seller and a REC broker or aggregator, in which the RECs were to be produced by any number of generation facilities, in several states within the WECC. The utilities have not persuaded us that the time and effort involved in ascertaining the viability of the disparate elements of such a contract are worth the limited contribution to RPS compliance that such complex instruments might make.

Like all other RPS procurement and compliance rules, this limitation is subject to review by the Commission as the TREC market matures. Experience may show that it could be relaxed or eliminated. At the outset, however, this limitation on earmarking remains a sensible approach to expanding RPS compliance through the use of TREC.

3.11.2. Other Compliance Issues

The utility petition also requests clarification on three points related to the use of TREC in making up deficits in APT from prior years. These issues
largely arise from the classification made in D.10-03-021 of future deliveries from contracts characterized as REC-only. Since the effect of this classification determination has been modified by this decision, these questions are no longer relevant. To the extent any issues may remain, they can and should be addressed through the application of the RPS flexible compliance rules, which apply to both bundled and REC-only transactions.

3.12. Standard Terms and Conditions

In their petition, the IOUs suggest that in the interest of market certainty, and to reduce the administrative burden associated with revisiting already signed contracts, that the requirement to add additional terms and conditions to contracts pending approval at the Commission be eliminated. We consider this concern to be exaggerated. Although there is some administrative burden, the value of consistent treatment of RECs in RPS procurement contracts outweighs it. We therefore make no change to this requirement.

3.13. Timing Issues

No party proposes changes to this section. On review, however, we conclude that one clarification is needed. The text in D.10-03-021 inadvertently elided the role of the CEC in determining RPS eligibility. In order to avoid potential confusion, the first sentence of section 4.11 is revised to read:

Beginning on the effective date of this decision, TREC[s tracked in WREGIS and certified by the CEC as associated with RPS-eligible electricity, for which the RPS-eligible electricity associated with the TREC was generated on or
after January 1, 2008 may be procured, traded, and used for RPS compliance.25

3.14 Comparison to March 2009 PD

This section of D.10-03-021 is not relevant to the modifications made by this decision, and is now likely to engender confusion. D.10-03-021 is therefore modified to eliminate Section 4.12, Comparison to March 2009 PD.

4. Comments of Proposed Decision

The proposed decision of Commissioner Peevey in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code, and comments were allowed under Rule 14.3 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments were filed on __________ and reply comments were filed on __________ by __________.

5. Assignment of Proceeding

Michael R. Peevey is the assigned Commissioner and Anne E. Simon is the assigned Administrative Law Judge for this portion of this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

1. Allowing the use of TREC for RPS compliance will give RPS-obligated retail sellers increased options for RPS compliance, and may reduce complexity in RPS procurement contracting.

2. Modifying D.10-03-021 will simplify RPS compliance for retail sellers obligated under the RPS program.

3. Modifying D.10-03-021 is likely to increase regulatory certainty for participants in the new TREC market.

25 This date is used because 2008 is the first year that WREGIS issued certificates; it is also the first year data from WREGIS is reported to the CEC to verify RPS procurement. (RPS Eligibility Guidebook at 46.)
Conclusions of Law

1. The use of TRECs for RPS compliance should be authorized.
2. D.10-03-021 should be modified as set forth in this decision.
3. In order to allow the use of TRECs for RPS compliance as soon as practicable, this order should be effective immediately.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:


3. Decision (D.) 10-03-021 is modified as explained in this decision. The specific modifications are set forth as follows:
A. Section 4.3.2 of the text is modified to read:

AReM, BVES, PG&E, SCE, and TURN suggest that various forms of DG\textsuperscript{26} may provide some available TRECs, though not at a very large scale over the next few years.

Customer-side DG projects may utilize a variety of renewable technologies. These include solar photovoltaic (PV) installations, largely constructed under the aegis of the California Solar Initiative (CSI) and the self-generation incentive program (SGIP) administered by this Commission, and the New Solar Homes Partnership (NSHP) administered by the CEC; generation using biodiesel or biogas; and small biomass facilities.

Any customer-side DG must be found RPS-eligible by the CEC. At this time, almost no customer-side DG is RPS-eligible. The \textit{Eligibility Guidebook} (at 18) explains that:

The Energy Commission will not certify distributed generation PV and other forms of customer-sited renewable energy into the RPS at this time, with the following exception.

The Energy Commission will certify facilities that would have been considered distributed generation facilities except that they are participating in a standard contract/tariff executed pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 399.20, as implemented through the CPUC Decision 07-07-027 (R.06.05.027), executed pursuant to a comparable standard contract/tariff approved by a local publicly owned electric utility. . ., or if the facility is owned

\textsuperscript{26} This discussion considers generation on the customer side of the meter as DG, in accordance with the CEC’s \textit{RPS Eligibility Guidebook} (3d ed., December 2007), at 17-19 (available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/2007publications/CEC-300-2007-006/CEC-300-2007-006-ED3-CMF.PDF .) Generation projects on the system side of the meter that are developed to connect to the distribution system are not considered “distributed generation” for purposes of this discussion.
by a utility and meets other requirements, to become certified as RPS-eligible . . .

The Energy Commission will not certify distributed generation facilities as RPS-eligible unless the CPUC authorizes tradable RECs to be applied toward the RPS.

Thus, although there are technologies that can be used for customer-side renewable DG, most current installations are not in fact RPS-eligible because they have not been certified by the CEC.

In anticipation of the eventual use of customer-side DG for RPS compliance, both this Commission and the CEC have addressed the issue of the availability of TRECs from such installations. In D.07-01-018, this Commission determined that owners of DG installations own the RECs associated with the generation, and can therefore sell them, regardless of whether the DG owners participate in net metering, CSI, or the SGIP. In D.07-07-027 and D.08-09-033, implementing § 399.20, the Commission provided for tariffs or standard contracts for utilities’ bundled purchase of RPS-eligible generation from DG of not more than 1.5 megawatt (MW) in size located at public water and wastewater facilities and other customers, with an overall statewide limit on such purchases. The generation so acquired counts toward the utilities’ RPS targets. In this program, customers may sell to the utility either the full output of the DG facility (energy and RECs) or only the excess (energy and RECs) not used for on-site consumption. In the latter case, the RECs associated

---

27 The CEC has likewise determined that the DG system owner does not need to relinquish claim over the RECs in order to participate in the NSHP. See New Solar Homes Partnership Guidebook (3d edition April 2010) at 7. This guidebook is available at http://www.energy.ca.gov/2010publications/CEC-300-2010-001/CEC-300-2010-001-CMF-REV1.PDF.
with the energy used on-site remain with the system owner.\(^{28}\)

AREM states that the CSI program estimates that the program will have installed about 800 gigawatt hours (GWh) of generation by 2010. AREM additionally estimates that CSI will have provided incentives for approximately 1,100 GWh by 2011. No other party provides quantitative DG estimates.\(^{29}\)

B. The last two paragraphs of section 4.5 of the text are modified to read:

The determination of classification of RPS procurement contracts made in this decision applies to all RPS procurement contracts approved by the Commission after the effective date of this decision.

C. The first sentence of section 4.11 of the text is modified to read:

Beginning on the effective date of this decision, TREC\(s\) tracked in WREGIS and certified by the CEC as associated with RPS-eligible electricity, for which the RPS-eligible electricity associated with the TREC was generated on or after January 1, 2008 may be procured, traded, and used for RPS compliance.\(^{30}\)

\(^{28}\) TREC\(s\) from RPS-eligible DG installations that are tracked in WREGIS are, for RPS compliance purposes, the same as TREC\(s\) from RPS-eligible utility-scale generation. No matter the type of DG generation or the kind of transaction, RE\(C\)\(s\) associated with RPS-eligible DG—like RE\(C\)\(s\) from any other RPS-eligible generation—“shall be counted only once for compliance with the renewables portfolio standard of this state or any other state, or for verifying retail product claims in this state or any other state.” (§ 399.16(a)(2).)

\(^{29}\) In D.09-06-049, the Commission approved a new SCE program to procure RPS-eligible energy from rooftop solar PV installations of one to two MW in size. Because the program is new, it is not currently possible to know what, if any, impact it will have on DG as a resource for RPS procurement over the next two to three years.

\(^{30}\) This date is used because 2008 is the first year that WREGIS issued certificates; it is also the first year data from WREGIS is reported to the CEC to verify RPS procurement. (RPS Eligibility Guidebook at 46.)
D. Section 4.12 of the text is deleted.

E. Conforming changes are made to several sections of the text that refer to the temporary limit on TREC usage. Instead of 25% of APT, the limit shall be stated as 40% of APT.

a. The reference in the Summary is modified to read:

Under this limit, the three large California utilities may use TREC to meet no more than 40 percent of their annual RPS procurement obligations.

b. All the references to a temporary limit of 25% of APT in section 4.6.3 are modified to read: “40% of APT.”

c. The reference in Appendix D is modified to read:

Temporary limit on use of TREC for RPS compliance - PG&E, SCE, and SDG&E may meet no more than 40% of their APT with TREC. This limitation will sunset December 31, 2011 unless the Commission acts to extend it.

F. Finding of Fact 10 is modified to read:

10. REC-only contracts are likely to provide fewer potential benefits to ratepayers than contracts for RPS procurement that include both RECs and RPS-eligible energy. In light of this differential in potential benefits, it is reasonable to impose on the three large IOUs a temporary limit of 40% of APT annually on their use of TREC for RPS compliance.

G. Conclusion of Law 11 is modified to read:

11. Transactions in which RECs and RPS-eligible energy are procured from a generator whose first point of interconnection with the WECC interconnected transmission system is not a California balancing authority, and the transaction does not make use of dynamic transfer arrangements with a California balancing authority, where the contracts were approved by the Commission prior to the effective date
of this decision, should be counted as bundled transactions for RPS compliance purposes.

H. Conclusion of Law 13 is modified to read:

13. In order to recognize the legitimate expectations of the parties to RPS contracts now classified as REC-only that were approved by the Commission prior to the effective date of this decision, the temporary limit on the use of TRECS for RPS compliance provided in this decision should not be applied to deliveries made under contracts approved by the Commission prior to the effective date of this decision. These contracts and all related energy deliveries shall be treated as bundled transactions for RPS compliance purposes.

I. Conclusion of Law 24 is modified to read:

24. Utilities that are required to submit their RPS procurement contracts for Commission approval should submit contracts conveying only RECs and not energy for approval not earlier than October 1, 2010.

J. Ordering Paragraph 4 is modified to read:

Any renewable energy credits tracked in the Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System that conform to the requirements of Decision 08-08-028 and any subsequent Commission decision or any applicable California legislation characterizing renewable energy credits, and that meet the criteria for eligibility set by the California Energy Commission, may be used for compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard, subject to the restrictions in Ordering Paragraphs 8 and 9, below.

K. Ordering Paragraph 6 is modified to read:

6. As of the effective date of this decision, a transaction for purposes of compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard shall be considered a transaction that procures only renewable energy credits if that transaction either:
a. Expressly transfers only renewable energy credits and not energy from the seller to the buyer; or

b. Transfers both renewable energy credits and energy from the seller to the buyer but does not meet the Commission’s criteria for considering a procurement transaction a bundled transaction for purposes of compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard.

All deliveries from transactions described in subsection b, above, associated with contracts approved by the Commission prior to the effective date of this decision will be counted as bundled transactions for purposes of compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard.

L. Ordering Paragraph 9 is modified to read:

9. Renewable energy credits associated with electricity generation that is eligible for the California renewables portfolio standard delivered under procurement contracts of California utilities for both energy and renewable energy credits pursuant to the federal Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 that were signed after January 1, 2005 shall be used for compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard only if they are not transferred to an entity other than the original buyer in the Western Renewable Energy Generation Information System prior to being retired for compliance with the California renewables portfolio standard.

M. Ordering Paragraph 17 is modified to read:

17. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric Company, and Southern California Edison Company may each use renewable energy credits procured under contracts for renewable energy credits only, to meet no more than 40 percent of their annual procurement targets for the California renewables
portfolio standard, beginning with the 2010 compliance year.

N. Ordering Paragraph 18 is deleted.

O. Ordering Paragraphs 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, and 37 are renumbered as 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, and 36 respectively.

P. Ordering Paragraph 38 is renumbered as 37 and modified to read:

37. Not earlier than October 1, 2010, utilities may submit for Commission approval contracts conveying only renewable energy credits and not energy that conform to the requirements of this order.

Q. Ordering Paragraph 39 is renumbered as 38.

4. The stay of Decision (D.) 10-03-021 imposed by D.10-05-018 is dissolved, as of the effective date of this decision.

5. The temporary moratorium imposed by Decision (D.) 10-05-018 on Commission approval of any procurement contracts for compliance with the renewables portfolio standard program signed after May 6, 2010 that would have been defined under D.10-03-021 as transactions transferring only renewable energy credits and not energy is ended, as of the effective date of this decision.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____________________, at San Francisco, California.
(APPENDIX A)
(to be supplied with issued decision)
INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document’s acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today’s date.


/s/ ANTONINA V. SWANSEN
Antonina V. Swansen

NOTICE

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to ensure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

The Commission’s policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074 or TDD# (415) 703-2032 five working days in advance of the event.
************** PARTIES **************

************** SERVICE LIST ************

Last Updated on 23-AUG-2010 by: JVG
R0602012 LIST

3 PHASES RENEWABLES LLC
2100 SEPULVEDA BLVD, SUITE 37
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266

Michael Mazur
3 PHASES RENEWABLES, LLC
2100 SEPULVEDA BLVD, SUITE 37
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266
(310) 798-5275
mmazur@3PhasesRenewables.com

Gabe Petlin
3DEGREES
PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO
6 FUNSTON AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94129
(415) 595-1679
gpetlin@3degreesinc.com

Dennis W. De Cuir
Atty At Law
A LAW CORPORATION
2999 DOUGLAS BLVD., SUITE 325
ROSEVILLE CA 95661
(916) 788-1022
dennis@ddecuir.com

James Weil
Director
AGLET CONSUMER ALLIANCE
PO BOX 1916
SEBASTOPOL CA 95473
(707) 824-5656
jweil@aglet.org
For: Aglet Consumer Alliance

Evelyn Kahl
Attorney At Law
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 421-4143
ek@a-klaw.com
For: Energy Producers and Users Coalition

Michael Alcantar
Attorney At Law
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(503) 402-9900
mpa@a-klaw.com
For: Cogeneration Association of California

Nora Sheriff
ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP
33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1850
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94015
(415) 421-4143
nes@a-klaw.com
For: Energy Producers and Users Coalition

AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK
10705 DEER CANYON DRIVE
ALTA LOMA CA 91737
pssed@adelphia.net

Paul Delaney
AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK (A.U.N.)
10705 DEER CANYON DRIVE
ALTA LOMA CA 91737
(805) 390-5632
pssed@adelphia.net

Gloria Britton
ANZA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. (909)
PO BOX 39199 / 58470 HWY 371
ANZA CA 92539
GloriaB@anzaelectric.org

AOL UTILITY CORP.
12752 BARRETT LANE
SANTA ANA CA 92705

John R. Redding
ARCTURUS ENERGY CONSULTING
44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE
MENDOCINO CA 95460
(707) 937-0878
johnredding@earthlink.net
For: Silicon Valley Manufacturers Group
Holly Gordon  
Vp Legislative/Regulatory Affairs  
AUSRA, INC.  
303 RAVENDEALE DR.  
MOUNTAIN VIEW CA 94043  
(650) 353-9767  
holly@ausra.com  
For: Large-Scale Solar Association

Anthony J. Ivancovich  
Assist General Counsel - Regulatory  
CALIF. INDEP. SYSTEM OPERATOR CORP  
151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD  
FOLSOM CA 95630  
(916) 351-4400  
aivancovich@caiso.com  
For: California Independent System Operator Corporation

Josh Richman  
BLOOM ENERGY CORPORATION  
1252 ORLEANS DRIVE  
SUNNYVALE CA 94089  
(408) 543-1547  
jrichman@bloomenergy.com  
For: Bloom Energy Corporation

Karen Mills  
CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION  
2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE  
SACRAMENTO CA 95833  
(916) 561-5655  
kmills@cfbf.com  
For: California Farm Bureau Federation

J. Courtney Olive  
Attorney-Advisor  
BONNEVILLE POWE ADMINISTRATION  
905 NE 11TH AVE  
PORTLAND OR 97217  
(503) 230-5085  
jcolive@bpa.gov  
For: Bonneville Power Administration

Judith Sanders  
CALIFORNIA ISO  
151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD  
FOLSOM CA 95630  
(916) 351-4400  
jsanders@caiso.com  
Jacqueline Kepke  
CALIFORNIA WASTEWATER CLIMATE CHANGE GRP  
155 GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 1000  
OAKLAND CA 94612  
(510) 206-3820  
jkepke@ch2m.com  
For: California Wastewater Climate Change Group

Laurie Mazer  
BP WIND ENERGY NORTH AMERICA, INC.  
360 22ND STREET, SUITE 850  
OAKLAND CA 94612  
Laurie.Mazer@bp.com  
For: BP Wind Energy North America, Inc.

Avis Kowalewski  
CALPINE CORPORATION  
4160 DUBLIN BLVD, SUITE 100  
DUBLIN CA 94568  
(925) 557-2284  
kowalewski@calpine.com  
For: Calpine Corporation

Sylvia Castillo  
Director, Regulatory Affairs  
BSG CLEARING SOLUTIONS  
7411 JOHN SMITH DRIVE, SUITE 1500  
SAN ANTONIO TX 78229  
(210) 949-7405  
sylvia.castillo@bsgclearing.com  
For: BSG Clearing Solutions

Jan Mcfarland  
CAEATFA  
915 CAPITOL MALL, RM. 468  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 651-8157  
jmcfarland@treasurer.ca.gov  
For: Americans for Solar Power

Janice G. Hamrin  
CENTER FOR RESOURCE SOLUTIONS  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(415) 561-2100  
jhamrin@resource-solutions.org  
For: CENTER FOR RESOURCE SOLUTIONS
Joseph Langenberg  
CENTRAL CALIFORNIA POWER  
5125 NORTH MARTY AVENUE, NO.324  
FRESNO CA 93711  
(559) 917-5064  
Joe.Langenberg@gmail.com  
For: Central California Power

Stephen A. S. Morrison  
CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
CITY HALL, SUITE 234  
1 DR CARLTON B. GOODLET PLACE  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682  
(415) 554-4637  
For: City & County of San Francisco

Neal De Snoo  
Energy Office  
CITY OF BERKELEY  
2180 MILVIA AVENUE  
BERKELEY CA 94704  
(510) 981-5434  
ndesnoo@ci.berkeley.ca.us  
For: East Bay Power Authority/City of Berkeley

Kerry Eden  
Asst. General Mgr.  
CITY OF CORONA DEPT. OF WATER & POWER  
730 CORPORATION YARD WAY  
CORONA CA 92880  
(951) 817-5740  
kerry.eden@ci.corona.ca.us

Frederick M. Ortlieb  
Office Of City Attorney  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO  
1200 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1200  
SAN DIEGO CA 92101  
(619) 236-6318  
fortlieb@sandiego.gov

Susan Munves  
Energy And Green Bldg. Prog. Admin.  
CITY OF SANTA MONICA  
1212 5TH STREET, FIRST FLOOR  
SANTA MONICA CA 90401  
(310) 458-8229  
susan.munves@smgov.net

Janis C. Pepper  
CLEAN POWER MARKETS, INC.  
PO BOX 3206  
LOS ALTOS CA 94024  
(650) 949-5719  
pepper@cleanpowermarkets.com

Bill Barnes  
CLEANTECH AMERICA, INC.  
50 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1500  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 277-5455  
b.barnes@cleantechamerica.com

L. Jan Reid  
COAST ECONOMIC CONSULTING  
3185 GROSS ROAD  
SANTA CRUZ CA 95062  
(831) 476-5700  
janreid@coastecon.com

Cynthia A. Brady  
Senior Counsel  
CONSTELLATION ENERGY GROUP INC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY IL 00000-0000  
(312) 704-8518  
cynthia.brady@constellation.com

R. Thomas Beach  
Principal Consultant  
CROSSBORDER ENERGY  
2560 NINTH STREET, SUITE 213A  
BERKELEY CA 94710-2557  
(510) 649-9790  
tomb@crossbordersenergy.com

Vidhya Prabhakaran  
DURHAM C. MCCORMICK  
DAVIS WRIGHT & TREMAINE LLP  
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 276-6568  
vidhya.prabhakaran@dwt.com

For: Lakeview Light & Power, Lakeview Green Energy, Inc.
Salle E. Yoo
STEVEN GREENWALD
Attorney At Law
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-6533
(415) 276-6564
salleyoo@dwt.com
For: Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Jeffrey P. Gray
Attorney At Law
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-6533
(415) 276-6500
jeffgray@dwt.com
For: CalpinePowerAmerica-CA, LLC/Firends of Kirkwood Association

Larry F. Eisenstat
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 EYE STREET, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006
(202) 420-2200
For: CPV Renewable Energy Company, LLC

Richard Lehfeldt
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 EYE STREET, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006
(202) 420-2200
For: CPV Renewable Energy Company, LLC

Ronald M. Cerniglia
Director- National Advocacy
DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC
40 COLUMBINE DRIVE
GLENMONT NY 12077-2966
(518) 439-2195
ron.cerniglia@directenergy.com
For: Direct Energy Services, LLC

Daniel Douglass
Attorney
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
21700 OXNARD STREET, SUITE 1030
WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367

Gregory S.G. Klatt
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
411 E. HUNTINGTON DRIVE, SUITE 107-356
ARCADIA CA 91006-8102
(818) 961-3002
klatt@energyattorney.com
For: Alliance for Retail Energy Markets/APS Energy Services/Western Power Trading Forum

Jane E. Luckhardt
Attorney At Law
DOWNEY BRAND LLP
621 CAPITOL MALL, 18TH FLOOR
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 520-5348
jluckhardt@downeybrand.com
For: Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Dan L. Carroll
Attorney At Law
DOWNEY BRAND, LLP
621 CAPITOL MALL, 18TH FLOOR
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 444-1000
dcarroll@downeybrand.com
For: Mountain Utilities

Audra Hartmann
DYNEGY INC.
4140 DUBLIN BLVD., STE. 100
DUBLIN CA 94568
(916) 441-6242
Audra.Hartmann@Dynegy.com
For: Dynegy, Inc.

Greggory L. Wheatland
LYNN M. HAUG
ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905
(916) 447-2166
glw@eslawfirm.com
For: the City of Corona

Andrew B. Brown
Attorney At Law
ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP (1359)
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
(818) 961-3001
douglass@energyattorney.com
For: Direct Access Customer Coalition, Alliance For Retail
Agent for Royal Bank of Scotland, PLC

SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905
(916) 447-2166
abb@eslawfirm.com
For: Constellation New Energy, Inc./Praxair Plainfield, Inc.

Lynn M. Haug
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, L.L.P.
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5931
(916) 447-2166
lmh@eslawfirm.com
For: Sierra Pacific Power Company

Keith Switzer
Vp Regulatory Affairs
GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY
630 EAST FOOTHILL BLVD.
SAN DIMAS CA 91773-9016
(909) 394-3600 X 780
kswitzer@gswater.com

Douglas K. Kerner
CHASE B. KAPPEL
Attorney At Law
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905
(916) 447-2166
dkk@eslawfirm.com
For: Independent Energy Producers Association/Sierra Pacific Industries

Suzy Hong
GOODIN MACBRIE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 392-7900
shong@goodinmacbride.com
For: Terra-Gen Power, LLC

Carolyn Kehrein
Energy Management Services
ENERGY USERS FORUM
2602 CELEBRATION WAY
WOODLAND CA 95776
(530) 668-5600
cmkehrein@ems-ca.com
For: Energy Users Forum

Jeanne B. Armstrong
GOODIN MACBRIE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 392-7900
jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com
For: Solar Alliance

Michael B. Day
GOODIN MACBRIE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 392-7900
mday@goodinmacbride.com
For: Solar Alliance

Garson Knapp
FPL ENERGY, LLC
770 UNIVERSE BLVD.
JUNO BEACH FL 33408
(561) 304-5720
garson_knapp@fpl.com

Brian T. Cragg
JOSEPH F. WIEDMAN
GOODIN, MACBRIE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 392-7900
bcragg@goodinmacbride.com
For: Independent Energy Producers Association (IEPA)

Standish O’Grady
FRIENDS OF KIRKWOOD ASSOCIATION
31 PARKER AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94118
(415) 591-7701
sho@ogrady.us

Chris Leveriza
GLACIAL ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY

James D. Squeri
Attorney At Law
GOODIN, MACBRIE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000
chris.leveriza@glacialenergy.vi
For: Glacial Energy

Gregory Morris
Director
GREEN POWER INSTITUTE
2039 SHATTUCK AVE., SUITE 402
BERKELEY CA 94704
(510) 644-2700
gmorris@emf.net
For: Green Power Institute

Randall W. Hardy
HARDY ENERGY CONSULTING
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY WA 00000-0000
(206) 264-0224
rhardy@hardyenergy.com
For: Hardy Energy Consulting

Will Plaxico
HELIOS ENERGY, LLC/AXIO POWER, INC.
31897 DEL OBISPO ST. SUITE 270
SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO CA 92675-3243
(888) 728-1117 X55
wplaxico@axiopower.com

Anders Glader
Svp, Origination
IBERDROLA RENEWABLES
114 MORNING SUN AVENUE
MILL VALLEY CA 94941
(415) 388-2767
anders.glader@elpower.com
For: Element Power

Donald N. Furman
Senior Vice President
IBERDROLA RENEWABLES INC
1125 NW COUCH STREET, SUITE 700
PORTLAND OR 97209
(503) 796-7723
For: Iberdrola Renewables Inc.

Kevin A. Lynch
IBERDROLA RENEWABLES INC
1125 NW COUCH ST., SUITE 700
PORTLAND OR 97209

505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 392-7900
jsqueri@gmssr.com
For: California Retailers Association/Powerex Corp.

Steven Kelly
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION
1215 K STREET, SUITE 900
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 448-9499
steven@iepa.com
For: IEP

Jody S. London
JODY LONDON CONSULTING
PO BOX 3629
OAKLAND CA 94609
(510) 459-0667
jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net
For: FOR Sustainable Conservation

Shannon Eddy
Executive Director
LARGE SCALE SOLAR ASSOCIATION
2501 PORTOLA WAY
SACRAMENTO CA 95818
(916) 731-8371
eddyconsulting@gmail.com
For: Large Scale Solar Association

Jared W. Johnson
JOEL H. MACK
LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
505 MONTGOMERY ST., SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 391-0600
jared.johnson@lw.com
For: TransAlta Corporation / TransWest Express LLC

Jeremy D. Weinstein
Attorney At Law
LAW OFFICES OF JEREMY D. WEINSTEIN
1512 BONANZA STREET
WALNUT CREEK CA 94596
(925) 943-2708
jeremy.weinstein@pacificorp.com
For: PacifiCorp

William H. Booth
R.06-02-012 COM/MP1/avs

(503) 796-7108
kevin.lynch@iberdrolausa.com
For: Iberdrola Renewables, Inc.

Jennifer Chamberlin
LS POWER DEVELOPMENT, LLC
5000 HOPYARD ROAD, SUITE 480
PLEASANTON CA 94588
(925) 201-5253
JChamberlin@LSPower.com
For: LS Power Associates, L.P.

John W. Leslie
Attorney At Law
LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP
600 WEST BROADWAY, SUITE 2600
SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3391
(619) 699-2536
jleslie@luce.com

David L. Huard
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, STE 2900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3736
(415) 291-7430
dhuard@manatt.com
For: MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

Dawn Weisz
Principal Planner / Interim Dir
MARIN ENERGY AUTHORITY
3501 CIVIC CENTER DRIVE, RM 308
SAN RAFAEL CA 94903-4157
(415) 507-2706
dweisz@co.marin.ca.us
For: Marin Energy Authority

Attorney At Law
LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH
67 CARR DRIVE
MORAGA CA 94556
(925) 376-9685
wbooth@booth-law.com
For: Ridgewood Renewable Power, LLC and Ridgewood Olinda, LLC, Iberdrola Renewables, Inc.

David E. Morse
1411 W. COVELL BLVD., STE. 106-292
DAVIS CA 95616-5934
(530) 756-5033
demorse@omsoft.com
For: Bear Valley Electric Service

John Dutcher
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCE
FAIRFIELD CA 94534-7875
(707) 426-4003
rafil1241a@cs.com
Sara Steck Myers
Attorney At Law
122 28TH AVE.
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94121
(415) 387-1904
ssmyers@att.net
For: Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies (CEERT)

Nancy L. Murray
NATURENER USA, LLC.
394 PACIFIC AVENUE, SUITE 300
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 217-5523
nmurray@naturener.net
For: NATURENER USA, LLC.

John Dalessi
Director
NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC.
3100 ZINFADEL DRIVE, SUITE 600
RANCHO CORDOVA CA 95670-6078
(916) 631-3200
jdalessi@navigantconsulting.com
For: South San Joaquin Valley Power Authority/Kings River Conservation District

OCCIDENTAL POWER SERVICES, INC.
C. Susie Berlin  
MCCARTHY & BERLIN LLP  
100 W. SAN FERNANDO ST., SUITE 501  
SAN JOSE CA 95113  
(408) 288-2080  
sberlin@mccarthylaw.com  
For: M-S-R Public Power Agency

Noel Obiora  
Legal Division  
RM. 4107  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 973-6971  
nao@cpuc.ca.gov  
For: DRA

Evelyn C. Lee  
WILLIAM MANHEIM  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
PO BOX 7442  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120-7442  
(415) 973-2786  
ECL8@pge.com  
For: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Charles R. Middlekauff  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
PO BOX 7442  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120  
(415) 973-6971  
crmd@pge.com  
For: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

William V. Manheim  
Attorney At Law  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE STREET, ROOM 3025-B30A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 973-6628  
wvm3@pge.com  
For: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Jordan A. White  
Senior Attorney  
PACIFICORP  
1407 W. NORTH TEMPLE, SUITE 320  
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84116

5 GREENWAY PLAZA, SUITE 110  
HOUSTON TX 77046  
ej_wright@oxy.com

Cheryl Ponds  
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
276 FOURTH AVENUE  
CHULA VISTA CA 91910  
(619) 691-5037  
cponds@ci.chula-vista.ca.us  
For: The City of Chula Vista

PILOT POWER GROUP, INC.  
8910 UNIVERSITY CENTER LANE, STE 520  
SAN DIEGO CA 92122  
(858) 678-0118  
tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com

Thomas Darton  
PILOT POWER GROUP, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000  
(858) 627-9577  
tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com  
For: Pilot Power Group, Inc.

Thomas Elgie  
POWEREX CORPORATION  
1400, 666 BURRAND ST  
VANCOUVER BC V6C 2X8  
CANADA  
(604) 891-5000  
Tom.Elgie@powerex.com  
For: Powerex Corporation

PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC.  
2711 CENTERVILLE ROAD, SUITE 400  
WILMINGTON DE 19808  
rick_noger@praxair.com

David Peck  
Division of Ratepayer Advocates  
RM. 4103  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1213  
dbp@cpuc.ca.gov  
For: DRA

Marcelo Poirier  
Legal Division  
RM. 5025  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
Carol A. Smoots
PERKINS COIE LLP
607 FOURTEENTH STREET, NW, SUITE 800
WASHINGTON DC 20005
(202) 628-6600
csmoots@perkinscoie.com
For: DRA

Daniel V. Gulino
RIDGEWOOD POWER MANAGEMENT, LLC
947 LINWOOD AVENUE
RIDGEWOOD NJ 07450
(201) 447-9000
dgulino@ridgewoodpower.com
For: RIDGEWOOD POWER MANAGEMENT, LLC

William W. Westerfield Iii
Sr. Attorney - Of. Of Gen. Counsel
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6201 S STREET, M.S. B406, PO BOX 15830
SACRAMENTO CA 95852-1830
(916) 732-7107
wwester@smud.org
For: SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

Greg Bass
SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS LLC
401 WEST A STREET, SUITE 500
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
(619) 684-8199
GBass@SempraSolutions.com
For: Sempra Energy Solutions LLC

Kim F. Hassan
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH STREET, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
(619) 699-5006
KHassan@SempraUtilities.com
For: San Diego Gas & Electric

Theresa L. Mueller
DENNIS HERRERA; JEANNE M. SOLE; AUSTIN Y
Chief Energy & Telecommunications Deputy
SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY
CITY HALL, ROOM 234
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682
(415) 554-4640
theresa.mueller@sfgov.org
For: City and County of San Francisco

Michael A. Hyams
Power Enterprise-Regulatory Affairs
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMM
1155 MARKET ST., 4TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103
(415) 554-1513
mhyams@sfwater.org

David Orth
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY POWER AUTHORITY
ADMIN OFF @KINGS RIVER CONSERV DISTRICT
4886 EAST JENSEN AVENUE

Rhone Resch
SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION
575 7TH ST., NW, STE. 400
WASHINGTON DC 20004-1612
(202) 682-0556

David A. King
SEMPRA GENERATION
101 ASH STREET, HQ 14
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
(619) 696-4350
DAKing@SempraGeneration.com
For: Sempra Generation

David R. Branchcomb
SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES
PO BOX 496028
REDDING CA 96049
(530) 378-8412
dbranchcomb@spi-ind.com
For: Sierra Pacific Industries

SOCAL WATER/BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC
630 EAST FOOTHILL BLVD.
SAN DIMAS CA 91773
kswitzer@gswater.com
FRESNO CA 93725  
(559) 237-5567  
dorth@krcd.org  
For: Kings River Conservation District  

Aimee M. Smith  
SEMPRA ENERGY  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(619) 699-5042  
AMSmith@SempraUtilities.com  
For: San Diego Gas & Electric Company  

Joni A. Templeton  
MICHAEL D. MONTOYA  
Attorney At Law  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, PO BOX 800  
ROSEMEAD CA 91770  
(626) 302-6210  
Joni.Templeton@sce.com  
For: Southern California Edison Company  

William V. Walsh  
FRANK J. COOLEY, CATHY KARLSTAD  
Attorney At Law  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.  
ROSEMEAD CA 91770  
(626) 302-4531  
william.v.walsh@sce.com  
For: Southern California Edison Company  

Dina M. Dubson  
STOEL RIVES, LLP  
900 SW FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 2600  
PORTLAND OR 97204  
(503) 294-9675  
dmdubson@stoel.com  
For: Wasatch Wind, Inc.  

Jp Ross  
Vp Strategic Relationships  
SUNGEVITY  
1625 SHATTUCK AVE., STE 210  
BERKELEY CA 94709  
(510) 845-5660 X124  
jross@sungevity.com  
For: THE VOTE SOLAR INITIATIVE  

rresch@seia.org  
Cathy A. Karlstad  
MICHAEL MONTOYA; J. TEMPLETON  
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY  
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.  
ROSEMEAD CA 91770  
(626) 302-1096  
cathy.karlstad@sce.com  
For: Southern California Edison Company  

Donald R. Smith  
Division of Ratepayer Advocates  
RM. 4209  
505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
San Francisco CA 94102 3298  
(415) 703-1562  
dsh@cpuc.ca.gov  
For: DRA  

Marcel Hawiger  
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK  
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104  
(415) 929-8876 X311  
marcel@turn.org  
For: The Utility Reform Network  

Thomas H. Nelson  
Attorney  
THOMAS H. NELSON & ASSOCIATES  
PO BOX 1211  
WELCHES OR 97067-1211  
(503) 442-9157  
nelson@thnelson.com  
For: Renewable Energy Coalition  

James P. White  
JOHN DUNN  
TRANS CANADA CORPORATION  
4547 RINCON PLACE  
MONTCLAIR VA 22025  
(703) 680-7774  
jim_p_white@transcanada.com  
For: Chinook Power Transmission, LLC/Zephyr Power Transmission, LLC  

Laura Wisland  
UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS
Keith McCrea
Attorney At Law
SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN
1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004-2415
(202) 383-0100
keith.mccrea@sablaw.com
For: CA Manufacturers & Technology Assn./L.S. Power Associates, L.P.

Joseph M. Karp
Attorney At Law
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 39TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-5894
(415) 591-1000
jkarp@winston.com
For: California Wind Energy Association, Large-Scale Solar Association

******* STATE EMPLOYEE *******

Josepah A. Abbulimen
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1552
jaa@cpuc.ca.gov

Amy C. Baker
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1691
abl@cpuc.ca.gov

Traci Bone
Legal Division
RM. 5027
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2048
tbo@cpuc.ca.gov

Gary Collord
Stationary Source Division

Holly B. Cronin
State Water Project Operations Div
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
3310 EL CAMINO AVE., LL-90
SACRAMENTO CA 95821
(916) 574-0708
hcronin@water.ca.gov

Clare Laufenber Gallardo
Strategic Transmission Investmnt Program
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS 17
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 654-4859
clausenb@energy.state.ca.us

Heather Raitt
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH STREET, MS 45
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 654-4735
hraitt@energy.state.ca.us
For: CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Kate Zocchetti
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH STREET, MS-45
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
kzocchet@energy.state.ca.us

Lorraine Gonzales
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(916) 654-3842
lgonzale@energy.state.ca.us
For: CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
Gina Barkalow
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION (CEC)
1516 NINTH STREET MS-45
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 654-4057
gbarkalo@energy.state.ca.us

Anne Gillette
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 703-5219
aeg@cpuc.ca.gov

Cheryl Lee
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 703-2167
cnl@cpuc.ca.gov

Meri Levy
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 355-5556
mjh@cpuc.ca.gov

Niki Bawa
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
RENEWABLE PROCUREMENT & RESOURCE PLAN
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 703-2197
niki.bawa@cpuc.ca.gov

Sara Kamins
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Panama Bartholomy
Advisor To Commissioner Douglas
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-33
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-5512
(916) 654-4896
pbarthol@energy.state.ca.us

Ross Miller
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH STREET
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 654-4892
rmiller@energy.state.ca.us

Susannah Churchill
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-3072
sc1@cpuc.ca.gov

Paul Douglas
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 355-5579
psd@cpuc.ca.gov

Dorothy Duda
Administrative Law Judge Division
RM. 5109
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2800
dot@cpuc.ca.gov

Gretchen T. Dumas
Legal Division
RM. 4300
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1210
gtd@cpuc.ca.gov

Julie A. Fitch
Energy Division
RM. 4004
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2059
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov
James Mcmahon
29 DANBURY ROAD
NASHUA NH 03064
(603) 591-5898
jmcmahon@8760energy.com
For: California Department of Water Resources

Jaclyn Marks
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2257
jm3@cpuc.ca.gov

Burton Mattson
Administrative Law Judge Division
RM. 5104
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2504
bwm@cpuc.ca.gov

Rahmon Momoh
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4102
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1725
rmm@cpuc.ca.gov
For: DRA

Candace Morey
Legal Division
RM. 5119
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-3211
cjm@cpuc.ca.gov

Louis M. Irwin
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1225
lmi@cpuc.ca.gov

Mark R. Loy
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4205
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2268
mrl@cpuc.ca.gov

Jordan Parrillo
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4104
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1562
jp6@cpuc.ca.gov

Karen P. Paull
Legal Division
RM. 4300
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2630
kpp@cpuc.ca.gov

Nika Rogers
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4101
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1529
nhr@cpuc.ca.gov

Aniana M. Schwankl
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4209
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1990
ams@cpuc.ca.gov

Andrew Schwartz
Executive Division
RM. 5217
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1175
as2@cpuc.ca.gov
PORTLAND OR 97210
(503) 402-8702
deb@a-klaw.com
For: Cogeneration Association of California

Tim Lindl
ALCANTAR & KAHL
33 NEW MONTGOMERY ST., STE. 1850
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 421-4143
tjl@a-klaw.com

Roger Lee
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 900
COSTA MESA CA 92626
(714) 966-8811
rblee@bakerlaw.com
For: BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

Darin Lowder
BALLARD SPAHR ANDREWS & INGERSOLL, LLP
601 13TH STREET, NW, STE. 1000S
WASHINGTON DC 20005
(202) 661-7631

Steven S. Schleimer
Director, Compliance & Regulatory Affairs
BARCLAYS BANK, PLC
200 PARK AVENUE, FIFTH FLOOR
NEW YORK NY 10166
steven.schleimer@barclayscapital.com

Barbara R. Barkovich
BARKOVICH & YAP, INC.
44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE
MENDOCINO CA 95460
(707) 937-6203
brbarkovich@earthlink.net

Reed V. Schmidt
BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES
1889 ALCATRAZ AVENUE
BERKELEY CA 94703-2714
(510) 653-3399 X111
rschmidt@bartlewells.com

Olga Beznosova
BC TRANSMISSION CORPORATON
1100-1055 DUNSMUIR STREET
VANCOUVER BC V7X 1V5
CANADA
(604) 699-7465
olga.beznosova@bctc.com

(925) 588-9109
philha@astound.net

Carl Steen
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP
600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 900
COSTA MESA CA 92626
(714) 966-8803
csteen@bakerlaw.com
For: BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

Ryan Wiser
BERKELEY LAB
1 CYCLOTRON ROAD, MS-90-4000
BERKELEY CA 94720
(510) 486-5474
rwisher@lbl.gov
For: BERKELEY LAB

Ryan Pletka
Renewable Energy Project Manager
BLACK & VEATCH
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(925) 949-5929
pletkarj@bv.com
For: BLACK & VEATCH

Mark Chediak
BLOOMBERG NEWS
3 PIER 101
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
mchediak@bloomberg.net

Bruce Mclaughlin
Attorney At Law
BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C.
915 L STREET SUITE 1270
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 326-5812
mclaughlin@braunlegal.com

Scott Blaising
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 682-9702
blaising@braunlegal.com

Ryan Bernardo
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
Peter T. Pearson  
Energy Supply Specialist  
BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC SERVICE  
42020 GARSTIN DRIVE, PO BOX 1547  
BIG BEAR LAKE CA 92315-1547  
(909) 866-4678 X186  
peter.pearson@bves.com

Arthur L. Haubenstock  
BRIGHTSOURCE ENERGY, INC.  
1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 2150  
OAKLAND CA 94612  
(510) 250-8150  
ahaubenstock@brightsourceenergy.com

Mohan Niroula  
CALIF DEPT OF WATER RESOURCES  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(916) 574-0712  
mniroula@water.ca.gov

Tom Pomales  
CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD  
1001 I STREET  
SACRAMENTO CA 95812  
(916) 322-2052  
tpomales@arb.ca.gov

Dan Adler  
Director, Tech And Policy Development  
CALIFORNIA CLEAN ENERGY FUND  
5 THIRD STREET, SUITE 1125  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103  
(415) 957-0167  
dan.adler@calcef.org

Beth Vaughan  
CALIFORNIA COGENERATION COUNCIL  
4391 N. MARSH ELDER COURT  
CONCORD CA 94521  
(925) 408-5142  
beth@beth411.com

Hilary Corrigan  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS  
425 DIVISADERO ST. SUITE 303  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117-2242  
(415) 963-4439  
cem@newsdata.com  
For: CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

SACRAMENTO CA 95814  
(916) 912-4432  
bernardo@braunlegal.com

Clare Breidenich  
4202 ASHWORTH AVE. N  
SEATTLE WA 98103-7514  
(206) 829-9193  
cbreidenich@yahoo.com

Baldassaro Dicapo  
CALIFORNIA ISO  
LEGAL AND REGULATORY DEPARTMENT  
151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD  
FOLSOM CA 95630  
bdicapo@caiso.com  
For: California Independent System Operators

Grant A. Rosenblum  
Staff Counsel  
CALIFORNIA ISO  
151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD  
FOLSOM CA 95630  
(916) 608-7138  
grosenblum@caiso.com  
For: CALIFORNIA ISO

Karen Lindh  
CALIFORNIA ONSITE GENERATION  
7909 WALERGA ROAD, NO. 112, PMB 119  
ANTELOPE CA 95843  
(916) 729-1562  
karen@klindh.com

Sarah Beserra  
CALIFORNIA REPORTS.COM  
39 CASTLE HILL COURT  
VALLEJO CA 94591  
(707) 645-7361  
sbeserra@sbcglobal.net

Sue Kateley  
Executive Director  
CALIFORNIA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSN  
PO BOX 782  
RIO VISTA CA 94571  
(916) 747-6987  
info@calseia.org  
For: California Solar Energy Industries Association

Nancy Rader  
Executive Director  
CALIFORNIA WIND ENERGY ASSOCIATION
Danielle Matthews Seperas  
CALPINE CORPORATION  
1215 K STREET, SUITE 2210  
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-3978  
(916) 491-3377  
dseperas@calpine.com  

Venkat Suravarapu  
Associates Director  
CAMBRIDGE ENERGY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES  
1150 CONNECTICUT AVE., NW STE 400  
WASHINGTON DC 20036-4133  
(202) 857-5177  
vsuravarapu@cera.com  

Justin Felt  
CARBON PROJECT MANAGER NORTH AMERICA  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY DC 00000  
(202) 289-3930 X234  
jf@pointcarbon.com  

Claire E. Torchia  
CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP  
350 SOUTH GRAND AVE., STE 3300  
LOS ANGELES CA 90071  
(213) 892-2105  
ctorchia@chadbourne.com  

Lisa Yoho  
CITIGROUP ENERGY, INC.  
2800 POST OAK BLVD., STE. 500  
HOUSTON TX 77056  
(713) 752-5004  
lisa.yoho@citi.com  

Peter Blood  
COLUMBIA ENERGY PARTNERS, LLC  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY WA 00000-0000  
(503) 720-4064  
pblood@columbiaenergypartners.com  

Melanie Falls  
COMPETITIVE POWER VENTURES, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(510) 845-5077  
nrader@igc.org  

Cynthia Fonner Brady  
Senior Counsel  
CONSTELLATION NEW ENERGY, INC.  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY IL 00000-0000  
(312) 704-8518  
cynthia.brady@constellation.com  

Clyde Murley  
CONSULTANT TO NRDC  
1031 ORDWAY STREET  
ALBANY CA 94706  
(510) 528-8953  
clyde.murley@comcast.net  

COOL EARTH SOLAR  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(925) 454-8506  
mpr-ca@coolearthsolar.com  

Tony Chen  
Sr. Manger, Business Devel.  
COOL EARTH SOLAR  
4659 LAS POSITAS RD., STE. 94551  
LIVERMORE CA 94551  
(925) 454-8506  

Graig Cooper  
Docket Coordinator  
5727 KEITH ST.  
OAKLAND CA 94618  
(510) 597-1798  
cpucdockets@keyesandfox.com  

Janine L. Scancarelli
R.06-02-012 COM/MP1/avs

55 SECOND STREET, SUITE 525
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 293-1460

Attorney At Law
CROWELL & MORING LLP
275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 986-2800
jscancarelli@crowell.com

Douglas M. Grandy, P.E.
California Onsite Generation
DG TECHNOLOGIES
1220 MACAULAY CIRCLE
CARMICHAEL CA 95608
(916) 871-2432
dgrandy@caonsitegen.com
For: California Onsite Generation

Chad Dickason
PO BOX 4646
INCLINE VILLAGE NV 89450
chad_dickason@soleonenergy.com

Mark L. Perlis
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 EYE STREET, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006
(202) 420-4703
perlism@dicksteinshapiro.com

William F. Dietrich
Attorney At Law
DIETRICH LAW
2977 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD, NO. 613
WALNUT CREEK CA 94598-3535
(415) 297-2356
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net

Donald C. Liddell
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
2928 2ND AVENUE
SAN DIEGO CA 92103
(619) 993-9096
liddell@energyattorney.com

Cassandra Sweet
DOW JONES NEWSWIRES
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 439-6468
cassandra.sweet@dowjones.com

Michael Mann
DTE BIOMASS ENERGY
425 S. MAIN STREET
ANN ARBOR MI 48104
(734) 913-2977

Judy Pau
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 276-6587
judypau@dwt.com

Robert B. Gex
Attorney At Law,
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-6533
(415) 276-6500
bobgex@dwt.com

Steven F. Greenwald
MARK J. FUMIA; VIDHYA PRABHAKARAN
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 276-6500
stevegreenwald@dwt.com

Ann L. Trowbridge
DAY CARTER & MURPHY LLP
3620 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE, SUITE 205
SACRAMENTO CA 95864
(916) 570-2500 X-103
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com

Diana Sanchez
DAY CARTER & MURPHY LLP
3620 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE, STE. 205
SACRAMENTO CA 95864
(916) 570-2500 X-116
dsanchez@daycartermurphy.com

Derek Denniston
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 786-3773
Derek@AltaPowerGroup.com

Balwant S. Purewal
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
3310 EL CAMINO AVE., LL-90
SACRAMENTO CA 95821
(916) 574-0668
bpurewal@water.ca.gov
For: DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

________________________

mannm@dteenergy.com

Joseph Paul
Senior Corporate Counsel
DYNEGY-WEST GENERATION
1000LOUISIANA STREET, STE. 5800
HOUSTON TX 77002
(713) 767-0064
joe.paul@dynegy.com
For: Dynegy

Ramona Gonzalez
EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
375 ELEVENTH STREET, M/S NO. 205
OAKLAND CA 94607
(510) 287-1619
ramonag@ebmud.com

Stephen Hess
Director, Market Policy & Reg. Affairs
EDISON MISSION MARKETING & TRADING INC.
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(949) 798-7833
shess@edisonmission.com

Roy D. McCoy
Manager, Regulatory Support & Reporting
ELECTRIC RELIABILITY COUNCIL OF TEXAS
2705 W. LAKE DRIVE
TAYLOR TX 76574
(512) 248-3131
rmccoy@ercot.com

Jeffrey Harris
Attorney At Law
ELLISON & SCHNEIDER
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905
(916) 447-2166
jdh@eslawfirm.com
For: Dynegy

R.06-02-012 COM/MP1/avs

For: ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS

________________________

Snuller Price
ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS
101 MONTGOMERY, SUITE 1600
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
(415) 391-5100
snuller@ethree.com
For: ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS

Kevin J. Simonsen
ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
646 EAST THIRD AVENUE
DURANGO CO 81301
(970) 259-1748
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com

Jennifer Holmes
ENERGY MARKET INNOVATIONS INC.
83 COLUMBIA STREET, SUITE 303
SEATTLE WA 98104
(206) 621-1160
jholmes@emi1.com

Dan Perkins
ENERGYSMARTHOMES.NET
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(760) 639-0945
dan@energy-smarthomes.net

Douglas E. Cover
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATES
225 BUSH STREET, SUITE 1700
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
(415) 896-5900
dcover@esassoc.com
R.06-02-012 COM/MP1/avs

555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, 41ST FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2571
(213) 892-9200
fyanney@fulbright.com

Thomas Hobson
GE ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(909) 477-5707
thomase.hobson@ge.com

For: Southern California Public Power Authority

________________________________________________________________________

Washington DC 20006
(202) 637-3695
MASullivan@hhlaw.com

Lily M. Mitchell
HANNA AND MORTON LLP
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(213) 430-2509
lmitchell@hanmor.com

For: Southern California Public Power Authority

________________________________________________________________________

Martin Homec
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(530) 867-1850
martinhomec@gmail.com

Tam Hunt
HUNT CONSULTING
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(805) 963-0583 X 122
tam.hunt@gmail.com

For: Community Environmental Council

________________________________________________________________________

Tauna M. Szymanski
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP
1900 K STREET, NW, SUITE 1200
WASHINGTON DC 20006
(202) 955-1949
tszymanski@hunton.com

Amber Riesenhuber
Energy Analyst
INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS ASSOC.
1215 K STREET, SUITE 900
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 448-9499
amber@iepa.com

Robin A. Rego
General Manager
LAKEVIEW LIGHT & POWER
11509 BRIDGEPORT WAY, S.W.
LAKewood WA 98499-3041
(253) 584-6060
rarego@lakeviewlight.com

For: Lakeview Light & Power

________________________________________________________________________

Todd Jaffe
Energy Business Brokers And Consultants
3420 KEYSER ROAD
BALTIMORE MD 21208
(410) 602-2589
tjaffe@energybusinessconsultants.com

Nellie Tong
Senior Analyst
KEMA, INC.
155 GRAND AVE., STE. 500
OAKLAND CA 94612-3747
(510) 891-0446
nellie.tong@us.kema.com

Kevin Fox
KEYES & FOX LLP
5727 KEITH AVENUE
OAKLAND CA 94618
(510) 381-3052
kfox@keyesandfox.com

Robin A. Rego
General Manager
LAKEVIEW LIGHT & POWER
11509 BRIDGEPORT WAY, S.W.
LAKewood WA 98499-3041
(253) 584-6060
rarego@lakeviewlight.com

For: Lakeview Light & Power

________________________________________________________________________

Timothy Castille
LANDS ENERGY CONSULTING, INC.
Michael J. Gilmore  
INLAND ENERGY  
SOUTH TOWER SUITE 606  
3501 JAMBOREE RD  
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660  
(949) 856-2200  
michaelgilmore@inlandenergy.com

Alex Kang  
ITRON, INC.  
1111 BROADWAY, STE. 1800  
OAKLAND CA 94607  
(510) 844-2800  
alex.kang@itron.com

Lynn M. Alexander  
LMA CONSULTING  
129 REDWOOD AVENUE  
CORTE MADERA CA 94925  
(415) 945-9938  
lynn@lmaconsulting.com

G. Patrick Stoner  
Program Director  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION  
EMAIL ONLY  
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000  
(916) 448-1198 X 309  
pstoner@lgc.org

Paul Fenn  
LOCAL POWER  
35 GROVE STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102  
(510) 451-1727  
paulfenn@local.org

Elizabeth Douglass  
Staff Writer  
LOS ANGELES TIMES  
202 WEST FIRST STREET  
LOS ANGELES CA 90012  
(213) 237-5799

John R. Lowe  
Consultant  
12050 SW TREMONT STREET  
PORTLAND OR 97225  
(503) 372-6909  
jravensesanmarcos@yahoo.com

For: Renewable Energy Coalition

18109 SE 42ND STREET  
VANCOUVER WA 98683  
(360) 885-4567  
castille@landsenergy.com

Elizabeth Blase  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 391-0600  
elizabeth.blase@lw.com

Gerald T. Robinson  
LAWRENCE BERKLEY NATIONAL LABS  
ONE CYCLOTRON ROAD  
BERKLEY CA 94720  
(510) 486-5769  
gtrobinson@lbl.gov

Martin R. Hopper  
M-S-R PUBLIC POWER AGENCY  
PO BOX 4060  
MODESTO CA 95352  
(408) 307-0512  
msr.general.manager@gmail.com

Richard Mccann  
M.CUBED  
2655 PORTAGE BAY ROAD, SUITE 3  
DAVIS CA 95616  
(530) 757-6363  
rmmccann@umich.edu

Jack Mcnamara  
Attorney At Law  
MACK ENERGY COMPANY  
PO BOX 1380  
AGOURA HILLS CA 91376-1380  
(818) 865-8515  
jackmack@suesec.com

Allison Heaton  
MACQUARIE ENERGY LLC  
500 DALLAS STREET, LEVEL 31  
HOUSTON TX 77002  
(713) 275-6125  
allison.heaton@macquarie.com

Christi Nicolay  
MACQUARIE ENERGY LLC  
500 DALLAS STREET, LEVEL 31  
HOUSTON TX 77002  
(713) 275-6126  
christi.nicolay@macquarie.com

Andre Templeman
David Oliver  
NAVIGANT CONSULTING  
3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 600  
RANCHO CORDOVA CA 95670  
(916) 631-3209  
david.oliver@navigantconsulting.com

Timea Zentai  
NAVIGANT CONSULTING  
1990 NORTH CALIFORNIA AVE., SUITE 700  
WALNUT CREEK CA 94596  
(925) 935-0270  
timea.Zentai@navigantconsulting.com

Erin Ranslow  
NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC.  
3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 600  
RANCHO CORDOVA CA 95670-6078  
(916) 631-3200  
cpucrulings@navigantconsulting.com

Fred Wellington  
NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC.  
1 MARKET ST., SPEAR ST. TOWER, STE 1200  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105  
(415) 356-7132  
fred.wellington@navigantconsulting.com

Andrew L. Harris  
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177  
(415) 973-1596  
alho@pge.com

Alyssa T. Koo  
Attorney At Law  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE STREET, B30A / PO BOX 7442  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120  
(415) 973-3386  
atk4@pge.com

Case Coordination  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
PO BOX 770000; MC B9A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177  
(415) 973-4744  
regrelpucases@pge.com

Kerry Hattevik  
Director Of Reg. And Market Affairs  
NEXTERA ENERGY  
829 ARLINGTON BLVD.  
EL CERRITO CA 94530  
(510) 898-1847  
kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com

Alex Martin  
NEXTLIGHT RENEWABLE POWER, LLC  
353 SACRAMENTO ST. SUITE 2100  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 935-2530  
amartin@nextlight.com

Frank De Rosa  
NEXTLIGHT RENEWABLE POWER, LLC  
353 SACRAMENTO STREET, 21ST FL.  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 935-2500  
fderosa@nextlight.com

For: Nextlight Renewable Power, LLC

James B. Woodruff  
Attorney At Law  
NEXTLIGHT RENEWABLE POWER, LLC  
353 SACRAMENTO STREET, 21ST FL.  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111  
(415) 935-2500  
jwoodruff@nextlight.com

For: Nextlight Renewable Power, LLC

Diane I. Fellman  
Director, Regulatory & Market Affairs  
NRG WEST  
73 DOWNEY STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117  
(415) 665-3824  
Diane.Fellman@nrgenergy.com

Christopher A. Hilien  
NV ENERGY  
6100 NEIL ROAD, MS A35  
(775) 328-4520  
chris.hilien@nvenergy.com

Alyssa T. Koo  
Attorney At Law  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
77 BEALE STREET, B30A / PO BOX 7442  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120  
(415) 973-3386  
atk4@pge.com

Case Coordination  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
PO BOX 770000; MC B9A  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177  
(415) 973-4744  
regrelpucases@pge.com
Cory M. Mason
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, MC B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-1814
(415) 973-2320
cmmw@pge.com
For: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Ed Lucha
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-3872
ELL5@pge.com

Grace Livingston-Nunley
Assistant Project Manager
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-4304
GXL2@pge.com

Grady Mathai-Jackson
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-3744
MGML@pge.com

Brooke Reilly
PG & E
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
bari@pge.com

Maggie Chan
PG&E
MAILCODE B9A
PO BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
MMCL@pge.com

Cindy L. Casselman
PILOT POWER GROUP, INC.
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000
(858) 678-0118
ccasselman@pilotpowergroup.com

Lisa Weinzimer
PLATTS MCGRAW-HILL
695 NINTH AVENUE, NO. 2
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94118
(415) 387-1025
lisa_weinzimer@platts.com

Lisa Cherkas
POWEREX CORP
1400 - 666 BURRARD STREET
VANCOUVER BC V6C 2X8
CANADA
(604) 891-5000
lisa.cherkas@powerex.com

Rick C. Noger
PRAXAIR, INC.
2430 CAMINO RAMON DRIVE, STE. 300
SAN RAMON CA 94583
(925) 866-6809
rick_noger@praxair.com

Richard W. Raushenbush
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(415) 518-7887
r.raushenbush@comcast.net

Harry Singh
RBS SEMPRA COMMODITIES
600 WASHINGTON BLVD
STAMFORD CT 06901
(203) 355-5571
Harry.Singh@RBSSempra.com

Art Rivera
RENEWABLE TECHCOM
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(916) 469-9917
artrivera@comcast.net

Tobin Richardson
RICHARDSON GROUP
1416 VIGO COURT
DAVIS CA 95618
(916) 801-5810
tobinjmr@sbcglobal.net

William P. Short
RIDGEWOOD POWER MANAGEMENT, LLC
947 LINWOOD AVENUE
RIDGEWOOD NJ 07450
(201) 447-9000
For: RIDGEWOOD POWER MANAGEMENT, LLC

Sue Mara
RTO ADVISORS, LLC
EMAIL ONLY
Associate, Development
RECURRENT ENERGY
300 CALIFORNIA STREET, 8TH FL
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
(415) 501-9529
luke.dunnington@recurrentenergy.com

Arno Harris
RECURRENT ENERGY, INC.
300 CALIFORNIA ST., 8TH FL.
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104-1416
(415) 298-7096
arno@recurrentenergy.com

Elizabeth W. Hadley
Resource Planner
REDDING ELECTRIC UTILITY
777 CYPRESS AVENUE
REDDING CA 96001
(530) 339-7321
ehadley@reupower.com

Jason Abiecunas
Black & Beatch Global Renewable Energy
RENEWABLE ENERGY CONSULTANT
11401 LAMAR
OVERLAND PARK KS 66211
(913) 458-2114
abiecunasjp@bv.com
For: RENEWABLE ENERGY CONSULTANT

Michael Deangelis
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6201 S STREET
SACRAMENTO CA 95817-1899
(916) 732-6589
mdeange@smud.org
For: SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

Obadiah Bartholomy
Mechanical Engineer
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6201 S. STREET, M.S. B257
SACRAMENTO CA 95817
(916) 732-6835
obartho@smud.org

Central Files
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP31-E
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1550
(858) 654-1148
CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com
For: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC

Despina Niehaus
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32H
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530
(858) 654-1714
DNiehaus@SempraUtilities.com

Sandra Rovetti
Regulatory Affairs Manager
SAN FRANCISCO PUC
1155 MARKET STREET, 4TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103
(415) 554-3179
srovetti@sfwater.org

For: Advanced, Renewable, & Distributed Generation Technology

Obadiah Bartholomy
Mechanical Engineer
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6201 S STREET, M.S. B257
SACRAMENTO CA 95817
(916) 732-6835
obartho@smud.org

Rob Roth
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6201 S STREET MS 75
SACRAMENTO CA 95817
(916) 732-6131
rroth@smud.org

Sue Mara
RTO ADVISORS
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP31-E
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1550
(858) 654-1148
sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com

For: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC

Arlon Orchard
Attorney At Law
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6201 S STREET, M.S. B406
SACRAMENTO CA 95817-1899
(916) 732-5830
aorchar@smud.org

Elaine Sison-Lebrilla
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6201 S STREET
SACRAMENTO CA 95817
(916) 732-7017
esison@smud.org
For: SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

Vikki Wood
SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
6301 S STREET, MS A204
SACRAMENTO CA 95817-1899
(916) 732-6278
vwood@smud.org

Hannon Rasool
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
8330 CENTURY PARK CT.
SAN DIEGO CA 92123
(858) 654-1590
HRasool@SempraUtilities.com

Jennifer Wright
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.
8330 CENTURY PARK CT
SAN DIEGO CA 92123
(858) 654-1891
JWright@SempraUtilities.com
For: SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC

Billy Blattner
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000
(415) 202-9986
WBlattner@SempraUtilities.com
For: SDG&E/SoCal Gas

Douglas Brooks
Nevada Power Company
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
6226 WEST SAHARA AVENUE
LAS VEGAS NV 89151
(702) 402-5697
dbrooks@nvenergy.com

Elena Mello
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
6100 NEIL RD.
RENO NV 89511
(775) 834-5696
emello@sppc.com

Trevor Dillard
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
6100 NEIL ROAD, MS S4A50 / PO BOX 10100
RENO NV 89520-0024
(775) 834-5894

Shani Kleinhaus
SANTA CLARA VALLEY AUDUBON SOCIETY
22221 MCLELLAN ROAD
CUPERTINO CA 95014
(408) 252-3747
shani@scvas.org

Thomas P. Corr
SEMPRA ENERGY GLOBAL ENTERPRISES
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(619) 696-4246
TCorr@SempraGlobal.com

Daniel M. Hecht
Associate General Counsel
SEMPRA ENERGY TRADING CORP.
58 COMMERCE ROAD
STANFORD CT 06902
(203) 355-5417
DHecht@SempraTrading.com

Marcie Milner
SHELL ENERGY NORTH AMERICA
4445 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO CA 92121
(858) 526-2106
marcie.milner@shell.com
For: CORAL POWER LLC

Case Administration
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-4875
case.admin@sce.com
For: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

Melissa P. Martin
STATESIDE ASSOCIATES
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000
(703) 525-7466 X244
mpf@stateside.com

Brian J. Nese
STOEL RIVES, LLP
12265 EL CAMINO REAL, STE. 303
SAN DIEGO CA 92130
tdillard@sppc.com

Eric Cherniss
SOLARGEN ENERGY
20400 STEVENS CREEK BLVD, SUITE 700
CUPERTINO CA 95014
(408) 460-8200
eric.cherniss@gmail.com

Janet M. Gagnon
SOLARWORLD CALIFORNIA
4650 ADOHR LANE
CAMARILLO CA 93012
(805) 388-6550
janet.gagnon@solarworldusa.com

Mary C. Hoffman
President
SOLUTIONS FOR UTILITIES, INC.
1192 SUNSET DRIVE
VISTA CA 92081
(760) 724-4420
mary@solutionsforutilities.com

Gary L. Allen
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-9612
gary.allen@sce.com

Rafi Hassan
SUSQUEHANNA FINANCIAL GROUP, LLLP
101 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 3250
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 403-6531
rafi.hassan@sig.com

Joe Greco
TERRA-GEN POWER LLC
9590 PROTOTYPE COURT, SUITE 200
RENO NV 89521
(775) 850-2245
jgreco@terra-genpower.com

Erin Grizard
THE DEWEY SQUARE GROUP
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
(916) 447-4099
EGrizard@deweysquare.com

Matthew Freedman
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

(858) 794-4100
bjnese@stoel.com

Seth D. Hilton
STOEL RIVES, LLP
555 MONTGOMERY ST., SUITE 1288
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 617-8943
sdhilton@stoel.com

Janice Lin
Managing Partner
STRATEGEN CONSULTING LLC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000
(510) 665-7811
janice@strategenconsulting.com

Elizabeth Baker
SUMMIT BLUE CONSULTING
1722 14TH STREET, SUITE 230
BOULDER CO 80304
(720) 244-4184
bbaker@summitblue.com

Ed Smeloff
Senior Manager
SUNPOWER CORPORATION
1414 HARBOUR WAY SOUTH
RICHMOND CA 94804
(510) 289-9082
ed.smeloff@sunpowercorp.com

Amy Frees
THIRD PLANET WINDPOWER, LLC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000
(775) 833-9463
freesa@thirdplanetwind.com

David Townley
18 BASSWOOD AVENUE
OAK PARK CA 91377
(818) 416-4745

Lindsay Zaitsoff
TRANSALTA CORPORATION
110-12TH AVENUE SW / PO BOX 1900, ST - M
CALGARY AB T2P 2M1
CANADA
(403) 267-7303
lindsay_zaitsoff@transalta.com
For: TransAlta Corp.

John Dunn

- 30 -
Michel Peter Florio
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
(415) 929-8876 X302
mflorio@turn.org

Nina Suetake
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
(415) 929-8876 X 308
nsuetake@turn.org

Robert Finkelstein
MATTHEW FREEDMAN
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
(415) 929-8876 X-307
bfinkelstein@turn.org

Meredith Lamey
TRANSCANADA CORPORATION
450 1ST STREET S.W.
CALGARY AB T2P 5H1
CANADA
(403) 920-5056
meredith_lamey@transcanada.com

Roxane J. Perruso
Vp / General Counsel
TRANSWEST EXPRESS LLC
555 SEVENTEENTH STREET, STE 2400
DENVER CO 80202
(303) 299-1342
roxane.perruso@tac-denver.com

Michael Shames
UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK
3100 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE B
SAN DIEGO CA 92103
(619) 696-6966
mshames@ucan.org

Andrew J. Van Horn
VAN HORN CONSULTING
12 LIND COURT
ORINDA CA 94563
(925) 254-3358
andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com

Judith Kim
WAL-MART STORES, INC.
2001 SE 10TH STREET
BENTONVILLE AR 72716-0550
judith.kim@walmartlegal.com

Robin J. Walther
1380 OAK CREEK DRIVE, NO. 316
PALO ALTO CA 94304-2016
(650) 793-7445
rwalther@pacbell.net

Keith White
312 KELLER ST
PETALUMA CA 94952
keithwhite@earthlink.net

Sheridan J. Pauker
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
ONE MARKET PLAZA, SPEAR TOWER, STE 3300
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 947-2136
spauker@wsgr.com

Lisa A. Cottle
Attorney At Law
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 39TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 544-1105
lcottle@winston.com
For: NextEra Energy Resources

____________________________________________
Thomas W. Solomon
Attorney At Law
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 39TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-5894
(415) 591-1000
tsolomon@winston.com