



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

07-27-12

04:59 PM

Application of Southern California Edison)
Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal) Application 11-06-007
Costs, Allocate Revenues, Design Rates, and) (Filed June 6, 2011)
Implement Additional Dynamic Pricing Rates)

MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E),
THE DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK,
SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION AND
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMMUNITIES ASSOCIATION
FOR ADOPTION OF
RESIDENTIAL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BRUCE A. REED
FADIA KHOURY

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4183
Facsimile: (626) 302-6693
E-mail: bruce.reed@sce.com

Dated: July 27, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
I.	BACKGROUND	2
II.	SUMMARY OF POSITIONS AND SETTLEMENT	3
III.	REQUEST FOR ADOPTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	6
	A. The Settlement Agreement Is Reasonable In Light Of The Record	7
	B. The Settlement Agreement Is Consistent With Law.....	8
	C. The Settlement Agreement Is In The Public Interest.....	8
IV.	SCHEDULE FOR COMMENTS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	9
V.	CONCLUSION.....	9
	ATTACHMENT A: RESIDENTIAL RATE DESIGN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison)
Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal) Application 11-06-007
Costs, Allocate Revenues, Design Rates, and) (Filed June 6, 2011)
Implement Additional Dynamic Pricing Rates)

**MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338-E),
THE DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK,
SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION AND
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMMUNITIES ASSOCIATION
FOR ADOPTION OF
RESIDENTIAL RATE GROUP SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Pursuant to Rule 12.1 *et seq* of the Commission’s Rule of Practice and Procedure, Southern California Edison Company (SCE), on behalf of itself, The Utility Reform Network (TURN); the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA); the Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA); and the Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association (WMA) (referred to hereinafter collectively as Settling Parties or individually as Party) requests that the Commission adopt and find reasonable the “Residential Rate Design Settlement Agreement,” (Settlement Agreement) which is appended to this motion as Attachment A.

The Settling Parties have reached a Settlement Agreement that resolves all issues that have been raised in this proceeding with respect to rate design for SCE’s residential rate schedules. The Settling Parties anticipate that some residential rate schedules may be affected by a possible settlement or litigated outcome in this proceeding on the allocation of SCE’s authorized revenue requirement to customer groups. As soon as practicable following a Commission decision adopting the Settlement Agreement, but no earlier than January 1, 2013, SCE will adjust its rates for residential customers pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Section I of this motion provides background related to this proceeding. Section II describes in general the positions advocated by parties in this proceeding and the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Section III demonstrates that the Settlement Agreement is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest, and that it should be adopted without modification. Section IV discusses the requests of the Settling Parties related to processing of this request and the implementation of revised rates.

I.

BACKGROUND

This proceeding was initiated by the filing of SCE's application on June 6, 2011, along with SCE's prepared direct testimony regarding marginal costs, revenue allocation and rate design. On October 7, 2011, SCE revised its initial testimony, primarily to remove its initial proposal to increase SCE's current residential customer charge. The DRA served its initial testimony on December 20, 2011. Intervenors, including TURN, SEIA, and WMA served their initial testimony on February 6, 2012. Each intervenor represents customers who are directly affected and have an interest in the outcome the residential rate design issues in this proceeding. Other intervening parties — Kern County Taxpayers' Association (Kern Tax), the Center for Accessible Technology (CforAT), and the Greenlining Institute (Greenlining) — served testimony specifically related to the residential rate design but are not signatories to this Agreement.

SCE provided notice to all parties of its intent to conduct a settlement conference and an initial settlement conference was held on February 22, 2012. Continuing discussions related to the potential settlement of issues in this proceeding occurred among the interested parties after the settlement conference.

II.

SUMMARY OF POSITIONS AND SETTLEMENT

Appendix A to the Settlement Agreement provides a comparison of positions related to residential rate design issues and the manner in which these issues have been resolved by the Settlement Agreement.¹ The major residential rate design issues addressed in testimony were the following SCE proposals to make changes to the standard residential rate structure:

- Reduce the number of Energy Rate tiers for SCE's standard non-CARE Schedule D from five tiers to four tiers and establish the volumetric Energy Rate for Tier 3 at a level of four cents per kWh less than the volumetric Energy Rate for Tier 4;
 - Reduce the baseline allowance for basic service from 55 percent to the minimum allowed by law of 50 percent and to reduce the baseline allowances for all-electric service to the minimum of 60 percent in the winter season and 50 percent in the summer season;
 - Implement separate baseline allowances for multi-family and single-family dwellings; and
- SCE also proposed to change the on-peak time period for Schedule TOU-D-T from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. to noon to 6:00 p.m.

Among the intervenors who served testimony, Kern Tax supported SCE's proposed baseline reductions. All other intervenors opposed a reduction of baseline allowances to 50 percent for the basic Schedule D.² DRA and SEIA supported SCE's proposal to implement a four-tiered structure with a four cent per kWh differential between Energy Rates for Tiers 3 and 4, while TURN supported a four-tiered structure with a five cent per kWh differential. Kern Tax proposed a three-tiered rate structure. Greenlining and CforAT opposed the reduction of tiers. SEIA and Kern Tax supported SCE's proposal to establish separate multi-family and single-family baseline allowances, with SEIA additionally supporting a phase in of the proposal. DRA, TURN, Greenlining, and CforAT opposed SCE's proposal to establish separate baseline allowances. With respect to SCE's proposed new TOU period for

¹ Capitalized terms are defined in the Settlement Agreement in Paragraph 3.

² No Party other than SCE addressed the baseline allowances for all-electric customers.

Schedule TOU-D-T, SEIA did not oppose the change and DRA recommended minimal changes to Schedule TOU-D-T, instead of the increases to rates in the on-peak period proposed by SCE.

SCE also proposed revised rates related to the submetering discount provided under Schedule DMS-2 and other related submetering tariffs. For Schedule DMS-2, SCE proposed a cost-of-service discount of 36.5 cents per space per day, a diversity benefit adjustment (DBA) of 20.6 cents per space per day, and a Minimum Average Rate (MAR) of 5.9 cents per kWh (corrected value). WMA proposed a cost-of-service discount of 45.5 cents per space per day, a diversity benefit adjustment (DBA) of 20.6 cents per space per day but using a matrix of 114 values to reflect differences in park usage, climate region, and CARE saturation, and a change to the calculation of the MAR. TURN proposed a cost-of-service discount of 28 cents per space per day and proposed to calculate the DBA using the tier rates and charges in Schedule D, as implemented in this proceeding, which would reflect the residential rate design changes agreed upon in the Settlement Agreement.

These issues were settled after considerable discussion among the Settling Parties as follows:

- **Number of Tiers**: Collapse non-CARE Tiers 4 and 5 with 4 ¢/kWh differential between Tiers 3 and 4 beginning in 2013, reducing the Tier 3 to Tier 4 differential to 3 ¢/kWh in 2014.
- **Baseline Allowances**: Reduce baseline allowances to 53 percent of average zonal consumption using data from January 2009 through December 2011 for basic service, retaining the current unified baseline allowance system for both single- and multi-family dwelling structures. Set allowances at the maximum level of 60 percent summer and 70 percent winter for all-electric service.
- **Schedule TOU-D-T**: Change the on-peak period to noon to 6:00 p.m. on weekdays, with a 12 cent per kWh differential between the summer and winter season on-peak rates.
- **Submetering Discount**: Change the cost-of-service discount to 33¢ per space per day, with the DBA calculated using the tier rates and charges in Schedule D, D-CARE and GS-1 as implemented in this proceeding. The MAR shall be 5.9 ¢/kWh, with SCE continuing to apply the MAR on the customer's monthly bill.

Other provisions reflected in the Settlement Agreement that were largely undisputed in testimony include the following:

- **CARE rate structure:** Energy charges for Schedule D-CARE shall reflect three different energy charges, which increase from Tier 1 to Tier 2 and from Tier 2 to Tier 3. The Schedule D-CARE Tier 3 rate shall be established at a level that provides a discount of 20 percent from the Schedule D Tier 3 rate level after excluding the CARE surcharge component of the Public Purpose Program charge, the DWR Bond Charge, and any applicable CSI rate component that otherwise applies to the non-CARE Tier 3 rate for Schedule D, and any other change imposed to fund a program that exempts CARE participants from paying the charge.
- **Incentives for Peak Time Rebate (PTR), Summer Discount Plan (SDP), and Critical Peak Pricing Programs:** The incentives and program design of SCE's PTR and SDP programs shall reflect the present incentives and the present program design. The credit for customer participation in the CPP program shall be established based on the avoided marginal generation capacity cost value adopted by the CPUC in this proceeding, which may be established by CPUC approval of a settlement agreement relating to marginal costs and revenue allocation.
- **Treatment of PTR Credits:** Consistent with SCE's proposal in Exhibit SCE-03 (updated), 20 percent of PTR credits will be allocated for recovery from all customer groups through the Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) balancing account, with the remaining 80 percent of PTR credits allocated for recovery from the Residential rate group. SCE will initially evaluate the extent to which the Summer 2012 PTR program results yield demand response versus random customer load drops and provide this initial study and all supporting data to TURN and DRA by December 31, 2012. SCE will also conduct a study to evaluate the Summer 2013 PTR program results and provide the study and all supporting data to TURN and DRA. The Settlement Agreement provides for the

Settling Parties or SCE to seek PTR program changes based on the results of these studies under specified circumstances.

- **Schedule DS (seasonal pricing)**: Discontinue this schedule with the advent of SmartConnect meters when SCE's 2015 Phase 2 proceeding is implemented.
- **Unchanged structures**: There will be no changes to the structures and program participation for Medical Baseline, the Schedule D-FERA structure, and Schedule DE (employee discount). SCE will continue to effectuate the required tiering of residential rates through delivery rates.

The Settlement Agreement resolves all issues raised in this proceeding with respect to rate design and tariff matters for residential rate design. Among other things, the Settlement Agreement provides the means of establishing rates when this Agreement is first implemented and for the term of the Agreement. The Settlement Agreement also resolves issues related to the establishment of discounts for customers who provide submetered service to tenants. Appendix A to the Settlement Agreement summarizes the positions of the parties in their prepared testimony and how each issue is resolved by the Settlement Agreement. Illustrative rates based on the Settlement Agreement are provided in Appendix B to the Settlement Agreement. Appendix C to the Settlement Agreement lists the proposed baseline allowances for basic and all-electric service.

III.

REQUEST FOR ADOPTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Settlement Agreement is submitted pursuant to Rule 12.1 *et seq.* of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules). The Settlement Agreement is consistent with Commission decisions on settlements which express the strong public policy favoring settlement of disputes if they are fair and reasonable in light of the whole record.³ This policy supports many worthwhile goals, including reducing the expense of litigation, conserving scarce Commission resources, and allowing parties to

³ See, e.g., D.88-12-083 (30 CPUC 2d 189, 221-223) and D.91-05-029 (40 CPUC 2d, 301, 326).

reduce the risk that litigation will produce unacceptable results.⁴ As long as a settlement taken as a whole is reasonable in light of the record, consistent with the law, and in the public interest it should be adopted without change.

The Settlement Agreement complies with Commission guidelines and relevant precedent for settlements. The general criteria for Commission approval of settlements are stated in Rule 12.1(d) as follows:

The Commission will not approve stipulations or settlements, whether contested or uncontested, unless the stipulation or settlement is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest.⁵

The Settlement Agreement meets the criteria for a settlement pursuant to Rule 12.1(d), as discussed below.

A. The Settlement Agreement Is Reasonable In Light Of The Record

The prepared testimony, the Settlement Agreement itself, and this motion contain the information necessary for the Commission to find the Settlement Agreement reasonable in light of the record. Prior to the settlement, parties conducted discovery, and served testimony on the issues related to rate design for the Residential rate group. The Settling Parties request that the Commission admit the prepared testimony and related exhibits into the Commission's record of this proceeding...

The Settlement Agreement represents a reasonable compromise of the Settling Parties' positions. The prepared testimony of the Settling Parties contains sufficient information for the Commission to judge the reasonableness of the Settlement Agreement.

⁴ D.92-12-019, 46 CPUC 2d 538, 553.

⁵ See also, *Re San Diego Gas & Electric Company*, (D.90-08-068), 37 CPUC 2d 360: “[S]ettlements brought to this Commission for review are not simply the resolution of private disputes, such as those that may be taken to a civil court. The public interest and the interest of ratepayers must also be taken into account and the Commission's duty is to protect those interests.”

B. The Settlement Agreement Is Consistent With Law

The Settling Parties believe that the terms of the Settlement Agreement comply with all applicable statutes and prior Commission decisions, and reasonable interpretations thereof. In agreeing to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Settling Parties have explicitly considered the relevant statutes and Commission decisions and believe that the Commission can approve the Settlement Agreement without violating applicable statutes or prior Commission decisions.

C. The Settlement Agreement Is In The Public Interest

The Settlement Agreement is a reasonable compromise of the Settling Parties' respective positions. The Settlement Agreement is in the public interest and in the interest of SCE's customers. It fairly resolves issues and provides more certainty to residential customers regarding their present and future costs, which is in the public interest. The Settlement Agreement, if adopted by the Commission, avoids the cost of further litigation, and frees up Commission resources for other proceedings. Given that the Commission's workload is extensive, the impact on Commission resources is doubly important. The Settlement Agreement frees up the time and resources of other parties as well, so that they may focus on other proceedings. The prepared direct testimony contains sufficient information for the Commission to judge the reasonableness of the Settlement Agreement and for it to discharge any future regulatory obligations with respect to this matter.

Each portion of the Settlement Agreement is dependent upon the other portions of the Settlement Agreement. Changes to one portion of the Settlement Agreement would alter the balance of interests and the mutually agreed upon compromises and outcomes which are contained in the Settlement Agreement. As such, the Settling Parties request that the Settlement Agreement be adopted as a whole by the Commission, as it is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest.

IV.

SCHEDULE FOR COMMENTS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Settling Parties seek approval of the terms of the Settlement Agreement so that SCE may implement rates as soon as practicable following the issuance of a final Commission decision approving the Settlement Agreement but no earlier than January 1, 2013. In order to accomplish this, the Settling Parties recommend following the time periods provided by Rule 12.2 for comments and replies to comments on the Settlement Agreement. In order to accommodate questions about the Settlement Agreement in the event there are any material contested issues of fact or questions from the Commission following the filing of comments, the Settling Parties request that a portion of one day be scheduled for a hearing (with a panel of sponsoring witnesses) in accordance with the following schedule:

<u>Event</u>	<u>Date</u>
Motion filed for Adoption of the Settlement Agreement	July 27, 2012
Opening comments, if any, on the Settlement Agreement	August 27, 2012
Reply comments on the Settlement Agreement	September 11, 2012
Hearing on the Settlement Agreement, if necessary	September 20, 2012

V.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Settling Parties respectfully request that the Assigned Commissioner, Assigned ALJ, and the Commission:

1. Approve the attached Settlement Agreement as reasonable in light of the record, consistent with law, and in the public interest; and
2. Authorize SCE to implement changes in rates and tariffs in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

FADIA KHOURY
BRUCE A. REED

/s/ Bruce A. Reed

By: Bruce A. Reed

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-4183
Facsimile: (626) 302-6993
E-mail: Bruce.Reed@SCE.com

And on behalf of
THE DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION
And THE WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING ASSOCIATION⁶

July 27, 2012

⁶ In accordance with Rule 1.8(d), counsel for DRA, TURN, and WMA have authorized SCE's counsel to sign and file this motion on their behalf.

Attachment A

RESIDENTIAL RATE DESIGN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison)	Application 11-06-007
Company (U 338-E) To Establish Marginal)	(Filed June 6, 2011)
Costs, Allocate Revenues, Design Rates, and)	
Implement Additional Dynamic Pricing Rates)	

RESIDENTIAL RATE DESIGN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Dated: July 25, 2012

Residential Rate Design Settlement Agreement

Paragraph	Title	Page
1.	Parties.....	1
2.	Definitions.....	2
3.	Recitals.....	3
4.	Agreement.....	4
5.	Implementation of Agreement.....	11
6.	Record Evidence.....	11
7.	Incorporation of Complete Agreement.....	11
8.	Signature Date.....	11
9.	Regulatory Approval.....	12
10.	Compromise Of Disputed Claims.....	12
11.	Non Precedent.....	12
12.	Previous Communications.....	13
13.	Non Waiver.....	13
14.	Effect Of Subject Headings.....	13
15.	Governing Law.....	13
16.	Number Of Originals.....	13
	APPENDIX A: COMPARISON OF POSITIONS AND SETTLEMENT.....	
	APPENDIX B: ILLUSTRATIVE RESIDENTIAL RATES.....	
	APPENDIX C: PRESENT AND PROPOSED BASELINE ALLOWANCES.....	

RESIDENTIAL RATE DESIGN SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Residential Rate Design Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by the undersigned Parties hereto, with reference to the following:

1. Parties

The Parties to this Agreement are Southern California Edison Company (SCE); The Utility Reform Network (TURN); the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA); the Solar Energy Industries Association (SEIA); and the Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association (WMA) (referred to hereinafter collectively as Settling Parties or individually as Party).

- a. SCE is an investor-owned public utility and is subject to the jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC) with respect to providing electric service to its CPUC-jurisdictional retail customers.
- b. TURN is an independent, non-profit consumer advocacy organization that represents the interests of residential and small commercial utility customers.
- c. DRA is a division of the Commission that represents the interests of public utility customers. Its goal is to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 309.5(a), the DRA is directed to primarily consider the interests of residential and small commercial customers in revenue allocation and rate design matters.
- d. SEIA is a non-profit organization with members throughout California and the country who want a rapid transition to a clean and renewable energy future.
- e. WMA is a non-for-profit trade association that represents the owners of both submetered and directly-served manufactured housing communities in California.

2. **Definitions**

Capitalized terms in this Agreement, whether in singular or plural, shall (i) if identified in parentheses, have the meaning given to such term in the body of this Agreement, or (ii) if otherwise identified in parentheses, have the following meanings:

- a. “Basic Charge” means the customer charge applied to customers in the Domestic Rate Group, as differentiated for single-family and multi-family residences.
- b. “CARE” means the California Alternate Rates for Energy program which provides customers meeting a certain household income criteria a discount from SCE’s otherwise applicable residential rates.
- c. “CSI” means the California Solar Initiative, and the revenue requirement associated with the CSI that SCE has been authorized to recover from SCE ratepayers.
- d. “DWR” means the California Department of Water Resources. “DWR Revenue Requirement” means the revenues collected by SCE on behalf of the DWR to recover the costs of repaying the bonds that were issued to repay the General Fund of California. It consists of the DWR Bond Charge revenue requirement.
- e. “Energy Rates” mean the volumetric rates paid by residential customers who are served on SCE’s residential rate schedules.
- f. “FERC” means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- g. “NCO” means New Customer Only, and is a method used to derive marginal customer costs, taking into account the capital cost of adding new customers only and other O&M costs.
- h. “RECC” means Real Economic Carrying Charge, and is an alternate to the NCO method used to derive marginal customer costs, taking into account the lifetime capital costs of serving new customers and other O&M costs.

- i. “TOU” means time-of-use. These are the time periods established for provision of electric service in which demand charges or Energy Rates may vary in relation to the cost of service.

3. Recitals

- a. In Phase 2 of SCE’s 2012 General Rate Case (GRC), the Commission allocates SCE’s authorized revenue requirement among rate groups and authorizes rate design changes for rate schedules in each rate group.
- b. On June 6, 2011, SCE served its initial prepared testimony regarding marginal costs, revenue allocation and rate design in Application 11-06-007. On October 7, 2011, SCE revised its initial testimony, primarily to remove its initial proposal to increase SCE’s current residential customer charge.
- c. DRA served its initial testimony on December 20, 2011. Intervenors, including TURN, SEIA, and WMA served their initial testimony on February 6, 2012.
- d. SCE provided notice to all parties of its intent to conduct a settlement conference related to issues and an initial settlement conference was held on February 22, 2012.
- e. Continuing settlement discussions occurred among the interested parties after February 22, 2012.
- f. The Settling Parties have evaluated the impacts of the various proposals in this proceeding, desire to resolve all issues related to the design of SCE’s residential rate structure, and have reached agreement as indicated in Paragraph 4 of this Agreement.
- g. Appendix A to this Agreement provides a comparison of the Settling Parties’ positions related to residential rate design issues that have been resolved by this Agreement. In the event of a conflict between the terms of this Agreement and the terms listed in Appendix A, the terms of this Agreement shall control.

4. **Agreement**

In consideration of the mutual obligations, covenants and conditions contained herein, the Settling Parties agree to the terms of this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to constitute an admission by any Party that its position on any issue lacks merit or that its position has greater or lesser merit than the position taken by any other Party. This Agreement is subject to the express limitation on precedent described in Paragraph 11. Unless provided otherwise, this Agreement and its terms are intended to remain in effect from the date rate changes are implemented as a result of a CPUC decision in this proceeding until a decision is implemented in Phase 2 of SCE's next GRC.

a. **Illustrative Rates**

The Settling Parties agree that the results of the rate design process illustrated by the rate schedules in Appendix B to this Agreement are reasonable.¹ These rates are based on an estimated consolidated revenue requirement of \$12,338 million, which results in a bundled-service system average rate of 15.6 cents per kilowatt-hour. These illustrative rates shall be adjusted consistent with the terms of this Agreement and the CPUC's decision in this proceeding related to marginal cost and revenue allocation to reflect SCE's actual total system revenue requirement when this Agreement is implemented.

b. **Tiered Rate Structure**

Energy Rates for SCE's Schedule D, and other comparably-structured Residential rate group schedules shall reflect four tiers of consumption, *i.e.*, the baseline allowance (as applied to each of SCE's baseline regions), which is Tier 1; 101% to 130% of the baseline allowance, which is Tier 2; 131% to 200% of the baseline allowance, which is Tier 3; and 201% or more of the baseline allowance, which is Tier 4.

¹ The Schedule D Tier 1 Energy Rates in Appendix B reflect an assumed increase from June 2011 of one percent in 2012 and three percent in 2013. The Schedule D Tier 2 rates in Appendix B reflect an assumed increase from June 2011 of five percent in 2012 and three percent in 2013.

c. Basic Charge and Energy Rates For Tiers 1 and 2

Energy Rates for usage up to 130 percent of the baseline allowance (Tier 1 and Tier 2) for CARE and non-CARE customers and corresponding single-family and multi-family dwelling Basic Charges shall be adjusted by advice letters as permitted by D.09-12-048, D.11-12-037, and Resolution E-4391, with commensurate adjustments to Energy Rates for usage above Tier 2 to preserve revenue neutrality.² The Basic Charge for all Residential Rate Group TOU options shall be set equal to the Basic Charge for a single-family dwelling.

d. Energy Rates For Tiers 3 and 4

Changes in SCE's authorized revenue requirements that are allocated to residential customers shall be reflected in the Energy Rates for Tier 3 (CARE and non-CARE) and for Tier 4 (non-CARE). SCE shall establish non-CARE Energy Rates so that there is a differential of four cents per kWh between the rates for Tier 3 and Tier 4 when this Agreement is first implemented. At the first rate change SCE implements in 2014, SCE shall establish non-CARE Energy Rates so that there is a differential of three cents per kWh between the non-CARE rates for Tiers 3 and 4.

e. D-CARE Structure

Energy Rates for Schedule D-CARE shall reflect three tiers, which increase from Tier 1 to Tier 2 and from Tier 2 to Tier 3. The Schedule D-CARE Tier 3 Energy Rate shall be established at a level that provides a discount of 20 percent from the Schedule D Tier 3 Energy Rate after excluding the CARE surcharge component of the Public Purpose Program charge, the DWR Bond

² In D.11-12-037, the CPUC adopted modifications to D.09-12-048 to allow SCE on a prospective basis, to increase its existing residential customer charges for CARE and non-CARE customers by the same percentage increases as authorized for Tier 1 volumetric rates. D.11-12-037 also allows SCE to adjust its composite baseline rate, *i.e.* its Tier1 volumetric rate and its fixed Basic Charge more than once per year based on changes to its system average rate under certain specified circumstances. Resolution E-4391 allows an increase to SCE's Tier 1 rate of less than three percent when required to comply with PUC Section 739.9(b).

Charge, and any applicable CSI rate component that otherwise applies to the non-CARE Tier 3 rate for Schedule D, and any other charge that the CPUC has exempted CARE participants from paying.

f. Demand Response Rates (Summer Discount Plan (SDP), Peak Time Rebate (PTR), and Critical Peak Pricing (CPP))

Unless modified by subsequent CPUC authorization, the incentives and program design of SCE’s PTR and SDP programs shall not change from the present incentives, indicated in Table RRD-1, and the present program design. The credit for customer participation in the CPP program shall be established based on the marginal generation capacity cost adopted by the CPUC in this proceeding, which may be established by CPUC approval of a settlement agreement relating to marginal costs and revenue allocation.

***Table RRD-1
Residential PTR, SDP, and CPP Credits***

	Proposed Credit
Peak Time Rebate	\$1.25/kWh with enabling technology, \$0.75/kWh without
Summer Discount Plan (Schedule D-SDP), credits shown as \$/ton/summer season day	Standard option: 0.36 for 100% and 0.18 for 50% cycling Override option 0.18 for 100% and 0.09 for 50% cycling
Critical Peak Pricing (Schedule TOU-D-T-CPP)	\$0.04127 per kWh on-peak energy credit, with \$1.3745 Event Energy Charge

g. Allocation of Costs of PTR Program Credits

Consistent with SCE’s proposal in Exhibit SCE-03 (updated), 20 percent of PTR credits will be allocated for recovery from all customer groups through the Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) balancing account, with the remaining 80 percent of PTR credits allocated for recovery from the

Residential rate group. SCE will initially evaluate the extent to which the Summer 2012 PTR program results yield demand response versus random customer load drops and provide this initial study and all supporting data to TURN and DRA by December 31, 2012. SCE will also conduct a study to evaluate the Summer 2013 PTR program results and provide the study and all supporting data to TURN and DRA. It is the intent of the Settling Parties that this allocation of the cost of PTR credits or PTR program changes, if any, will then be reviewed in light of the results of the Summer 2012 and Summer 2013 studies. Any revisions to this allocation of PTR credits or PTR program changes agreed to by the Settling Parties will be included in a Tier 2 advice letter, to be filed by SCE no later than January 1, 2014, and upon approval by the CPUC shall apply for the remainder of the duration of this Agreement.

In the event that other Settling Parties do not agree to PTR program changes that SCE believes should be implemented prior to SCE's next Phase 2 GRC proceeding based on the results of the 2012 and 2013 studies, SCE may propose such changes to the PTR program and this Agreement by filing an application.

h. Baseline Regions

SCE's baseline regions shall continue to align with the climate zones established by the California Energy Commission (CEC) to provide an accurate basis for establishing baseline allowances.

i. Baseline Allowances

SCE shall calculate baseline allowances for each of SCE's baseline regions to reflect average residential customer usage (both for basic and all-electric service) for the period from January 2009 through December 2011 and establish baseline allowances for each baseline region. For basic service, 53 percent of the average customer usage shall be billed at the baseline (Tier 1) rate for the summer and the winter seasons. For all-electric service, 60 percent of the average customer usage shall be billed at the baseline (Tier 1) rate during the summer season, and 70 percent of the average

customer usage shall be billed at the baseline rate during the winter heating season. The same baseline allowances shall apply to both single-family and multi-family dwellings. The impact of seasonal residents shall be excluded from the determination of baseline allowances for regions 15 and 16. Present and proposed baseline allowances are listed in Appendix C.³

j. TOU-D Schedules

Schedules TOU-D-1 and TOU-D-2 shall be closed and customers currently served on these rate schedules will be transferred to Schedule TOU-D-T unless they choose another applicable rate schedule. Schedule TOU-D-T shall continue as a two-tiered rate structure. However, the on-peak TOU period shall be from 12:00 PM to 6:00 PM on summer and winter non-holiday weekdays, with the off-peak period comprising all other hours. The Level 1 tier will be designed to be revenue neutral to the otherwise applicable tariff's Tier 1 and Tier 2 rates, *e.g.*, Schedule D or Schedule D-CARE, while the Level 2 tier will be designed to be revenue neutral to the otherwise applicable tariff's rates for Tier 3 and above. The on-peak period rates for Schedule TOU-D-T shall be established such that there is a 12 cent per kWh differential between the rates for the summer on-peak period and the winter on-peak period.

k. Schedules TOU-D-TEV and TOU-EV-1

No structural changes shall be made to these schedules in this proceeding.

l. Medical Baseline

Residential customers shall continue to be eligible for the additional baseline allowances under a medical baseline exemption (Schedule MB-E) if they:

(1) require regular in-home use of specific medical equipment such as

³ The present and proposed baseline allowances listed in Appendix C for Zone 15 follow the method established for Zone 15 customers in prior CPUC decisions, which shifts some of the annual baseline allowance for Zone 15 from the winter to the summer months.

respiratory equipment for sleep apnea, and or, (2) the full-time resident of the household is a paraplegic, hemiplegic, quadriplegic, multiple sclerosis or scleroderma patient. Customers who are eligible for the exemption will be afforded additional baseline allowances per SCE's Preliminary Statement H, and will continue to be exempt from the DWR Bond charge pursuant to D.02-10-063.

m. **D-FERA Structure**

Energy Rates for Schedule D-FERA shall reflect four tiers, with the Energy Rate for Tier 3 set at the applicable Tier 2 Energy Rate.

n. **Schedules DE and DS**

Schedule DE (employee/retiree discount) shall be retained with the existing eligibility provisions and as currently structured. Schedule DS (optional seasonal pricing) shall be closed to new customers in light of the deployment of SmartConnect meters and shall be discontinued when rates are implemented as a result of Phase 2 of SCE's next GRC proceeding.

o. **Submetering Discount**

Customers served on Schedule DMS-2 receive a discount for providing submetered service, which is comprised of a cost-of-service discount that is reduced by a diversity benefit adjustment (DBA) and a multi-family Basic Charge adjustment. The Settling Parties agree that the cost-of-service discount for customers who provide submetered electric service on Schedule DMS-2 shall be \$0.330 per space per day. This discount is derived using SCE's RECC method and the inflation rates and cost of capital assumptions proposed by TURN. The Settling Parties also agree that the multi-family Basic Charge adjustment shall be \$0.022 per space per day.

When this Agreement is first implemented, the actual DBA shall be calculated using the rates then provided in Schedules D, D-CARE and GS-1 and a weighted ratio analysis, which calculates the average DBA based on the difference between individual bills and simulated master-metered bills from a

sample of customers who are directly-metered. This average is based on three years of recorded data for 221 parks. The weighted analysis corrects for disparities in the two samples, such as lower average usage in the submetered sample than the average usage in the directly-metered sample. The calculated DBA shall remain in effect until rates are implemented in SCE's next Phase 2 GRC proceeding. Using the methodology described above and based upon the estimated revenue requirement and the residential rate structures proposed in this Agreement, the estimated DBA is \$0.236 per space per day.

The Basic Charge adjustments and the DBAs for Schedules DM and DMS-1 shall be determined in the same manner as the Basic Charge and DBA are determined for Schedule DMS-2 when this Agreement is first implemented. In accordance with prior practice, the cost-of-service discount provided to customers served on Schedule DMS-1, shall be set at a level that maintains the 28.6 percent difference between the discounts for Schedules DMS-1 and DMS-2.

The Minimum Average Rate (MAR) limiter should be set at the corrected value of \$0.059/kWh. This MAR value is based on the effective generation rate for Tier 1 usage only and SCE will continue to calculate and bill the MAR on a monthly basis.

p. Conservation Incentive Adjustment

In accordance with the Conservation Incentive Adjustment adopted in D.09-08-028, SCE's residential rates shall continue to reflect the rate differentials between tiers in the delivery component of those Energy Rates and not in the generation component of the Energy Rates.

q. Additional Information SCE To Provide

In Phase 2 of SCE's next GRC proceeding, SCE will provide the following information:

- SCE's system load profiles, non-residential load profiles (total MW) and residential load profiles based on the following conditions: (a) peak day;

(b) average of 10 days with the highest daily peaks (system); (c) average summer weekday; (d) average summer weekend; (e) average winter weekday; (f) average winter weekend; and (g) average of 10 days with the highest daily peaks in the period from November through February (system).

- An analysis of the date, time, and amounts of substation "B" bank transformer peaks across SCE's fleet of transformers; and
- A quantitative discussion of peak loads on SCE's residential and non-residential primary distribution feeder lines.

5. Implementation of Agreement

It is the intent of the Settling Parties that SCE should be authorized to implement the rates resulting from this Agreement as soon as practicable following the issuance of a final Commission decision approving this Agreement but no earlier than January 1, 2013.

6. Record Evidence

The Settling Parties recommend that all of their related prepared testimony be admitted as part of the evidentiary record for this proceeding.

7. Incorporation of Complete Agreement

This Agreement is to be treated as a complete package and not as a collection of separate agreements on discrete issues. To accommodate the interests related to diverse issues, the Settling Parties acknowledge that changes, concessions, or compromises by a Party or Settling Parties resulted in changes, concessions, or compromises by another Party or Settling Parties in this Agreement. Consequently, the Settling Parties agree to oppose any modification of this Agreement not agreed to by all Settling Parties.

8. Signature Date

This Agreement shall become binding as of the last signature date of the Settling Parties.

9. Regulatory Approval

The Settling Parties, by signing this Agreement, acknowledge that they pledge support for Commission approval and subsequent implementation of all the provisions of the Agreement. The Settling Parties shall use their best efforts to obtain Commission approval of the Agreement. The Settling Parties shall jointly request that the Commission approve the Agreement without change, and find the Agreement to be reasonable, consistent with law and in the public interest.

10. Compromise Of Disputed Claims

This Agreement represents a compromise of disputed claims between the Settling Parties. The Settling Parties have reached this Agreement after taking into account the possibility that each Party may or may not prevail on any given issue. The Settling Parties assert that this Agreement is reasonable, consistent with law and in the public interest.

11. Non Precedent

Consistent with Rule 12.5 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Agreement is not precedential in any other proceeding before this Commission, except as expressly provided in this Agreement or unless the Commission expressly provides otherwise.

The Settling Parties expressly recognize that each Party may advocate a position that is inconsistent with this Agreement in a rulemaking proceeding that the CPUC may initiate with respect to rate design for residential customers. Furthermore, (1) if the CPUC directs SCE to propose or to establish residential rate design structures or outcomes that are different than those reflected in this Agreement; or (2) if California state law is modified in a manner that allows or requires residential rate design structures that differ from the structures agreed to by the Settling Parties in this Agreement, a Party may request changes that would result in the implementation of revised residential rate structures, excluding any changes to baseline allowances described in Paragraph 4(i) (unless required by law or Commission order), prior to SCE's next Phase 2 GRC proceeding.

12. Previous Communications

The Agreement contains the entire agreement and understanding between the Settling Parties as to the subject matter of this Agreement, and supersedes all prior agreements, commitments, representation, and discussions between the Settling Parties. In the event there is any conflict between the terms and scope of the Agreement and the terms and scope of the accompanying joint motion, the Agreement shall govern.

13. Non Waiver

None of the provisions of this Agreement shall be considered waived by any Party unless such waiver is given in writing. The failure of a Party to insist in any one or more instances upon strict performance of any of the provisions of this Agreement or to take advantage of any of their rights hereunder shall not be construed as a waiver of any such provisions or the relinquishment of any such rights for the future, but the same shall continue and remain in full force and effect.

14. Effect Of Subject Headings

Subject headings in this Agreement are inserted for convenience only, and shall not be construed as interpretations of the text.

15. Governing Law

This Agreement shall be interpreted, governed and construed under the laws of the State of California, including Commission decisions, orders and rulings, as if executed and to be performed wholly within the State of California.

16. Number Of Originals

This Agreement is executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original. The undersigned represent that they are authorized to sign on behalf of the Party represented.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

By: /s/ Bruce A. Reed

Title: Senior Attorney

Date: July 27, 2012

DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES

By: /s/ Joseph P. Como

Title: Acting Director

Date: July 26, 2012

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

By: /s/ Hayley Goodson

Title: Staff Attorney

Date: July 26, 2012

SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION (SEIA)

By: /s/ Tom Beach

Title: Consultant

Date: July 26, 2012

WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING
COMMUNITIES ASSOCIATION

By: /s/ Ed Poole

Title: Attorney

Date: July 27, 2012

Appendix A

Comparison of Positions and Settlement

COMPARISON OF POSITIONS AND SETTLEMENT

SCE's Position	DRA's Position	TURN's Position	SEIA's Position	Kern Tax Position	Greenlining/CforAT	Settlement
Reduce baseline allowances for basic service from 55% to 50% of average consumption in each region, using then-available data from 2007 through 2009.	Opposes	Opposes	Opposes	Supports	Opposes	Reduce baseline allowances to 53% of average zonal consumption using data from January 2009 through December 2011 for basic service.
Reduce baseline allowances for all-electric service from 60% to 50% for summer and from 70% to 60% for winter.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Set allowances at the maximum level of 60% summer and 70% winter using data from January 2009 through December 2011 for all-electric service.
Collapse non-CARE Tiers 4 and 5 with a 4 ¢/kWh differential between Tiers 3 and 4.	Supports	Supports, but with a 5 ¢/kWh differential between Tiers 3 and 4.	Supports	Proposes collapsing of Tiers 3, 4, and 5	Opposes	Collapse non-CARE Tiers 4 and 5 with 4 ¢/kWh differential between Tiers 3 and 4 beginning in 2013. Reduce the Tier 3 to Tier 4 differential to 3 ¢/kWh in 2014.
Establish separate baseline allowances for single-family and multi-family dwelling structures.	Opposes	Opposes	Supports phase-in of new structure.	Supports	Opposes	Retain current unified baseline allowance system for both single- and multi-family dwelling structures.
SCE proposes to change the on-peak period for Schedule TOU-D-T to noon to 6:00 p.m. on weekdays.	Recommends minimal changes to Schedule TOU-D-T, instead of the increases proposed by SCE for the on-peak rates.	N/A	Does not oppose changes to TOU periods	N/A	N/A	On-peak period to be changed to noon to 6:00 p.m. on weekdays.

Submetering Issues

	SCE's Position	TURN's Position	WMA's Position	Settlement
Discount	36.5¢ /space/day	28¢ /space/day	45.5¢ /space/day	33¢ /space/day
Diversity Benefit Adjustment (DBA)	20.6¢ /space/day	Calculate the DBA using the tier rates and charges in Schedule D, as implemented in this proceeding; reflect the residential rate design changes agreed upon in the Residential Rate Design Settlement Agreement.	20.6¢ /space/day average DBA, but a use a matrix of 114 values to reflect differences in park usage, climate region, and CARE saturation.	Calculate the DBA using the tier rates and charges in Schedule D, D-CARE and GS-1 as implemented in this proceeding, using the calculation method specified in Paragraph 4.o. Based on present assumptions, the DBA would be 23.6 ¢/space/day
Minimum Average Rate (MAR)	5.9 ¢/kWh (SCE's corrected value)	Use SCE's corrected MAR.	The MAR should be calculated and billed based on annual revenues.	MAR shall be 5.9 ¢/kWh; SCE will continue to apply the MAR on the customer's monthly bill.

Appendix B
Illustrative Residential Rates

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			Total Rate Change
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	
D							
Energy Charge- \$/kWh							
Baseline - Summer	0.05160	0.07311	0.12471	0.04413	0.08561	0.12974	4.0%
- Winter	0.05160	0.07311	0.12471	0.04413	0.08561	0.12974	4.0%
101% - 130% of Baseline - Summer	0.07461	0.07311	0.14772	0.07414	0.08561	0.15975	8.1%
- Winter	0.07461	0.07311	0.14772	0.07414	0.08561	0.15975	8.1%
131% - 200% of Baseline - Summer	0.15628	0.07311	0.22939	0.18524	0.08561	0.27085	18.1%
- Winter	0.15628	0.07311	0.22939	0.18524	0.08561	0.27085	18.1%
200% - 300% of Baseline - Summer	0.19128	0.07311	0.26439	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	17.6%
- Winter	0.19128	0.07311	0.26439	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	17.6%
Over 300% of Baseline	0.22628	0.07311	0.29939	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	3.8%
- Winter	0.22628	0.07311	0.29939	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	3.8%
Basic Charge - \$/day							
Single-Family Residence	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.029		0.029	0.0%
Multi-Family Residence	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.022		0.022	0.0%
Peak Time Rebate - \$/kWh							
Peak Time Rebate		(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.75)		0.0%
w/enabling technology - \$/kWh		(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.25)		0.0%
D-CARE							
Energy Charge - \$/kWh							
Baseline - Summer	0.01222	0.07311	0.08533	(0.00029)	0.08561	0.08532	0.0%
- Winter	0.01222	0.07311	0.08533	(0.00029)	0.08561	0.08532	0.0%
101% - 130% of Baseline - Summer	0.03357	0.07311	0.10668	0.02106	0.08561	0.10667	0.0%
- Winter	0.03357	0.07311	0.10668	0.02106	0.08561	0.10667	0.0%
131% - 200% of Baseline - Summer	0.10192	0.07311	0.17503	0.12169	0.08561	0.20730	18.4%
- Winter	0.10192	0.07311	0.17503	0.12169	0.08561	0.20730	18.4%
200% - 300% of Baseline - Summer	0.10192	0.07311	0.17503	0.12169	0.08561	0.20730	18.4%
- Winter	0.10192	0.07311	0.17503	0.12169	0.08561	0.20730	18.4%
Over 300% of Baseline	0.10192	0.07311	0.17503	0.12169	0.08561	0.20730	18.4%
- Winter	0.10192	0.07311	0.17503	0.12169	0.08561	0.20730	18.4%
Basic Charge - \$/day							
Single-Family Residence	0.023	0.000	0.023	0.023		0.023	0.0%
Multi-Family Residence	0.017	0.000	0.017	0.018		0.018	5.9%
Peak Time Rebate - \$/kWh							
Peak Time Rebate		(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.75)		0.0%
w/enabling technology - \$/kWh		(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.25)		0.0%
D-SDP							
Air Conditioning Cycling							
Credit - \$/ton/summer season day							
50% Cycling				(0.180)		(0.180)	
100% Cycling				(0.360)		(0.360)	
D-SDP-O							
Air Conditioning Cycling							
Credit - \$/ton/summer season day							
50% Cycling				(0.090)		(0.090)	
100% Cycling				(0.180)		(0.180)	
DE							
Discount - %		25%	25%	25%		25%	

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			Total Rate Change
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	
D-FERA							
Energy Charge - \$/kWh							
Baseline - Summer	0.05160	0.07311	0.12471	0.04413	0.08561	0.12974	4.0%
- Winter	0.05160	0.07311	0.12471	0.04413	0.08561	0.12974	4.0%
101% - 130% of Baseline - Summer	0.07461	0.07311	0.14772	0.07414	0.08561	0.15975	8.1%
- Winter	0.07461	0.07311	0.14772	0.07414	0.08561	0.15975	8.1%
131% - 200% of Baseline - Summer	0.07461	0.07311	0.14772	0.07414	0.08561	0.15975	8.1%
- Winter	0.07461	0.07311	0.14772	0.07414	0.08561	0.15975	8.1%
200% - 300% of Baseline - Summer	0.19128	0.07311	0.26439	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	17.6%
- Winter	0.19128	0.07311	0.26439	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	17.6%
Over 300% of Baseline	0.22628	0.07311	0.29939	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	3.8%
- Winter	0.22628	0.07311	0.29939	0.22524	0.08561	0.31085	3.8%
Basic Charge - \$/day							
Single-Family Residence	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.029		0.029	0.0%
Multi-Family Residence	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.022		0.022	0.0%
Peak Time Rebate - \$/kWh							
Peak Time Rebate		(0.75)	(0.75)		(0.75)	(0.75)	0.0%
w/enabling technology - \$/kWh		(1.25)	(1.25)		(1.25)	(1.25)	0.0%
DM							
Diversity Adjustment - \$/unit/day	0.170	0.000	0.170	0.236		0.236	38.8%
Agricultural Employee Housing Discount - %	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	0.0%
DMS1							
Submeter Discount - \$/unit/day	(0.097)	0.000	(0.097)	(0.094)		(0.094)	3.1%
Diversity Adjustment - \$/unit/day	0.170	0.000	0.170	0.236		0.236	38.8%
Basic Charge - \$/unit/day	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.022		0.022	0.0%
Minimum Average Rate - \$/kWh	0.01907	0.00000	0.01907	0.05900		0.05900	209.4%
DMS2							
Submeter Discount - \$/unit/day	(0.340)	0.000	(0.340)	(0.330)		(0.330)	2.9%
Diversity Adjustment - \$/unit/day	0.170	0.000	0.170	0.236		0.236	38.8%
Basic Charge - \$/unit/day	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.022		0.022	0.0%
Minimum Average Rate - \$/kWh	0.01907	0.00000	0.01907	0.05900		0.05900	209.4%
DMS3							
Basic Charge Adjust - \$/unit/day	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.0%
DS							
Summer Season Premium - \$/kWh/day	0.030	0.040	0.070	0.030	0.040	0.070	0.0%
Winter Season Discount - \$/kWh/day	(0.030)	(0.040)	(0.070)	(0.030)	(0.040)	(0.070)	0.0%
TOU-D-T							
Energy Charge - \$/kWh							
Summer Season							
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	(0.02146)	0.21067	0.18921	0.05029	0.26032	0.31061	64.2%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	0.29288	0.21067	0.50355	0.20682	0.26032	0.46714	-7.2%
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.06445	0.05896	0.12341	0.05029	0.06621	0.11650	-5.6%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.17258	0.05896	0.23154	0.20682	0.06621	0.27303	17.9%
Winter Season							
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	0.05824	0.06791	0.12615	0.05029	0.14032	0.19061	51.1%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	0.17149	0.06791	0.23940	0.20682	0.14032	0.34714	45.0%
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.06874	0.04987	0.11861	0.05029	0.05640	0.10669	-10.0%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.16257	0.04987	0.21244	0.20682	0.05640	0.26322	23.9%
Basic Charge - \$/day							
Single-Family Residence	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.0%
Multi-Family Residence	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.0%

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			Total Rate Change
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	
Peak Time Rebate - \$/kWh							
Peak Time Rebate		(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.75)	0.0%
w/enabling technology - \$/kWh		(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.25)	(1.25)	0.0%

JUNE 2011 AND PROPOSED 2013 SETTLEMENT RATES

	June 2011 Rates			Proposed 2013 Settlement Rates			Total Rate Change
	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	Delivery	Generation	Total Rate	
TOU-D-T-CPP							
Energy Charge - \$/kWh							
Summer Season							
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak				0.05029	0.26032	0.31061	
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak				0.20682	0.26032	0.46714	
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak				0.05029	0.06621	0.11650	
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak				0.20682	0.06621	0.27303	
Winter Season							
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak				0.05029	0.14032	0.19061	
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak				0.20682	0.14032	0.34714	
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak				0.05029	0.05640	0.10669	
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak				0.20682	0.05640	0.26322	
Basic Charge - \$/day							
Single-Family Residence				0.029	0.000	0.029	
Multi-Family Residence				0.022	0.000	0.022	
Peak Time Rebate - \$/kWh							
Peak Time Rebate w/enabling technology - \$/kWh					(0.75)	(0.75)	
CPP Event Energy Charge - \$/kWh					1.37453	1.37453	
Summer CPP Non-Event Credit							
On-Peak Energy Credit - \$/kWh					-0.04127	-0.04127	
TOU-EV-1							
Energy Charge - \$/kWh							
Summer Season - On-Peak	0.14345	0.12384	0.26729	0.19617	0.25683	0.45300	69.5%
Off-Peak	0.06294	0.05369	0.11663	0.07196	0.03562	0.10758	-7.8%
Winter Season - On-Peak	0.15384	0.05686	0.21070	0.19617	0.10088	0.29705	41.0%
Off-Peak	0.06294	0.05310	0.11604	0.07196	0.03950	0.11146	-3.9%
TOU-D-TEV							
Energy Charge - \$/kWh							
Summer Season							
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	(0.02036)	0.21288	0.19252	0.02805	0.31961	0.34766	80.6%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	0.31909	0.21288	0.53197	0.26013	0.31961	0.57974	9.0%
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.06608	0.06357	0.12965	0.02805	0.11680	0.14485	11.7%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.17983	0.06357	0.24340	0.26013	0.11680	0.37693	54.9%
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Super-Off-Peak	0.06294	0.03957	0.10251	0.06628	0.03510	0.10138	-1.1%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Super-Off-Peak	0.11467	0.03957	0.15424	0.16697	0.03510	0.20207	31.0%
Winter Season							
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	0.05584	0.07362	0.12946	0.02805	0.08662	0.11467	-11.4%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - On-Peak	0.17957	0.07362	0.25319	0.26013	0.08662	0.34675	37.0%
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.06805	0.05451	0.12256	0.02805	0.07182	0.09987	-18.5%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Off-Peak	0.17325	0.05451	0.22776	0.26013	0.07182	0.33195	45.7%
Level I (up to 130% of Baseline) - Super-Off-Peak	0.06294	0.04022	0.10316	0.06628	0.04736	0.11364	10.2%
Level II (More than 130% of Baseline) - Super-Off-Peak	0.11596	0.04022	0.15618	0.16697	0.04736	0.21433	37.2%
Basic Charge - \$/day							
Single-Family Residence	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.029	0.000	0.029	0.0%
Multi-Family Residence	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.022	0.000	0.022	0.0%
Peak Time Rebate - \$/kWh							
Peak Time Rebate w/enabling technology - \$/kWh		(0.75)	(0.75)		(0.75)	(0.75)	0.0%
		(1.25)	(1.25)		(1.25)	(1.25)	0.0%

Appendix C

Present and Proposed Baseline Allowances

Present Baseline Allowances						
Basic at 55%						
All Electric: 60% summer and 70% winter						
Summer kWh Per Day				Winter kWh Per Day		
Baseline Region	Basic	All Electric		Baseline Region	Basic	All Electric
5	9.1	10.0		5	9.8	16.7
6	9.2	10.0		6	9.6	16.2
8	10.2	10.0		8	9.2	16.2
9	13.9	16.9		9	10.5	24.1
10	16.0	17.4		10	10.5	24.1
13	18.6	29.0		13	11.0	32.8
14	16.1	20.3		14	10.6	29.5
15	43.9	42.7		15	9.0	27.4
16	11.5	14.3		16	10.9	28.5

Proposed Baseline Allowances						
Basic at 53%						
All Electric: 60% summer and 70% winter						
Summer kWh Per Day				Winter kWh Per Day		
Baseline Region	Basic	All Electric		Baseline Region	Basic	All Electric
5	13.5	19.7		5	15.3	32.1
6	9.4	9.1		6	9.8	14.2
8	10.1	9.9		8	9.2	13.8
9	13.3	12.3		9	10.8	15.4
10	15.5	15.9		10	11.0	18.5
13	18.4	25.2		13	11.1	26.6
14	15.5	18.4		14	10.6	22.9
15	39.8	23.8		15	8.2	17.7
16	11.8	13.8		16	11.1	25.7