

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Alejandro Lopez,

Complainant,

vs.

Verizon Wireless, LLC,

Defendant.

**ECP**

Case No. 05-07-017

Certified Mail

7003 3110 0000 9013 5852

**INSTRUCTIONS TO ANSWER AND HEARING NOTICE**

Verizon Wireless, LLC  
Attn: Nancy Davis  
Vice President – West Area General Counsel  
15505 Sand Canyon Avenue E305  
Irvine, CA 92618

Alejandro Lopez  
9435 Flower Street, #105  
Bellflower, CA 90706

To Defendant and Complainant:

The Defendant is hereby notified that the above-entitled complaint was filed against you as defendant on July 19, 2005. You are directed to answer the complaint in writing within 20 days after today, pursuant to Resolution ALJ-163. The answer shall be in compliance with Rule 13.1 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure." Your answer shall be sent to California Public Utilities Commission, Attn.: Docket Office, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.

**HEARING NOTICE**

Complainant and defendant must appear at the hearing set before Administrative Law Judge Robert Barnett, on August 29, 2005, at 11:00 a.m., in the Commission Courtroom, State Office Building, 320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 500, Los Angeles, CA 90013. At that time you must be fully prepared to present your case. Failure of the complainant to appear at the time and place herein noted or to contact the ALJ to reschedule the hearing may be grounds for the ALJ to recommend dismissal of the complaint.

If you have questions about the hearing date, time, or place, call the Calendar Clerk at (415) 703-1203.

Dated at San Francisco, California this 22nd day of July, 2005.

/s/ ANGELA K. MINKIN

By Maria Vengerova

Angela K. Minkin

Chief Administrative Law Judge

AM/mak

Enclosures: Complaint, Rules 13.1, and Resolution ALJ-163

cc via email only, w/o copy of encls.: ALJ Barnett and Calendar Clerk

**13.1. (Rule 13.1) Contents of Answers.**

The answer must admit or deny each material allegation in the complaint and shall set forth any new matter constituting a defense. Its purpose is to fully advise the complainant and the Commission of the nature of the defense. It should also set forth any defects in the complaint which require amendment or clarification. Failure to indicate jurisdictional defects does not waive these defects and shall not prevent a motion to dismiss made thereafter.