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I. INTRODUCTION

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) replies to comments filed by California Municipal Utilities Association, San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E), Southern California Edison (SCE), Mussey Grade Road Alliance, Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD), Los Angeles County, Small Local Exchange Carriers (LECs), CoxCom, Inc. and Cox California Telcom LLC (Cox), AT&T California and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (AT&T), California Cable and Telecommunications Association/Comcast (CCTA), Sierra Pacific, Verizon, the Consumer Federation of California (CFC), CTIA-The Wireless Association, and PacifiCorp. These reply comments address the scope, schedule, and process for moving forward in this proceeding. PG&E expects to provide full responses to specific proposed rule changes during workshops and through other procedural avenues.

There appears to be consensus among almost all parties that before new rules are adopted, the Commission should ensure that the costs of implementing the rules are identified, and weighed against the benefits that the rules are intended to provide. PG&E continues to support this process. In addition, several parties, including PG&E, pointed out that the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) already oversees the maintenance activities that govern Electric Transmission facilities, and therefore, the Commission should not address
electric transmission maintenance processes in this proceeding. There also appears to be agreement among parties that the Commission’s proposed schedule does not allow adequate time for parties to evaluate rule changes. PG&E’s suggested schedule, while ambitious, provides more time for parties to develop, discuss, modify, and ultimately finalize new rule changes.

The following sections respond to parties’ comments on the scope and process of this rulemaking proceeding.

II. REPLY COMMENTS REGARDING SCOPE OF THIS PROCEEDING

A. Evaluating the Inspection and Maintenance Practices of Communications Infrastructure Providers Is Appropriate Given The Public’s Exposure to Their Facilities

Several of the Communications Companies expressed concern about the possibility of the Commission adopting inspection and maintenance requirements such as those contained in General Order 165 to apply to communications infrastructure providers (CIP). Indeed, AT&T suggested that requiring the CIPs to conduct inspection activities would be duplicative of what electric utilities are already doing to comply with GO 165. (AT&T Comments, p. 4) However, PG&E is not performing inspection or maintenance activities on communications companies’ facilities. PG&E inspects its electric facilities to ensure safe and reliable service to its customers. It is not inspecting communications equipment for safety infractions. Communications companies have an obligation to ensure that their facilities are safe and appropriately maintained. For AT&T to rely on electric utilities such as PG&E to inspect its facilities is wholly inappropriate and inadequate to maintain public safety. Indeed, the fact that AT&T would suggest such a reliance points to the need for a review of the CPUC’s safety rules as they apply to both electric supply and communications facilities.

In reviewing these safety rules, PG&E supports including in this proceeding a review of the process for communicating between electric supply and communications companies when safety concerns are identified by either party on the other parties’ facilities. Clear
communications and accountability to take appropriate action would help to minimize potential public hazards from occurring.

**B. In Reviewing Whether Additional Fire Incident Reporting Should Be Required, It is Important to Consider Recent Regulatory Changes in this Area**

As SDG&E described in its Opening Comments, the Commission already requires electric utilities to report significant fire incidents as soon as possible. In 1998, the Commission adopted a requirement that electric utilities report incidents that “involve or allegedly involve trees or other vegetation in the vicinity of power lines and result in fire and/or personal injury whether or not in-patient hospitalization is required.” (D. 98-07-097, Appendix B) After five years of receiving incident reports pursuant to this criterion, the Commission determined that reporting of these relatively minor vegetation-related incidents was a waste of Commission staff and utility time. This experience should give us pause before embarking on adopting another fire incident reporting criteria.

In addition, PG&E supports the comments filed by SCE, reminding the Commission to consider the utilities’ rights to protect attorney/client and attorney work product privileged information in crafting a reporting requirement. (SCE Comments, pp. 7-8)

**C. PG&E Supports the Review of Vegetation Management Regulations To Address Fire Risk Mitigation, As Long As the Review Includes Environmental Considerations, and Acknowledges the Limitations Placed on Utilities By Other Regulatory Bodies**

PG&E supports the review of vegetation management rules to determine what, if anything, can be done to mitigate the risk of catastrophic fires. However, as Sierra Pacific points out in its comments, utilities are often hampered in their ability to perform necessary vegetation management work by governmental agency requirements and litigation by property owners. (Sierra Pacific Comments, p. 3) As new rules are considered, the Commission should invite input from other federal, state, and local agencies, such as CalTrans, U.S. Forest Service, Department of Fish and Game, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, the California Board of Forestry, and
CalFire. This would ensure that, before a regulation is adopted, all relevant regulatory agencies have an opportunity to provide their input on the regulation. Without such coordination, utilities will not be successful in implementing regulations that cut across regulatory agency boundaries.

While PG&E expects to provide detailed responses to SDG&E’s proposed rule changes, a general comment on their approach is that, while it may be appropriate to adopt specific procedures for high and very high fire danger areas, increasing clearance requirements and requiring clear cutting is not feasible in light of environmental and customer concerns. Given that California already has the strictest vegetation management requirements in the country, it will require significant analysis to determine whether additional measures will reduce fire risk while addressing competing environmental and customer needs. PG&E looks forward to exploring these issues in a statewide forum with most, if not all, interests represented.

D. Mitigation Measures To Address High Speed Wind Dangers Require Detailed Review To Ensure Effectiveness

Given the unpredictable nature of high speed winds, it is difficult to identify actions that can be taken in advance of high speed winds that will result in mitigated risk. Mitigation of high speed wind dangers, if reviewed in this proceeding, needs to be focused on what is realistically in the utilities’ control to result in actual mitigation.

E. L.A. County’s Suggestion To Consider the Adequacy of Penalties Against Utilities For Failure to Comply with CPUC Rules Should Not Be Part of this Rulemaking Proceeding

L.A. County proposed in their Opening Comments that the Commission consider whether the penalties for noncompliance with Commission rules are adequate to maximize compliance. However, the adequacy of penalties is beyond the scope of this rulemaking proceeding. This proceeding is focused on evaluating the Commission’s current rules and proposing new rules if necessary to better protect the public from hazards. The evaluation of the adequacy of penalties is not an appropriate subject for this kind of rulemaking proceeding.
Moreover, the Public Utilities Code has established penalty provisions for noncompliance with CPUC rules and regulations. Those provisions are adopted through a legislative process, and not through a CPUC rulemaking proceeding.

III.  REPLY COMMENTS REGARDING PROCEDURAL ISSUES

A.  This Proceeding Should Not Be Bifurcated Into Electric and Communications Issues Since The Facilities at Issue Are Most Often Shared Between the Two Utilities

Verizon suggests in its comments that the Commission address electric and communications issues separately given the differences in the voltage contained in the respective facilities of each utility. (Verizon Comments, pp. 3-5) However, the facilities that the utilities have in common are the focus of this rulemaking proceeding, and should not be considered separately. Many of the proposed scope items address the adequacy of communications between the electric and communications companies. It would be counterproductive to split the discussions by utility type since both entities need to be present to address the adequacy of working together to ensure public safety.

B.  This Rulemaking Proceeding Should Proceed Regardless of The Pending OII Proceedings Since It will Be Addressing Broader Issues Than Those In The OII

There is no reason to wait for the two OIIs to reach resolution before pursuing this rulemaking. While there are overlapping issues between the OIIs and this proceeding, there is no need to stop this rulemaking proceeding while the OIIs reach conclusion. This rulemaking will address a much wider scope of issues, and is intended to result in the adoption of rules that will result in mitigation of fire risk. The OIIs have a different scope, and are not intended to result in forward-looking rule changes.

C.  This Rulemaking Proceeding Should Proceed In its Current Forum With a Facilitator, Rather Than Have The GO 95/128 Rules Committee Consider the Proposals

CMUA, along with SMUD, urges the Commission to refer this rulemaking to the GO 95/128 Rules Committee for resolution. (CMUA Comments, pp. 2-3; SMUD Comments, p. 2)
While PG&E considers the Rules Committee to be a very effective and capable technical review body, it would not be efficient to refer the issues raised in this rulemaking to the Rules Committee. Indeed, all that would happen is the Rules Committee would issue a report on the topics raised in the Rulemaking, and then the Commission would present the report to parties in the proceeding and the discussion would start all over. A better and more streamlined process would be to have the members of the Rules Committee participate in this rulemaking proceeding on behalf of their entities, and bring their expertise to the table to help ensure the adoption of accurate and effective rules.

D. Public Participation Hearings Should Be Held After Workshops and the Issuance of a Workshop Report

PG&E suggests that the Public Participation Hearings (PPH) be held after the workshops and after parties have reached consensus on the rules changes that will be considered by the Commission. That will help focus the discussion at the PPHs, and ensure a more efficient resolution of this proceeding.
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IV. CONCLUSION

PG&E looks forward to participating in this important rulemaking proceeding. PG&E urges the Commission to adopt a workable scope and process to allow parties to effectively participate, and to resolve the issues efficiently and effectively.
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<td>PARTY</td>
<td><a href="mailto:scott@counsel.lacounty.gov">scott@counsel.lacounty.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500 W. TEMPLE ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSIE BERLIN ATTORNEY</td>
<td>MC CARThY &amp; BERLIN, LLP</td>
<td>INFORMATION</td>
<td><a href="mailto:sberlin@mccathylaw.com">sberlin@mccathylaw.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 W SAN FERNANDO ST., STE 501</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAN JOSE CA 95113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOMAS S. KIMBALL</td>
<td>MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT</td>
<td>INFORMATION</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tomk@mid.org">tomk@mid.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1231 11TH ST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MODESTO CA 95352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
JOY A. WARREN REGULATORY ADMINISTRATOR
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 11TH ST
MODESTO CA  95354
Email: joyw@mid.org
Status: INFORMATION

DIANE J. CONKLIN SPOKESPERSON
MUSSEY GRADE ROAD ALLIANCE
PO BOX 683
RAMONA CA  92065
FOR: Mussey Grade Road Alliance
Email: dj0conklin@earthlink.net
Status: PARTY

ROBERT L. DELSMAN
NEXTG NETWORKS OF CALIFORNIA, INC
2216 OTOOLE AVE
SAN JOSE CA  95131
FOR: NextG Networks of California, Inc.
Email: rdelsman@nextgnetworks.net
Status: PARTY

ROBERT NOVEMBRI
NOVEMBRI CONSULTING, LLC
PO BOX 746
NOVATO CA  94948-0746
FOR: Novembri Consulting, LLC
Email: robert@novembriconsulting.com
Status: INFORMATION

PETER M. HAYES GENERAL MANAGER
PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY
525 MARKET ST, RM 1919
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94105
Email: peter.hayes@att.com
Status: INFORMATION

CATHIE ALLEN REGULATORY MANAGER
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 2000
PORTLAND OR  97232
Email: californiadockets@pacificorp.com
Status: INFORMATION

JORDAN WHITE SENIOR ATTORNEY
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 1800
PORTLAND OR  97232
Email: jordan.white@pacificorp.com
Status: INFORMATION

MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC
1814 FRANKLIN ST, STE 720
OAKLAND CA  94612
FOR: MRW & Associates, Inc
Email: mrw@mrwassoc.com
Status: INFORMATION

SCOTT TOMASHEFSKY REGULATORY AFFAIRS MANAGER
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY
651 COMMERCE DRIVE
ROSEVILLE CA  95678
Email: scott.tomashesky@ncpa.com
Status: INFORMATION

VIDHYA PRABHAKARAN
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP
505 SANSOME ST, STE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94111
FOR: Pacificorp
Email: vprabhakaran@goodinmacbride.com
Status: PARTY

HEIDE CASWELL
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 1500
PORTLAND OR  97232
Email: heide.caswell@pacificorp.com
Status: INFORMATION

LYNNE MARTINEZ DIRECTOR GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
PAC-WEST TELECOMM, INC.
4210 CORONADO AVE.
STOCKTON CA  95204
Email: lmartin@pacwest.com
Status: INFORMATION
LISE H. JORDAN ATTORNEY FOR:
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94105
FOR:  PG & E
Email:  lhj2@pge.com
Status:  INFORMATION

MARJORIE HERLTH REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PUBLIC
POLICY
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
1801 CALIFORNIA ST., 10TH FL.
DENVER CO  80202
Email:  Marjorie.Herlth@Qwest.com
Status:  INFORMATION

ADAM L. SHERR
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
1600 7TH AVE, 3206
SEATTLE WA  98191
FOR:  Qwest Communications Corp.
Email:  Adam.Sherr@qwest.com
Status:  INFORMATION

GREGORY L. WALTERS
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8316 CENTURY PARK COURT
SAN DIEGO CA  92123
FOR:  San Diego Gas & Electric
Email:  gwalters@semprautilities.com
Status:  INFORMATION

KEITH MELVILLE ATTORNEY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH ST, HQ 13D
SAN DIEGO CA  92112
FOR:  San Diego Gas & Electric Company
Email:  KMelville@sempra.com
Status:  PARTY

JOHN A. PACHECO ATTORNEY
SEMPRA ENERGY
101 ASH ST, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO CA  92101-3017
FOR:  San Diego Gas & Electric Company
Email:  jpacheco@sempra.com
Status:  INFORMATION

DAVID DOHREN
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8316 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP51D
SAN DIEGO CA  92123
Email:  ddohren@semprautilities.com
Status:  INFORMATION

ALLEN K. TRIAL COUNSEL
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH ST, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO CA  92101
Email:  atrial@sempra.com
Status:  INFORMATION

LISA URICK ATTORNEY
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH ST
SAN DIEGO CA  92112
Email:  lurick@sempra.com
Status:  INFORMATION

JAMES HENDRY UTILITIES SPECIALIST
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMM.
1155 MARKET ST, FOURTH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94103
Email:  jhendry@sfwater.org
Status:  INFORMATION

CASE ADMIN
SCE LAW DEPT
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD CA  91770
Email:  case.admin@sce.com
Status:  INFORMATION

PATRICK M. ROSVALL
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP
201 CALIFORNIA ST, 17TH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94111
FOR:  Small LECS
Email:  smalllecs@cwclaw.com
Status:  PARTY

JAMES LEHRER
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
LAW DEPARTMENT
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE
ROSEMEAD CA  91770
Email:  james.lehrer@sce.com
Status:  INFORMATION

ROBERT F. LEMOINE ATTORNEY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD CA  91770
FOR:  Southern California Edison
Email:  robert.f.lemoine@sce.com
Status:  PARTY
BRIAN LAFOLLETTE
TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT
PO BOX 949
333 EAST CANAL DRIVE
TURLOCK CA  95381-0949
  Email: bll@tid.org
  Status: INFORMATION

REGINA COSTA RESEARCH DIRECTOR
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
711 VAN NESS AVE, STE 350
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94102
  FOR: TURN
  Email: rcosta@turn.org
  Status: INFORMATION

CARLOS FERNANDEZ-PELLO
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY
DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
6105 ETCHEVERRY HALL
BERKELEY CA  94720-1740
  Email: ferpello@newton.berkeley.edu
  Status: INFORMATION

JESUS G. ROMAN ESQ ATTORNEY
VERIZON CALIFORNIA
112 LAKEVIEW CANYON ROAD, CA501LB
THOUSAND OAKS CA  91362
  FOR: Verizon California
  Email: jesus.g.roman@verizon.com
  Status: PARTY

ELAINE M. DUNCAN ATTORNEY
VERIZON
711 VAN NESS AVE, STE 300
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94102
  Email: elaine.duncan@verizon.com
  Status: INFORMATION

CASEY HASHIMOTO
TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT
333 CANAL DRIVE
TURLOCK CA  95380
  FOR: Turlock Irrigation District
  Email: cjhashimoto@tid.org
  Status: PARTY

ROBERT FINKELESTEIN
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
711 VAN NESS AVE, 350
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94102
  FOR: TURN
  Email: bfinkelstein@turn.org
  Status: PARTY

RACHEL A. BIRKEY OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
33 NEW MONTGOMERY ST., 17TH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO CA  94105
  Email: rachel.birkey@ogc.usda.gov
  Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL BAGLEY
VERIZON WIRELESS
15505 SAND CANYON AVE
IRVINE CA  92612
  FOR: Verizon Wireless
  Email: michael.bagley1@verizonwireless.com
  Status: PARTY