Decision 04-08-023 August 19, 2004

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation into the operations and practices of companies affiliated with Enron Corporation, relating to the filing for Chapter 11 bankruptcy of Enron Corp. and its affiliated entities.

Investigation 01-12-008 (Filed December 11, 2001)

(See Appendix A for list of appearances.)

OPINION CLOSING THIS ORDER INSTITUTING INVESTIGATION

Summary

On December 11, 2001, we initiated this investigation into the financial and operational capabilities of Enron Energy Marketing Corp. (EEMC), Enron Energy Services, Inc. (EES), and The New Power Company (NPC) pursuant to Public Utilities Code Sections 701, 394.25(b)(3) and other applicable authorities.¹ The purpose of the investigation was to gather facts to help the Commission assess the effects, if any, of those entities' financial status on their customers and on other customers in California. Named respondents in the investigation were Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E), Southern California Gas

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, all section references will indicate the Public Utilities Code.

Company (SoCalGas), EEMC, EES, and NPC. Following a prehearing conference on December 20, 2001, the Assigned Commissioner issued a scoping memorandum which set forth issues to be addressed at hearing.

Hearings were held in January 2002, briefs were filed, and the matter submitted March 2002. This OII has been held open pending resolution of the Enron bankruptcy and the status of the Enron Electric Service Providers (ESPs). That bankruptcy has proceeded sufficiently to assure that its resolution will not impact this investigation. All Enron ESPs have had their registrations canceled. We emphasize that this OII is concerned only with customer service issues related to Enron's financial collapse and bankruptcy. Issues related to market manipulation and financial impropriety are not part of this investigation.

Background

This investigation represents another chapter in the unraveling history of the California energy crisis 2000-2001. On December 2, 2001, Enron Corporation announced that it and a number of its subsidiaries (collectively Enron) had filed voluntary petitions for Chapter 11 reorganization with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. Of most relevance to California were Enron's retail energy operations. At the time of the bankruptcy, a number of Enron-affiliated entities affected by the bankruptcy filing were doing business in California as ESPs. Enron Energy Marketing Corp. (ESP #1015), Enron Energy Services, Inc. (ESP #1083), and The New Power Company (ESP #1356) were registered with this Commission.²

² Enron Power Marketing's ESP registration #1086 was cancelled October 25, 2001; Enron Energy Marketing Corp. and Enron Energy Services' ESP registration was cancelled December 22, 2003. The New Power Company's ESP registration was canceled February 13, 2002.

The sudden financial collapse and ensuing bankruptcy of Enron Corporation and its subsidiaries caused concern. We believed that the collapse and bankruptcy might impair Enron's ability to serve its retail direct access (DA) energy customers in California. We were also concerned that the collapse and bankruptcy might have other impacts on California energy markets, including the investor-owned energy utilities, the Independent System Operator (ISO), and the California Department of Water Resources (DWR).

Our primary concern was that California retail energy customers continue to receive reliable energy service. We put parties on notice that facts in this investigation related to the assignment of the ESPs' direct access contracts to an investor-owned utility or to an alternative ESP might be incorporated into other proceedings.

The Scoping Memo of the Assigned Commissioner set forth the issues to be considered, whose salient points are summarized:

- 1. What effect will the financial collapse and ensuing bankruptcy of Enron and its subsidiaries have on:
 - a. the Enron affiliated companies' ability to serve their California retail energy customers?
 - b. price increases, and operational effects on other entities such as the investor-owned utilities, the ISO, and DWR?
 - c. the operations of the California electric and natural gas markets, and how will these impacts affect California energy customers?
- 2. What specific steps are the Enron affiliated ESPs and investor-owned utilities taking to ensure that the retail customers of the Enron affiliated companies will continue to receive reliable energy services?
- 3. What actions should the Commission take or recommend to protect California consumers in light of the bankruptcy filing?

Discussion

Events, plus other Commission decisions, have mooted all the questions posed in this investigation; therefore, it should be dismissed.

The cancellation of Enron's ESP registrations has resolved the question of protecting Enron's direct access customers. Enron Energy Marketing Corp has canceled its registration, as have Enron Energy Services and New Power Company. The Enron Corp. and affiliates' bankruptcy filing (Case No. 01-16034 (AJG), filed in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York) has settled, or will settle all claims by California utilities and California ESP customers. (See, for example, Order Approving Settlement Agreement between Enron Energy Marketing Corp., Enron Energy Services, Inc., et al., and Pacific Gas and Electric Company in Case No. 01-16034 (AJG) dated April 20, 2004.)

This OII was driven by our concern to protect the interests of Enron's retail energy customers. The evidence presented at the hearing by Enron direct access customers provided detailed testimony regarding their concerns, especially their perceived need to continue on direct access and have their Enron contracts assigned to solvent ESPs. Those issues were resolved in D.01-09-060, which suspended direct access for electric customers; D.02-03-055 which, among other things, adopted an exemption to the suspension requirements of D.01-09-060 by permitting contract renewals and assignments whereby existing DA customers could choose a new ESP and continue on DA even if they had returned to bundled service; and D.03-05-034, which adopted rules to govern the switching exemption and set forth the concept of developing cost responsibility surcharges in lieu of an earlier DA suspension date.

The evidence shows, and we find, that the Enron financial collapse_did not materially affect or interrupt electric and gas service³ to Enron's customers in California. The disruptions caused by the bankruptcy resulted in retail direct access billing disputes which were resolved by applicable tariff rules (e.g., Electric Rule 22 and Gas Rate Schedule G-CT) and some gas balancing service costs when direct access customers were returned to bundled service. All of the financial problems connected with customer service were resolved over time, either through customer-company negotiations, the Commission's Consumer Affairs Branch, or through the bankruptcy proceeding. In regard to customer service issues arising from the Enron bankruptcy, there is nothing left for this Commission to decide.

Comment on Draft Decision

The draft decision of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section 311(g)(1) of the Public Utilities Code and Rule 77.7 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. No comments were filed.

Assignment of the Proceeding

Carl Wood is the Assigned Commissioner and Robert Barnett is the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

1. The only material impact of the financial collapse on retail direct access service to customers was related to billing confusion and concern over the

³ We reiterate that this OII is concerned only with customer service issues related to the Enron financial collapse and bankruptcy. Issues regarding market manipulation and financial improprieties are not part of this investigation.

assignability of DA contracts. These impacts were alleviated through customerutility negotiations and as a result of D.01-09-060, D.02-03-055, and D.03-05-034.

2. The Enron companies and the investor-owned utilities cooperated in returning DA customers to bundled service or, when permitted, in facilitating assignment of the Enron DA contracts to other ESPs.

3. The Energy Division of the Commission has cancelled the registrations of all Enron ESPs.

Conclusions of Law

1. Because the registration of all Enron's ESPs has been canceled and because billing disputes have been addressed by the Commission and through negotiations in the bankruptcy proceeding, no further action is required by this Commission.

2. This investigation should be closed.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that this investigation is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated August 19, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

MICHAEL R. PEEVEY President CARL W. WOOD LORETTA M. LYNCH GEOFFREY F. BROWN SUSAN P. KENNEDY Commissioners

APPENDIX A

List of Appearances

*********** APPEARANCES ***********

Brent Gammon APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. 2881 SCOTT BLVD., M/S 2062 SANTA CLARA CA 95050 (408) 235-4611 brent_gammon@amat.com For: Applied Materials, Inc.

Catherine E. Yap BARKOVICH & YAP, INC. PO BOX 11031 OAKLAND CA 94611 (510) 450-1270 ceyap@earthlink.net For: Barkovich & Yap, Inc.

Edward W. O'Neill DAVIS, WRIGHT, TREMAINE, LLP ONE EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 600 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834 (415) 276-6500 edwardoneill@dwt.com For: El Paso Merchant Energy

Norman J. Furuta Attorney At Law DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY 2001 JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD., SUITE 600 DALY CITY CA 94014-3890 (650) 746-7312 norman.furuta@navy.mil For: Federal Executive Agencies

Ann L. Trowbridge DOWNEY, BRAND, SEYMOUR & ROHWER, LLP 555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO CA 95814 (916) 441-0131 atrowbridge@dbsr.com For: Sutter Health

James D. Squeri Attorney At Law GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 392-7900 jsqueri@gmssr.com For: California Retailers' Association Jeanne M. Armstrong Attorney At Law GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 392-7900 jarmstrong@gmssr.com For: Enron Energy Services

Michael B. Day GOODIN, MAC BRIDE, SQUERI, RITCHIE & DAY 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 392-7900 mday@gmssr.com For: Enron Energy Services, INc. and Enron Energy Marketing Corp.

Clyde S. Murley GRUENEICH RESOURCE ADVOCATES 582 MARKET STREET, SUITE 1020 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104 (415) 834-2300 cmurley@gralegal.com For: Community College League of California

Dian M. Grueneich Attorney At Law GRUENEICH RESOURCE ADVOCATES 582 MARKET STREET, SUITE 1020 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104 (415) 834-2300 dgrueneich@gralegal.com For: University of California and California State University

Norman A. Pedersen Attorney At Law HANNA AND MORTON LLP 444 SOUTH FLOWER ST., SUITE 1500 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2916 (213) 430-2510 npedersen@hanmor.com For: Southern California Generation Coalition

Patricia S. Brody JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP ONE SANSOME STREET, 12TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 (415) 984-9617 psb@jmbm.com For: VICTORIA'S SECRET, INC.

William H. Booth LAW OFFICE OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH 1500 NEWELL AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR WALNUT CREEK CA 94596 (925) 296-2460 wbooth@booth-law.com For: Hanson Permanente Cement, Inc.

Daniel W. Douglass Attorney At Law LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL W. DOUGLASS 6303 OWENSMOUTH AVE., TENTH FLOOR WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367-2262 (818) 936-2466 douglass@energyattorney.com For: Alliance for Retail Energy Markets & Western Power Trading Forum

Daniel W. Douglass Attorney At Law LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL W. DOUGLASS 6303 OWENSMOUTH AVENUE 10/F WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367 (818) 936-2466 douglass@energyattorney.com For: New Power Company

John W. Leslie Attorney At Law LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP 11988 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO CA 92130 (858) 720-6352 jleslie@luce.com

Randall W. Keen Attorney At Law MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD. LOS ANGELES CA 90064 (310) 312-4361 pucservice@manatt.com For: Los Angeles Unified School District

Kevin Mc Spadden Attorney At Law MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MC CLOY 601 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 30TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90068 (213) 892-4563 kmcspadden@milbank.com For: Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy Karen Norene Mills 2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE SACRAMENTO CA 95833 (916) 561-5655 KMILLS@CFBF.COM For: California Farm Bureau Federation

Peter Ouborg PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 7442, B30A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120 (415) 973-2286 pxo2@pge.com For: Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Raymond A. Pustinger RPM SOLUTIONS 1746 BRAMBLEWOOD COURT CHULA VISTA CA 91913 (619) 934-5877 rpustinger@rpmsolutions.org For: RPM Solutions

Steve Rahon Sempra Energy Utilities SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 8315 CENTURY PARK COURT SAN DIEGO CA 92123 (858) 654-1773 srahon@semprautilities.com For: San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company

Sharon L. Cohen Attorney At Law SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO CA 92101 (619) 696-4355 scohen@sempra.com For: Sempra Energy Solutions

David J. Gilmore Attorney At Law SEMPRA ENERGY 555 WEST FIFTH STREET LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2071 (213) 244-2945 dgilmore@sempra.com For: San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company

Paul Szymanski Attorney At Law SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO CA 92101 (619) 699-5078 pszymanski@sempra.com For: San Diego Gas & Electric Company and Southern California Gas Company

Jennifer Shigekawa Attorney At Law SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD CA 91770 (626) 302-6819 Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com For: Southern California Edison Company

Megan Scott-Kakures Attorney At Law SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY PO BOX 800 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD CA 91770 (626) 302-6855 megan.scott-kakures@sce.com For: Southern California Edison Co.

Angela O'Rourke WILLIAM MOGEL Attorney At Law SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY, LLP ONE MARITIME PLAZA, SUITE 300 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 (415) 954-0200 aorourke@ssd.com For: McDonald's Corporation

Keith Mc Crea Attorney At Law SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN 1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE WASHINGTON DC 20004-2415 (202) 383-0705 kmccrea@sablaw.com For: California Manufacturers & Technology Association

Chris Witteman Legal Division RM. 5129 505 VAN NESS AVE San Francisco CA 94102 (415) 355-5524 wit@cpuc.ca.gov

(END OF APPENDIX A)